

**DB** Normalization

COMP3278B 2020

#### Overview

- Check if a decomposition is lossless-join
- Check if a decomposition is dependency preserving
- Check if a decomposition is in BCNF
- Perform lossless join decomposition into relations in BCNF

## Testing lossless join

- Given relation R decomposed into R1 and R2.
- Let Att(R) be the set of attributes in relation R. Decomposition is lossless if

$$Att(R1) \cap Att(R2) \rightarrow Att(R1)$$
Or
 $Att(R1) \cap Att(R2) \rightarrow Att(R2)$ 

We test if the common attributes of R1 and R2 could be used to derive attributes in either R1 or R2.

lossless join

Reflexivity – if  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$ . Transitivity – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\beta \to \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \gamma$ Augmentation – if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , then  $\gamma \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \beta$ Union – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\alpha \to \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta \gamma$ Decomposition – if  $\alpha \to \beta \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\alpha \to \gamma$ Pseudo-transitivity – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\gamma\beta \to \delta$ , then  $\alpha\gamma \to \delta$ 

- Consider relation R(A, B, C) with functional dependencies  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B\}.$ 
  - Is R1(A, B), R2(A, C) a lossless join decomposition?
    - Common attribute is A
    - $A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \rightarrow AB$  (Augmentation)
    - It is a lossless join decomposition as common attribute A could derives attributes in R1.
  - Is R3(A,B), R4(B,C) a lossless join decomposition?
    - Common attribute is B

We need to find attribute closure to show that • Attribute closure of B,  $\{B\}^+ = \{B\}$ . a decomposition is not a lossless join.

• It is not a lossless join decomposition as common attribute B could not derive R1 and R2.

## Testing dependency preserving

- Given relation R with FD  $\boldsymbol{F}$  decomposed into  $R_1, R_2, \ldots$  let  $\boldsymbol{F}_i$  be the set of FDs in  $\boldsymbol{F}^+$  that include only attributes in  $R_i$
- Decomposition is dependency preserving if

$$(\boldsymbol{F}_1 \cup \boldsymbol{F}_2 \cup \cdots)^+ = \boldsymbol{F}^+$$

We test if  $F^+$  could be constructed by combining the FDs in the decomposed relations.

dependency preserving

```
Reflexivity – if \beta \subseteq \alpha, then \alpha \to \beta.

Transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \beta \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \gamma

Augmentation – if \alpha \to \beta, then \gamma \to \gamma \to \gamma \to \gamma

Union – if \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \to \gamma

Decomposition – if \alpha \to \beta \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma

Pseudo-transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \gamma \to \delta, then \alpha \to \delta
```

- Consider relation R(A, B, C) with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$ .
- $F^+ = \{A \to B, B \to C, \text{ and trivials/derived FDs}\}\$ 
  - Is R1(A,B), R2(B,C) a dependency preserving decomposition?
    - $F_1 = \{A \rightarrow B, \text{ and trivials FD}s\}$
    - $F_2 = \{B \rightarrow C, \text{ and trivials FD}s\}$
    - $F_1 \cup F_2 = F \Rightarrow \{F_1 \cup F_2\}^+ = F^+$ , it is a dependency preserving decomposition.
  - Is R3(A,B), R4(A,C) a dependency preserving decomposition?
    - $F_3 = \{A \rightarrow B, \text{ and trivials FD}s\}$
    - $F_4 = \{A \to C, \text{ and trivials FD}s\}$  Note that  $A \to C$  is in  $F^+$  due to transitivity.
    - $F_3 \cup F_4 = \{A \to B, A \to C, \text{ and trivials FDs}\}$ , we need to check if  $B \to C$  is preserved.
    - In  $\{F_3 \cup F_4\}^+$ ,  $\{B\}^+ = \{B\}$  and so  $B \to C$  is not in  $\{F_3 \cup F_4\}^+$ , it is not a dependency preserving decomposition.

### Testing BCNF for relation

- Given relation R with FD F
- R is in BCNF if for all non-trivial dependency  $\alpha \to \beta$  in F,

$$\{\alpha\}^+ = Att(R)$$

We test if LHS of each non-trivial dependencies is a superkey.

Similar to the case in dependency preserving, if R is decomposed, we need to construct the corresponding FDs in the decomposed relation by considering  ${\it F}^+$ 

BCNF (1)

Reflexivity – if  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$ . Transitivity – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\beta \to \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \gamma$ Augmentation – if  $\alpha \to \beta$ , then  $\gamma \alpha \to \gamma \beta$ Union – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\alpha \to \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta \gamma$ Decomposition – if  $\alpha \to \beta \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\alpha \to \gamma$ Pseudo-transitivity – if  $\alpha \to \beta$  and  $\gamma \to \beta$ , then  $\alpha \to \delta$ 

- Consider relation R(A, B, C) with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$ .
  - Is R in BCNF?
    - $\{B\}^+ = \{B, C\} \neq \{A, B, C\}$  so it is not in BCNF.
- Consider relation R(A, B, C) with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B\}$ .
  - Is R in BCNF?
    - $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B\} \neq \{A, B, C\}$ , so it is not in BCNF.
- Consider relation R(A, B, C) with functional dependencies  $F = \{\}$  (trivial FDs only).
  - Is R in BCNF?
    - There is no non-trivial dependency. It is in BCNF.

