Trading Results Analysis

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

     from scipy.stats import skew, kurtosis, geom, rv_histogram, powerlaw, expon
     from datetime import timedelta
```

```
[2]: figsize = (4,3)
```

1 Trades data analysis

I am performing walk forward testing of a trading algorithm that I wrote. The goal of this analysis is to draw some meaningfull conclusions about the performance of this account.

For the time being I decided not to share the dataset.

This is still a work in progress.

1.1 The data

The data contains information on 92 trades performed by my trading algorithm.

```
[3]: path = "/home/dev/Desktop/OrdersReport.csv"
```

```
[4]: raw = pd.read_csv(path, index_col=None, header=0, sep=",")
```

```
[5]: df = raw.copy()
```

The available columns are listed below:

```
[6]: df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 92 entries, 0 to 91
Data columns (total 15 columns):
 #   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  ------          --------------  -----
 0   Ticket          92 non-null     int64
 1   Magic           92 non-null     int64
```

```
 2   Comment         92 non-null    object
 3   Open Datetime   92 non-null    object
 4   Open Price      92 non-null    float64
 5   Close Datetime  92 non-null    object
 6   Close Price     92 non-null    float64
 7   Type            92 non-null    int64
 8   Lots            92 non-null    float64
 9   Symbol          92 non-null    object
10   Take Profit     92 non-null    float64
11   Stop Loss       92 non-null    float64
12   Profit          92 non-null    float64
13   Swap            92 non-null    float64
14   Commission      92 non-null    int64
dtypes: float64(7), int64(4), object(4)
memory usage: 10.9+ KB
```

The names of columns are fairly self explanatory. However I feel I should provide more information on these: * 'Ticket' is generated by the broker and is of no meaning. * 'Magic' column is used to distinguish between trading algorithms. * 'Comment' will be used to determine the reason why a trade was closed.

1.2 Data preparation

1.2.1 Data checks

The data is generated by a script written in MQL4. During the setup of the trading algorithms I made a mistake and entered the wrong 'magic' number into the algorithm. To rectify this mistake I switch the magic number to the correct value.

```
[7]: df.loc[df['Magic'] == 12345, 'Magic'] = 4444
```

I expect that there are no missing values.

```
[8]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
[8]: Ticket          0
     Magic           0
     Comment         0
     Open Datetime   0
     Open Price      0
     Close Datetime  0
     Close Price     0
     Type            0
     Lots            0
     Symbol          0
     Take Profit     0
     Stop Loss       0
     Profit          0
     Swap            0
```

```
Commission        0
dtype: int64
```

I then check for constant columns. I excpect the 'Commission' column to be all zeroes.

```
[9]: df.var() == 0
```

```
[9]: Ticket        False
     Magic         False
     Open Price    False
     Close Price   False
     Type          False
     Lots          False
     Take Profit   False
     Stop Loss     False
     Profit        False
     Swap          False
     Commission     True
     dtype: bool
```

Indeed, the commision columns is all zeroes.

```
[10]: df['Commission'][0]
```

```
[10]: 0
```

I expect that all open prices are positive, so I count how many are negative.

```
[11]: df[df['Open Price'] <= 0]['Open Price'].count()
```

```
[11]: 0
```

The same should apply to close prices.

```
[12]: df[df['Close Price'] <= 0]['Close Price'].count()
```

```
[12]: 0
```

Lots also should be positive.

```
[13]: df[df['Lots'] <= 0]['Lots'].count()
```

```
[13]: 0
```

1.2.2 Transformations

For aesthetic reasons, I strip the names of symbols from "+" and ".".

```
[14]: df['Symbol'] = df['Symbol'].apply(lambda x: x.replace("+", ""))
      df['Symbol'] = df['Symbol'].apply(lambda x: x.replace(".", ""))
```

Convert columns with timestamps from strings to datetimes.

```
[15]: df['Open Datetime'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Open Datetime'])
      df['Close Datetime'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Close Datetime'])
```

Chronological order is of utmost importance since I shall be performing running calculations later.

```
[16]: df = df.sort_values('Open Datetime')
      df = df.reset_index(drop=True)
```

1.2.3 New columns

In this section I add new columns to the dataset. I shall definitely want to perform aggregations by time so I add the necessary columns. I start with extracting the date, hour and day of week from the "Open Datetime" column.

