


Chapter 9: Virtual Memory



Chapter 9: Virtual Memory

- Background
- Demand Paging
- Copy-on-Write
- Page Replacement
- Allocation of Frames
- Thrashing
- Memory-Mapped Files
- Allocating Kernel Memory
- Other Considerations
- Operating-System Examples

Objectives

- To describe the benefits of a virtual memory system
- To explain the concepts of demand paging, page-replacement algorithms, and allocation of page frames
- To discuss the principle of the working-set model
- To examine the relationship between shared memory and memory-mapped files
- To explore how kernel memory is managed

Background

- Code needs to be in memory to execute, but entire program rarely used
 - Error code, unusual routines, large data structures
- All program code is not needed at all times
 - Set up code (open files, create registers, allocate memory)
 - Business logic code
 - Clean up code (close files, deallocate memory)
- Consider ability to execute partially-loaded program
 - Program no longer constrained by limits of physical memory
 - Each program takes less memory while running -> more programs run at the same time
 - ▶ Increased CPU utilization and throughput with no increase in response time or turnaround time
 - Less I/O needed to load or swap programs into memory -> each user program runs faster


Background (Cont.)

- **Virtual memory** – separation of user logical memory from physical memory
 - Because only part of the program needs to be in memory for execution at any point in time
 - ▶ Logical address space can be larger than physical address space
 - ▶ Allows address spaces to be shared by several processes
 - ▶ Allows for more efficient process creation
 - ▶ More programs running concurrently
 - ▶ Less I/O needed to load or swap processes

Background (Cont.)


- **Virtual address space** – logical view of how process is stored in memory
 - Usually start at address 0, contiguous addresses until end of space
 - Meanwhile, physical memory organized in page frames
 - MMU must map logical to physical
- Virtual memory can be implemented via:
 - Demand paging
 - Demand segmentation

Virtual Memory That is Larger Than Physical Memory




Virtual-address Space

- Usually design logical address space for the stack to start at Max logical address and grow “down” while heap grows “up”
 - Maximizes address space use
 - Unused address space between the two is hole
 - ▶ No physical memory needed until heap or stack grows to a given new page
- Enables **sparse** address spaces with holes left for growth, dynamically linked libraries, etc
- System libraries are shared via mapping into virtual address space
- Shared memory by mapping pages read-write into virtual address space
- Pages can be shared during `fork()`, speeding process creation




Shared Library Using Virtual Memory

Process A




Process B



Demand Paging

- Could bring entire process into real memory at load time
- Or bring a page into real memory only when it is needed
 - Less I/O needed, no unnecessary I/O
 - Less memory needed
 - Faster response
 - More users
- Similar to paging system with swapping (diagram on right), but more granular
- Page is needed \Rightarrow reference to it
 - invalid reference \Rightarrow abort
 - not-in-memory \Rightarrow bring to memory
- **Lazy swapper** – never swaps a page into memory unless page will be needed
 - Swapper that deals with pages is a **pager**



Basic Concepts

- With swapping, the pager guesses which pages will be used before swapping out again. How?
- Instead, pager brings in only those pages into memory
- How to determine that set of pages?
 - Need new MMU functionality to implement demand paging
- If pages needed are already **memory resident**
 - No difference from non demand-paging
- If page needed and not memory resident
 - Need to detect and load the page into memory from storage
 - ▶ Without changing program behavior
 - ▶ Without programmer needing to change code

Valid-Invalid Bit

- With each page table entry a valid–invalid bit is associated (**v** \Rightarrow in-memory – **memory resident**, **i** \Rightarrow not-in-memory)
- Initially valid–invalid bit is set to **i** on all entries
- Example of a page table snapshot:

Frame #	valid-invalid bit
	v
	v
	v
	i
...	
	i
	i

page table

- During MMU address translation, if valid–invalid bit in page table entry is **i** \Rightarrow page fault

Page Table When Some Pages Are Not in Main Memory

0	A
1	B
2	C
3	D
4	E
5	F
6	G
7	H


logical
memory

	frame	valid-invalid bit
0	4	v
1		i
2	6	v
3		i
4		i
5	9	v
6		i
7		i

page table

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

physical memory




Page Fault

- If there is a reference to a page, first reference to that page will trap to operating system:

page fault

1. Operating system looks at another table to decide:
 - Invalid reference \Rightarrow abort
 - Just not in memory
2. Find free frame
3. Swap page into frame via scheduled disk operation
4. Reset tables to indicate page now in memory
Set validation bit = **v**
5. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault

