Chapter 2 Questions - Assignment Questions for Week 2

2.1 ¡§2.2; For the following C statement, write the corresponding RISC-V assembly code. Assume that the C variables f, g, and h, have already been placed in registers x5, x6, and x7 respectively. Use a minimal number of RISC-V assembly instructions.

$$f = g + (h - 5);$$

2.2 §2.2; Write a single C statement that corresponds to the two RISC-V assembly instructions below.

```
add f, g, h add f, i, f
```

2.3 ¡§§2.2, 2.3¿ For the following C statement, write the corresponding RISC-V assembly code. Assume that the variables f, g, h, i, and j are assigned to registers x5, x6, x7, x28, and x29, respectively. Assume that the base address of the arrays A and B are in registers x10 and x11, respectively.

$$B[8] = A[i - j];$$

2.4 ¡§§2.2, 2.3; For the RISC-V assembly instructions below, what is the corresponding C statement? Assume that the variables f, g, h, i, and j are assigned to registers x5, x6, x7, x28, and x29, respectively. Assume that the base address of the arrays A and B are in registers x10 and x11, respectively.

```
slli x30, x5, 3 // x30 = f*8
add x30, x10, x30 // x30 = &A[f]
slli x31, x6, 3 // x31 = g*8
add x31, x11, x31 // x31 = &B[g]
ld x5, 0(x30) // f = A[f]
addi x12, x30, 8
ld x30, 0(x12)
add x30, x30, x5
sd x30, 0(x31)
```

- 2.5 ¡§2.3; Show how the value <code>Oxabcdef12</code> would be arranged in memory of a little-endian and a big-endian machine. Assume the data is stored starting at address 0 and that the word size is 4 bytes.
- 2.7 ¡§§2.2, 2.3; Translate the following C code to RISC-V. Assume that the variables f, g, h, i, and j are assigned to registers x5, x6, x7, x28, and x29, respectively. Assume that the base address of the arrays A and B are in registers x10 and x11, respectively. Assume that the elements of the arrays A and B are 8-byte words:

$$B[8] = A[i] + A[j];$$

- 2.10 ;§2.4; Assume that registers x5 and x6 hold the values 0x8000000000000 and 0xD000000000000.
 - 2.10.1 What is the value of x30 for the following assembly code?

- **2.10.2** Is the result in x30 the desired result, or has there been overflow?
- **2.10.3** For the contents of registers x5 and x6 as specified above, what is the value of x30 for the following assembly code?

```
sub x30, x5, x6
```

- **2.10.4** Is the result in x30 the desired result, or has there been overflow?
- **2.10.5** For the contents of registers x5 and x6 as specified above, what is the value of x30 for the following assembly code?

```
add x30, x5, x6 add x30, x30, x5
```

- **2.10.6** Is the result in x30 the desired result, or has there been overflow?
- 2.12 ¡§§2.2, 2.5; Provide the instruction type and assembly language instruction for the following binary value (Hint: Figure 2.20 may be helpful):

```
0000 0000 0001 0000 1000 0000 1011 0011 (two)
```

2.13 [§§2.2, 2.5]. Provide the instruction type and hexadecimal representation of the following instruction:

```
sd x5, 32(x30)
```

- 2.16 ¡§§2.5, 2.8, 2.10; Assume that we would like to expand the RISC-V register file to 128 registers and expand the instruction set to contain four times as many instructions.
 - **2.16.1** How would this affect the size of each of the bit fields in the R-type instructions?
 - **2.16.2** How would this affect the size of each of the bit fields in the I-type instructions?
 - **2.16.3** How could each of the two proposed changes decrease the size of a RISC-V assembly program? On the other hand, how could the proposed change increase the size of an RISC-V assembly program?
- 2.22 ¡§2.10; Suppose the program counter (PC) is set to 0x20000000.
 - **2.22.1** What range of addresses can be reached using the RISC-V jump-and-link (jal) instruction? (In other words, what is the set of possible values for the PC after the jump instruction executes?)
 - **2.22.2** What range of addresses can be reached using the RISC-V branch if equal (beq) instruction? (In other words, what is the set of possible values for the PC after the branch instruction executes?)
- 2.23 ¡§2.7, 2.10; Consider a proposed new instruction named rpt. This instruction combines a loop's condition check and counter decrement into a single instruction. For example rpt x29, loop would do the following:

```
if (x29 > 0) {
x29 = x29 -1;
goto loop
}
```

- **2.23.1** If this instruction were to be added to the RISC-V instruction set, what is the most appropriate instruction format?
- **2.23.2** What is the shortest sequence of RISC-V instructions that performs the same operation?
- 2.27 ¡§2.7¿ Translate the following loop into C. Assume that the C-level integer i is held in register x5, x6 holds the C-level integer called result, and x10 holds the base address of the integer MemArray.

addi x6, x0, 0 addi x29, x0, 100 L00P: ld x7, 0(x10) add x5, x5, x7 addi x10, x10, 8 addi x6, x6, 1 blt x6, x29, L00P

- 2.28 ¡§2.7¿ Rewrite the loop from Exercise 2.27 to reduce the number of RISC-V instructions executed. Hint: Notice that variable i is used only for loop control.
- 2.36 ¡§2.10; Write the RISC-V assembly code that creates the 64-bit constant 0x1122334455667788two and stores that value to register x10.
- 2.40 ¡§§1.6, 2.13; Assume that for a given program 70% of the executed instructions are arithmetic, 10% are load/store, and 20% are branch.
 - **2.40.1** Given this instruction mix and the assumption that an arithmetic instruction requires two cycles, a load/store instruction takes six cycles, and a branch instruction takes three cycles, find the average CPI.
 - **2.40.2** For a 25% improvement in performance, how many cycles, on average, may an arithmetic instruction take if load/store and branch instructions are not improved at all?
 - **2.40.3** For a 50% improvement in performance, how many cycles, on average, may an arithmetic instruction take if load/store and branch instructions are not improved at all?