```
[17]: df['Open Date'] = df['Open Datetime'].dt.date
      df['Open Hour'] = df['Open Datetime'].dt.hour
      df['Open Day'] = df['Open Datetime'].dt.day_name()
      df['Open Datetime Seconds'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Open Datetime'],␣
       ↪origin='unix').astype('int')
```

I extract the same information as above for the "Close Datetime" column.

```
[18]: df['Close Date'] = df['Close Datetime'].dt.date
      df['Close Hour'] = df['Close Datetime'].dt.hour
      df['Close Day'] = df['Close Datetime'].dt.day_name()
      df['Close Datetime Seconds'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Close Datetime'],␣
       ↪origin='unix').astype('int')
```

I calculate the duration of individual trades. The final value is in minutes.

```
[19]: df['Duration'] = (df['Close Datetime'] - df['Open Datetime'])
      df['Duration'] = df['Duration'].apply(lambda x: x.total_seconds() / 60)
```

I create a new column that is equall to the net profit from a trade.

```
[20]: df['Profit'] = df['Profit'] + df['Swap'] + df['Commission']
```

I need a 'Profit Per Lot' column because different trades have different lot sizes.

```
[21]: df['Profit Per Lot'] = df['Profit'] / ( df['Lots'] / 0.01)
```

I add two columns to describe the direction of a trade.

```
[22]: df['Order Type'] = df['Type'].apply(lambda x: "Buy" if x == 0 else "Sell")
      df['Direction'] = df['Type'].apply(lambda x: 1 if x == 0 else -1)
```

4

I extract the reason why a trade was closed from the 'Comment' column. The reason for closure is added by my broker to the end of my comment:

```
[23]: df['Comment'][0]
```

```
[23]: 'srl-1.03-242[[sl]]'
```

In order to extract the reason for closure I search for specific strings in the comment.

```
[24]: df['Stop Loss Hit'] = df['Comment'].apply(lambda x: 1 if "[[sl]]" in x else 0)
      df['Take Profit Hit'] = df['Comment'].apply(lambda x: 1 if "[[tp]]" in x else 0)
```

I calculate the percentage difference between the take profit and the stop loss columns. I define a helper function first:

```
[25]: def stops_dist(x):

          if x[2] == 1:
              return (x[0] / x[1] - 1) * 100
          else:
              return (x[1] / x[0] - 1) * 100
```

It is then applied to a subset of the original data.

```
[26]: df['Stops Distance'] = df[['Take Profit', 'Stop Loss', 'Direction']].
      ↪apply(stops_dist, axis=1)
```

1.2.4 Useless columns

I delete unwanted columns: * Ticket - Generated by the broker for their internal purposes. * Commission - Constant column equall to zero. * Comment - Information was extracted. * Type - Information was extracted. * Take Profit - Information was extracted and is not relevant to this analysis. * Stop Loss - Information was extracted and is not relevant to this analysis.

```
[27]: unwanted = ['Ticket',
                  'Commission',
                  'Comment',
                  'Type',
                  'Take Profit',
                  'Stop Loss']

      df.drop(unwanted, axis=1, inplace=True)
```

The shape of the original data was:

```
[28]: raw.shape
```

```
[28]: (92, 15)
```

After cleaning the shape is:

```
[29]: df.shape
```

```
[29]: (92, 24)
```

The clean data looks like this:

```
[30]: df.head()
```

```
[30]:    Magic      Open Datetime  Open Price      Close Datetime  Close Price  \
      0  4444 2020-05-19 11:31:00    1.09543 2020-05-19 11:39:00    1.09538
      1  4444 2020-05-19 12:17:00    1.09548 2020-05-19 12:23:00    1.09539
      2  4444 2020-05-19 17:40:00    1.09250 2020-05-19 21:59:00    1.09257
      3  4444 2020-05-20 13:03:00    1.09569 2020-05-20 13:20:00    1.09557
      4  4444 2020-05-20 13:57:00    1.09630 2020-05-20 14:29:00    1.09628