Steps in Handling a Page Fault




Aspects of Demand Paging

- Extreme case – start process with *no* pages in memory
 - OS sets instruction pointer to first instruction of process, non-memory-resident -> page fault
 - And for every other process pages on first access
 - **Pure demand paging**
- Actually, a given instruction could access multiple pages -> multiple page faults
 - Consider fetch and decode of instruction which adds 2 numbers from memory and stores result back to memory
 - Pain decreased because of **locality of reference**
- Hardware support needed for demand paging
 - Page table with valid / invalid bit
 - Secondary memory (swap device with **swap space**)
 - Instruction restart

Instruction Restart

- Consider an instruction that could access several different locations
 - block move



- auto increment/decrement location
- Restart the whole operation?
 - ▶ What if source and destination overlap?

Performance of Demand Paging

■ Stages in Demand Paging (worse case)

1. Trap to the operating system
2. Save the user registers and process state
3. Determine that the interrupt was a page fault
4. Check that the page reference was legal and determine the location of the page on the disk
5. Issue a read from the disk to a free frame:
 1. Wait in a queue for this device until the read request is serviced
 2. Wait for the device seek and/or latency time
 3. Begin the transfer of the page to a free frame
6. While waiting, allocate the CPU to some other user
7. Receive an interrupt from the disk I/O subsystem (I/O completed)
8. Save the registers and process state for the other user
9. Determine that the interrupt was from the disk
10. Correct the page table and other tables to show page is now in memory
11. Wait for the CPU to be allocated to this process again
12. Restore the user registers, process state, and new page table, and then resume the interrupted instruction

Performance of Demand Paging (Cont.)

■ Three major activities

- Service the interrupt – careful coding means just several hundred instructions needed
- Read the page – lots of time
- Restart the process – again just a small amount of time

■ Page Fault Rate $0 \leq p \leq 1$

- if $p = 0$ no page faults
- if $p = 1$, every reference is a fault

■ Effective Access Time (EAT)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EAT} = & (1 - p) \times \text{memory access} \\ & + p (\text{page fault overhead} \\ & \quad + \text{swap page out} \\ & \quad + \text{swap page in}) \end{aligned}$$

Demand Paging Example

- Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
- Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
- $EAT = (1 - p) \times 200 + p (8 \text{ milliseconds})$
 $= (1 - p) \times 200 + p \times 8,000,000$
 $= 200 + p \times 7,999,800$
- If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then
EAT = 8.2 microseconds.
This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!!
- If want performance degradation < 10 percent
 - $220 > 200 + 7,999,800 \times p$
 $20 > 7,999,800 \times p$
 - $p < .0000025$
 - < one page fault in every 400,000 memory accesses


Demand Paging Optimizations

1. Swap space I/O faster than file system I/O even if on the same device
 1. Swap allocated in larger chunks, less management needed than file system
2. Copy entire process image to swap space at process load time
 1. Then page in and out of swap space
 2. Used in older BSD Unix
3. Demand page in from program binary on disk, but discard rather than paging out when freeing frame
 1. Used in Solaris and current BSD
 2. Still need to write to swap space
 1. Pages not associated with a file (like stack and heap) – **anonymous memory**
 2. Pages modified in memory but not yet written back to the file system
4. Mobile systems
 1. Typically don't support swapping
 2. Instead, demand page from file system and reclaim read-only pages (such as code)


Copy-on-Write

- **Copy-on-Write** (COW) allows both parent and child processes to initially **share** the same pages in memory
 - If either process modifies a shared page, only then is the page copied
- COW allows more efficient process creation as only modified pages are copied
- In general, free pages are allocated from a **pool** of **zero-fill-on-demand** pages
 - Pool should always have free frames for fast demand page execution
 - ▶ Don't want to have to free a frame as well as other processing on page fault
 - Why zero-out a page before allocating it?
- `vfork()` variation on `fork()` system call has parent suspend and child using copy-on-write address space of parent
 - Designed to have child call `exec()`
 - Very efficient

Before Process 1 Modifies Page C



After Process 1 Modifies Page C




What Happens if there is no Free Frame?

