

CSE221 ALGORITHMS

Topic: Dynamic Programming
(LCS + Binary Knapsack)

Prepared by:
Saad Bin Sohan

BRAC University

Email: sohan.academics@gmail.com

GitHub: <https://github.com/saad-bin-sohan>

Dynamic Programming

any problem with the following two properties is a Dynamic Programming problem.

properties:

(i) Overlapping subproblems: by solving the subproblems we can solve the actual problem.

(ii) Optimal substructure: all

the subproblem must be solved by following the same methods.

All the problems having these two properties fall under Dynamic programming.

We will study two problem types that can be solved using dynamic programming -

a) Longest Common Subsequence (LCS)

b) Binary Knapsack

Longest Common Subsequence

Subsequence:

From a given data, all the possible sequential combinations (where the sequence of elements is same as original) are its subsequence.

e.g: ABCDE તરફ

A, AB, AC, BDE, ABCD, ABCDE ...

હવે આ valid subsequence.

કિન્તુ ACB તરફી નથી since B is

not after c.

e.g: A C E B D \rightarrow 2578 4103,

A, CE, ACD etc...

"Common" subsequence: multiple

data (e.g: string type data) 40 marks
common subsequence 10 marks.

e.g.:

e.g:

$AB CDE \rightarrow A, AB, AC, ACD, BDE \dots ABCD$

$ACEBD \rightarrow A, CE, ACD, ACE D$

common subsequence: A, CE, ACD...

"Longest" Common Subsequence:

→ common subsequence ଏଠି ମାତ୍ର
longest length ଏଠି 3.

e.g.:

AB CDE → A, AB, AC, ACD, BDE ... ABCD
ACEBD → A CE ACD ACE D

length: 1 length: 2 length: 3

"AB CDE" ଏବଂ "ACEBD" ଏଠି ମାତ୍ର
3 length ଏଠି ଅର୍ଥାତ୍ longest common subsequence
ମାତ୍ର 3 ଥର ହେବ ନା ତେଣୁ maximum
number of data ସଂଖ୍ୟା serial 1 ଓ 2
is 3.

∴ longest common subsequence: "ACD"

This approach is called "Brute force" because it follows the rawest approach.

n number data gives us $2^n - 1$ subsequence.

so this approach is very inefficient and

we need better approach (using dynamic programming)

Dynamic Programming

→ Table / 2d array use करके all subsets of DP problem solve करा रहे।
it's called iterative DP.

Approach :

two datasets S, T with indices like:

$S = [1, 2, 3 \dots, i-1, i]$ ↖ index पर
value

$t = [1, 2, 3 \dots, j-1, j]$ ↖ index पर
मान

we start with comparing elements
at the end

pseudocode:

if $S[i] == T[j]$:

$$LCS[i, j] = 1 + LCS[i-1, j-1]$$

else:

$$LCS[i, j] = \max(LCS[i-1, j], LCS[i, j-1])$$

ex. 2:

$S = \text{Panama}$

$T = \text{Banana}$

LCS value ???

soln:

	empty string	p	a	n	a	m	a
empty string							
b							
a							
n							
a							
n							
a							

now table ko (i, j) ka box
hoga jo represents -

एक sequence
सूचना
सबसे
longest
common
को length

s को 0 th तक $(i-1)$ का index
तक का sequence होगा

t को 0 th तक $(j-1)$ का index
तक का sequence होगा






















and table ko last row, last column
ko box me final LCS ko answer hoga

if $S[i] == T[j]$:

$$LCS[i, j] = 1 + LCS[i-1, j-1] \text{ (diagonal)}$$

else:

$$LCS[i, j] = \max(\underbrace{LCS[i-1, j]}_{\text{left}}, \underbrace{LCS[i, j-1]}_{\text{top}})$$

	empty string	P	a	n	a	m	a
empty string							
b							
a			1 ← 1	1 ← 1	1 ← 1	1 ← 1	1
n			1	2 ← 2	2 ← 2	2 ← 2	2
a		0	1	2	3 ← 3	3	3
n		0 ← 0	2	3 ← 3	3 ← 3	3	3
a		0	1	2	3 ← 3	4	

final answer

○ For reason empty string is not possible
because common element is not there. so
no longest common sequence

final answer 4 use krke LCS ki
string nikalenge. we have to
start bottom to up using 4 and
jo jo krke jayenge usko trace
back krke krke matching letters
nikalenge.

LCS:

anana

[answer]

Practice problem

1 $S = \text{human}$
 $T = \text{chimpanzee}$

→ answer should be 5

2 $S = \text{algorithm}$
 $T = \text{lithium}$

Binary Knapsack

→ only difference with fractional knapsack is that binary knapsack doesn't allow choosing a partial amount of any item. You either take the entirely available amount of that item or you don't take that item at all.

NB: Fractional knapsack → Greedy method
A solvable

Binary knapsack → Greedy NOT solvable
∴ we need DP.

Que solve using binary knapsack

knapsack = 8 kg

<u>item</u>	<u>price</u>	<u>weight</u>
A	100	5
B	60	4
C	60	4
D	20	4

if we solved the problem of binary knapsack using greedy approach

<u>item</u>	<u>price</u>	<u>weight</u>	<u>price per weight</u>
A	100	5	20
B	60	4	15
C	60	4	15
D	20	4	5

according to greedy approach, since A has max value per unit we choose A item first and since its binary knapsack we have to take whole amount of A.

$\therefore A \rightarrow 5 \text{ kg} \rightarrow 100 \text{ TK}$

but since limit is 8 kg we can't take any other item since if we did, we had to choose whole of it and no item is within 3 kg (8-5).

Que

solve using binary knapsack
(DP approach)

item	weight	price
A	2	3
B	3	4
C	4	5
D	3	6

solⁿ: ନିମ୍ନ table ଡାଟାଟି

column heading \rightarrow 0 ରୁ ୧୦୦ knapsack
limit ଗତାଏ

row heading \rightarrow item names and
their weight

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A(2)	0					
B (3)	0					
C (4)	0					
D (3)	0					

If $\text{item_weight} > \text{weight_limit}$:

copy top [can't take]

else:

$\text{Max}(\text{top}, \text{item_price} + \text{dp}[\text{prev_row}][\text{capacity} - \text{item_weight}])$