         Lots  Symbol  Profit  Swap   Open Date  …  Close Hour  Close Day  \
      0  0.01  EURUSD    0.21   0.0  2020-05-19  …          11    Tuesday
      1  0.01  EURUSD    0.38   0.0  2020-05-19  …          12    Tuesday
      2  0.01  EURUSD    0.30   0.0  2020-05-19  …          21    Tuesday
      3  0.01  EURUSD    0.50   0.0  2020-05-20  …          13  Wednesday
      4  0.01  EURUSD    0.09   0.0  2020-05-20  …          14  Wednesday

         Close Datetime Seconds  Duration  Profit Per Lot Order Type  Direction  \
      0     1589888340000000000       8.0            0.21       Sell         -1
      1     1589890980000000000       6.0            0.38       Sell         -1
      2     1589925540000000000     259.0            0.30        Buy          1
      3     1589980800000000000      17.0            0.50       Sell         -1
      4     1589984940000000000      32.0            0.09       Sell         -1

         Stop Loss Hit  Take Profit Hit Stops Distance
      0              1                0        0.457634
      1              1                0        0.452107
      2              1                0        0.908866
      3              1                0        0.452949
      4              1                0        0.457257

      [5 rows x 24 columns]
```

1.3 The basics

I'm going to start the analysis by computing basic statistics the should interest any algorithmic
trader.

Trading started on:

```
[31]: start = df['Open Date'].min()
      str(start)
```

```
[31]: '2020-05-19'
```

The last trade in the data was closed on:

```
[32]: stop = df['Close Date'].max()
      str(stop)
```

```
[32]: '2020-06-16'
```

That means the algorithms traded for nearly a month.

```
[33]: str(stop - start)
```

```
[33]: '28 days, 0:00:00'
```

Trades over the period in question:

```
[34]: df.shape[0]
```

```
[34]: 92
```

1.3.1 Profit

**Total profit**   Over the period in question the algorithms achieved a profit of:

```
[35]: np.round(df['Profit'].sum(), 2)
```

```
[35]: 118.02
```

Total profit by market   As can be seen the bulk of the profit comes from 'USDCHF'.

```
[36]: df_symbol = df[['Symbol', 'Profit']].groupby(['Symbol'], as_index=False).sum()

      plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.bar(df_symbol['Symbol'], df_symbol['Profit'])
      plt.xticks(df_symbol['Symbol'], rotation=90)
      plt.ylabel('Profit (zł)')
      plt.xlabel('Symbol')
      plt.show()
```

Total profit by market and trade direction The most money was made by shorting 'USD-CHF'. The most money was lost buying 'US500'.

```
[37]: df_mkt = df[['Symbol', 'Order Type', 'Direction', 'Profit']]
      df_mkt = df_mkt.groupby(['Symbol', 'Order Type'], as_index=False)
      df_mkt = df_mkt.agg({"Direction": [np.sum], "Profit": [np.sum]})
      df_mkt.columns = df_mkt.columns.droplevel(1)
      df_mkt['Direction'] = np.abs(df_mkt['Direction'])
      df_mkt = df_mkt.rename(columns={"Direction" : "Number of trades"})
      df_mkt.sort_values('Profit', ascending=False)
```

```
[37]:     Symbol Order Type  Number of trades  Profit
      8   USDCHF       Sell                10  180.18
      0   EURUSD        Buy                12   82.49
      2   GBPUSD        Buy                14   39.59
      6    US500       Sell                 5    3.86
      9   USDJPY        Buy                 1   -7.89
      7   USDCHF        Buy                 1   -8.20
      4   OILWTI       Sell                 4  -12.07
      3   GBPUSD       Sell                21  -33.66
      1   EURUSD       Sell                20  -49.54
      5    US500        Buy                 4  -76.74
```

Best days The 3 best days were:

```
[38]: df_cdate = df[['Close Date', 'Profit']].groupby(['Close Date'], as_index=False).
      ↪sum()
      df_cdate.sort_values('Profit', ascending=False).head(3)
```

```
[38]:     Close Date  Profit
      18  2020-06-15  139.11
      16  2020-06-11  115.00
      12  2020-06-05   94.04
```

Worst days   The worst 3 days were:

```
[39]: df_cdate.sort_values('Profit', ascending=True).head(3)
```

```
[39]:     Close Date  Profit
      17  2020-06-12  -87.14
      13  2020-06-08  -64.77
      4   2020-05-26  -32.51
```

Costs   Amount of swap paid:

