

CMPE 320: Probability, Statistics, and Random Processes

Lecture 5: Independence

Spring 2018

Seung-Jun Kim

Announcement

- Instructor's O/H on Tuesday (2/13) moved to Friday (2/16) (just for this one time). The time is noon – 1pm. *ITE 312*
- The TA will still have the O/H on Thursday during noon – 1pm at ITE 353.

Independence

- $P(A|B)$ captures the partial information that B provides about A
- Sometimes observing event B does not provide any information on A

$$P(A|B) = P(A)$$

\Leftrightarrow Events A and B are independent

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = P(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) \quad \text{Also: } P(B|A) = P(B)$$

Disjoint events are not independent

$$\text{Say } A \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(\emptyset) = 0 \neq P(A)P(B) \quad \text{unless } A \text{ or } B = \emptyset$$

A and A^c are never independent
(again unless A or $A^c = \emptyset$)

In fact, knowing A has happened provides a LOT of information on A^c happening.

Example 1.19. Consider an experiment involving two successive rolls of a 4-sided die in which all 16 possible outcomes are equally likely and have probability $1/16$.

(a) Are the events

$$A_i = \{\text{1st roll results in } i\}, \quad B_j = \{\text{2nd roll results in } j\},$$

independent?

$$P(A_i \cap B_j) = P(\text{the outcome is } (i, j)) = \frac{1}{16}$$

$$P(A_i) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(B_j) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(A_i \cap B_j) = P(A_i) P(B_j)$$

$\Rightarrow A_i$ and B_j are independent

$$A = \{\text{1st roll is a 1}\}, \quad B = \{\text{sum of the two rolls is a 5}\},$$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(\{(1, 4)\}) = \frac{1}{16}$$

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(B) &= P(\{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1)\}) \\ &= P(1, 4) + P(2, 3) + P(3, 2) + P(4, 1) \\ &= \frac{4}{16} = \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{16} \quad P(A) P(B) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{16}$$

$\therefore A$ and B are independent

$A = \{\text{maximum of the two rolls is 2}\}$, $B = \{\text{minimum of the two rolls is 2}\}$,

$$P(A \cap B) = P(\{(2,2)\}) = \frac{1}{16}$$

$$P(A) = P(\{(1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}) = \frac{3}{16}$$

$$P(B) = P(\{(2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (3,2), (4,2)\}) = \frac{5}{16}$$

$$P(A \cap B) \neq P(A)P(B)$$

$\therefore A$ and B are dependent

Conditional independence

- Given that event C has happened, additionally knowing that event B happened does not add any information regarding event A

$$P(A | B \cap C) = P(A | C)$$

\Leftrightarrow Events A and B are conditionally independent (conditioned on C)

$$P(A | B \cap C) = \frac{P(A \cap B | C)}{P(B | C)} = P(A | C)$$

cond. prob. definition
to $P(\cdot | C)$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(A \cap B | C) = P(B | C)P(A | C)$$

Example 1.20. Consider two independent fair coin tosses, in which all four possible outcomes are equally likely. Let

$$H_1 = \{\text{1st toss is a head}\},$$

$$H_2 = \{\text{2nd toss is a head}\},$$

$$D = \{\text{the two tosses have different results}\}.$$

Are H_1 and H_2 independent conditioned on D ?

$$P(H_1|D) = \frac{\#(H_1 \cap D)}{\#(D)} = \frac{\#\{HT\}}{\#\{HT, TH\}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(H_2|D) = \frac{\#\{TH\}}{\#\{HT, TH\}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(H_1 \cap H_2 | D) = \frac{\#(H_1 \cap H_2 \cap D)}{\#D} = \frac{0}{2} = 0$$

$\therefore P(H_1 \cap H_2 | D) \neq P(H_1 | D) P(H_2 | D) \Rightarrow H_1 \times H_2$ are not conditionally indep.