No non-trivial dependency ⇒ BCNF

BCNF (2)

```
Reflexivity – if \beta \subseteq \alpha, then \alpha \to \beta.

Transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \beta \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \gamma

Augmentation – if \alpha \to \beta, then \gamma \to \gamma \to \gamma \to \gamma

Union – if \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \to \gamma

Decomposition – if \alpha \to \beta \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma

Pseudo-transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \gamma \to \delta, then \alpha \to \delta
```

- Consider relation R(A, B, C, D) with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}.$ 
  - Is R in BCNF?
    - $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B, C\} \neq \{A, B, C, D\}$ , so it is not in BCNF.
  - Suppose R is decomposed into R1(A, C, D), R2(B, D), is R1 in BCNF?
    - $F_1 = \{A \rightarrow C, \text{ and trivials FD}s\}$
    - $\{A\}^+ = \{A, C\} \neq \{A, C, D\}$ , it is not in BCNF

Again,  $A \rightarrow C$  is in  $F^+$  due to transitivity.

- Is *R*2 in BCNF?
  - R2 has no non-trivial FD, it is in BCNF

### Decomposing relations

- Given relation R with FD F. (where R is not in BCNF)
  - Pick a dependency  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in F.
  - Split R into relation  $R1 = \alpha \cup \beta$  and  $R2 = \alpha \cup (\alpha \cup \beta)^c$ .
  - Check that R1 is in BCNF, decompose R1 if not.
  - Check that R2 is in BCNF, decompose R2 if not.

We pick one rule for decomposition, repeat until all relations are in BCNF.

BCNF (2)

```
Reflexivity – if \beta \subseteq \alpha, then \alpha \to \beta.

Transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \beta \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \gamma

Augmentation – if \alpha \to \beta, then \gamma \alpha \to \gamma \beta

Union – if \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \gamma

Decomposition – if \alpha \to \beta \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta and \alpha \to \gamma

Pseudo-transitivity – if \alpha \to \beta and \gamma \beta \to \delta, then \alpha \gamma \to \delta
```

- Consider relation R(A, B, C, D) with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$ . Decompose R into relations in BCNF.
  - Pick dependency  $A \rightarrow B$ .
  - Decompose R into  $R1 = \{A, B\}$  and  $R2 = \{A, C, D\}$ .
    - R1 is in BCNF as  $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B\}$ .
  - $F_2 = \{A \rightarrow C, \text{ and trivials FDs}\}, \{A\}^+ = \{A, C\} \neq \{A, C, D\}, \text{ it is not in BCNF.}$
  - Pick rule  $A \rightarrow C$ .
  - Decompose R2 into  $R3 = \{A, C\}$  and  $R4 = \{A, D\}$ .
    - R3 is in BCNF as  $\{A\}^+ = \{A, C\}$ .
  - R4 has no non-trivial FD, it is in BCNF.
- R is decomposed into  $R1 = \{A, B\}, R3 = \{A, C\}, \text{ and } R4 = \{A, D\}$

#### Exercise

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ 

- 1. If R is decomposed into R1(A,C,D) and R2(B,C), is it a lossless join decomposition? If so, is it dependency preserving?
- 2. If R is decomposed into R3(A,B,C) and R4(B,C,D), is it a lossless join decomposition? If so, is it dependency preserving?
- 3. Show that *R* in not in BCNF.
- 4. Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.
  - Try to repeat this question by picking different dependency to split.

#### An extra question if you have time

- Given R(A, B, C, D, E),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow ADE\}$ .
  - Give a lossless join decomposition of *R* into relations in BCNF.
  - Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

#### Answer-1

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ If R is decomposed into R1(A, C, D) and R2(B, C), is it a lossless join decomposition? If so, is it dependency preserving?

- Common attributes: {*C*}
- $\{C\}^+ = \{C\}$ , which doesn't cover R1 nor R2.
- It is not a lossless join decomposition.

### Answer - 2

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ If R is decomposed into R3(A, B, C) and R4(B, C, D), is it a lossless join decomposition? If so, is it dependency preserving?

- Common attributes: {*B*, *C*}
- $\{B,C\}^+ = \{B,C,D\}$ , which covers R4.
- It is a lossless join decomposition.
- Consider FDs in R3 ( $F_3$ ) = { $A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A$ , and trivials FDs}
- Consider FDs in R4 ( $F_4$ ) = { $BC \rightarrow D$ , and trivials FDs}
- Now consider  $\{D\}^+$  for  $\{F_3 \cup F_4\}^+$ ,  $\{D\}^+ = \{D\}$ . Dependency  $D \to A$  is gone. Therefore, it is not dependency preserving.

### Answer - 3

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ Show that R in not in BCNF.