```
[40]: np.sum(df['Swap'])
```

```
[40]: -7.6
```

1.3.2   Profit Per Lot

Since the trades vary in lot size it makes more sense to look at 'Profit Per Lot' than at 'Profit'.

Profit Per Lot histogram   As can be seen the distribution of 'Profit Per Lot' is nothing like a normal distribution:

```
[41]: width = 400
      height = 400
      dpi = 100

      plt.figure(figsize=(width/dpi, height/dpi))
      plt.hist(df['Profit Per Lot'])
      plt.ylabel('Frequency')
      plt.xlabel('Profit Per Lot (zł)')
      plt.tight_layout()
      plt.savefig('./img/profit_histogram.png')
      plt.show()
```

The mean of the distribution is:

```
[42]: np.round(np.mean(df['Profit Per Lot']), 2)
```

```
[42]: 0.49
```

However the median is negative so the most frequent trades were small losses:

```
[43]: np.round(np.quantile(df['Profit Per Lot'], 0.5), 2)
```

```
[43]: -7.5
```

Standard deviation is:

```
[44]: np.round(np.std(df['Profit Per Lot']), 2)
```

```
[44]: 22.85
```

Interquartile range:

```
[45]: np.round(np.quantile(df['Profit Per Lot'], 0.75) - np.quantile(df['Profit Per
      ↪Lot'], 0.25))
```

```
[45]: 14.0
```

The distribution exhibits positive skew of:

```
[46]: np.round(skew(df['Profit Per Lot']), 2)
```

```
[46]: 3.06
```

The distribution is also leptokurtic:

```
[47]: np.round(kurtosis(df['Profit Per Lot']), 2)
```

```
[47]: 15.45
```

Comment   This is to be expected given the design of the algorithms. They have a predifined maximum loss per trade (they will take small losses more frequently) but can hold winning trades for up to a week (hence the big wins).

Profit Per Lot by symbol   Given the presence of outliers I think it is apropriate that for the rest of this section I analyze the median of 'Profit Per Lot'. As can be seen by far the worst market was 'US500'.

```
[48]: df_ppl = df[['Symbol', 'Profit Per Lot']].groupby(['Symbol'], as_index=False).
      ↪quantile(0.5)
      df_ppl['Symbol'] = df_ppl['Symbol'].astype('str')

      plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.bar(df_ppl['Symbol'], df_ppl['Profit Per Lot'])
      plt.xticks(df_ppl['Symbol'], rotation=90)
      plt.ylabel('Median Profit Per Lot (zł)')
      plt.xlabel('Symbol')
      plt.show()
```

Profit Per Lot by day of week As can be seen no particular day of the week is better for trading.

```
[49]: df_day = df[['Open Day', 'Profit Per Lot']].groupby(['Open Day'],␣
      ↪as_index=False).quantile(0.5)
      df_day.rename(columns={"Open Day": "Day Of Week"}, inplace=True)

      plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.bar(df_day['Day Of Week'], df_day['Profit Per Lot'])
      plt.xticks(df_day['Day Of Week'], rotation=90)
      plt.ylabel('Median Profit Per Lot (zł)')
      plt.xlabel('Day Of Week')
      plt.show()
```

Profit Per Lot by open hour The median profit per lot is positive for 2pm and 4pm. This is very interesting because: * Around 2pm typically macroeconomic news is released. * The european session closes at 5pm.

```
[50]: df_hour = df[['Open Hour', 'Profit Per Lot']].groupby(['Open Hour'],
      ↪as_index=False).quantile(0.5)
      df_hour.rename(columns={"Open Hour": "Hour Of Day"}, inplace=True)

      plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.bar(df_hour['Hour Of Day'], df_hour['Profit Per Lot'])
      plt.ylabel('Median Profit Per Lot (zł)')
      plt.xlabel('Hour Of Day')

      ax = plt.gca()
      ax.xaxis.set_major_locator(plt.MaxNLocator(integer=True))

      plt.show()
```

1.3.3 Trades

Best trades   Here is a table with the 3 best trades.

```
[51]: df[['Symbol', 'Profit Per Lot', 'Profit']].sort_values('Profit Per Lot',␣
      ↪ascending=False).head(3)
```

```
[51]:      Symbol  Profit Per Lot  Profit
      79   US500          140.300  140.30
      49   USDCHF          87.545  175.09
      44   EURUSD          46.620   46.62
```

Worst trades   Here is a table with the 3 worst trades.

