## On rectifiable spaces and its algebraical equivalents, topological algebraic systems and Mal'cev algebras (continuation)

## N. I. Sandu

This paper is a natural continuation of paper "On rectifiable spaces and its algebraical equivalents, topological algebraic systems and Mal'cev algebras" published in: arxiv.org/abs/1309.4572. Thus we justify the need to present the entire material in an unified manner. This paper is the continuation of Section 6 from the first paper. It specifies and corrects the roughest mistakes, incorrect statements and nonsense of the introduced concepts, which are available in numerous papers on topological algebraic systems, basically in papers of Academician Choban M. M. and his disciples.

Remark 4 for a topological algebraic system  $\mathcal{A}$  with defining space X defines the notion of free topology. Now, similarly to [70, pag. 182] we will show how this topology, irrespective of the topology given in  $\mathcal{A}$ , can be obtained from the topology of space X.

A set U of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  will be called  $X_0$ -open if for each polynomial f, in particular f(x) = x, and for each system  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of elements from X, for which

 $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in U$  there exist a neighborhood these elements in X that  $f(U_1, \ldots, U_n) \subseteq U$ . Obviously, the intersection of finite system and the reunion of arbitrary system of  $X_0$ -open sets is a  $X_0$ -open sets. Hence the  $X_0$ -open sets define a topology on  $\mathcal{A}$ , which we will call  $X_0$ -topology.

For transfinite index  $\lambda$  we define  $X_{\lambda}$ -topology by induction. In particular, let for some transfinite  $\lambda$  the topology  $X_{\lambda}$  be defined. A set U from  $\mathcal{A}$  will be called  $X_{\lambda+1}$ -open if for all basic operation  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and all  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  from  $\mathcal{A}$ , satisfying the condition  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in U$ , there are such  $X_{\lambda}$ -neighborhood  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  of points  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  that  $f(U_1, \ldots, U_n) \subseteq U$ . Further, if the topologies  $X_{\lambda}$  are defined for all  $\lambda$  less than the limit  $\alpha$ , then the set U will

called  $X_{\alpha}$ -open, if it is  $X_{\lambda}$ -open for all  $\lambda < \alpha$ .

The topologies  $X_{\lambda}$  decrease monotonically with the increase of  $\lambda$  and all of them contain every admissible topology of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. not changing the topologies X and making continuous the basic operations.

Literally repeating the corresponding judgments from [70, pag. 182] it is proved.

8a). Let  $\tau$  be the least transfinite, for which  $X_{\tau} = X_{\tau+1}$ . Then  $X_{\tau}$  is the sought topology of algebra A, free regarding to X.

According to item 1e) for topological algebraic systems ( $\Omega$ -algebras) we ignore the property of continuous signature and according to Proposition 1 we will consider that the basic operations of topological algebraic systems ( $\Omega$ -algebras) have a finite arity. For the analysis we quote the item  $1e_1$ ) (see [29]) in a modified version, i.e. we will consider that the basic operations of topological algebraic systems ( $\Omega$ -algebras) have a finite arity.

9a). Fix a class of topological systems  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Let's allocate the following properties, which can have the class  $\mathfrak{K}$ .

Condition 1m. Closed with respect to subsystems.

Condition 2m. Closed with respect to Tychonoff products.

Condition 3m. All objects from  $\mathfrak{K}$  satisfy a given set of topological, algebraical properties Q (the set Q can be  $\{\emptyset\}$  or the separation axioms  $T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3$ , or the requirement of a regularity, or the requirement of a completely regularity and others).

Condition 4m. If  $(G, \tau) \in \mathfrak{K}$ ,  $\tau_d$  is the discrete topology and  $(G, \tau_d)$  is a topological system of continuous signature, then  $(G, \tau_d) \in \mathfrak{K}$ .

Condition 5m. Closed with respect to continuous homomorphic images with property Q.

Condition 6m. If  $(G, \tau_1) \in \mathfrak{K}$  and with respect to topology  $\tau$  the pair  $(G, \tau)$  is a topological system with properties Q of continuous signature S, then  $(G, \tau) \in \mathfrak{K}$ .

- 9b). Definition 4. A class  $\mathcal{K}$  is called:
- 1. Topological Q-quasivariety, if the conditions 1m 4m are satisfied.
- 2. Topological Q-prequasivariety, if the conditions 1m 5m are satisfied.
- 3. Topological Q-variety, if the conditions 1m 6m are satisfied.
- 4. Topological complete Q-quasivariety, if the conditions 1m 4m and 6m are satisfied.
- 9c). Definition 5. A class  $\mathfrak K$  of algebraical systems of signature  $S=\Omega\cup P$  is called quasivariety, if

- 1. The class  $\mathfrak{K}$  is closed with respect to subsystems;
- 2. The class  $\Re$  is closed with respect to Cartesian product.  $1e_1$ ).
- 9d). Recall, that an algebraic system  $\mathcal{A}_e$  of signature  $\Omega$  is called unitary if it is from one element  $e \in \mathcal{A}$ , all its basic predicates have the value true and  $F(e,\ldots,e)=e$  for any basic operation  $F \in \Omega$ . For any signature  $\Omega$  there exists an unique to an accuracy of homeomorphism unitary system  $\mathcal{A}_e$ .
  - 9e). We consider the condition: 7m.  $\mathfrak{K}$  contains an unitary system  $\mathcal{A}_e$ .
- 9f). Obviously, condition 7m from item 9e) does not contradict conditions 1m-6m and does not influence the topologies of topological algebraic systems of class  $\mathfrak{K}$  from item 9a).
- 9g). The definition 5 from item 9c) is incorrect, does not correspond to classical definition of quasivariety from Proposition 3. To class  $\mathfrak{K}$  of topological algebraic systems with conditions 1m, 2m from item 9c) we add the condition 7m from item 9e). Then, by Lemma 1 the class  $\mathfrak{K}$  becomes an almost quasivariety and by Theorem 7  $\mathfrak{K}$  contains free topological algebraic systems  $\mathcal{F}_m$  of any given rank  $m \geq 1$ .
- 9h). Suppose that the almost quasivariety  $\mathfrak{K}$  from item 9g) meets the condition 4m from item 9a) and let  $\mathcal{F}_m$  be a free topological system of  $\mathfrak{K}$ . By condition 4m  $\mathfrak{K}$  contain free discrete algebraic systems  $(\mathcal{F}_m, \tau_d)$ . But by item  $10m_6$ ) such a topological free E-algebra F(X, K) of quasivariety of topological E-algebras with discrete space X do not exist.
- $9h_1$ ). In particular, a topological non-discrete free *E*-algebra F(X, K) does not exist if *K* is an almost quasivariety and *X* is a finite space since any topological finite space is discrete.
- 9i). From items 9h) and 9f) it follows that all definitions from item 9b) and item 9c) according to item 9g) are totally senseless. We mentioned that besides paper [29] this non-sense is investigated almost in almost all analyzed papers: [9], [25], [26], [28], [31], [32], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [46] [52], [54], [86].
- $9i_1$ ). All results from [33] are false, [87], which consider classes of topological algebras with conditions 1m 4m from item 9a).
- 10a). The paper [45] is published in ROMAI Journal and can be accessed freely on Internet. We will use it. [45] is the basis of dissertation [44], that is why let us analyze [45] in more details.
- $10a_1$ . [45] investigates the algebras with continuous signature (*E*-algebras), which are defined in items 1b) 1e). No property of continuous signature is considered in [45]. According to item 1e) this notion may be ignored. In

work [45] the notion of continuous signature is introduced only to confuse the reader.

- 10b). In "Introduction" it is mentioned that one of the main problem examined in the present article is the following. Let X be a subspace of the space Y, K be a class of topological algebras, F(X,K) and F(Y,K) be the free topological algebras of the spaces X and Y, respectively. Assume that  $X \subseteq F(X,K)$ ,  $Y \subseteq F(Y,K)$  and  $\varphi: F(X,K) \to F(Y,K)$  is the homomorphism for which  $\varphi(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . Under which conditions is  $\varphi$  an embedding?
- $10b_1$ ). According to Theorem 7, this question has a meaning if and only if the class K is an almost quasivariety.
- $10b_2$ ). From definition of free algebra given by defining space (item 10g)) and  $X \subseteq F(X,K)$ ,  $Y \subseteq F(Y,K)$  it follows that X,Y are a K-free generators of F(X,K) and F(Y,K) respectively. Then by Lemma 3 X,Y are a K-independent generators. According to " $\varphi(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ " we consider X as subset of Y. Then from the assertion after Corollary 13 it follows that  $\varphi(X)$  is a K-independent set. Again, by Lemma 3  $\varphi(X)$  generates a K-free system. Finally, from item 2) of Theorem 8 a positive answer to question 10b) follows.
- $10b_3$ ). The question from item 10b) is almost not considered at all in the analyzed paper. It is not clear why this question was stated in the "Introduction".

Now we present literally the excerpts 10c), 10d), 10e), 10f), 10g), 10h).

- 10c). A class K of E-algebras is called a quasivariety of E-algebras if the following properties hold:
  - 1Q. a Cartesian product of E-algebras from K is an E-algebra from K;
  - 2Q. if  $A \in K$  and B is a subalgebra of A, then  $B \in K$ .
- $10c_1$ ). The definition 10c) is not correct; it differs from the classical definition of quasivariety of algebraic systems, presented in Proposition 3.
- 10d). A class K of topological E-algebras is called a topological quasivariety of topological E-algebras if the following properties hold:
- 1TQ. the topological product of topological algebras from K is a topological E-algebra from K;
  - 2TQ. if  $A \in K$  and B is a closed subalgebra of A, then  $B \in K$ .
- $10d_1$ ). Recall ([70]) that an algebraic system for which the basic set of elements is a topological space and the basic operations are continuous is called topological algebraic system. Then from here it follows that the definition 10d) is not correct, as it contradicts the definition from item 10c). In the

condition of 2TQ, it is necessary to require that B be a subalgebra, but not a closed subalgebra.

- 10e). A topological quasivariety K of topological E-algebras is a complete topological quasivariety if the following property holds:
- 3TQ. If  $A \in K$  and a topological E-algebra B is isomorphic with A, then  $B \in K$ .
- $10e_1$ ). In the literature,, see, for example, [68, pag. 207], [47], any class of algebraic systems that satisfies the condition 3TM is called abstract. By [68, page 267] any quasivariety or variety of algebraic systems is an abstract class. Then any class of algebraic systems that satisfies any of the conditions  $V_1 V_3$  of Proposition 2 or  $Q_1 Q_4$  of Proposition 3 is abstract. Hence the notion introduced in item 10e) is without sense. The notion of complete quasivariety coincides with notion of quasivariety.
- 10f). If the signature E is discrete and K is a complete topological quasivariety, then K is a variety of E-algebras.
- $10f_1$ ). The assertion 10f) is false. is a complete non-sense. From item  $10a_1$  it follows that the discrete or non-discrete signature does not influence the topological and algebraical structure of topological quasivariety. Then from items  $10e_1$ ) and 10f) it follows that any topological quasivariety of topological E-algebras is a variety of E-algebras. It is not clear, is a variety of topological E-algebras or discrete E-algebras?