Example 1.21. There are two coins, a blue and a red one. We choose one of the two at random, each being chosen with probability $1/2$, and proceed with two independent tosses. The coins are biased: with the blue coin, the probability of heads in any given toss is 0.99 , whereas for the red coin it is 0.01 .

$H_i = \{i\text{-th toss resulted in a head}\}$, $B = \{\text{the blue coin was selected}\}$

$$P(H_i | B^c) \quad P(H_i | B)$$

1) Are H_1 and H_2 conditionally independent given B ? 2) Are H_1 and H_2 (unconditionally) independent?

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_1) &= P((H_1 \cap B) \cup (H_1 \cap B^c)) = P(H_1 \cap B) + P(H_1 \cap B^c) \\ &= P(B)P(H_1|B) + P(B^c)P(H_1|B^c) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times 0.99 + \frac{1}{2} \times 0.01 = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(H_2) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(H_1 \cap H_2) = \underbrace{P(H_1 \cap H_2 | B)}_{0.99 \times 0.99} \underbrace{P(B)}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{P(H_1 \cap H_2 | B^c)}_{0.01 \times 0.01} \underbrace{P(B^c)}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= 0.99 \times 0.99 \times \frac{1}{2} + 0.01 \times 0.01 \times \frac{1}{2}$$

$\therefore P(H_1 \cap H_2) \neq P(H_1)P(H_2) \therefore H_1$ and H_2 are dependent

Independence of collection of events

- Events A_1, A_2 and A_3 are independent if

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1) P(A_2)$$

$$P(A_2 \cap A_3) = P(A_2) P(A_3)$$

$$P(A_3 \cap A_1) = P(A_3) P(A_1)$$

} pairwise independence

$$\rightarrow P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) = P(A_1) P(A_2) P(A_3)$$

Important to check this one, in addition to the pairwise independence.

Pairwise independence does not imply independence

- 2 independent fair coin tosses

$H_1 = \{1^{\text{st}} \text{ coin is a head}\}, H_2 = \{2^{\text{nd}} \text{ toss is a head}\}$

$D = \{\text{the two tosses have different results}\}$

H_1 and H_2 are independent by definition.

$$P(D|H_1) = \frac{P(\overline{D} \cap H_1) \text{ HT}}{P(H_1)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad P(D|H_1) = P(D)$$

$$P(D) = P(\{HT, TH\}) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow H_1, D \text{ are indep.}$$

Likewise, D and H_2 are also independent.

$$P(H_1 \cap H_2 \cap D) = 0 \neq P(H_1) P(H_2) P(D) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8}$$

Extension to more events

- Events A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are independent if

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i \in S} A_i\right) = \prod_{i \in S} P(A_i) \text{ for every subset } S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

- It means that the occurrence of any subset of events carries ZERO information on the occurrence (or not occurrence) of the rest

Ex: If A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 independent,

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \mid A_3 \cap A_4) = P(A_1 \cup A_2)$$

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2^c \mid A_3^c \cap A_4) = P(A_1 \cup A_2^c)$$

Problem 30. A hunter has two hunting dogs. One day, on the trail of some animal, the hunter comes to a place where the road diverges into two paths. He knows that each dog, independent of the other, will choose the correct path with probability p . The hunter decides to let each dog choose a path, and if they agree, take that one, and if they disagree, to randomly pick a path. Is his strategy better than just letting one of the two dogs decide on a path?

$A = \{\text{two dogs agree}\}$ $B = \{\text{pick the correct path}\}$

$$P(B) = P(A \cap B) + P(A^c \cap B)$$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(\{\text{both dogs pick correct path}\})$$

$$= p \times p = p^2 \quad \leftarrow \text{The dogs are choosing path independently.}$$

$$P(A^c \cap B) = P(A^c)P(B|A^c) = 2P(1-p) \times \frac{1}{2} = p(1-p)$$

$$P(B) = p^2 + p(1-p) = p$$