```
[52]: df[['Symbol', 'Profit Per Lot', 'Profit']].sort_values('Profit Per Lot',␣
      ↪ascending=True).head(3)
```

```
[52]:     Symbol  Profit Per Lot  Profit
      68  US500          -41.10  -41.10
      74  US500          -39.29  -39.29
      77  US500          -38.87  -38.87
```

Average win   A profitable trade on average nets:

```
[53]: avg_profit = np.round(df[df['Profit Per Lot'] >= 0]['Profit Per Lot'].mean(), 2)
      avg_profit
```

```
[53]: 17.34
```

14

Average loss   A unprofitable trade on average loses:

```
[54]: avg_loss = np.round(df[df['Profit Per Lot'] < 0]['Profit Per Lot'].mean(), 2)
      avg_loss
```

```
[54]: -10.84
```

Profit / loss ratio

```
[55]: np.round(np.abs(avg_profit / avg_loss), 2)
```

```
[55]: 1.6
```

Percent of winning trades   As can be seen winning trades occur 40% of the time.

```
[56]: win_prob = np.round(df[df['Profit Per Lot'] >= 0]['Profit Per Lot'].count() /␣
      ↪df.shape[0] * 100, 2)
      win_prob
```

```
[56]: 40.22
```

Percent of losing trades   Losing trades occur 60% of the time.

```
[57]: loss_prob = np.round(df[df['Profit Per Lot'] < 0]['Profit Per Lot'].count() /␣
      ↪df.shape[0] * 100, 2)
      loss_prob
```

```
[57]: 59.78
```

Probability of observing consecutive losses   I use the well known formula to compute the probability of a series of 10 losses happening. This calculation should be taken with a grain of salt because the probabilities are most surely not fixed.

```
[58]: X = geom(loss_prob / 100)
      df_geom = pd.DataFrame([np.arange(1,11), np.round(np.power(loss_prob/100, np.
      ↪arange(1,11)),2)]).T
      df_geom.columns = ['Losses', 'Probability']
      df_geom['Losses'] = df_geom['Losses'].astype('int')
      df_geom
```

```
[58]:    Losses  Probability
      0       1         0.60
      1       2         0.36
      2       3         0.21
      3       4         0.13
      4       5         0.08
      5       6         0.05
```

```
6        7           0.03
7        8           0.02
8        9           0.01
9       10           0.01
```

Trade duration   Next I inspect the histogram of the duration of individual trades.

```
[59]:  plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
       plt.hist(df['Duration'])
       plt.ylabel('Frequency')
       plt.xlabel('Time (min)')
       plt.show()
```


I wonder what distribution does this follow ? I create a random discrete random variable from binned data, and fit both a exponential and power law distribution.

```
[60]:  dur = df['Duration'].to_numpy()

       h = np.histogram(dur, bins=1000)
       D_emp = rv_histogram(h)

       a, loc, scale = powerlaw.fit(dur)
       D_power = powerlaw(a=a, loc=loc, scale=scale)

       loc, scale = expon.fit(dur)
       D_exp = expon(loc=loc, scale=scale)

       X = np.linspace(np.min(dur), np.max(dur), 1000)
```

Unfortunately, as can bee seen the cdf's do not match, but they could serve as a approximation if need be.

```
[61]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.plot(X, D_power.cdf(X), label="Power")
      plt.plot(X, D_exp.cdf(X), label="Exponential")
      plt.plot(X, D_emp.cdf(X), label="Empirical")
      plt.legend(loc='best')
      plt.show()
```



```
[62]: df_dur = df['Duration'].to_frame()
      df_dur['Duration'] = df_dur['Duration'].apply(lambda x: timedelta(minutes=x))
```

Longest trades   The longest trade took almost 4 days.

```
[63]: df_dur = df_dur.sort_values('Duration', ascending=False)
      df_dur.head(3)
```

```
[63]:         Duration
      8  3 days 18:01:00
      49 3 days 09:33:00
      9  3 days 08:06:00
```

Shortest trades   The shortest trade took 2 minutes.