**Definition 3.1.** Let K be a class of topological E-algebras and X be a space.

- 10g). (3.1T). A couple  $(F(X, K), i_X)$  is called a topological free algebra of the space X in the class K if the following conditions hold:
  - (1)  $F(X,K) \in K$  and  $i_X: X \to F(X,K)$  is a continuous mapping;
  - (2) the set  $i_X(X)$  topologically generates F(X, K);
- (3) for each continuous mapping  $f: X \to G \in K$  there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\overline{f}: F(X,K) \to G$  such that  $f = \overline{f} \circ i_X$ . The homomorphism  $\overline{f}$  is called the homomorphism generated by f.
- $10g_1$ ). By Theorem 7, the topological free algebra of the space X in the class K exists if and only if the class K is an almost quasivariety of topological algebras. In such case the condition (2) if item 10g) has the the form: (2') the set  $i_X(X)$  algebraically generates F(X, K).
- 10h). (3.1A). A couple  $(F^a(X, K), j_X)$  is called an algebraically free algebra of the space X in the class K if the following conditions hold:
- (1)  $F^a(X, K)$  is a subalgebra of some topological algebra  $G(X) \in K$  and  $j_X : X \to F^a(X, K)$  is a mapping;

- (2) the set  $j_X(X)$  algebraically generates  $F^a(X, K)$ ;
- (3) for each mapping  $f: X \to G \in K$  there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\overline{f}: F^a(X,K) \to G$  such that  $f = \overline{f} \circ j_X$ .
- $10h_1$ ). It is known (see, for example [59, pag. 66]) that the notion of mapping of topological spaces is not a topological notion. One of the main and elementary notion of topological theory is the notion of continuous mapping. From conditions " $j_X: X \to F^a(X, K)$  is a mapping" and " $f: X \to G \in K$  is a mapping" it follows that the introduced notion of algebraically free algebra  $F^a(X, K)$  is not a topological notion. This situation may be corrected only by: a) considering that the mappings  $j_X$ , f are continuous; b) considering  $j_X$ , f as mappings and that X is a discrete space, as every mapping of discrete space is continuous [59, Example 1.4.2]. According to item  $10g_1$ ) the case a) is the definition 3.1T. The case b) is not possible, as discrete spaces do not meet the conditions  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  (see the assertions after conditions  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ).
- $10h_2$ ). Consequently, the introduced notion of algebraically free algebra from item 10h) is senseless and this notion cannot be used.
  - 10i). In [39], [29], [28] the following theorem was proved.
- $10i_1$ ). **Theorem 3.2.** Let K be a topological quasivariety of topological E-algebras and X be a non-empty space.
  - 1. The free object  $(F(X,K),i_X)$  exists and it is unique.
  - 2. The free object  $(F^a(X,K),j_X)$  exists and it is unique.
- 3. There exists a unique continuous homomorphism  $k_X: F^a(X,K) \to F(X,K)$  such that  $i_X = k_X \circ j_X$  and  $k_X(F^a(X,K))$  is a dense subalgebra of the algebra F(X,K).
- 4. If K is a quasivariety, then  $F^a(X, K) \in K$ ,  $k_X(F^a(X, K)) = F(X, K)$  and the set  $i_X(X)$  generates the algebra F(X, K).
- 5. If K is a non-trivial complete quasivariety (or a non-trivial quasivariety and an  $\omega$ -class), then:
- $i_X$  is an embedding of X into F(X, K) and  $k_X$  is a continuous isomorphism of  $F^a(X, K)$  onto F(X, K);
  - if X and E are  $k_{\omega}$ -spaces, then F(X,K) is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space, too.
- $10i_2$ ). Item 10i) contains a misleading statement. The journal where the work [29] was published is not indicated correctly. For this see item 1t).
- $10i_3$ ). The theorem from item  $10i_1$ ) is not proved at all in the papers mentioned in item 10i). For this see items 1j) 1l), and also item 2d).
- $10i_4$ ). The assertion 1 from item  $10i_1$ ) is not correct in such a form. The correct assertion can be found in Theorem 7.

- $10i_5$ ). The assertions 2-4 from item  $10i_1$ ) are senseless according to item  $10h_2$ ).
- $10i_6$ ). Assertion 4 and, according to item 10e), assertion 5 consider the quasivarieties, which by definition from item 10c) contains only (discrete) E-algebras. But these assertions consider a topological free algebra F(X, K), which is not correct.
- 10j). Section "2. PRELIMINARIES" introduces the notions of uniform signature, uniform E-algebra, uniform quasivariety of uniform E-algebras, complete uniform quasivariety,  $\omega$ -class similarly of notions of uniform signature, uniform E-algebra, uniform quasivariety of uniform E-algebras, complete uniform quasivariety,  $\omega$ -class respectively (only the word "continuous" is replaced by "uniform").
- $10j_1$ ). The class of uniformities spaces coincides with the class of completely regular spaces [59, Theorem 8.1.20]. Then from item  $10a_1$  (see, also, item 1e)) it follows that the notion of uniform signature should be ignored.
- $10j_2$ ). The introduced notions from item  $10j_1$ ) are investigates in sections 7 and 8. The content of this section is not Mathematics. To confirm the above-mentioned we will show some excerpts.
- $10j_3$ ) (pag. 67). If the signature E is discrete and K is a complete quasivariety of weakly uniform topological E-algebras, then K is a weakly uniform quasivariety.
- $10j_4$ ). (Proof of Theorem 7.8). Since K is a complete quasivariety, the homomorphism  $k_X: F^a(X,K) \to F(X,K)$  is a continuous isomorphism for any space X. Moreover, if K' is the variety generated by the class K, then F(X,K) = F(X,K') for any space X [39], [29], [28]. Thus we can suppose that K is a variety, i.e. there exists a family of identities  $\mathcal{J}$  such that K is the class of topological E-algebras with the identities. In this case  $(cG, \mathcal{T}(cW_G)) \in K$  for any  $G \in K$ ... The assertion 1 is proved. The assertion 2 follows from the assertion 1. The assertion 3 follows from [42]. The proof is complete.
- $10j_5$ ). This excerpt even contains a link to an non-existing paper  $10j_2$ ) (see also item  $10i_2$ )). In the first sentence of item  $10j_4$ ) the senseless assertion from item 10f) is used, as well as the senseless definition of  $F^a(X,K)$  from item 10h) (see and the item  $10h_2$ )). There is no need to further comment item  $10j_2$ ).
  - 10k). The reasoning from item  $10j_2$ ) is also valid for the other sections of

- the analyzed paper [45]. Let us make a short analysis.
- 10l). In section 4 the topological free algebra F(X, K) is investigated. Then from Theorem 7 we should the class K to almost quasivariety in item 9g). In such a case K contains free topological algebraic systems  $F_m$  of any given rank  $m \geq 1$  by Theorem 7.
- $10l_1$ ). We consider that K is an almost quasivariety of topological algebraic systems given by defining space and defining relations. Then from Definition 1 it follows that the condition "... and  $\alpha_G: G \to \alpha\beta_{\mathcal{P}}F(X,K)$  is an embedding ..." from Theorem 4.8 takes the shape of topological equality:  $G = \alpha\beta_{\mathcal{P}}F(X,K)$ .
- $10l_2$ ). From item 2) of Theorem 8 and definition of  $\alpha\beta_{\mathcal{P}}F(X,K)$  the topological equality  $F(X,K) = \alpha\beta_{\mathcal{P}}F(X,K)$  follows.
- $10l_3$ ). From item 8a) and definition of  $(\beta_{\mathcal{P}}, \beta_X)$  it follows that  $(\beta_{\mathcal{P}}, \beta_X)$  is the free topology regarding to space X.
- $10l_4$ ). The proof of Theorem 4.8 is senseless. Without going into details, compare the items  $10l_2$ ),  $10l_3$ ) and the expression from Proof: "The assertion 2 is proved. The assertion 3 follows from the assertion 2".
  - $10l_5$ ). The conditions of Corollary 4.9 is completely senseless.
- 10m). Now we present literally the excerpts  $10m_1$ )  $10m_5$ ) from Section 5.
- $10m_1$ ). Corollary 5.5. If X is a discrete space, then F(X, K) is a projective algebra in the class K.
- $10m_2$ ). Theorem 5.7. For a topological *E*-algebra  $G \in K$  the following assertions are equivalent:
  - 1. G is a projective algebra in the class K;
- 2. if X is a discrete space and  $|G| \leq |X|$ , then G is an  $\alpha$ -retract of the algebra F(X, K);
- 3. there exists a discrete space X such that G is an  $\alpha$ -retract of the algebra F(X,K).
- $10m_3$ ). **Proof** ... Since the class K is not trivial and X is discrete,  $i_X: X \to F(X, K)$  is an embedding ...
- $10m_4$ ). A topological quasivariety K is a Schreier class if for every discrete space X the subalgebras of F(X, K) are free in K.

From Theorem 5.7 it follows

- $10m_5$ ). Corollary 5.9. If K is a Schreier class, then only the free algebras F(X,K) of discrete spaces X are projective in K.
  - $10m_6$ ). Items  $10m_1$ )  $10m_5$ ) consider a topological free E-algebra

F(X, K) of quasivariety of topological E-algebras with discrete space X. But according to item  $10h_2$ ) such topological free E-algebra F(X, K) do not exist. Hence, the assertions of items  $10m_1$ ) –  $10m_5$ ), as well as all results from Section 5, are false and senseless. Moreover, the absurdity of item  $10m_3$ ) will be discussed bellow.

 $10m_7$ ). Let us show that a topological free *E*-algebra F(X, K) of quasivariety of topological *E*-algebras with discrete space *X* does not exist. Really, as in item 9g) let us transform the class *K* in almost quasivariety in the sense of Lemma 1. According to Theorem 7 only and only the almost quasivariety contain topological free algebras F(X, K). Then from Lemma 1 it follows that the space *X* should meet the conditions  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  from Section 2. After conditions  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  it is shown that the discrete spaces do not meet the conditions  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , they only generate such spaces.

Now we pass to Section 6, we quote.

10n). Let E be a continuous signature.