- $\{A\}$  is not a superkey as  $\{A\}^+ = \{A, B\}$ , so R is not in BCNF.
  - You can also check  $\{D\}^+$ .
  - Note that  $\{B,C\}^+ = \{A,B,C,D\}$ , so this could not be used here.

Pick  $A \rightarrow B$ 

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

- Pick  $A \to B$ , R is decomposed into R1(A,B) and R2(A,C,D).
  - FDs in  $R1(F_1) = \{A \to B, ...\}, \{A\}^+ = \{A, B\}, R1$  is in BCNF.
  - FDs in  $R2(F_2) = \{D \to A, ...\}, \{D\}^+ = \{A, D\} \neq \{A, C, D\}, R2$  is not in BCNF.
- Pick  $D \to A$  for R2, R2 is decomposed into R3(A, D) and R4(C, D).
  - FDs in R3  $(F_3) = \{D \to A, ...\}, \{D\}^+ = \{A, D\}, R3$  is in BCNF.
  - There is no non-trivial FDs in R4, R4 is in BCNF.
- R is decomposed into R1(A,B), R3(A,D), and R4(C,D).
- We can see that dependency  $BC \to D$  is not preserved in the decomposition.

  What if we pick  $BC \to D$  first?

#### Pick $BC \rightarrow D$

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

- Pick  $BC \to D$ , R is decomposed into R1(B,C,D) and R2(A,B,C).
  - FDs in  $R1(F_1) = \{BC \to D, D \to B, ...\}, \{D\}^+ = \{B, D\} \neq \{B, C, D\}, R1$  is not in BCNF.
  - FDs in  $R2(F_2) = \{A \to B, BC \to A, ...\}, \{A\}^+ = \{A, B\} \neq \{A, B, C\}, R2$  is not in BCNF.
- Pick  $A \to B$  for R2, R2 is decomposed into R3(A,B) and R4(A,C).
  - FDs in R3  $(F_3) = \{A \to B, ...\}, \{A\}^+ = \{A, B\}, R3$  is in BCNF.
  - There is no non-trivial FDs in R4, R4 is in BCNF.
- Pick  $D \to B$  for R1, R1 is decomposed into R5(B,D) and R6(C,D)
  - · Both are in BCNF.
- R is decomposed into, R3(A,B), R4(A,C), R5(B,D) and R6(C,D).
- We can see that dependency  $D \to A$  is not preserved in the decomposition. (Shown in Q2) What if we pick  $D \to A$  first?

#### Pick $D \rightarrow A$

Given R(A, B, C, D),  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$ Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

- Pick  $D \to A$ , R is decomposed into R1(A, D) and R2(B, C, D).
  - FDs in  $R1(F_1) = \{D \to A, ...\}, \{D\}^+ = \{A, D\}, R1$  is in BCNF.
  - FDs in  $R2(F_2) = \{BC \to D, D \to B, ...\}, \{D\}^+ = \{B, D\}, R2$  is not in BCNF.
- Pick  $D \to B$  for R2, R2 is decomposed into R3(B,D) and R4(C,D)
  - Both are in BCNF.
- R is decomposed into R1(A,D), R3(B,D) and R4(C,D).
- We can see that dependency  $A \rightarrow B$  is not preserved in the decomposition.

### Answer -5Pick $A \rightarrow B$

Given R(A, B, C, D, E),  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow ADE\}$ . Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

- Pick  $A \to B$ , decompose R into R1(A,B) and R2(A,C,D,E).
  - R1 is in BCNF. (proof skipped)
  - FDs in R2  $(F_2) = \{AD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow ADE, ...\}, \{A, D\}^+ = \{A, D, E\} \neq \{A, C, D, E\},$ R2 is not in BCNF.
- Pick  $AD \rightarrow E$  for R2, R2 is decomposed into R3(A,D,E) and R4(A,C,D).
  - R3 is in BCNF. (proof skipped)
  - FDs in R4  $(F_4) = \{C \to AD, \dots\}, \{C\}^+ = \{A, C, D\}, R4$  is in BCNF.
- R is decomposed to R1(A,B), R3(A,D,E) and R4(A,C,D).
- Is  $C \rightarrow ADE$  preserved in the decomposition?

#### Analysis

Given R(A, B, C, D, E),  $\mathbf{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow ADE\}$ . Give a lossless join decomposition of R into relations in BCNF. Check if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

- Note that some of the dependencies could be reduced or transformed.
  - $C \rightarrow ADE \Leftrightarrow C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow E$

Must be two-way implications

- And some is redundant, e.g.,
  - $C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow E \Rightarrow C \rightarrow E$
- Consider  $F' = \{A \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D\}$ , then  $F^+ = F'^+$ .
  - Now if R is decomposed into R1(A,B), R3(A,D,E) and R4(A,C,D), you can see that all dependencies are preserved.

In order to check if the decomposition is dependency preserving, you must consider  $(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots)^+ = F^+$  instead of just checking the given dependencies!

You can also find an equivalent (but simpler) set of FDs first before normalizing.