Reinforcement Learning

 $V_{pi}(s)$ = Expected Value of reward (with discount factor)

- Measures how good each state is in our environment

 $Q_{pi}(s,a)$ = Expected value of reward if we take action a in state s

- Inputs policy pi
- Current state s
- Current action a

Bellman Equation

Optimal Q-function: $Q^*(s,a) \to Q$ -function for the optimal policy pi*, which gives max possible future reward when taking action a in state s

$$Q^*(s,a) = \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t\geq 0} \gamma^t r_t \mid s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi\right]$$

Q* encodes the optimal policy: $\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_{a'} Q(s, a')$

Bellman Equation states that Q* satisfies the following recurrence relation:

$$Q^*(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{r,s'} \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(s',a') \right]$$

Where $r \sim R(s,a), s' \sim P(s,a)$

- Just says that the best policy occurs when we repeatedly take the best action in every state we see

Any Q function that satisfies bellman equation must be Q* (most optimal)

Deep Q-Learning

Idea: Use Bellman Equation as iterative update rule

Idea: If we find a function Q(s, a) that satisfies the Bellman Equation, then it must be Q*. Start with a random Q, and use the Bellman Equation as an update rule:

$$Q_{i+1}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{r,s'} \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_i(s', a') \right]$$

Where $r \sim R(s, a), s' \sim P(s, a)$

Amazing fact: Q_i converges to Q^* as $i \to \infty$

Not tractable, very high branching factor which leads to extremely high numbers of compute Hack: Train neural network to approximate Q-function, and optimize network with Q-function

For some problems, it might be better to learn a direct mapping from states to actions **Policy Gradients**

- Takes a state as input, gives distribution over which action to take in that state
- Objective function: Expected future rewards when following policy pitheta

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{r \sim p_{\theta}} \left[\sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t \, r_t \, \right]$$

- Find the optimal policy by maximizing: $\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} J(\theta)$ (Use gradient ascent!)
- We don't actually know gradients through environment dJ/d(theta)
 - The world/simulation is not differentiable from our perspective
- Formulation: assign variable x to represent possible trajectories through environment

Policy Gradients: REINFORCE Algorithm

General formulation:
$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[f(x)]$$
 Want to compute $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$
$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[f(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{X} p_{\theta}(x) f(x) dx = \int_{X} f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p_{\theta}(x) dx$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{p_{\theta}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p_{\theta}(x) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p_{\theta}(x) = p_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(x)$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \int_X f(x) p_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(x) \ dx = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}} \left[f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(x) \right]$$

Approximate the expectation via sampling!

 Can approximate trajectories by sampling some number of trajectories from policy, which would let us approximate expected value

So, in order to get the gradient of J w.r.t theta to perform these gradient ascent steps, we need to compute $d[log(p_{theta}(x))] / d(theta)$.

Define: Let $x = (s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, ...)$ be the sequence of states and actions we get when following policy π_{θ} . It's random: $x \sim p_{\theta}(x)$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{t \geq 0} P(s_{t+1} | s_t) \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t) \Rightarrow \log p_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{t \geq 0} (\log P(s_{t+1} | s_t) + \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t))$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t) \begin{tabular}{l} Transition probabilities of environment. We can are learning this! \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} Action probabilities of policy. We can are learning this! \end{tabular}$$

So.... putting it all together:

Expected reward under π_{θ} :

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[f(x)]$$
$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}} \left[f(x) \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t) \right]$$

Sequence of states and actions when following policy $\pi_{ heta}$

f(x) - rewards we get by executing the trajectories sampled from the policies The stuff inside the sigma:

- Gradient of predicted action scores w.r.t model weights. Can obtain these values by back propagating through policy model

Intuition:

- When f(x) is high: Increase the probability of the actions we took
- When f(x) is low: Decrease the probability of the actions we took

Use REINFORCE rule

- Initialize random weights θ
- 2. Collect trajectories x and rewards f(x) using policy π_{θ}
- Compute dJ/dθ
- Gradient ascent step on θ
- 5. GOTO 2

Other models:

Actor-Critic

- Actor: predicts actions (like policy gradient)
- Critic: Predicts future rewards we get from taking those actions (like Q-learning)

Model-Based: Learn a model of the world's state transition function $P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t)$ and then use planning through the model to make decisions

Imitation Learning: Gather data about how experts perform in the environment, learn function to imitate what they do

Inverse RL: Gather data from experts, learn what reward function they seem to be optimizing, then use RL on that reward function

Adversarial Learning: Learn to fool a discriminator that classifies actions as real/fake

Can use RL algorithms to train more complex neural network architectures