Fix a non-trivial quasivariety K of topological E-algebras. Suppose that  $i_X: X \to F(X, K)$  is an embedding

- $10n_1$ ). and  $k_X : F^a(X,K) \to F(X,K)$  is a continuous isomorphism for every space X. In this case we can consider that  $X = i_X(X)$  is a subspace of F(X,K) and a(X,F(X,K)) = F(X,K) for any space X.
- $10n_2$ ). Corollary 6.2. X is a closed subspace of the space F(X,K) for any space X.
- $10n_3$ ). The assertion from item  $10n_2$ ) is false. The space X should meet the conditions 1TQ, 2TQ from item 10d).
- $10n_4$ ). According to item  $10h_2$ ) the assumption from item  $10n_1$ ) senseless. Therefore the Proposition 6.1 and the Corollary 6.2 from item  $10n_3$ ) are also senseless.
- 100). The remaining part of Section 6, Proposition 6.3 and Corollaries 6.3, 6.4 consider the case when the signature E is discrete and K is a complete quasivariety. By item 10f) K is a variety in sense of Academician Choban. It is not clear where the properties of variety are used. But they use the senseless Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.2. Hence, this part of Section 6 is lacking any sense.
- 10p). On page 76 it is written that in Examples 12.1 12.8 some important classes K of universal algebras are mentioned. These examples are senseless. It is not even the case to comment them. We mention only that these examples contain the statement: the mapping  $i_X: X \to F(X, K)$  is an embedding for any space X (X is the defining space for topological free

- algebra F(X, K) of class K according to item 10g)). The last statement has a direct relation to question B) from section 2. Comments and the sufficiency condition for a positive answer to this question can be found in [70, Remark 2].
- 10q). For a short analysis of the remaining sections 9-13 we quote the item  $10q_1$ ) from [69] and the items  $10q_2$ ) and  $10q_3$ ) from [84].
- $10q_1$ ). A class K of topological E-algebras is a Mal'cev class if there exists a ternary derivate operation m such that m(x, x, y) = m(y, x, x) = y for any  $A \in K$  and any  $x, y \in A$ . In this case we can consider that  $m \in E_3$  and we say that m is a Mal'cev ternary operation.
- $10q_2$ ). A Mal'cev operation on a space X is a continuous function  $f: X^3 \to X$  such that f(x, y, y) = f(y, y, x) = x, for all  $x, y \in X$ . A topological space is called Mal'cev if it admits a Mal'cev operation.
  - $10q_3$ ). (Theorem 1.6). Let X be a pseudocompact Mal'cev space. Then:
  - (a) the Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta X$  is Dugundji;
  - (b) every Mal'cev operation on X extends to a Mal'cev operation on  $\beta X$
  - (c) X is a retract of a topological group.
- $10q_4$ ). ([93]). Let G be a pseudocompact topological group. Then the group operation on G extends to a continuous group operation on  $\beta G$  which makes  $\beta G$  into a topological group.
- $10q_5$ ). The definition and meaning of operation m from item  $10q_1$ ) is not clear. On the one side, m is a ternary derivate operation, and on the other side  $m \in E_3$  is a basic operation of topological E-algebra. A correct definition and meaning of Mal'cev operation are shown in Theorems 1, 3. The significant difference between the definitions from items  $10q_1$ ) and  $10q_2$ ) are described in details in item  $^{(8)}$ .
- $10q_6$ ). In sections 9 13 the authors are used a statement for topological E-algebras with nonempty set of basic operation, which if not wrong, than it least is not proved: they transfer theorem from item  $10q_3$ ) for topological spaces on topological E-algebras. This proves the assertions from items  $10q_3$ ) and  $10q_4$ ).
- $10q_7$ ). The unproved statement from item  $10q_6$ ) has the following form. Let G be a pseudocompact topological E-algebra. Then the basic operations on G extend to a continuous basic operations on  $\beta G$  which makes  $\beta G$  into a topological E-algebra.
- 10r). As proved partially above, we confirm again that sections 9-13 do not contain any mathematical assertion proven correctly. If Mal'cev algebra is considered in sense of item  $10q_2$ ), then well known assertions are listed

- (Theorem 9.1, Theorem 12.9, but item 3 is not clear.). In some other cases sections 9-13 contain senseless assertions. We confirm this only partially and quote literally Proposition 9.2 and Theorem 9.3.
- $10r_1$ ). **Proposition 9.2.** Let G be a pseudocompact Mal'cev E-algebra. If G is a dense subalgebra of a Mal'cev E-algebra A, then  $G \subseteq A \subseteq \beta G$ .
- $10r_2$ ). **Proof.** On  $\beta A$  and  $\beta G$  there exist the structures of Mal'cev algebras such that:
  - A is a subalgebra of the algebra  $\beta A$ ;
  - G is a subalgebra of the algebra  $\beta G$ ;
- $10r_3$ ). there exists a continuous homomorphism  $g: \beta G \to \beta A$  such that q(x) = x for any  $x \in G$ .

The homomorphism g as a quotient mapping of the compact Mal'cev algebra  $\beta G$  onto the Mal'cev algebra  $\beta A$  is an open mapping. Thus g is an isomorphism and  $\beta A = \beta G$ . The proof is complete.

- $10r_4$ ). **Theorem 9.3.** Let K be a topological quasivariety of compact E-algebras, K be a Mal'cev class, X be a subspace of the free E-algebra F(X, K) and B be a pseudocompact subalgebra of F(X, K) such that  $X \subseteq B$ . Then  $\beta B = F(X, K)$ .
- $10r_5$ ). **Proof.** By virtue of Proposition 9.2 we have  $B \subseteq F(X, K)$  and  $\beta B = \beta F(X, K) = F(X, K)$ . The proof is complete.
- $10r_6$ ). From items  $10q_6$ ) and  $10q_7$ ) it follows that the algebras  $\beta A$  and  $\beta G$  of item  $10r_2$ ) are Mal'cev in sense  $10q_2$ ) by item  $10q_4$ ).
- $10r_7$ ). We will not analyze the topological side of  $10r_3$ ). Without this we conclude that Proposition 9.2 is proved for Mal'cev algebras in sense of item  $10q_2$ ).
- $10r_8$ ). The equalities  $\beta B = \beta F(X, K) = F(X, K)$  from item  $10r_7$ ) are false. By item  $10r_7$ )  $\beta B$  is a Mal'cev algebra in sense of item  $10q_2$ ), but F(X, K) is a Mal'cev algebra in sense of item  $10q_1$ ).
- $10r_9$ ). According to item  $10r_8$ ) the Theorem 9.3 is false. The Proposition 9.2 and the Theorem 9.3 are a mechanical transformation of Pestov's result mentioned in [84, pag. 87]. The result is senseless.
- 10s). Similarly to item  $10r_9$ ) for the results of Section 9 it is possible to ascertain also all results of Sections 10 13. We present only three quote for confirmation.
- $10s_1$ ). **Theorem 11.2.** Let G be a pseudocompact Mal'cev E-algebra...
- **Proof.** From Reznicenko-Uspenskiy theorem [84] it follows that G is a subalgebra of the compact Mal'cev E-algebra  $\beta G$  ... According to items

- items  $10q_6$ ) and  $10q_7$ )  $\beta G$  is not a Mal'cev algebra in sense of item  $10q_1$ ).
- $10s_2$ ). Corollary 11.3. Let K be a topological variety of compact E-algebras . . . About what reliability of Corollary 11.3 there can be a speech if by item 10f) the authors not own elementary notion notion of variety of algebras.
- $10s_3$ ). Sections 9 13 contain referrals to paper [28]. We showed above that the paper [28] consists only of false assertions, that it is senseless.
- 10t). We confirm again that according to the above-mentioned, paper [45] is a non-sense presented on 30 journal pages.
- 11a). Paper [9] is written under the influence and in the manner of Academician Choban M. M. works. The main result (Theorem 1.10) is not correct, as false notions and results of Choban M. M. are used.
- $11a_1$ ). First, it is necessary to ignore the notions of continuous and discrete signature according to item 1e). The notion of Tychonoff product (Definition 1.3) is not clear. For the correct notion of Tychonoff (or direct) product of topological algebras see, for example, [59, Proposition 2.3.1] (or [70, pag. 174]). Further, we quote the items  $11a_2$ )  $11a_3$ ).
- 11a<sub>2</sub>). **Definition 1.5.** A class K of topological E-algebras is called a complete quasivariety if
- (1) for each topological E-algebra  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , each E-subalgebra of X belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}$ ;
- (2) for any topological *E*-algebras  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , their Tychonov product  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}$ ;
- (3) a Tychonov E-algebra belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$  if it is algebraically isomorphic to a topological E-algebra  $Y \in \mathcal{K}$ .
- $11a_3$ ). The definition of item  $11a_2$ ) is false, such a nonempty classes of complete quasivarieties does not exist. Really, assume that by item  $11a_1$ ) the notion of Tychonoff product is defined correctly. Similarly to items 9d) 9g) we transform by Lemma 1 the class  $\mathcal{K}$  from item  $11a_2$ ) into an almost quasivariety of topological algebras with given defining space X with condition (3). The space X should satisfy the conditions  $K_1$ ),  $K_2$ ) from Section 2. But the Tychonoff spaces from condition (3) do not satisfy the conditions  $K_1$ ),  $K_2$ ). Hence the condition (3) it without sense.
- 11b<sub>1</sub>). **Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a complete quasivariety of topological E-algebras. A free topological E-algebra in  $\mathcal{K}$  over a topological space X is a pair  $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$  consisting of a topological E-algebra  $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \in \mathcal{K}$  and a continuous map  $\eta: X \to F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  such that for any continuous map f:

- $X \to Y$  to a topological E-algebra  $Y \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  there is a unique continuous E-homomorphism  $h: F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \to Y$  such that  $f = h \circ \eta$ .
- $11b_2$ ). The definition of free topological E-algebra from item  $11b_1$ ) is not complete, not coinciding with corresponding definitions from [70], [29], [54], [31], [32], [45]: see Definition 1 from Section 2, items 1h), 2b),  $4d_3$ ), 6d). In Definition 1.6 it is necessary to add the condition: the set  $\eta(X)$  topologically (algebraically) generate  $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$ . But then we obtain a contradiction with the non-senses from Theorem 1.7.
- $11c_1$ ). The construction ( $F_K(X)$ ) of a free topological E-algebra has been intensively studied by M.M.Choban [31], [32].
- $11c_2$ ). Item  $11c_1$ ) contains the most severe error of the analyzed paper [84]. We showed earlier (items  $4e_4$ ), 6e)) that the Choban's works [31], [32] consist only of erroneous, senseless statements and introduced notions, these works are anti-scientific.
- $11c_3$ ). In particular, he proved that for each complete quasivariety KK of topological E-algebras and any topological space X a free topological E-algebra  $(F_K(X), \eta)$  exists and is unique up to a topological isomorphism.
- $11c_4$ ). In works [31], [32] the statement from item  $11c_3$ ) is not proven, see the analyze of these papers.
  - $11d_1$ ). Also he proved the following important result, see [31, 2.4]:
- 11 $d_2$ ). **Theorem 1.7** (Choban). If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a non-trivial complete quasivariety of topological E-algebras, then for each Tychonov space X the canonical map  $\eta: X \to F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is a topological embedding and  $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  coincides with the subalgebra  $\langle \eta(X) \rangle$  generated by the image  $\eta(X)$  of X in  $F(X, \mathcal{K})$ .
- $11d_3$ ). The Theorem 1.7 from item  $11d_2$ ) is false, is a complete non-sense. In item  $11d_1$ ) it is mentioned that this is Theorem 2.4 from [31]. In [31] it is mentioned that it is proved in [29] and [54]. According to items 11), 2d) such a statement in [29] and [54] is not proved, see the analysis of these papers.
- $11d_4$ ). Since  $\eta: X \to (F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$  is a topological embedding, we can identify a Tychonov space X with its image  $\eta(X)$  in  $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$  and say that the free E-algebra  $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$  is algebraically generated by X.
- $11d_5$ ). The corollary of Theorem 1.7 from item  $11d_4$ ) is completely false and is essentially used to define the function  $F_K$ .
- 11e). The Theorem 1.8 is the Theorem 4.1.2 from [32] and is a complete non-sense as Theorem 1.7.
- 11f). The complete set of Choban's non-senses from items  $11a_1$ ),  $11a_2$ ),  $11b_1$ ),  $11c_3$ ),  $11d_2$ ),  $11d_4$ ),  $11d_5$ ) are used essentially to prove the main result of Theorem 1.10. As corollary the Theorem 1.10 and its proof is a complete

