Large Cardinals in Set Theory: A Literature Survey

Introduction

Large cardinals are pivotal objects in modern set theory, representing infinite cardinal numbers with strong combinatorial, structural, and logical properties that transcend the standard axioms of Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with Choice (ZFC). They serve as powerful axioms of infinity, extending the expressive and deductive strength of set theory, and play a fundamental role in understanding the set-theoretic universe's architecture. The study of large cardinals not only enriches the hierarchy of infinite cardinals but also underpins significant consistency and independence results, inner model theory, descriptive set theory, and forcing techniques. This literature survey synthesizes a broad spectrum of research on large cardinals, covering their definitions, hierarchies, interactions with forcing and inner models, applications to determinacy and topology, and recent advances in their conceptual and technical frameworks.

Hierarchies and Fundamental Properties of Large Cardinals

The foundational understanding of large cardinals begins with classical types such as inaccessible, measurable, strongly compact, supercompact, and extendible cardinals, each defined by increasingly strong embedding and combinatorial properties (Drake, 1974; Kanamori, 2005). Measurable cardinals, characterized by the existence of a nonprincipal, κ-complete ultrafilter, are central in this hierarchy and have deep connections to elementary embeddings and ultrapowers (Yamaguchi, 1985; Bukowsk'y & Prikry, 1966; Blass, 1976). The hierarchy extends further to Woodin, huge, and rank-to-rank cardinals, which involve more sophisticated embedding structures and critical points, often linked with determinacy axioms and inner model constructions (Welch, 2015; Steel, 2002; Schlutzenberg, 2020).

Kanamori's comprehensive historical and mathematical account (2005) traces the evolution of these notions, emphasizing the linear hierarchy of increasing consistency strength and their role as measuring rods for set-theoretic strength. This hierarchy is enriched by the introduction of newer large cardinal axioms, such as α-tremendous, hyper-tremendous, α-enormous, and hyper-enormous cardinals, which extend beyond classical large cardinals and are motivated by reflection principles and elementary embeddings with intricate coherence properties (McCallum, 2018). These new axioms suggest potential natural extensions of large cardinal theory with profound implications for inner model theory and the Ultimate-L program.

The interplay among large cardinals is further elucidated by studies of relationships between strongly compact, strong, and tall cardinals, revealing intricate model-theoretic interactions and equivalences (Apter, 2022). For instance, strongly compact cardinals can coincide with strong cardinals in certain models, and measurable cardinals often appear as limits of strong cardinals, showcasing the nuanced structure of the large cardinal hierarchy.

Large Cardinals, Forcing, and Inner Models

Forcing techniques have been instrumental in analyzing and manipulating large cardinal properties, enabling the construction of models with desired cardinal characteristics and consistency results (Poveda, 2021; Apter, 2021; Golshani, 2019). The use of Prikry-type forcing to change measurable cardinals into accessible cardinals exemplifies how forcing can alter cardinal characteristics while preserving large cardinal strength (Golshani, 2019). Similarly, supercompact extender based forcings have been employed to produce models where all regular uncountable cardinals are measurable in the inner model HOD (Hereditarily Ordinal Definable sets), illustrating the deep connections between forcing, large cardinals, and definability (Gitik & Merimovich, 2016).

The indestructibility of large cardinal properties under forcing is a significant theme, with results showing that certain strongly compact cardinals maintain their compactness under directed closed forcing or specific add-forcing notions, highlighting their robustness (Apter, 2021). Moreover, the development of Σ-Prikry forcing provides a uniform framework for handling Prikry-type forcings at successors of singular cardinals, advancing the combinatorial understanding of large cardinals in forcing contexts (Poveda, 2021).

Inner model theory aims to construct canonical models containing large cardinals, extending G"odel{'}s constructible universe L, which cannot accommodate measurable or larger cardinals (Trang, 2020; Hjorth, 1996). The construction of core models with multiple Woodin cardinals and the fine-structural analysis of rank-to-rank embeddings deepen the understanding of large cardinal embeddings and their definability, even in choiceless contexts (Steel, 2002; Schlutzenberg, 2020; Goldberg, 2020). The HOD Dichotomy Theorem and related results reveal that under large cardinal assumptions, HOD is either close to or far from the universe V, with implications for the Ultimate-L Conjecture and inner model structure (Bagaria, Koellner, & Woodin, 2019; Apter & Friedman, 2011).

Recent work introduces Laver-generic large cardinal axioms for extendible cardinals, extending the scope of generic large cardinal hypotheses and linking them to reflection principles and absoluteness results (Fuchino, 2025). These developments underscore the evolving landscape of large cardinal axioms and their foundational significance.

Large Cardinals, Determinacy, and Descriptive Set Theory

Large cardinals are intimately connected to determinacy axioms and descriptive set theory, providing the consistency strength necessary to establish regularity properties of definable sets of reals (Welch, 2015; Kechris & Woodin, 2016). Woodin cardinals, in particular, are pivotal in analyzing projective determinacy and beyond, with the existence of infinitely many Woodin cardinals implying strong determinacy hypotheses and regularity properties such as Lebesgue measurability (Kanamori, 2005; M"uller, 2018).

The constructible universe relative to the reals, L($\mathbb{R}$), and its extensions incorporating measures on the reals have been studied to understand the fine structure influenced by large cardinals, leading to applications in descriptive set theory and inner model theory (Trang, 2015). The equivalence of partition properties and determinacy further highlights how large cardinal assumptions underpin deep combinatorial and definability results in the realm of sets of reals (Kechris & Woodin, Unknown Year).