- Used up by process pages
- Also in demand from the kernel, I/O buffers, etc
- How much to allocate to each?
- **Page replacement** – find some page in memory, but not really in use, page it out
 - Algorithm – terminate? swap out? replace the page?
 - Performance – want an algorithm which will result in minimum number of page faults
- Same page may be brought into memory several times

Page Replacement

- Prevent **over-allocation** of memory by modifying page-fault service routine to include page replacement
- Use **modify (dirty) bit** to reduce overhead of page transfers – only modified pages are written to disk
- Page replacement completes separation between logical memory and physical memory – large virtual memory can be provided on a smaller physical memory

Need For Page Replacement




Basic Page Replacement

1. Find the location of the desired page on disk
2. Find a free frame:
 - If there is a free frame, use it
 - If there is no free frame, use a page replacement algorithm to select a **victim frame**
 - Write victim frame to disk if dirty
3. Bring the desired page into the (newly) free frame; update the page and frame tables
4. Continue the process by restarting the instruction that caused the trap

Note now potentially 2 page transfers for page fault – increasing EAT

Page Replacement




Page and Frame Replacement Algorithms

- **Frame-allocation algorithm** determines
 - How many frames to give each process
 - Which frames to replace
- **Page-replacement algorithm**
 - Want lowest page-fault rate on both first access and re-access
- Evaluate algorithm by running it on a particular string of memory references (reference string) and computing the number of page faults on that string
 - String is just page numbers, not full addresses
 - Repeated access to the same page does not cause a page fault
 - Results depend on number of frames available
- In all our examples, the **reference string** of referenced page numbers is

7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1

First-In-First-Out (FIFO) Algorithm


- Reference string: **7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1**
- 3 frames (3 pages can be in memory at a time per process)



15 page faults


- Can vary by reference string: consider 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
 - Adding more frames can cause more page faults!
 - ▶ **Belady's Anomaly**
- How to track ages of pages?
 - Just use a FIFO queue

FIFO Illustrating Belady's Anomaly



Optimal Algorithm

- Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time
 - 9 is optimal for the example
- How do you know this?
 - Can't read the future
- Used for measuring how well your algorithm performs



LRU Algorithm (Cont.)

■ Counter implementation

- Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
- When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to find smallest value
 - ▶ Search through table needed

■ Stack implementation

- Keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
- Page referenced:
 - ▶ move it to the top
 - ▶ requires 6 pointers to be changed
- But each update more expensive
- No search for replacement

■ LRU and OPT are cases of **stack algorithms** that don't have Belady's Anomaly

Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time
- Associate time of last use with each page

reference string

7 0 1 2 0 3 0 4 2 3 0 3 2 1 2 0 1 7 0 1

7	7	7	2		2		4	4	4	0			1		1		1		
	0	0	0		0		0	0	3	3			3		0		0		
		1	1		3		3	2	2	2			2		2		7		

page frames

- 12 faults – better than FIFO but worse than OPT
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- But how to implement?

Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References

reference string

4 7 0 7 1 0 1 2 1 2 7 1 2



stack
before
a



stack
after
b

↑
a

↑
b


LRU Approximation Algorithms

- LRU needs special hardware and still slow
- **Reference bit**
 - With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
 - When page is referenced bit set to 1
 - Replace any with reference bit = 0 (if one exists)
 - ▶ We do not know the order, however
- **Second-chance algorithm**
 - Generally FIFO, plus hardware-provided reference bit
 - **Clock** replacement
 - If page to be replaced has
 - ▶ Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
 - ▶ reference bit = 1 then:
 - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
 - replace next page, subject to same rules

Thrashing

- If a process does not have “enough” pages, the page-fault rate is very high
 - Page fault to get page
 - Replace existing frame
 - But quickly need replaced frame back
 - This leads to:
 - ▶ Low CPU utilization
 - ▶ Operating system thinking that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming
 - ▶ Another process added to the system
- **Thrashing** \equiv a process is busy swapping pages in and out

Thrashing (Cont.)



Demand Paging and Thrashing

■ Why does demand paging work?

Locality model


- Process migrates from one locality to another
- Localities may overlap

■ Why does thrashing occur?

Σ size of locality > total memory size

- Limit effects by using local or priority page replacement

Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern



End of Chapter 9