```
[64]: df_dur = df_dur.sort_values('Duration', ascending=True)
      df_dur.head(3)
```

```
[64]:    Duration
      31 00:02:00
```

```
30 00:02:00
65 00:03:00
```

Trade duration vs Profit Per Lot The scatter plot shows that there seems to be a minimal relationship between the duration of a trade and its profitability. This is to be expected - as mentioned earlier the algorithm will hold on to winning trades.

```
[65]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.scatter(df['Profit Per Lot'], df['Duration'] / 60, s=3)
      plt.ylabel("Duration (min)")
      plt.xlabel("Profit Per Lot (zł)")
      plt.show()
```


Average number of Trades Per Day

```
[66]: df_open = df[['Open Date', 'Profit']]
      df_open = df_open.groupby(['Open Date'], as_index=False)
      df_open = df_open.count()
      df_open = df_open.rename(columns={'Profit': 'Trades Per Day'})
```

The average number of trades per day is:

```
[67]: np.round(df_open['Trades Per Day'].mean(), 2)
```

```
[67]: 4.84
```

Trades Per Day histogram

```
[68]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.hist(df_open['Trades Per Day'])
      plt.ylabel('Frequency')
      plt.xlabel('Trades Per Day')
      plt.show()
```


### 1.3.4 Time spent in trade by market

I calculate the percent of time spent in trades by summing up all trade durations. I then divide
that number by number of minutes in the trading period. As can be seen almost half the trading
period the algorithms had positions in 'GBPUSD' and 'USDCHF'. The least time was spent trading
'OILWTI'.

```
[69]: start = df['Open Date'].min()
      stop = df['Close Date'].max()

      total = (stop-start).total_seconds() / 60

      df_time = df[['Symbol', 'Duration']].groupby('Symbol', as_index=False).sum()
      df_time['Percent'] = np.round(df_time['Duration'] / total * 100)

      plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.bar(df_time['Symbol'], df_time['Percent'])
      plt.xticks(df_time['Symbol'], rotation=90)
      plt.ylabel('Time In Trade (%)')
      plt.xlabel('Symbol')
      plt.show()
```

1.3.5 Orders

There are 5 ways a order can get closed. 1. By me. (Did not occur) 2. By the algorithm. 3. Market moves above/below take profit. 4. Market moves above/below stop loss. 5. Broker closes trades open longer than one year. (Did not occur)

So I have 3 situations to examine.

Percent of stop loss hits

```
[70]: np.round(df['Stop Loss Hit'].sum() / df.shape[0] * 100, 2)
```

```
[70]: 84.78
```

Percent of take profit hits

```
[71]: np.round(df['Take Profit Hit'].sum() / df.shape[0] * 100, 2)
```

```
[71]: 11.96
```

Percent closed by algorithms Specifically, this is the percent of trades closed because the trade triggered different logic than moving take profit and stop loss orders.

```
[72]: np.round(np.sum((df['Take Profit Hit'] == 0) & (df['Stop Loss Hit'] == 0)) / df.
       ↪shape[0] * 100, 2)
```

```
[72]: 3.26
```

Stop order distance This histogram is very interesting as it shows a way I could potentially improve the logic of my algorithm. Placing orders 10% away from the market for the type of strategy the algorithms trade seems pointless.

```python
[73]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.hist(df['Stops Distance'])
      plt.ylabel('Frequency')
      plt.xlabel('Distance (%)')
      plt.show()
```


1.3.6 Drawdown

In trading drawdown refers to the difference between the high point in a equity curve and succeding low point. I am interested in the the difference between the high point and the low point as well as the duration of the drawdown.

Max drawdown I define a helper function to locate the points in question.

```python
[74]: def max_drawdown(arr):

          size = arr.shape[0]
          arr = np.cumsum(arr)
          start = np.argmax(arr)
          stop = np.argmin(arr[start:])

          return start, arr[start], start + stop, arr[start + stop]
```

```python
[75]: d1, v1, d2, v2 = max_drawdown(df['Profit'])
```

Cumulative profit   I draw a plot of the cumulative profit over time and mark the high and low points.

