

# Probability and Paradoxes in Baseball

Scott Powers



# The Birthday Problem (von Mises, 1939)

- In a group of  $n$  people, what is the probability that at least two will share a birthday?



**Q:** How big does  $n$  need to be for the probability to exceed 50%?

**A:**  $n \geq 23$

## Simpson's Paradox (Simpson, 1951)

- Justice had the higher batting average in 1995 *and* 1996, but Jeter had the higher combined batting average

	1995	1996	Combined
Derek Jeter	.250	.314	.310
David Justice	.253	.321	.270


**Q:** How is this possible?

**A:**

	1995	1996	Combined
Derek Jeter	12/48	183/582	195/630
David Justice	104/411	45/140	149/551

## The Monty Hall Problem (Selman, 1975)

- On a game show, you are given the choice of three doors
  - One door hides a car, and two doors hide a goat
- After you choose door #1, the host opens door #3, revealing a goat (the host always reveals a goat behind a different door)



**Q:** Do you want to switch your choice to door #2?

**A:** Yes! (door #1 = 33% car, door #2 = 67% car)

# Stein's Paradox (Efron and Morris, 1977)

As framed by Brown (2008):


Two methods for predicting second-half batting average:

1. Use each player's first-half batting average
2. Ignore the data and predict league average (.250) for everyone

**Q:** Which method is more accurate?

**A:** Method #2

# *Thinking, Fast and Slow* (Kahneman, 2011)



*The New York Times*

- **System 1** is fast, intuitive, emotional. **System 2** is slower, more deliberative, more logical.
- Statistical thinking is hard because of System 1 heuristics and biases
- Relevant examples:
  - Availability heuristic
  - Confirmation bias
  - Framing effect

## The Hot Hand Fallacy (Gilovich *et al.*, 1985)

- 1980-81 Philadelphia 76ers field goal data
  - Shooting percentage following a make: 51%
  - Shooting percentage following a miss: 54%
- 1980-82 Boston Celtics free throw data
  - No correlation between making 1<sup>st</sup> FT and making 2<sup>nd</sup> FT
- Controlled experiment with college players (from Cornell) yielded no evidence of streaky shooting

“Who is this guy? So he makes a study. I couldn’t care less.”

— Red Auerbach

“The hot hand is a massive and widespread cognitive illusion.”

— Daniel Kahneman

## Takeaways (2017)

1. Paradoxes show us that human intuition can be incorrect
2. Human judgment can be impaired by heuristics and biases
3. Mathematical modeling is a superior way to make decisions



# The Streak of Heads Paradox (Miller and Sanjurjo, 2018)

Flip a coin 100 times. Whenever you flip heads, write down the *next* outcome on a scrap of paper.

**Q:** What is the expected proportion of heads on the paper?

**A:** 49.5%

- This paradox is related to the Monty Hall problem through the “principle of restricted choice”
- After adjusting Gilovich *et al.* (1985) for this bias, evidence supports the existence of the hot hand

# Replication Crisis (2010s, ongoing)

## Essay

### Why Most Published Research Findings Are False

John P.A. Ioannidis


- Because of selection bias in scientific publications, many research findings fail to be replicated
- Shimmack (2020) estimated that only half of the results cited in *Thinking, Fast and Slow* would be replicated

“Readers of *Thinking, Fast and Slow* should read the book as a subjective account by an eminent psychologists, rather than an objective summary of scientific evidence.”

— Ulrich Schimmack

## *Escape from Model Land* (Thompson, 2022)

- All models make assumptions that are not literally true in real life
- Escaping from Model Land means validating adequacy-for-purpose
- Functionality as a tool to aid thinking can be more important than predictive accuracy



## Takeaways (2024)

- ~~1. Paradoxes show us that human intuition can be incorrect~~
- ~~2. Human judgment is impaired by heuristics and biases~~
- ~~3. Mathematical modeling is a superior way to make decisions~~
1. We can make mistakes when applying math, too
2. Be careful spending too much time and energy in Model Land
3. Validation is king

# References

**von Mises R (1939)** "Über aufteilungs- und besetzungswahrscheinlichkeiten"

**Simpson EH (1951)** "The interpretation of interaction in contingency tables" *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* 13(2), 238-241.

**Stein C (1956)** "Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the mean of a multivariate normal distribution" *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* 3, 197-207.

**Selvin S (1975)** "A problem in probability" *The American Statistician* 29(1).

**Efron B and Morris C (1977)** "Stein's paradox in statistics" *Scientific American* 236(5), 119-127.

**Gilovich T, Vallone R and Tversky A (1985)** "The hot hand in basketball: On the misperception of random sequences" *Cognitive Psychology* 17(3), 295-314.

**Ioannidis JP (2005)** "Why most published research findings are false" *PLoS medicine* 2(8), e124.

**Brown LD (2008)** "In-season prediction of batting averages: A field test of empirical Bayes and Bayes methodologies" *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 113-152.

**Kahneman D (2011)** *Thinking, Fast and Slow*

**Miller JB and Sanjurjo A (2018)** "Surprised by the hot hand fallacy? A truth in the law of small numbers" *Econometrica* 86(6), 2019-2047.

**Schimmack U (2020)** "A meta-scientific perspective on Thinking: Fast and Slow"  
<https://replicationindex.com/2020/12/30/a-meta-scientific-perspective-on-thinking-fast-and-slow/>

**Lemire J (2021)** "This book is not about baseball. But baseball teams swear by it."  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/02/24/sports/baseball/thinking-fast-and-slow-book.html>

**Thompson E (2022)** *Escape from Model Land*