

## Questions 1-3

 [Bookmark this page](#)

Consider the following two functions:

```
;; ListOfNumber -> ListOfNumber
;; produce list with only postivie? elements of lon
(check-expect (positive-only empty) empty)
(check-expect (positive-only (list 1 -2 3 -4)) (list 1 3))

(define (positive-only lon) empty) ;stub

(define (positive-only lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (positive? (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (positive-only (rest lon)))
             (positive-only (rest lon))))])

;; ListOfNumber -> ListOfNumber
;; produce list with only negative? elements of lon
(check-expect (negative-only empty) empty)
(check-expect (negative-only (list 1 -2 3 -4)) (list -2 -4))

(define (negative-only lon) empty) ;stub

(define (negative-only lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (negative? (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (negative-only (rest lon)))
             (negative-only (rest lon))))])
```

You want to design an abstract function called `filter2` based on these two functions.

### Question 1

1/1 point (graded)

Which of the following are points of variance between the two functions `positive-only` and `negative-only`?:


- ☐ the `cond` questions
- ☐ the result of the base case
- ☐ the structure of the else case
- ☒ the predicate used to decide if an element remains in the list




#### Explanation

`positive-only` keeps just the `positive?` elements of the list, while `negative-only` keeps just the `negative?` elements of the list, so the predicates used to make this decision, `positive?` and `negative?` differ.

Submit


 Show Answer

 Answers are displayed within the problem

### Question 2

1/1 point (graded)

What is the correct function definition for `filter2`?



```
(define (filter2 lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (p (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (filter2 (rest lon)))
             (filter2 (rest lon))))])
```

```
(define (filter2 p lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (p (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (filter2 (rest lon)))
             (filter2 (rest lon))))])
```

```
(define (filter2 p lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (negative? (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (filter2 p (rest lon)))
             (filter2 p (rest lon))))])
```

```
(define (filter2 p lon)
  (cond [(empty? lon) empty]
        [else
         (if (p (first lon))
             (cons (first lon)
                   (filter2 p (rest lon)))
             (filter2 p (rest lon))))])
```



#### Explanation

We first make a copy of one of the functions with the more general name, `filter2`. Next we must add a parameter for varying position, then use that parameter in the varying position. Finally, we must replace calls to `positive-only` and `negative-only` with calls to the new abstract function, and add the varying parameter to each recursive call.

Submit

Show Answer

Answers are displayed within the problem

### Question 3

1/1 point (graded)

Now that we have the abstract function `filter2`, what should be the new function body of `positive-only`?

```
(define (positive-only p lon)
  (filter2 p lon))
```

```
(define (positive-only lon)
  (filter2 p lon))
```

```
(define (positive-only lon)
  (filter2 positive? lon))
```

```
(define (positive-only positive? lon)
  (filter2 positive? lon))
```



#### Explanation

We call the new abstract function `filter2` with the appropriate predicate `p` in the function body.

Submit

Show Answer

Answers are displayed within the problem

◀ Previous      Next ▶