Moreover, the study of mice{—}canonical inner models with finitely many Woodin cardinals{—}and their iterability properties connects determinacy hypotheses to the existence of such mice, establishing determinacy transfer theorems and advancing descriptive inner model theory (M"uller, 2018; Sargsyan, 2021). These results demonstrate the foundational role of large cardinals in bridging set theory with analysis and topology through determinacy.

Applications and Extensions: Topology, Model Theory, and Beyond

Large cardinals have significant applications beyond pure set theory, influencing topology, model theory, and category theory. The interaction of measurable cardinals with topological properties, such as Kuratowski partitions of Baire spaces and precipitous ideals, reveals deep structural connections between set theory and topology (Kusi'nski, 2022; Kusi'nski, 2025; Comfort & Negrepontis, 1970). These studies link large cardinal hypotheses to measure-theoretic and topological phenomena, including extensions of Lebesgue measure and density topologies.

In model theory, large cardinal axioms facilitate tameness and type shortness in Abstract Elementary Classes (AECs), enabling categoricity transfer results and confirming conjectures such as Shelah's Eventual Categoricity Conjecture under strong large cardinal assumptions (Boney, 2013). The connection between large cardinals and exact functors in category theory further illustrates their foundational impact, with measurable cardinals characterized by the existence of nontrivial exact endofunctors on the category of sets (Blass, 1976).

Recent advances also explore large cardinals beyond the Axiom of Choice, investigating choiceless large cardinals such as Reinhardt cardinals and their implications for the structure of the set-theoretic universe, challenging traditional paradigms and opening new directions in large cardinal theory (Bagaria, Koellner, & Woodin, 2019).

Conclusion

The extensive body of research on large cardinals in set theory reveals their central role in shaping the foundations and frontiers of mathematical logic. From their hierarchical classification and embedding characterizations to their profound interactions with forcing, inner model theory, determinacy, and topology, large cardinals serve as indispensable tools for understanding the infinite and the structure of the mathematical universe. Recent developments continue to expand their conceptual framework, introducing novel axioms, refining forcing techniques, and exploring choiceless contexts, thereby deepening our comprehension of set-theoretic hierarchies and foundational principles. This literature survey underscores that large cardinals remain a vibrant and evolving area of research, bridging diverse domains and fostering advances across logic and mathematics.

References

Apter, A. (2021). Indestructibility when the first two measurable cardinals are strongly compact. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Apter, A. (2022). Tall, Strong, and Strongly Compact Cardinals. *Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics.*

Bagaria, J., Koellner, P., & Woodin, W. (2019). Large Cardinals Beyond Choice. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic.*

Blass, A. (1976). Exact functors and measurable cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

Boney, W. (2013). Tameness from large cardinal axioms. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Drake, F. (1974). Set theory: an introduction to large cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

Eskew, M. (2015). Some Mutually Inconsistent Generic Large Cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

Fuchino, S. (2025). Extendible cardinals, and Laver-generic large cardinal axioms for extendibility. *Unknown Venue.*

Gitik, M., & Merimovich, C. (2016). Some applications of supercompact extender based forcings to HOD. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Golshani, M. (2019). Changing measurable into small accessible cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

Goldberg, G. (2020). Rank-to-rank embeddings and Steel{'}s conjecture. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Hjorth, G. (1996). Two Applications of Inner Model Theory to the Study of Sets. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic.*

Kanamori, A. (2005). The higher infinite: large cardinals in set theory from their beginnings. *Unknown Venue.*

Kechris, A., & Woodin, W. (2016). (set theory/descriptive set theory/constructible from the reals universe). *Unknown Venue.*

Kechris, A., & Woodin, W. (Unknown Year). Equivalence of partition properties and determinacy (set theory / descriptive set theory / constructible from the reals universe). *Unknown Venue.*

Kusi'nski, S. (2025). Connections Between Kuratowski Partitions of Baire Spaces, Measurable Cardinals, and Precipitous Ideals. *Symmetry.*

McCallum, R. (2018). New Large Cardinal Axioms and the Ultimate-L Program. *Unknown Venue.*

M"uller, S. (2018). Pure and Hybrid Mice with Finitely Many Woodin Cardinals from Levels of Determinacy. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic.*

Poveda, A. (2021). Contributions to the Theory of Large Cardinals through the Method of Forcing. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic.*

Ryan-Smith, C. (2024). Proper classes of maximal θ-independent families from large cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

Sargsyan, G. (2021). Descriptive Inner Model Theory. *Unknown Venue.*

Schlutzenberg, F. (2020). Extenders under ZF and constructibility of rank-to-rank embeddings. *Fundamenta Mathematicae.*

Steel, J. (2002). Core models with more Woodin cardinals. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Trang, N. (2015). Structure Theory of L($\mathbb{R}$, μ) and Its Applications. *Journal of Symbolic Logic (JSL).*

Trang, N. (2020). A brief account of recent developments in inner model theory. *Unknown Venue.*

Usuba, T. (2014). THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY AND THE CHAIN CONDITION (Reflection principles and set theory of large cardinals). *Unknown Venue.*

Welch, P. (2015). Large Cardinals, Inner Models, and Determinacy: An Introductory Overview. *Unknown Venue.*

Yamaguchi, J. (1985). Aspects of Large Cardinals. *Unknown Venue.*

?? ? (2014). ?? Akihiro Kanamori: The Higher Infinite: Large Cardinals in Set Theory from Their Beginnings, Corr. 2nd ed., Springer Monogr. Math. *Unknown Venue.*

?? ?, ?? ?? (2014). A reflection principle formulated in terms of games (Reflection principles and set theory of large cardinals). *Unknown Venue.*

Lietz, A. (2024). Forcing “NS_{ω_1} is ω_1-Dense” from Large Cardinals. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*.