

# Monte Carlo Notes

The following are definitions and derivations that are explicitly written down with the hope that they will help guide the ray tracer implementation.

## 1 Monte Carlo Estimator and Radiance

We intend to probabilistically approximate the integral  $\int_{D^*} f$  for some integrable function  $f : D \rightarrow R$ , where  $D^* \subseteq D$ . The Monte Carlo estimator will suffice: given  $n$  iid samples  $\mathbf{X}_i \in D^*$  s.t.  $\mathbf{X}_i \sim p \implies p(D \setminus D^*) = 0$  (with the usual restriction that  $\int_D p = 1$ ), we define our estimator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(\mathbf{X}_i)}{p(\mathbf{X}_i)} \\ \implies \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_n] &= \int_D p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D^*} \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{D^*} f = \mu \\ \text{Var}[\mathbf{M}_n] &= \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(\mathbf{X}_i)}{p(\mathbf{X}_i)}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}[f(\mathbf{X}_i)/p(\mathbf{X}_i)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}[f(\mathbf{X}_i)/p(\mathbf{X}_i)] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[\mathbf{M}_n] = 0$ . The definition of variance suggests that increasing the number of samples reduces squared error:  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{M}_n] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{M}_n - \mu)^2]$ , which in turn suggests that the estimator converges to the desired integral (which could perhaps be rationalized as a consequence of the law of large numbers).

In a ray tracing application, we seek to approximate the integral term (reflected radiance  $L_r$ ) of the rendering equation:

$$L_r(\mathbf{x}, \omega_o) = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) L_i(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \cos \theta d\omega_i$$

with BSDF  $f$ , incoming radiance  $L_i$ , incoming direction  $\omega_i$ , and outgoing direction  $\omega_o$  at point  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## 2 Improving Estimator Efficiency

### 2.1 Importance Sampling

Suppose we pick  $p$  s.t.  $p = kf$ , where  $f$  is the estimated function from before. Then  $\int_D p = 1 \implies k = 1/\int_{D^*} f$ , in which case the estimator term  $\frac{f(\mathbf{X}_i)}{p(\mathbf{X}_i)} = \int_{D^*} f = \mu$  already. Then  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{M}_n] = 0$  immediately. While this ideal  $p$  defeats the purpose of the Monte Carlo estimator, it intuitively follows that picking  $p$  that roughly conforms to the “shape” of  $f$  will decrease estimator variance. In practice, this means making  $p$  large when the contribution from  $f$  is large and vice-versa for when the contribution from  $f$  is relatively small.

## 2.2 Multiple Importance Sampling (MIS)

It may be desirable to utilize multiple densities  $p_i$  when estimating the rendering equation. Veach et al (1997) offers the multi-sample Monte Carlo estimator:

$$\mathbf{M}_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^n w_i(\mathbf{X}_{i,j}) \frac{f(\mathbf{X}_{i,j})}{p_i(\mathbf{X}_{i,j})}$$

given a set of densities  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  and  $n_i$  samples drawn for each  $p_i$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{i,j} \sim p_i$ .

We expect that the bias,  $\beta(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}] - \int_{D^*} f$  is still zero so long as we impose the conditions that **(W1)**  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  when  $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$  and **(W2)**  $w_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  when  $p_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ :

*The multi-sample estimator is unbiased:*  $\beta(\mathbf{M}_n^*) = 0$ . Each random sample  $\mathbf{X}_{i,j}$  is not necessarily identically-distributed but they are nevertheless independent, so we can manipulate the expectation accordingly, assuming each  $n_i \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_n^*] &= \int_D \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^n w_i(\mathbf{x}) \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{p_i(\mathbf{x})} \cdot p_i(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{D^*} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{D^*} f, \text{ by (W1)} \end{aligned}$$

QED

Veach et al offers the power heuristic as a “good” weighting function:  $w_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{[n_i p_i(\mathbf{x})]^\gamma}{\sum_k [n_k p_k(\mathbf{x})]^\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma = 1$  produces the simpler balance heuristic ( $\gamma = 2$  is often sufficient).