
Building a Robust AI Agent in TORCS using Trust-Region Policy Optimization

Anonymous Authors¹ Kostya Sebov

1. Introduction

1.1. Synopsis

The Open Racing Car Simulator (TORCS) is an environment accessible via OpenAI's gym and is perfectly suited for building and testing reinforcement learning algorithms that can enable an agent to learn how to drive virtually. My partner and I are especially interested in developing a robust learning algorithm via trust-region policy optimization (Schulman et al., 2015), policy-based learning approach that will ultimately lead to the agent learning to drive in a manner that minimizes both the completion time on the race course and the likelihood of collisions and going off-course.

Other attempts have been made to train an agent to properly race in this environment, including the popular paper written by Google's DeepMind (Silver et al., 2016) on continuous control that used deep deterministic policy gradients (DDPG) to attain noteworthy results. However, there is still ground to be covered to attain consistent stability and seamless maneuvering in this environment and those are the gaps we will attempt to close.

1.2. Importance

Given the rise of self-driving car technology, mostly spearheaded by Tesla, it is natural for students of machine learning and artificial intelligence to ponder and probe the various complications in developing such technology, primarily the technicalities in determining how the agent optimally balances exploration and exploitation without exposing the passenger to avoidable danger.

We do not want to productionize autonomous vehicles that choose actions purely stochastically (completely randomly) but rather one that is risk-averse and avoids exploring actions such as flooring the gas that would lead to dangerous scenarios. In order to be both a safe and effective driver, these agents must be highly robust. Hence, this project is a potential step towards realizing this greater goal.

2. Approach

For reference, the state-space in this environment consists of the following:

- Angle between the car direction and the direction of the track axis. Ranges from $[-180, 180]$ degrees.
- A Vector of 19 range finder sensors: each sensor returns the distance between the track edge and the car within a range of 200 meters
- Distance between the car and the track axis. The value is normalized w.r.t. to the track width: it is 0 when the car is on the axis, values greater than 1 or -1 means the car is outside of the track.
- Speed of the car along the longitudinal axis of the car. This is the central term in the reward function.
- Speed of the car along the transverse axis of the car.
- Speed of the car along the z-axis of the car.
- Vector of 4 sensors representing the rotation speed of wheels.
- Number of rotation per minute of the car engine.

Additionally, the action-space consists of:

- **Steering:** .
- **Acceleration:**
- **Brake:**

2.1. High-Level Methodology

We started by reproducing the results on TORCS for deep deterministic policy gradients with experience replay as done in the aforementioned continuous-control paper by DeepMind. From there, we train the agent on different tracks to prevent overfitting and tune the hyperparameters until satisfactory performance is reached. In particular, we start out with the easier tracks with level roads and minimal curves so the agent can focus learning specifically how to

055 accelerate and brake and then train on progressively more
056 difficult tracks so the agent learns how to steer while simulta-
057 neously adjusting speed with the accelerator and brake. We
058 also adjust different hyperparameters including batch-size
059 (number of frames per episode) and the reward function,
060 which in its vanilla form is simply the observed longitudinal
061 velocity of the car.

062 Then, we implement trust-region policy optimization instead
063 and proceed to follow the same set of steps outlined in the
064 previous paragraph until performance stabilizes. Finally,
065 once the TRPO-based model is fully trained, we want to
066 compare the two approaches (DDPG and TRPO) in terms
067 of how quickly the agent reaches the finish line as well as
068 the number of collisions and other blunders on various test
069 tracks. Hopefully, TRPO validates our hypothesis and yields
070 a more robust driving agent.
071

072 **2.2. Current Status**

073
074 Currently, we have the deep deterministic policy gradient
075 implementation up and running. This implementation in-
076 cludes experience replay via re-sampling from a maintained
077 buffer, target Q-network for stability during training process,
078 and a Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process for exploration. The
079 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is a stochastic process with
080 built-in mean-reverting properties with tunable parameters
081 controlling rate of reversion to the mean and rate of drift
082 from the mean. Sufficient training has been done to the
083 extent that the agent is now able to drive relatively well and
084 usually complete the tracks it was trained on. Occasionally,
085 the agent crashes or gets stuck somewhere on the track and
086 cannot recover.

087 One major change we made to the reward function to get
088 better performance was penalize the agent for driving in the
089 traverse direction. This did in fact reduce the training time
090 required and slightly better steering. However, it is still a
091 work in progress and may need different modifications with
092 the TRPO implementation.
093

094 **2.3. Future Objectives**

095
096 First things first, we need to ensure that when an agent gets
097 stuck it finds a way to get back onto the track. Even better,
098 we can prevent the agent from getting trapped in the first
099 place by having it learn to hit the brake stochastically in a
100 similar fashion to human drivers. In other words, the agent
101 will learn to "feel out" the brake and consequently execute
102 better turns and avert crashes.
103

104 Secondly, we need to actually implement trust-region policy
105 optimization end-to-end. The appeal behind this approach
106 over others is that it's designed for robustness and empiri-
107 cally tends to result in monotonic policy improvement. Fur-
108 ther, it tends to require very little tuning of hyperparameters
109

to function properly.

Of course, implementing TRPO, training, and model-tuning
in order to get the agent to perform will require substantially
more time and effort. We may need to make several tweaks
along the way to ensure TRPO functions as intended and
ultimately converges to a robust policy.