## Optimization Methods for Mechanical Design (ME7223)

## Assignment 3

## September 13, 2020

Max marks: 20 Due date: 17th Sept 2020

## Instructions

- Answer all questions.
- Assume any missing data appropriately.
- Append the graphs to the scanned version of the answer sheets.
- Contact the TA (Mayank Raj) if you have any questions.
- 1. A symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive definite iff  $x^T \mathbf{M} x > 0$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times 1}$ . Find a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  to show that the following matrix in not positive definite: (1.5)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Conclude that a diagonal element of a positive definite matrix can not be negative.

- 2. The Hessian matrix  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$  corresponding to a function  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ;  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times 1}$ , is defined such that  $H_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ . Given that both the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and it's derivative  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  are continuous and diffrentiable, answer the following questions with respect to the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and its Hessian  $\mathbf{H}$ :
  - (a) Is **H** always a symmetric matrix?
  - (b) For what kind of functions,  $\mathbf{H}$  would be independent of spatial coordinates  $\mathbf{x}$ , give an example of such function.
  - (c) For what kind of functions, **H** would be a function of spatial coordinates **x**.
  - (d) What does the quantity  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y}$  mean physically, where  $\mathbf{y}$  is a small change in vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - (e) What does the quantity  $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y}$  mean physically, where  $\mathbf{y}$  is a small change in vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- 3. Consider the following problem:

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} f = (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$

(3)

subject to

$$2 \ge x_1 + x_2$$

$$x_2 \ge x_1^2$$

Using Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions, find which of the following vectors are local minima:

$$\mathbf{x_1} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 0.5 \end{Bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x_2} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x_3} = \begin{Bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

4. Using Kuhn-Tucker conditions, find the value(s) of  $\beta$  for which the point  $x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 2$  will be optimal to the problem:

$$\max_{x_1, x_2} f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + \beta x_2$$

subject to

$$g_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 5 \le 0$$

$$g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2 - 2 \le 0$$

Verify your result using a graphical procedure.

5. Find a usable and feasible direction **S** at (a)  $\mathbf{x_1} = \{-1, 5\}^T$  (b)  $\mathbf{x_2} = \{2, 3\}^T$  for the following problem:

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 5)^2$$

subject to

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1^2 + x_2 - 4 \le 0$$

$$g_2(\mathbf{x}) = -(x_1 - 2)^2 + x_2 - 3 \le 0$$

(2)

(3)

6. Determine and show if the following functions are convex:

(a) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = -4x_1 + x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2$$

(b) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_1^3 - 2x_2^2$$

7. Determine and show if the following optimization problem is convex:

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} f(x_1, x_2) = 9x_1^2 - 18x_1x_2 + 13x_1 - 4$$

subject to

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 > 16$$

8. Consider the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{x} + c$ , where:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 18\alpha & 2 - 2\alpha \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(3)

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c = \alpha$$

- (a) Is the Hessian of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  symmetric? Justify.
- (b) Is a quadratic function always bound to be either convex or concave?
- (c) Find the range of  $\alpha$  for which  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is strictly convex along the direction  $x_2 = (1 \alpha)x_1$  and strictly concave along the direction  $x_1 = x_2$ .
- (d) Will the minima obtained from the following optimization problem, for  $\alpha = -8$ , be the global minima (in context of the constraints)?

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{x} + c$$

subject to

$$x_2 = (1 - \alpha) x_1$$