## non-sense.

- 11g). The correct variant of Theorem 1.10 would be the following. It is necessary to analyze an almost quasivariety of topological algebras of given signature instead of a complete quasivariety of topological E-algebras of contable discrete signature E K from Theorem 1.10 according to Theorem 7 from present paper and describe the submetrizable (compactly finite-dimensional  $ANR(k_{\omega})$  spaces that satisfy the conditions  $K_1$ ) and  $K_2$ ).
- 12a). Paper [46], like paper [45], is published in ROMAI Journal and like [45] have the same scientific importance (see the item 10t): it is a non-sense, presented on 25 pages.
- $12a_1$ ). Paper [46] investigates the topological algebras with continuous signature, in short E-algebras. For the definition of E-algebra see item 1b). According to item 1e) the notion of continuous signature should be ignored.
- $12a_2$ ). In the beginning of this paper it is mentioned that the paper discusses some old and new results and open problems. We will show below that the even the well known results are presented incorrectly. Moreover, what open problems they are referring to, if the authors are not aware of the basic notions: polynomial, term, identity, variety, quasivariety, topological algebra, free topological algebra and others. To support the above mentioned, we quote the items 12b, 12c, 12d, 12e, 12g.
  - 12b). Let G be a topological E-algebra.

The polynomials are constructed in the following way:

- E are polynomials;
- if  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u \in E_n$ ,  $p_i$  is an  $m_i$ -ary polynomial, then  $p = u(p_11, ..., p_n)$  is an m-ary polynomial, where  $m = m_11 + m_22 + ... + m_n$  and  $p(x_1, ..., x_m) = u(p_1(x_1, ..., x_{m1}), ..., p_n(x_{m_{n-1}+1}, ..., x_m))$ .
- $12b_1$ ). The definition of polynomial from item 12b) is false.  $E = \bigcup E_n$  is the set of symbols of n-ary basic operations and if n > 1  $E_n$  cannot be a polynomial. Correct: see, for example, [69], [70] or "Preliminaries. Topological algebraic systems, quasivarieties" Section.
- 12c). Let  $n \geq m \geq 1$ , p be an n-ary polynomial and  $q: \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., m\}$  be a mapping. Then  $v(x_1, ..., x_m) = p(x_{q(1)}, x_{q(2)}, ..., x_{q(n)})$  is an m-ary term. The polynomials are terms too. If u is an n-ary term and v is an m-ary term, then  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) = v(y_1, ..., y_m)$  is an identity on E-algebras.
- $12c_1$ ). The definition of term from item 12c) is completely senseless. Correct: see, for example, [68, pag. 141] or "Preliminaries. Topological algebraic systems, quasivarieties" Section.

- $12c_2$ ). The definition of identity on *E*-algebras from item 12c) is completely senseless. Correct: see, for example, [68, pag. 189, 268, 276] or Proposition 5 from Section 2.
  - 12d). A class K of topological E-algebra is called a  $T_i$ -quasivariety if:
  - any algebra  $G \in \mathcal{K}$  is a  $T_i$ -space,
  - if  $G \in \mathcal{K}$  and B is a subalgebra of G, then  $B \in \mathcal{K}$ ,
- the topological product of algebras from  $\mathcal K$  is a topological algebra from  $\mathcal K$ ,
- if  $(G, \mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'$  is a  $T_i$ -topology on G and  $(G, \mathcal{T}')$  is a topological E-algebra, then  $(G, \mathcal{T}') \in \mathcal{K}$ .
- $12d_1$ ). An algebraic system for which the basic set of elements is a topological space and the basic operations are continuous is called topological algebraic system ([70]). Every mapping of discrete space is continuous [59, Example 1.4.2].
- $12d_2$ ). According to item  $12d_1$ ) we can consider that the topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  from the last condition of item 12d) is the discrete topology. In such a case the definition of  $T_i$ -quasivariety from item 12d) coincides with the definition of topological Q-quasivariety from item 9b). By item 9i) the definition of topological Q-quasivariety from item 9b) is a non-sense. Hence, we conclude.
- $12d_3$ ). The definition of  $T_i$ -quasivariety from item 12d) is false and senseless.
- 12e). If O is a set of identities and  $V(E, \Omega, i)$  is the class of all topological E-algebras with identities  $\Phi$ , which are  $T_i$ -spaces, then  $V(E, \Omega, i)$  is a  $T_i$ -variety. Any  $T_i$ -variety is a  $T_i$ -quasivariety.
- $12e_1$ ). How can the definition of  $T_i$ -variety from item 12e) can be accurate if according to item  $12c_2$ ) the authors do not know the definition of identity. This confirms also the proposition from item 12e).
- 12f). The main topological structure in all assertions from Sections 4, 5, 7, 9 are the  $T_i$ -quasivarieties and their topological free algebras. Then from items  $12d_3$ ) and  $12e_1$ ) it follows that all assertions from Section 4 are false. According to items 9d) 9g) we transform by Lemma 1 the  $T_i$ -quasivarieties from item 12d) into an almost quasivarieties of topological algebras with given defining space X. In such a case, by Theorem 7 there exist topological free algebras. Even with such transformations the proofs of theorems are false. The proofs use free topological algebras with defining discrete space  $X_d$ . By item  $12d_2$ ) this is not allowed.
- 12g). The necessity and sense of defined notions is not clear: S -semitopological E-algebra, separately continuous, P-paratopological E-algebra,

- (P, S)-quasitopological E-algebra (pag. 9), left (right) topological group (pag. 11), S-simple E-algebra (pag. 12), topological T-groupoid (pag. 14), a classes  $V(E, \varphi)$ ,  $V(E, u\varphi)$ ,  $V(E, \Pi)$ , primitive solution (pag. 17), topological bigroupoid, (pag. 18), topological E-automaton (pag. 19).
- 12h). All assertions from the analyzed work, related with the introduced notions from item 12g) are false or trivial. A sufficient condition for Problem 5.2 is [77, Proposition IX.1.6]. The author of this paper is aware of the researches into T-quasigroups (linear quasigroups). However, Section 5 contains only some unsuccessful definitions of T-groupoids. Also compare with [77, Proposition IX.1.2].
- 12i). Many false results: Theorems 4.1 4.3, 7.2 and others are quoted from other works: [25], [28], [37], [86], [88], [89], [90]. Paper [90] is not published in the indicated journal.
- 12j). Section 2 contains a review of the researches into Mal'ces algebras and related notions of homogeneous algebras, of biternary Mal'cev algebras, of rectifications, of retracts belonging mainly to Choban M. M.: [27], [28], [4], [5], [45], as well as [86], [81], [82], [84].
- $12j_1$ ). All assertion regarding Mal'cev algebras, mentioned in item 12j), are false. These details are indicated when analyzing works [27], [28], [4], [5], [45]. The most severe mistake: the definition of Mal'cev algebra does not coincide with notion of Mal'cev algebra from [69]. This is shown in items <sup>(8)</sup>,  $10q_1$ ),  $10q_2$ ),  $10q_5$ ).
- 13a). The notion of free universal algebra ( $\Omega$ -algebra) is classical. Practically any textbook about algebra contains a theory of such notion, see, for example, [68], [65], [47], [77].
- $13a_1$ ). Let us consider an universal algebra with operations  $\Omega$  and let  $X = \{x, y, \ldots\}$  to be an auxiliary nonempty set, whose elements will be called free elements. Let us assign some symbols to all nullary operations from  $\Omega$ , if any, which will be symbols of nullary operations. We denote them by  $0_1, \ldots, 0_r$ .
- $13a_2$ ). Let us define the notion of word. Words are all the free elements and all symbols of nullary operations. If the expressions  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  are words, then for every n=ary operation  $\omega \in \Omega$ , where  $n \geq 1$ , the formal expression  $\omega(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)$  is also regarded as a word. The set of all possible words with respect to set of operations  $\Omega$  and the set of all free elements X form the algebra of words  $S(\Omega, X)$ .
  - 13a<sub>3</sub>). We will say that in universal algebra G with set of operations  $\Omega$

an identity (identical relation)  $w_1 = w_2$  is realized, if this equality holds in G when replacing the free elements from  $w_1, w_2$  by arbitrary elements from G. The symbols of nullary operations  $0_1, \ldots, 0_r$  are replaced by these elements from G, which mean in G the nullary operations.

 $13a_4$ ). Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of identical relations of form  $w_1 = w_2$  from item  $13a_3$ ). The words  $v', v'' \in S(\Omega, X)$  are called equivalent with respect to  $\Lambda$  if one can be transformed into the other by a finite sequence of transformations of form: let  $\Lambda$  contain the identical relation  $w_1 = w_2$ ; let as replace the free elements contained into it  $x_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., k, by some words, after which the left and right parts of  $w_1 = w_2$  are transformed in words  $\overline{w_1}$  and  $\overline{w_2}$ ; if  $\overline{w_1}$  (or  $\overline{w_2}$ ) is a subword of word v', then it is replaced in v' on  $\overline{w_2}$  (or respectively on  $\overline{w_1}$ ).

 $13a_5$ ). The equivalence from item  $13a_3$ ) is a congruence  $\sim$  of algebra of words  $S(\Omega, X)$ . The quotient algebra  $S(\Omega, X)/\sim$  is a free  $\Omega$ -algebra with free generators X of class of  $\Omega$ -algebras with defining relations  $\Lambda$ . In effect, for  $S(\Omega, X)/\sim$  the set of free generators is not X, but the set of classes of congruence  $\sim$ .

 $13a_6$ ). When performing calculations in free groups, free loops, free commutative quasigroups and loops, free TS-quasigroups and loops, free Steiner quasigroups, free commutative IP-loops and many other related classes, no harm results if we treat the words as the actual elements rather than representatives of congruence classes. Such calculations are greatly facilitated by the following ideas. We define a word to be in normal form or to be a reduced word if no reductions of it is possible. In fact, we can say much more. Any word has a unique reduced form and two words are congruent if and only if they have the same reduced form [77].

13b). Now we pass to the analysis of monograph [25]. We shall note that citeChir1 literally is party of dissertation [26], the numbering of chapters differs only.

 $13b_1$ ). Similarly, [9] the monograph [25] is written under influence and in the manner of Academician Choban M. M. As it uses false notions and results of Choban M. M., similarly with [9], all introduced notions and the stated results from [25] are not correct, are without sense. For the introduced notions of term, polynomial, identity see the items 12b), 12c). Moreover, it introduced the senseless: let  $\omega_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \omega_2(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$  be an identity. If  $y_1 \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , then  $y_1$  is called a free variable of the identity  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ .

