

<

Previous





Next

>

Questions 1-2

 [Bookmark this page](#)

Consider the following definitions for `Student` and `ListOfStudent`:

```
(define-struct student (name id))           ;; ListOfStudent is one of:  
;; Student is (make-student String Natural) ;; - empty  
;; interp. a student with name and student id ;; - (cons Student ListOfStudent)  
(define S1 (make-student "Eva" 3124))      ;; interp. a list of students  
(define S2 (make-student "John" 7893))      (define LOS1 empty)  
                                              (define LOS2 (cons S1 empty))  
                                              (define LOS3 (cons S1 (cons S2 empty)))  
  
#;  
(define (fn-for-student s)                #;  
  (... (student-name s)                    (define (fn-for-los los)  
    (student-id s)))                      (cond [(empty? los) (...)]  
                                              [else  
                                                (... (fn-for-student (first los))  
                                                  (fn-for-los (rest los))))])
```

Suppose we would like to design a function that consumes a list of students and produces a list of student cards, where each student card contains the name and ID of the student. Assume a student card is simply a string of the form:

"<student name> <student id>"

For example:

```
(student-cards (cons (make-student "John" 7893 (cons (make-student "Eva" 3124) empty)))
```

should produce

```
(cons "John 7893" (cons "Eva 3124" empty)))
```


Question 1


1/1 point (graded)

Here is a partial design of the function `student-cards`:

```
;; ListOfStudent -> ListOfString  
;; produces a list of student cards, each of the form "<name><id>"  
  
(check-expect (student-cards empty) empty)  
(check-expect (student-cards LOS2) (cons "Eva 3124" empty))
```

Do we need more `check-expects`?

 yes

 no



Explanation

We need a `check-expect` that is at least two elements long, because it will show us any errors in the natural recursion.

Submit

 Show Answer


 Answers are displayed within the problem


Question 2

1/1 point (graded)

What is wrong, or could be improved about the following function definition for `student-cards`?

```
(define (student-cards los)  
  (cond [(empty? los) empty]  
        [else  
         (cons (string-append (student-name (first los))  
                               " "  
                               (number->string (student-id (first los))))  
               (student-cards (rest los))))])
```

 Nothing since all tests pass

 The base case is wrong

⚠ A helper function that operates on `Student` is missing

↶ A helper function that operates on `ListOfStudent` is missing



Explanation

Since the type of `(first los)` is `Student` which is not primitive, we need to follow the reference rule and design a function that consumes a student and produces the corresponding student card. The resulting `student-cards` function will then be:

```
(define (student-cards s)
  (cond [(empty? s) empty]
        [else
         (cons (student-card (first los))
               (student-cards (rest los))))]))
```

Go ahead and complete the design of `student-card`

Submit

ⓘ
Show
Answer

ⓘ Answers are displayed within the problem

◀ Previous

Next ▶

© ⓘ ⓘ ⓘ Some Rights Reserved



edX

About
edX for Business

Legal

Terms of Service &
Honor Code
Privacy Policy
Accessibility Policy

Connect

Blog
Contact Us
Help Center



© 2020 edX Inc. All rights reserved.
| 深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 粤ICP备17044299
号-2