```
[76]: width = 800
      height = 400
      dpi = 100

      plt.figure(figsize=(width/dpi, height/dpi))
      plt.plot(np.cumsum(df['Profit']))
      plt.ylabel("Profit (zł)")
      plt.xlabel("Number of trade")
      plt.scatter(d1, v1, c="green", label="Start of max drawdown")
      plt.scatter(d2, v2, c="red", label="End of max drawdown")
      plt.legend(loc='best')
      plt.title('Maximum drawdown', fontsize=18)
      plt.tight_layout()
      plt.savefig('./img/drawdown.png')
      plt.show()
```


Max drawdown duration   I find that the duration of the max drawdown was:

```
[77]: drawdown_dur = df.loc[d2, "Open Datetime"] - df.loc[d1, "Open Datetime"]
      str(drawdown_dur)
```

```
[77]: '4 days 03:48:00'
```

Time in max drawdown   Percent of time spent in drawdown:

```
[78]: np.round(drawdown_dur.total_seconds() / total / 60 * 100, 2)
```

Max drawdown amount The difference between the high point in the equity curve and the low point is:

```
[79]: v1 - v2
```

[79]: 243.01

2 Monte Carlo

2.0.1 Simulating trades

Assumption: * Future trades will be similar to the ones in the dataset. * The algorithms trade size is fixed at 0.01 lots.

The 'Profit Per Lot' column is sampled with replacement and summed up to simulate possible outcomes for the next 100 trades.

I shall answer the following questions: 1. What is the probability that over the next 100 trades the account will grow ? 2. How much can I expect to lose in the worst case ? 3. How much can I expect to gain in the best case ?

Below is a visual to explain the process. Each line represents a different 'future'. I am interested in the distribution of these 'futures'.

```python
[80]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      np.random.seed(12346)

      for _ in range(10):

          X = np.random.choice(df['Profit Per Lot'], replace=True, size=10)
          X = np.hstack([np.array([0]), X])
          plt.plot(np.cumsum(X))
          plt.xlabel("Trades")
          plt.ylabel("Total Profit (zł)")
```

100000 samples are chosen with replacement and summed up to get the final profit.

```
[81]: nrows = 10**5 # Number of simulations
      ncols = 10**2 # Number of trades

      X = np.random.choice(df['Profit Per Lot'], replace=True, size=(nrows, ncols))
      X = np.cumsum(X, axis=1)
      X = X[:, ncols-1]
      density, bins = np.histogram(X, density=True, bins=200)
      X_unity = density / density.sum()
```

2.0.2 PDF from simulation

I use the data from the simulation to create a pdf.

```
[82]: width = 800
      height = 400
      dpi = 100

      loss_prob = int(100 - np.sum(X > 0) / X.shape[0] * 100)
      mask = bins[1:] <= 0

      plt.figure(figsize=(width/dpi, height/dpi))
      plt.plot(bins[1:], X_unity, c='black')
      plt.fill_between(x=bins[1:][mask], y1=0, y2=X_unity[mask], alpha=1/2)
      plt.ylabel('Likelihood')
      plt.xlabel('Profit (zł)')
      plt.text(-250, 0.005, s=f'{loss_prob}%', color='white', fontsize=24)
      plt.ylim(0)
```

```
plt.xlim(np.min(bins[1:]), np.max(bins[1:]))
plt.title('Probability of loss over the next 100 days.', fontsize=18)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig('./img/probability_of_loss.png')
plt.show()
```



### 2.0.3 CDF from simulation

I use the data from the simulation to create a cdf.

```
[83]: plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
      plt.plot(bins[1:], np.cumsum(X_unity))
      plt.ylabel(r"$P(X \leq x)$")
      plt.xlabel("Profit (zł)")
      plt.show()
```

2.0.4 Probability of profit

From the simulated data I can calculate the probability of making money over the next 100 trades:

```
[84]: np.round(np.sum(X > 0) / X.shape[0] * 100, 2)
```

```
[84]: 56.63
```

2.0.5 Best case scenario

In the best case scenario I can expect to make:

```
[85]: alpha = 0.05
      np.round(np.quantile(X, 1-alpha), 2)
```

```
[85]: 443.62
```

The maximum profit in the simulation was:

```
[86]: np.round(np.max(X), 2)
```

```
[86]: 1305.32
```

2.0.6 Worst case scenario

In the worst case scenario I can expect to lose:

```
[87]: np.round(np.quantile(X, alpha), 2)
```

```
[87]: -306.93
```

The minimum profit in the simulation was:

```
[88]: np.round(np.min(X))
```

[88]: -773.0