 $13b_2$ ). Monograph [25] introduces and investigates a senseless definition that in [46]: see the items  $12a_1$ ), 12b), 12c). Now we present literally the

- items 13c),  $13c_1$ ).
- 13c). **Definition 1.2.6.** A class K of topological E-algebras which are also  $T_i$ -spaces is called a complete  $T_i$ -variety if the following conditions are fulfilled:
  - (M1). K is closed with respect to Tychonoff products.
  - (M2). K is closed with respect to subalgebras.
- (M3). If  $(G; \tau) \in K$  and  $(G; \tau')$  is a topological E-algebra and also a  $T_i$ -space, then  $(G; \tau') \in K$ .
- (M4). If  $((G;\tau) \in K \text{ and } (G';\tau') \text{ is a topological } E\text{-algebra}$ ,  $T_i\text{-space and}$  there exists a continuous homomorphism  $f:G \to G'$ , then  $(G';\tau') \in K$ .
- $13c_1$ ). A class K of topological E-algebras is called:  $T_i$ -quasivariety if conditions M1, M2 hold;  $T_i$ -variety if conditions M1, M2, M4 hold; complete  $T_i$ -quasivariety if conditions M1 M3 hold.
- $13c_2$ ). According to item 9i) the introduced notions from 13c),  $13c_1$ ) are senseless.
- $13c_3$ ). Similarly to items 10g), 10h) for  $T_i$ -quasivarieties K the notions of topological free algebra F(X,K) and algebraically free algebra  $F^a(X,K)$  are introduced, the false assertions from items 10i),  $10i_1$ )  $10i_6$ ) are presented.
- $13c_4$ ). The false definitions and assertions from items  $13b_1$ ), 13c),  $13c_1$ ),  $13c_3$ ) are basic for more than half of the analyzed monographs.
- 13d). The above-mentioned notions and results are part of Chapter 1. We pass to Chapter 2. Literally we quote the items  $13d_1$ )  $13d_4$ ).
- $13d_1$ ). **Definition 2.1.1.** Let E be a continuous signature. The class K of E-algebras is called a Mal'cev class if there exists a polynomial p(x, y, z) such that the equations p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x hold identically in K.
- $13d_2$ ). Let K be a Mal'cev class and a complete  $T_i$ -variety,  $i \geq 0$  ... Let X be a Tychonoff space and F(X, K) be a free topological E-algebra of the space X in a given class K.
- 13d<sub>3</sub>). We consider that  $X \subset F(X,K) = G$ . Furthermore, we set  $F_0((X,K) = X, F_1(X,K) = \sum \{e_{iG}(E_i \times (F_0((X,K))^i : i \leq 3)\}$  and for any  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$F_m(X,K) = \bigcup \{e_{iG}(E_i \times (F_{m-1}(X,K))^i : i \le m+2\}.$$

- 13d<sub>4</sub>). It is clear that  $F_m(X,K) \subset F_{m+1}(X,K)$  for every  $m \in N$  and  $F(X,K) = \bigcup \{F_m(X,K) : m \in N\}.$
- $13d_5$ ). From items 12b). it follows that  $p \in E_3$  for polynomial p of item  $13d_1$ ). In such a case there is not relation between ternary operation p and other basic operations from E. For this see item  $10q_5$ ). Further, instead

- of "...the equations p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x..." one should read "...the equalities p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x..."
- $13d_6$ ). According to item  $13c_2$ ) the assertion from item  $13d_2$ ) is false. Complete  $T_i$ -varieties do not exist.
- $13d_7$ ). Item  $13d_4$ ) is unclear: how come F(X,K) is a free algebra. For that see item  $13a_4$ ). We suppose that F(X,K) is a free algebra. Then from  $F(X,K) = \bigcup \{F_m(X,K) : m \in N\}$  it follows that every element of F(X,K) has a reduced form. Then this again contradicts the item  $13a_4$ ).
- $13d_8$ ). All proofs of Chapter 2 are based on assertion of form. Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n+1} \in X$ . Then  $p_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n+1} \notin F_m(X, K))$ . According to item  $13d_6$ ) the last statement contradicts item  $13a_5$ ).
  - $13d_9$ ). From item  $13d_7$ ) it follows that all results of Chapter 2 are false.
- 13e). Chapter 3 investigates a topological non-discrete free algebra F(X, K) of quasivariety K in sense of item  $13c_1$ ) with defining finite space X. In such a form all results are false.

According to item 9g) let us transform K into an almost quasivariety. Then by Theorem 7 a free algebra F(X, K) exists. Since X is a finite space then by item  $9h_1$ ) a non-discrete free algebra F(X, K) does not exist.

- $13e_1$ ). This confirmed again that all results of Chapter 3 are false, are senseless.
  - 13f). We pass to Chapter 4. Literally we quote the items  $13f_1$ )  $13f_6$ ).
- $13f_1$ ). Let  $E = \bigcup \{E_n : n \in N_1 = \{0,1\}\}$ . The set E consists of the nullary operations, and  $E_1$  is the set of one-ary operations . . . Fix a complete  $T_i$ -variety K of one-ary topological E-algebras.
- $13f_2$ ). Let P be the set of all polynomials . . . It is clear that P consists of all null-ary polynomials  $P_0$  and one-ary polynomials  $P_1$  (i.e.  $P = P_0 \cup P_1$ ). If  $p \in P_0$ , then for every  $G \in K$ , p determines the element  $e_p$ .
- 13 $f_3$ ). **Definition 4.1.1.** Two polynomials p and q are equivalent,  $p \sim q$ , if:
- 1.p(x)=g(x) is an identity, for  $p,q\in P$ . 2.  $p(x)=e_q$  is an identity, for  $p\in P_1,\ q\in P_0$ .
  - 3.  $e_p = e_q$  is an identity, for  $p, q \in P_0$ .
- $13f_4$ ). Obviously, the relation  $p \sim q$  is an equivalence relation on P. Fix  $Q \subset P$  such that Q contains only one element in every class of equivalence. Let  $e \in Q$ , where e(x) = x for all  $x \in G \in K$ . If F(X, K) is one-ary free algebra and  $X \subset F(X, K)$ , then  $F(X, K) = \bigcup \{p(X) : p \in Q\} = \bigcup \{p(X) : p \in P\}$ .

- $13f_5$ ). Fix a discrete signature  $E = E_0 \cup E_1$ . Let K be a complete  $T_i$ -variety of topological E-algebras, where  $-1 \le i \le 3, 5$ .
- **Theorem 4.2.1.** a) There are such discrete spaces  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  so that for each  $T_i$ -space X the topological spaces of F(X, K) and  $D_0 \oplus (X \times D_1)$  are homeomorphic.
- **b** If X is paracompact, or weakly paracompact, or metrizable, or k-space, then F(X, K) is of the same type.
  - $\mathbf{c} \dim X = \dim F(X, K), \text{ ind } X = \operatorname{ind}F(X, K), \operatorname{Ind}X = \operatorname{Ind} F(X, K).$
- $13f_6$ ). Proof. Let  $Q \subseteq P(E)$  be as in the Section 4.1 ... The proof is complete.
- $13f_7$ ). **Theorem 4.2.2.** If F(X,K) and F(Y,K) are free topologically one-ary algebras of the spaces X and Y in the class K, then the following statements are equivalent:
  - 1. X and Y are homeomorphic.
  - F(X,K) and F(Y,K) are topologically isomorphic.
- $13f_8$ ). For item  $13f_1$ ) see the item  $13c_2$ ), i.e. a complete  $T_i$ -variety of algebras not exist.
- $13f_9$ ). The definition of polynomial see the item  $4c_4$ ). By item  $13f_1$ ) K is a complete variety of one-ary algebras. Hence  $E=E_1$  and  $E_0=\{\emptyset\}$ . By items  $13f_2$ ),  $13f_3$ )  $P=P_0\cup P_1$ ) and  $P_0\neq\{\emptyset\}$ . If  $p\in P_0$  then from item  $13f_2$ ) it follows that p determines the element  $e_p$  in every  $G\in K$ . Hence  $p\in E_0$ . Contradiction. Hence  $P_0=\{\emptyset\}$ . In such case the conditions 2, 3 from  $13f_3$ ) are without sense.
- $13f_{10}$ ). The assertions from item  $13f_4$ ) are also absolutely senseless. It seems that the author of monograph [25] does not even know the definition of equivalence relation on set. For more information regarding the absurdity of assertions from item  $13f_4$ ) see the items  $13a_1$ )  $13a_6$ ). The senseless of items  $13f_3$ ) and  $13f_4$ ) also follows from the following item.
- 13 $f_{11}$ ). According to items 13 $f_8$ ), 13 $f_9$ ) we correct items 13 $f_1$ ), 13 $f_3$ ). We present [68, pag. 348 356]. We consider the signature  $\Omega = \{f_i | i \in I\}$ , where  $f_i$  is a symbol of one-ary operation, i.e.  $\Omega = \Omega_1$ . We denote by  $V = \{v_i | i \in I\}$  a set of defining symbols in class of semigroups. Let K be the variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras (in sense of Proposition 2) defined by identities  $f_{i\lambda_1} \dots f_{i\lambda_{s\lambda}}(x) = f_{j\lambda_1} \dots f_{j\lambda_{t\lambda}}(x)$  ( $f_i, f_j \in \Omega$ ), and let  $\overline{K}$  be the variety of semigroups (without unity) defined by relations  $v_{i\lambda_1} \dots v_{i\lambda_{s\lambda}} = v_{j\lambda_1} \dots v_{j\lambda_{t\lambda}}$ .
- By [68, Theorem 1] an identity  $f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_s}(x) = f_{j_1} \dots f_{j_t}(x)$  is valid in variety K if and only if the corresponding identity  $v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_s} = v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_t}$  is valid in variety  $\overline{K}$ .

- $13f_{12}$ ). The proofs of theorems from items  $13f_5$ ) and  $13f_7$ ) are false. They use the false assertions from items  $13f_3$ )  $13f_5$ ) (see item  $13f_6$ )). Moreover, it ignores the condition  $X \subset F(X, K)$  from item  $13f_4$ ).
- $13f_{13}$ ). According to the afore-mentioned we find that all results from Chapter 4 are false, the Chapter 4 is a complete senseless.

Now let us present the beginning of Chapter 5.

