### 1. Text Preprocessing:

- Converted text to lowercase.
- o Removed numbers, special characters, and punctuation.
- o Eliminated **stopwords** using NLTK's predefined stopword list.

# 2. Feature Engineering:

 Applied TF-IDF vectorization (max\_features=10,000) to transform text into numerical features.

### 3. Model Training & Evaluation:

- Split the dataset into 80% training and 20% testing.
- o Trained a Naïve Bayes classifier on the processed data.
- Evaluated using accuracy, F1-score, and confusion matrix.

## **Challenges Faced**

- Handling Text Variability: The model struggled with misspellings, sarcasm, and nuanced language, which Naïve Bayes does not inherently handle well.
- **Feature Independence Assumption:** Naïve Bayes assumes that all features are independent, which is often unrealistic in natural language processing.
- **Imbalanced Classes (If Any):** If one sentiment was more frequent than others, it could bias predictions.

### **Model Performance & Improvements**

#### 1. Performance Metrics:

Accuracy: 85.72%F1-Score: 85.81%

#### 2. Observations:

- o The model performed **reasonably well**, capturing overall sentiment effectively.
- However, TF-IDF with Naïve Bayes may struggle with complex sentence structures and context-dependent words.

#### 3. Possible Improvements:

- Use Word Embeddings: Implementing Word2Vec or pretrained embeddings like
  GloVe could improve semantic understanding.
- Try Advanced Models: Exploring Logistic Regression, LSTMs, or BERT may enhance accuracy.
- Hyperparameter Tuning: Adjusting smoothing parameters (alpha) in Naïve Bayes could refine predictions.