## Problem 2

For problem 2.

Iraphorontation 1,

horo first the function will call and pass variable 'or'
thor "I will check first condition,

of <=0 and ison it will point 'Invalid input'
again it will check elit, ox=2 it true it will return
n-1.

else function will not sun, f-1 (n-1) + f-1 (n-2)

:. else loop well work 5+1=4 5-2=3

: 4+3=7

thon it will prior! the imput.

hore we are having a glimpse of roccursive function that's why it will take longer time to sun.

It have time complexity of big 0 ratation. [O(n)]

But this recursive apprach sources to be more simpler and smaller.

## Tesplomontation 2,

here is a impution and contains an away [0,1] 2 index that it well check once then well priortionally than it will check ox=2 or not and votures of an acray of tionally it will chack the range of it well push value and well roturn vorray of [0-1]

11111111111111111111111111111

we can see those, used for loop. so of well sun fast slightly tastos than provious method. Provative mothod. But the time complexity will be some for both of the implementation method.

It weil be big O orotation

use all know Big O motation expresses the own time in tooms of how queckly of grows solutive to the imput.

So this two method are more or all same. But this into after method is linear, as the loops russ Form 2 to of soms in O (b) time

Here, too have two implementation mathed of the boracci

One is recursive monthed and thee one is iterative

different long.

Red one is recursive mothed gooph and Blue one is Horative process graph

Recursive = 0 (210) (2 to the power on) (Exponential growth

Hosative = 0(n) liosas growth

hora grouph shows total on= 30.

two algorithms are compared, or increases allow passing

19 and the exponential growth sale of recusive one

is clearly evidoon.

Hoso given pseudocode is Matrix Muttiplication.

tore matrix size is onen

here A &B is Matrix

Mutti-Attor promethylication, C = A.B

i time complexity = O (m²) (big O or qubic)