- 13g). In this section we examine the solvability of equations over universal and free universal algebras. We also present methods of constructing some free objects. The construction of a free object in the class V ('E) and the results from section 1.3.5 were also obtained by M.M. Choban using other techniques [92]. The main result establishes that each of the equations ax = b and ya = b over a free primitive gruppoid has no more than two solutions. The results of this section were published in [97].
- $13g_1$ ). All results from Chapter 5 and work [92] are totally false, are senseless.
- $13g_2$ ). Esteemed authors, please compare you researches with items  $13a_1$ )  $13a_5$ ), compare with Proposition 13, compare with [77, Chapter1], where the construction of free groupoids with division, of free quasigroups is described in detail.
- 13h). We pass to the brief analysis of Chapter 7, whose results are published in [?]. We ascertain that all results from Chapter 7 are totally false, are senseless. We confirm it.
- $13h_1$ ). **Theorem 7.2.2** The free groupoid with division  $\Gamma(X)$  is a quasi-group.
- $13h_2$ ). In  $13h_1$ )  $\Gamma(X)$  is defined as a groupoid for which the equations ax = b and ya = b ( $\forall a, b \in \Gamma(X)$ ) have solutions. In such a case  $\Gamma(X)$  is not an universal algebra.
- $13h_3$ ). From item  $13h_2$ ) it follows that the Theorem 7.2.2 is false. From here it follows that the Definitions 5.5.3 and 7.2.1 of M. Choban, the Theorem 5.5.5 and 7.2.4, the Corollary 7.2.3 are false.
  - 13i). Let us analyze Chapter 8. We quote items  $13i_1$ ,  $13i_4$ ,  $13i_7$ ,  $13i_8$ ).
- 13 $i_1$ ). A  $T_2$ -space X is called a k-space if the subset  $F \subset X$  is closed in X iff  $F \cap \Phi$  is compact for each compact  $\Phi \subset X$  (pag. 22).
- $13i_2$ ). The definition of  $T_i$ -quasivariety of k-E-algebras follows from item 9c) if instead of topological E-algebras we consider a topological k-E-algebras (for comments see item 9g).)
- $13i_3$ ). The definitions of complete  $T_i$ -quasivariety from Chapter 1 (item  $13c_1$ )) and Chapter 8 are totally different.

- $13i_4$ ). A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  of a space X into a space Y is called a k-continuous mapping if for every compact subset  $\Phi \subseteq X$  the restriction  $f|\Phi: \Phi \to Y$  is continuous (pag. 103).
- $13i_5$ ). For a  $T_i$ -quasivariety of k-E-algebras V The definitions of free algebra  $(F^a(X,V),a_X)$ , of k-free algebra  $(F^k(X,V),q_X)$ , of t-free algebra  $(F(X,V),t_X)$  of the space X follow from items 10g) and 10h) or 6c), if instead of continuous mappings we consider k-continuous mappings (for comments see items  $10g_1$ ),  $10h_1$ ),  $10h_2$ ).)
- $13i_6$ ). For the definitions from items  $13i_2$ ),  $13i_5$ ) it is necessary that the signature E of E-algebras be a  $T_i$ -space, i.e. for the E to be a topological space. According to item 1e) this requirement is a fiction.
- 13 $i_7$ ). **Theorem 8.3.4.** Let V be a  $T_i$ -quasivariety of k-E-algebras. Then for every non-empty space X there exist:
  - 1. the unique free E-algebra  $(F^a(X; V); a_X)$ ;
  - 2, the unique k-free E-algebra  $(F^k(X;V);q_X)$ ;
  - 3. the unique t-free E-algebra  $(F(X; V; t_X);$
- 4. the unique continuous homomorphisms  $b_X : F^a(X; V) \to F^k(X; V)$ ,  $c_X : F^a(X; V) \to F(X; V)$  and  $l_X : F^k(X; V) \to F(X; V)$  such that  $q_X = b_X \circ a_X$  and  $t_X = c_X \circ a_X = l_X \circ q_X$ .
- $13i_8$ ). Proof. Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\tau \geq |X| + |E|$ . Consider that  $\{f_\alpha : X \to G_\alpha \in V : \alpha \in A\}$  is the set of all mappings for which  $|G_\alpha| \leq \tau$ . Let  $C = \{\alpha \in A : f_\alpha : f_\alpha : X \to G_\alpha$  is continuous\} and  $D = \{\alpha \in A : f_\alpha : f_\alpha : X \to G_\alpha$  is k-continuous\}. Fix a subset  $B \subseteq A$ . Consider the diagonal product  $f_B : X \to G_B = \prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$ , where  $f_B(x) = \{f + \alpha(x) : \alpha \in B\}$ . Let F(X; B) be the subalgebra of  $G_B$  generated by the set  $g_B(X)$ . Consider the projection  $g_\alpha : F(X, B) \to G_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in B$ .

If  $\alpha \in B$ , then  $f_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha} \circ f_{B}$ . Fix a mapping  $f: X \to G \in V$ .

Put G' = a(E; f(X)). Then  $|G'| \le \tau$  and  $f = f_{\alpha}$ ,  $G' = G_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ .

- If  $\alpha \in B$ , then  $f = g_{\alpha} \circ f_{B}$  and  $g_{\alpha} : F(X;B) \to G$  is a continuous homomorphism. Hence  $(F^{a}(X;V);a_{X}) = (F(X;A);f_{A}), (F^{k}(X;V);q_{X}) = (F(X;D);f_{D})$  and  $(F(X;V);t_{X}) = (F(X;C);f_{C})$ . The assertions are proved.
- $13i_9$ ). The proof from item  $13i_8$ ) almost literally repeats the proof from item 6d, i.e. from [32]. See also the item 2c). The proof from item  $13i_8$ ) is false, is completely senseless like the proof from item 6d. Foe comments see item  $6d_1$ ).

All results from Chapter 8 use essentially Theorem 8.3.4 from item  $13i_7$ ).

- Hence all results from Chapter 8 are not proved, more specific, are senseless. This is also proved by item  $13i_{10}$ ).
- $13i_{10}$ ). In sections 8.4 8.6, 8.8, 8.10 it is necessary that signature E of E-algebras be a  $k_{\infty}$ -signature, i.e. that E be a topological space. According to item 1e) this requirement is a fiction (see also item  $13i_6$ )).
- 13j). Let us analyze Chapter 6, where an uniform structures is applied to study the free topological algebras. For the theory of uniform spaces see, for example, [59, Chapter 8]. Chapter 6 investigates the notions from items  $13j_1) 13j_5$ ,  $13j_{11}$   $13j_{12}$ . We state them.
- $13j_1$ ). **Definition 6.5.1** A class K of uniform E-algebras presents a full variety, provided that:
- 1. the class K is closed under Cartesian products and under taking subalgebras;
- 2. the class K is closed under passing to uniformly continuous homomorphic images;
- 3. if  $\mu A \in K$  and the algebra A is uniform with respect to a uniformity  $\eta$ , then  $\eta A \in K$ .
- $13j_2$ ). **Definition 6.6.3** A class K of T-uniformizable algebras is called a full T-uniformizable variety, provided that:
  - 1. the class K s closed under Cartesian products and taking subalgebras;
- 2. if  $A \in K$  and A is a T-uniform algebra with respect to a topology  $\tau$  and a uniformity  $\mu$ , then  $(A; \tau) \in K$ ;
- 3. if  $A \in K$  and  $f : A \to B$  is a continuous homomorphism onto a T-uniformizable algebra B then  $B \in K$ .
- $13j_3$ ). Let K a nontrivial full T-uniformizable variety of topological E-algebras. Then, for every algebra  $A \in K$ , we can define the maximal uniformity  $\nu_A$  that T-uniformizes A.
- 13 $j_4$ ). **Theorem 6.6.4** Let K be a nontrivial variety of E-algebras. Then, for every uniform space  $\mu X$ , there exists an algebra  $F(\mu X; K) \in K$  such that:
  - 1.  $\mu X$  is a uniform subspace of the uniform space  $(F(\mu X; K); \nu_{F(\mu X; K)});$
  - 2. the set X generates  $F(\mu X; K)$  algebraically;
- 3. for every uniformly continuous mapping  $f: X \to A \in K$ , where A is endowed with the uniformity  $\nu_A$ , there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\overline{f}: F(\mu X; K) \to A$  such that  $f = \overline{f}|X$ ;
  - 4. the algebra  $F(\mu X; K)$  is algebraically free in the class K.
- $13j_5$ ). Proof. We denote by  $K_1$  all the algebras of K endowed with uniformities that make them uniform. Then  $K_1$  is a full variety of uniform

- algebras. Each algebra  $A \in K$  with the discrete uniformity belongs to  $K_1$ . Therefore, K and  $K_1$  coincide algebraically . . .
- $13j_6$ ). The definitions from items  $13j_1$ ) and  $13j_2$ ) are false. According to item 9i) a nontrivial full variety of uniform E-algebras and a nontrivial full T-uniformizable variety of T-uniformizable algebras do not exist.
- $13j_7$ ). We mentioned that all results from Chapter 6 are proved for non-trivial full varieties of topological E-algebras, and their incorrectness follows from item  $13j_6$ ). Particularly, see item  $13j_5$ ).
- $13j_7$ ). Moreover, item  $13j_8$ ) proves once more the incorrectness and senselessness of papers [85], [54], [29], [37].
- 13 $j_8$ ). Let K be a full nontrivial  $T_i$ -quasivariety of topological E-algebras. The articles [85], [54] prove that a free topological algebra always exists and is unique to within a topological isomorphism. If X is completely regular then  $i_X: X \to F(X; K)$  is a topological embedding [85], [54]. If X is discrete then  $F(X; K) = F^a(X; K)$  and  $i_X = j_X$ . Therefore, for any space X, the algebra  $F^a(X; K)$  exists, is unique, and presents a discrete space. There always exists a continuous onto homomorphism  $k_X: F^a(X; K) \to F(X; K)$  such that  $i_X = j_X \circ k_X$ . If  $k_X$  is an isomorphism then the algebra F(X; K) is referred to as abstractly free. For any Tychonoff space X, the algebra F(X; K) is abstractly free [29]. The following fact plays an important role in studying free objects [37].
- $13j_9$ ). The content of Theorem 6.6.4 is floppy. In condition 1 it is used the maximal uniformity  $\nu_A$ , as defined for full T-uniformizable variety of topological E-algebras according to item  $13j_3$ ).
- $13j_{10}$ ). In item  $13j_5$ ) we presented only the false begin of proof. Further the proof uses essentially condition 4 of the Theorem. Incorrectness of condition 4 follows from item  $13j_8$ ). Consequently, the Theorem 6.6.4 from Section 6.6 is false.
- $13j_{11}$ ). All the following Sections 6.7 6.12 contain something unbelievable, unexplainable, a real pun of topological notions. We confirm it.
- $13j_{11}$ ). A topological algebra A is T-uniformizate if on A there exists a compatible uniformity that induces the structure of a T-uniform algebra on A (pag. 80).
- $13j_{12}$ ). Let us fix a signature  $E, i \in \{-1; 0; 1; 2; 3; 3, 5\}$ , and a nontrivial full  $T_i$ -variety K of topological E-algebras. We denote by  $K_u$  the collection of all T-uniformizable algebras of K. Clearly,  $K_u$  contains all paracompact, all Diedonne-complete, and all discrete algebras of K. Thus,  $K_u$  is a full T-uniform variety of algebras and the classes K and  $K_u$  coincide algebraically.

Hence, for every Tychonoff space X, there exists a continuous isomorphism  $\pi_X : F(X;Y) \to F(X;K_u)$  such that  $\pi_X = x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\pi_X|X$  is a homeomorphism (pag. 82)

 $13j_{13}$ ). One of the main results of Section 6.7 - 6.12 (Theorems 6.9.2, 6.9.3, 6.10.6, 6.11.2, Corollary 6.12.1) are the statements of form:

Let i = 3, 5. For a Tychonoff space X, the following conditions are equivalent:

X is a  $\prod_{\omega}$ -space (respect.  $C_{\omega}$ -space, or Diedonne-complete space, or Lindelof P-space),

- 2. F(X;K) is a  $\prod_{\omega}$ -space (respect.  $C_{\omega}$ -space, or Diedonne-complete space, or Lindelof P-space),
- 3.  $F(X; K_u)$  is a  $\prod_{\omega}$ -space (respect.  $C_{\omega}$ -space, or Diedonne-complete space, or Lindelof P-space).

