

# AgentForge Pre-Search Document

**Domain: Finance**

**Codebase: Ghostfolio**

---

## Phase 1: Define Your Constraints

### 1. Domain Selection

**Which domain?**

Finance — portfolio intelligence and compliance-style analysis using Ghostfolio.

**What specific use cases will you support?**

- Portfolio performance across time ranges
- Asset allocation by class / sector / geography
- Concentration & diversification checks
- Dividend income analysis
- Transaction pattern summaries
- Multi-currency exposure
- FIRE progress tracking
- Market data lookup

**What are the verification requirements for this domain?**

- All financial figures must be tool-derived (no generated numbers)

- Deterministic recomputation of allocation & performance
- Concentration risk rule enforcement
- Confidence scoring when data is incomplete

#### **What data sources will you need access to?**

- Ghostfolio REST API (portfolio, orders, accounts, performance)
  - Ghostfolio market data cache
  - Seeded test portfolios for eval ground truth
- 

## **2. Scale & Performance**

#### **Expected query volume?**

- MVP: 100–1,000 users
- ~5 queries per user per day

#### **Acceptable latency for responses?**

- <5s single-tool
- <15s multi-step

#### **Concurrent user requirements?**

- MVP: 50 concurrent
- Design scalable to 1,000+

#### **Cost constraints for LLM calls?**

- Target  $\leq \$0.01$  per query at scale

- Token usage tracked per request
- 

### **3. Reliability Requirements**

**What's the cost of a wrong answer in your domain?**

Medium–High — incorrect financial interpretation leads to user mistrust and bad decisions.

**What verification is non-negotiable?**

- Tool-backed outputs only
- Deterministic financial validation
- Allocation rule checks

**Human-in-the-loop requirements?**

Trigger when:

- Confidence < 0.7
- Missing market data
- Extreme concentration risk

**Audit/compliance needs?**

- Full trace per request
  - Tool call logs
  - Historical eval results
- 

### **4. Team & Skill Constraints**

**Familiarity with agent frameworks?**

Intermediate — choosing LangChain for fast delivery and strong tooling.

**Experience with your chosen domain?**

Intermediate finance knowledge; Ghostfolio provides structured domain logic.

**Comfort with eval/testing frameworks?**

High — CI-driven automated testing and deterministic validation.

---

## Phase 2: Architecture Discovery

---

### 5. Agent Framework Selection

**LangChain vs LangGraph vs CrewAI vs custom?**

LangChain — fastest path to reliable tool calling and native observability.

**Single agent or multi-agent architecture?**

Single agent — domain is analysis, not role delegation.

**State management requirements?**

- Conversation memory per session
- Portfolio snapshot cache
- Redis-ready for production

**Tool integration complexity?**

Low — tools map directly to REST endpoints with typed schemas.

---

### 6. LLM Selection

**GPT-5 vs Claude vs open source?**

GPT-5 for strong reasoning, structured output, and reliability.

**Function calling support requirements?**

Strict schema tool calling required.

**Context window needs?**

- Conversation history
- Portfolio snapshot
- Tool outputs

**Cost per query acceptable?**

≤ \$0.01 at scale.

---

## 7. Tool Design

**What tools does your agent need?**

- authenticate
- get\_portfolio\_holdings
- get\_portfolio\_performance
- get\_portfolio\_details
- get\_orders
- lookup\_symbol
- import\_activities

**External API dependencies?**

Ghostfolio REST API only.

**Mock vs real data for development?**

- Real Ghostfolio Docker instance
- Seeded deterministic portfolios

### **Error handling per tool?**

- 401 → re-authenticate
  - 404 → user feedback
  - timeout → retry once
  - partial data → confidence drop
- 

## **8. Observability Strategy**

### **LangSmith vs Braintrust vs other?**

LangSmith — tight LangChain integration and eval dataset support.

### **What metrics matter most?**

- Tool selection accuracy
- Tool success rate
- Latency breakdown
- Token usage
- Final confidence

### **Real-time monitoring needs?**

Trace inspection for debugging agent decisions.

### **Cost tracking requirements?**

Per-request token and daily aggregate cost.

---

## **9. Eval Approach**

### **How will you measure correctness?**

- Deterministic recomputation of financial metrics
- Comparison with known seeded ground truth

#### **Ground truth data sources?**

- Seeded Ghostfolio portfolios
- Precomputed expected allocation & performance

#### **Automated vs human evaluation?**

Automated for CI; manual review for edge failures.

#### **CI integration for eval runs?**

Eval suite runs on every commit.

---

## **10. Verification Design**

#### **What claims must be verified?**

- Portfolio value
- Allocation percentages
- Performance metrics
- Risk flags

#### **Fact-checking data sources?**

- Tool outputs only (Ghostfolio API)

#### **Confidence thresholds?**

- $\geq 0.7$  required for normal response
- $< 0.7 \rightarrow$  uncertainty surfaced

### **Escalation triggers?**

- Missing market data
  - High concentration risk
  - Incomplete portfolio state
- 

## **Phase 3: Post-Stack Refinement**

---

### **11. Failure Mode Analysis**

#### **What happens when tools fail?**

- Retry once
- Return partial analysis with warning

#### **How to handle ambiguous queries?**

- Clarification question before tool execution

#### **Rate limiting and fallback strategies?**

- Cache portfolio snapshot per session
- Use last known market data with staleness notice

#### **Graceful degradation approach?**

- Structured partial responses instead of hard failure
-



## 12. Security Considerations

### Prompt injection prevention?

- Ignore tool schema overrides from user input
- Tool access controlled by system layer

### Data leakage risks?

- No persistent storage of portfolio data
- Session-only memory

### API key management?

- Environment variables
- Never exposed to model

### Audit logging requirements?

- Compliance rule triggers logged
  - Tool access history stored
- 

## 13. Testing Strategy

### Unit tests for tools?

Yes — API wrappers and financial calculations.

### Integration tests for agent flows?

Docker Ghostfolio + seeded portfolios.

### Adversarial testing approach?

- Attempts to bypass verification

- Requests for investment advice

#### **Regression testing setup?**

50+ eval dataset executed in CI.

---

## **14. Open Source Planning**

#### **What will you release?**

Ghostfolio financial agent evaluation dataset.

#### **Licensing considerations?**

MIT (no Ghostfolio source modification).

#### **Documentation requirements?**

- Setup guide
- Eval usage instructions
- Architecture overview

#### **Community engagement plan?**

- Publish dataset
  - Share demo and results
  - Enable reuse for other agents
- 

## **15. Deployment & Operations**

#### **Hosting approach?**

- Agent: FastAPI service
- Ghostfolio: Docker container

### **CI/CD for agent updates?**

- GitHub Actions
- Automated eval runs

### **Monitoring and alerting?**

- LangSmith traces
- Cost threshold alerts

### **Rollback strategy?**

- Container version pinning
  - Revert on eval regression
- 

## **16. Iteration Planning**

### **How will you collect user feedback?**

- Thumbs up/down per response
- Correction submission

### **Eval-driven improvement cycle?**

1. Detect failure in eval
2. Classify failure type
3. Improve tool / verification / prompt
4. Re-run CI

### **Feature prioritization approach?**

- Eval failure frequency
- User feedback volume

#### **Long-term maintenance plan?**

- Version-lock Ghostfolio API
  - Expand test dataset with real scenarios
  - Add multi-portfolio support
- 

## **Final Stack Summary**

Agent Framework: LangChain

LLM: GPT-5

Observability: LangSmith

Verification: Deterministic + rule-based + confidence scoring

Eval: 50+ automated test cases

Deployment: Docker + FastAPI