Similar statement holds in case when K is a nontrivial full variety of topological groups with operators.

- $13j_{14}$ ). The incorrectness of assertions from items  $13j_{12}$ ).  $13j_{13}$ ) is indicated in item  $13j_6$ ). Moreover, the assertions from items  $13j_{12}$ ).  $13j_{13}$ ) contradict Theorem 7 from the first part of present paper, K should be an almost quasivariety of topological algebras. In this case the space X should meet conditions  $K_1$ ),  $K_2$ ) from Section 2, but by no means the topological space of free algebra F(X;K). Hence the equivalence of conditions 1, 2 from item  $13j_{13}$ ) is senseless. It seems that the author of monograph is unaware of the most basic notions: does not understand the sense and meaning of the notion of topological algebra given by defining space (Definition 1 of Section 2 of first part or the definition of topological free algebra of a space from item  $13c_3$ ). But compare with the proofs offered by the author for the assertions from item  $13j_{13}$ ).
- $13j_{15}$ ). According to items  $13j_6$ ),  $13j_7$ ),  $13j_9$ ),  $13j_{10}$ ),  $13j_{11}$ ),  $13j_{14}$ ) we conclude that Chapter 6, like Chapter 8 (see item  $13i_9$ )), is anti-scientific. Publishing such chapters is a crime.
- $13j_{16}$ ). On pages 68 and 102 it is mentioned that Chapters 6 and 8 were published in [42] and [43]. We mentioned that Chapters 6 and 8 coincide with Chapters 2 and 4 of Thesis for a Habilitat Doctors Degree [26] and form its foundation.
  - 13k). Let us analyze now Chapter 7. It is stated literally.
- $13k_1$ ). An *n*-groupoid *A* with *n*-ary operation  $\omega$  is called an *n*-groupoid with division or an *nD*-groupoid, if the equation  $\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n) = b$  has a solution (not necessarily unique), for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b \in A$

- and any  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- $13k_2$ ). If in item  $13k_1$ ) n=2, then  $(A,\cdot)$  is called a groupoid with division. This means that the equations  $a \cdot x = b, y \cdot a = b$  have a solution, for every  $a, b \in A$ .
- $13k_3$ ). Define a free object of a set X in the class V(E) of all groupoids with division according to next definition of M. Choban.

**Definition 3.13.1.** The free groupoid with division of a set X in the class V(E), is an E-algebra  $\Gamma(X) \in V(E)$  such that:

- 1.  $X \subset \Gamma(X)$  and the set X algebraically generates the algebra  $\Gamma(X)$ , i.e. if  $X \subset Y \subset \Gamma(X)$ ,  $Y \neq \Gamma(X)$ , and Y is a subalgebra of the algebra  $\Gamma(X)$ , then  $Y \notin V(E)$ .
- 2. For every mapping  $fX \to A$ , where  $A \in V(E)$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\overline{f}: \Gamma(X) \to A$  such that  $\overline{f}|_{X} = f$ . 117
- $13k_4$ ). **Theorem 3.13.2.** The free groupoid with divisions  $\Gamma(X)$  is a quasigroup.
- $13k_5$ ). Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.5.5. Nevertheless, we will present a direct proof.
- $13k_6$ ). The *n*-groupoid with division from item  $13k_1$ ) is not an universal algebra.
- $13k_7$ ). According to item  $13k_6$ ) the definition from item  $13k_3$ ) is false. It contradicts Theorem 7 from the first part, V(E) should be an almost quasivariety of universal algebras.
- $13k_8$ ). According to item  $13k_6$ ) the theorem from item  $13k_3$ ) is false. Moreover, if defining the groupoid with divisions algebraical, i.e. using three binary operations, then the theorem is false anyway.
- $13k_9$ ). From item  $13k_5$ ) it follows that the Theorem 5.5.5 is false. Clearly, the presented proof is false, is cumbersome and unclear.
- $13k_{10}$ ). Theorem 7.2.4 gives a conditions when continuous homomorphisms of topological groupoids with continuous homomorphisms of topological
- groupoids with a continuous division are open.
- $13k_{11}$ ). The theorem from item  $13k_{10}$ ) is false. Its proof uses the false theorem from item  $13k_8$ ) and the Mal'cex's Theorem [69] that the topological quasigroup is regular. A necessary condition that a continuous homomorphism of groupoid with division is given in Corollary 21 and sufficient condition is given in Corollary 2 from the first part or [69, Theorem 10].
- $13k_{12}$ ). From items  $13k_6$ )  $13k_{11}$ ) it follows that all results from Chapter 7 are false.

- 13l). On page 125 it is mentioned that the results from Chapter 9 were published in [91]. We present the items  $13i_1$ ,  $-13i_3$  from the beginning of this chapter.
- $13l_1$ ). L. S. Pontrjagin [98] proved that a linear connected space that covers a topological group admits, in a natural way, a structure of a topological group. In this work we establish a similar result for universal algebras with continuous signature. This result, for the case of a finite discrete signature, was obtained by A.I. Mal'cev [69].
- $13l_2$ ). Result from this work is stronger than Mal'cev's Theorem. In particular, the result holds for the topological R-modules, where R is a topological ring.
- $13l_3$ ). We mention that if J is a set of identities, then the totality V(J) of all Hausdorff topological E-algebras, which satisfy the identities J, forms a complete variety of topological E-algebras.
- $13l_4$ ). According to item 1e) the property of continuous signature should be ignored. Hence the result from this work not is stronger than Mal'cev's Theorem. In particular, the assertion that the result holds for the topological R-modules, where R is a topological ring, is false.
  - $13l_5$ ). The assertion from item  $13i_3$ ) is false.
- $13l_6$ ). In Chapter 9 a complete varieties of algebras are investigated. According to item  $13c_2$ ) a complete varieties does not exist.
  - $13l_7$ ). The items  $13l_4$ )  $13l_6$ ) are enough to state: Chapter 9 is senseless.
- 13m). In Chapters 10-14 some notions are investigated, that present little algebraic interest: medial quasigroups with left unity, paramedial quasigroups. multiple identities, Fuzzy algebras and others. The veracity of their results is not different than the veracity of the results from the previous Chapters. For an example, we will analyze briefly Chapter 14.
- 13n). This section provides a study of the category of fuzzy groupoids with division. The results of this section were published in [95], [96].
  - $13n_1$ ). **Definition 6.7.3** An *E*-algebra *G* is an:
- 1. E-groupoid with left division if there exist the operations  $A; G; W \in E_2$  for which A(C(y; x); x) = W(C(x; y); x) = y for every points  $x; y \in G$ .
- 2. E-groupoid with right division if there exist the operations  $A; B; V \in E_2$  for which A(x; B(x; y)) = V(x; B(y; x)) = y for every  $x; y \in G$ .
- 3. E-groupoid with division if there exist the operation  $A; B; G; V; W \in E_2$  such that A(x; B(x; y)) = A(G(y; x); x) = V(x; B(y; x)) = W(G(x; y); x) = y for every  $x; y \in G$ .

4. E-quasigroup if there exist the operations  $A; B; C \in E_2$  for which A(x; B(x; y)) = B(x; A(x; y)) = A(G(y; x); x) = G(A(y; x); x) = y.

Every E-groupoid with division is an E-groupoid with left and right divisions. If G is an E-groupoid, then G is also an E-groupoid with division and V = G; W = B.

 $13n_2$ ). I believe it is not even worth commenting it, taking into account at least the identities (20) - (22) from the first part.

From the above-mentioned we conclude that the results from monograph [25] and the thesis for a Habilitat Doctors Degree [26] are false, do not meet the minimum requirements for such works.

Esteemed authors of paper [46], I think that it is unbelievable that such works were published. I believe it is a unique phenomenon in this world. To fool the readers and the journals, where these works were published, for more than 40 years is a real crime.

## References

- [1] Arhangel'skii A. V. On mappings, nonnected with topological groups. DAN SSSR, 6, 181(1968), 1303 1306 (In Russian).
- [2] Arhangel'skii A. V. Any topological group is a quotient group of zerodimensional topological group. DAN SSSR, 5, 258(1981), 1037 – 1040 (in Russian).
- [3] Arhangel'skii A. V. Two types of remainders of topological groups, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 49.1(2008), 119 126.
- [4] Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M. Some addition theorems for rectifiable spaces. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 2(60), 2011, 60 69.
- [5] Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M. Remainders of rectifiable spaces. Topology and Appl., 157(2010), 789 – 799.
- [6] Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M., Mihaylova E. P. About homogeneous spaces and conditions of completeness of spaces. Math. and Education in Math., 41 (2012), 129–133.

- [7] Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M., Mihaylova E. P. About homogeneous spaces and Baire property in remainders. Math. and Education in Math., 41 (2012), 134–138.
- [8] Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M., Mihaylova E. P. About topological groups and the Baire property in remainders. Math. and Education in Math., 41 (2012), 139–142.
- [9] Banakh Taras, Hrynev Olena. Free topological universal algebral and absolute neighborhood retracts. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 1(65), 2011, 50 59: arXiv:1002.3352v1[math GN].
- [10] Taras Banakh, Mitrofan Choban, Igor Guran, Igor Protasov. Some Open Problems in Topological Algebra. arXiv: 1202 1619v1[math GN].
- [11] Bates G. E., Kiokemeister F. A note of homomorphic mappings of quasi-groups into multiplicative systems. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54(1948), 1180 1185.
- [12] Bartle R., Grawes L. M. Mappings between function spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72(1952), 400 413.
- [13] Bel'nov V. K. On zero-dimensional topological groups. DAN SSSR, 4, 226(1976), 749 752 (In Russian).
- [14] Belousov V. D. Fondations of the theory of quasigroups and loops. Moscow, Nauka, 1967.
- [15] R. H. Bruck. A survey of binary systems. Berlin-Gottingen-Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1958.
- [16] Burghin M. S. Free topological groups and universal algebras. DAN SSSR, ser. matemat., 1, 204(1972), 9 11 (In Russian).
- [17] Burghin M. S. Topological algebras with continuouss sysems of operations. DAN SSSR, ser. matemat., 3, 213(1973), 505 508 (In Russian).
- [18] Burghin M. S. Free algebras with continuouss sysems of operations. Uspehi matematicheskih nauk, 3, 35(1980), 147 151 (In Russian).

- [19] Burstin C., Mayer W. Distributive Gruppen von endliher Ordnung. J. Reine und Angew. Math., 1929, 160, 111 130.
- [20] Calmutchii L., Cioban M. Compact extensions of topological spaces. Chişinău, 2009 (in Romanian).
- [21] Calmuţchi L. L. Algebraic and functional methods in the theory of extensions of topological spaces. Pitešti, 2007.
- [22] Calmuţchi L. L. Algebraic and functional methods in the theory of extensions of topological spaces. Thesis for a Habilitat Doctors Degree, Chişinău, 2007.
- [23] Calmuţchi L. I., Choban M. M. On Wallman compactifications of  $T_0$ spaces and related questions. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii
  Moldova, Matematica, 2(60), 2011, 102 111.
- [24] Calmutchii L. I., Choban M. M. On the Nagata theorem on lattice of semcontinuous functions. Sibirskii Matem. Journ., 2(30)(1989), 185 191 (in Russian)
- [25] Chiriac L. L. Topological Algebraic Systems, Chişinău, 2009.
- [26] Chiriac L. L. *Topological Algebraic Systems*, Thesis for a Habilitat Doctors Degree, Chişinău, 2011.
- [27] Choban M. M. On topological homogeneous algebras. Interim Reports of the Prague Topolog. Symposium, 2(1987), 25 26.
- [28] Choban M. M. The structure of locally compact algebras. Serbica, Bulgaricae Math. Publ., 18(1992), 129 137.
- [29] Choban M. M. On the theory of topological algebraic systems. Trudy Moscov. Matem. Ob-va, 48(1985), 106 149.
- [30] Choban M. M. Algebras and some questions of the theory of maps, Proc. Fifth Prague Topol. Symposium 1981, (1983) 86 97.
- [31] Choban M. M. Some topics in topological algebra. Topology and Appl., 54(1993), 183 202.

- [32] Choban M. M. Algebraical equivalences of of topological spaces. Buletinul Academiei de Științe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 1(2001), 12-36.
- [33] Choban M. M. Stable mertics on universal algebras. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 5(251), 41(1986), 201 202 (in Russian).
- [34] Choban M. M. On some questions of theory of topological groups. Â ńá. General algebra and discrete geometry, Kişinău, Ştiinţa, 1980, 120 135 (In Russian).
- [35] Choban M. M. Reduced theorems on existence of continuous sections. Sections over subsets of quotient spaces of topological groups. Mat. issled., 8, 4, Kişinău, Ştiinţa, 1973, 111–156 (In Russian).
- [36] Choban M. M. Topological construction of subsets of topological groups and it quotient spaces. In coll. Topological latices and algebraical systems. Kişinău, Ştiinţa, 1977, 117–163 (In Russian).
- [37] Choban M. M. The theory of stable metrics. Math. Balkanica, 2(1988), 357 373.
- [38] Choban M. M. General conditions of the existence of free objects. Acta Comment. Univ. Tartuenis, 836(1989), 157 171 (in Russian).
- [39] Choban M. M. Universal Topological Algebras, Edition House of the University of Oradia, 1999.
- [40] Cioban M. M. Topological Algebras. Problems, Chişinău, 2006.
- [41] Choban M. M., Calmuţchi L. L. Compact extensions of topological spaces. Chişinău, 2009.
- [42] Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. On applying uniform structures to study of free topological algebras. Sibirskii Matem. J., 33, 5, 1992, 159 – 172. (English: Trans. Siberian Mathematical Journal, Springer New York, 0037- 4466, 1573-9260, Volume 33, Number 5, 1992, 10.1007/BF00970997, p. 891-904).
- [43] Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. Compact subsets of free algebras with topologies and equivalence of space. Hadronic Journal, Volume 25, Number 5, USA, October 2002, p. 609-631.

- [44] Ciobanu I. D. On construction of algebraical structures over a compactifications of topological algebras. Thesis for a Doctors Degree, Chişinău, 2011.
- [45] Choban M. M., Ciobanu Ina. Compactness and free topological algebras, ROMAI J., 3, 2(2007), 55 85.
- [46] Choban M. M., Chiriac L. L. Selected problems and results of topological algebra. ROMAI J., 9, 1(2013), 1 25.
- [47] Cohn P. M. Universal algebra, New York, Harper and Row, 1965.
- [48] Cowell W. R. Concerning a class of permutable congruence relations on loops. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7, 4(1956), 583 588.
- [49] Curriculum vitae. www romai.ro/documente/poze/Anunturi-informatii/CiobanMM
- [50] Curriculum vitae. www romai.ro/conferinte-romai/caim-en.html
- [51] Dörnte W. Untersuhungen üeinen veralgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff. Math. Z., 1928, 29, 1 = 19.
- [52] Dumitrascu S. S. Topologies on Universal Algebras, Edition House of the University of Oradia, 1995.
- [53] Dumitrascu S. S. On the problem of A. I. Mal'cev. Proceedings Fifth All-Union Symposium of the Theory of Rings and Algebras (Academiya Nauk SSSR, 1982), 49 50 (in Russian).
- [54] Dumitrascu S. S., Choban M. M. On free topological algebras with continuous sigrature, Matem. issledovania, Shtiinta (Chishinev), 65(1982), 27 53 (Russian).
- [55] Gleason A. M. Spaces with a compact Lie group of transformations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1(1950), 35 43.
- [56] Gul'ko A. S. *Rectifiable spaces*. Topology and Appl., 68(1996), 107 112.
- [57] Ipate D. M. General Problem on approximation of continues mappings of topological spaces. Thesis for a Habilitat Doctors Degree, Chişinău, 2007.

- [58] Iwasawa K. On some types of topolofical groups. Ann. of Math., 50(1949), 507 558.
- [59] Engelking R. General Topology, PWN, Verszawa, 1977.
- [60] Filippov V. V. On perfect images of paracompact p-spaces, Soviet. Math. Docl. 176(1967), 533 536.
- [61] Hofmann K. H. Non-associative Topolofical Algebra. Tulane University Lecture Note, 1961.
- [62] Kelley John L. General Topology, Moskow, Nauka, 1981 (in Russian).
- [63] Kenderov P. On topological groups. DAN SSSR, 4, 194(19 70), 760 762 (In Russian).
- [64] Kiriak L. L. On the topology of free topological algebras with Mal'cev condition and k-spaces. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR Moldova, ser.mat. 3(1990), 7 13.
- [65] Kurosh A. G. Lectures on general algebra. Gos. izdatel'stvo fiz-mat. literatury, Moscow, 1962, (in Russian).
- [66] Lin F., Liu C., Lin S. A note on rectifiable spaces, Topology Appl., doi:10.1016/j.topol.2012.02.002.
- [67] F. Lin F., Shen R. On rectifiable spaces and paratopological groups, Topology Appl., 158(2011), 597–610.
- [68] Mal'cev A. I. Algebraic systems, Moskow, Nauka, 1970 (in Russian).
- [69] Mal'cev A. I. To general theory of algebraic systems, Mat. Sb., 35(1954), 3 20 (in Russian). (English translation: Trans. Amer, Math. Soc., 27(1963), 125 148).
- [70] Mal'cev A. I. Free topological algebras, Izv. AN. SSSR, ser. mat., 21, 2(1957), 171 198 (in Russian).
- [71] Michael E. Convecs structures and continuous selections. Canad. J. Math., 4, 11(1959), 556 575.
- [72] Pavel Dorin. Almost periodic mappings on topological spaces. Thesis for a Doctors Degree, Chişinău, 2010.

- [73] Prodanov I. Division of points in separable bicompact universal algebras, Matematika i matematicheskie obrazovanie, 1981á 186 - 189.
- [74] Protasov I. V., Sidorchuk A. D. On varieties of algebraic systems. DAN SSSR, ser. matemat., 256(1981), 1314 1318 (In Russian).
- [75] Shapirovskii Â. Ĺ. Special types of embeddings in Tychonoff cubes, Coll. math. soc. Janos Bolyai. 23. Topology. Budapest, 1978, 1055—1086.
- [76] Smith J. D. H. *Mal'cev Varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 554, Springer-Verlag, Berlin New York, 1076.
- [77] Chein O., Pflugfelder H. O., Smith J. D. H. Quasigroups and Loops: Theory and applications. Berlin, Helderman Verlag, 1990.
- [78] Suschkewitsch A. K. On a generalization of the associative law. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1929, 31, 204 214.
- [79] Swierczkowski S. *Topologies in free algebras*. Proc. London Math. Soc., 3, 14, 55(1968), 566 576.
- [80] Thurston H. A. Noncommuting quasigroup congruences. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3(1952), 363 372.
- [81] Uspenskii V. V. The Mal'tsev operation on countably compact spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 30 (1989) 395 – 402.
- [82] Uspenskii V. V. Topological groups and Dugundji compacta, Mat. Sb. 180(1989), no. 8, 1092–1118 (Russian); English transl. in: Math. USSR-Sb. 67(1990), no. 2, 555–580.
- [83] Uspenskii V. V. On continuous images of Lindelöf topological groups. DAN USSR, 4, 285(1985). 824—827 (in Russian).
- [84] Reznichenko E. A., Uspenskij V. V. Pseudocompact Mal'tsev spaces. Topology Appl., 86(1998), 83 – 104.
- [85] . Arhangel'skii A. V., Choban M. M. On the functionally equivalence of the Tychonoff spaces. Bull. Acad Stiint. Republicii Moldova, Mat.3, 1991, p. 83-106 (in Russian).

- [86] Choban M. M., On completions of topological groups. Vestnik Moscov. Universiteta, Ser. Matem. 1(1970), 33 38 (Russian).
- [87] Choban M. M., Dumitrashchu C. C. On universal algebras with continuous signarute. Uspehi matem. nauk, 36, 5(221)(1981), 201 202 (Russian).
- [88] Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L., Decomposition of some algebras with topologies and their resolvability. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 1(2001), 27-37.
- [89] Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L., Equations on Universal algebrals and their application to theory of groupoids. Binarnie i n-qvasigrupi, Matem. Issledovanie. 120, Kisinev "Stiinta", 1991, 96-103 (Russian).
- [90] Choban M. M., Chriac L. L., About free groups in classes of groups with topologies. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 68(3), (2012).
- [91] . Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. Universal Covering Algebras. Algebraical structure and its conections, Matem. Issled. Shtiinta, (Kishinev), 118, 1990, p.107 - 114 (In Russian).
- [92] . Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. Equations on Universal Algebras and their applications in the Groupoids Theory. Binary and n-ary Quasigroups, Matem. Issled. Shtiinta, (Kishinev), 120, 1991, p.96 103. (In Russian).
- [93] Comfort W. W., Ross K. A. Pseudocompactness and uniform continuity in topological groups. Pacific J. Math., 16(1966), 183 – 196.
- [94] . Kiriyak L. L. About topological groupoids with division. Scripta Scientiarum Mathematicarum, Tomus I- Fasciculus I-Anno MCMXCVII, Chisinau 1997, p.75-81.
- [95] . Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. On Fuzzy finitely generated quasigroups. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Tiraspol, 1991, p.78 - 81.
- [96] . Choban M. M., Kiriyak L. L. *The homomorphisms of fuzzy algebras*. Analele Universitatii Oradea Fasc . Mat. 8, 2001, p. 131 138.

- [97] . Kiriyak L. L. On methods to construct some free universal algebras and to solve equations over them. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR Moldova, ser. mat. N3, 1991, p.45-53.
- [98] . Pontrjagin L. S. *Neprerivnie gruppi*. Moskow, Nauka, 1973 (In Russian).

Nicolae I. Sandu, Tiraspol State University of Moldova, Chisinău, R. Moldova sandumn@yahoo.com