Network Working Group Request for Comments: 3412

STD: 62

Obsoletes: 2572

Category: Standards Track

J. Case
SNMP Research, Inc.
D. Harrington
Enterasys Networks
R. Presuhn
BMC Software, Inc.
B. Wijnen
Lucent Technologies
December 2002

Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document describes the Message Processing and Dispatching for Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) messages within the SNMP architecture. It defines the procedures for dispatching potentially multiple versions of SNMP messages to the proper SNMP Message Processing Models, and for dispatching PDUs to SNMP applications. This document also describes one Message Processing Model - the SNMPv3 Message Processing Model. This document obsoletes RFC 2572.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 1]

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Overview	4
2.1. The Dispatcher	5
2.2. Message Processing Subsystem	5
3. Elements of Message Processing and Dispatching	6
3.1. messageProcessingModel	6
3.2. pduVersion	6
3.3. pduType	7
3.4. sendPduHandle	7
4. Dispatcher Elements of Procedure	7
4.1. Sending an SNMP Message to the Network	7
4.1.1. Sending a Request or Notification	8
4.1.2. Sending a Response to the Network	9
4.2. Receiving an SNMP Message from the Network	11
4.2.1. Message Dispatching of received SNMP Messages	11
4.2.2. PDU Dispatching for Incoming Messages	12
4.2.2.1. Incoming Requests and Notifications	13
4.2.2.2. Incoming Responses	14
4.3. Application Registration for Handling PDU types	15
4.4. Application Unregistration for Handling PDU Types	16
5. Definitions	16
5.1. Definitions for SNMP Message Processing and Dispatching	16
6. The SNMPv3 Message Format	19
6.1. msgVersion	20
6.2. msqID	20
6.3. msqMaxSize	21
6.4. msgFlags	21
6.5. msgSecurityModel	24
6.6. msgSecurityParameters	24
6.7. scopedPduData	24
6.8. scopedPDU	24
6.8.1. contextEngineID	24
6.8.2. contextName	25
6.8.3. data	25
7. Elements of Procedure for v3MP	25
7.1. Prepare an Outgoing SNMP Message	26
7.2. Prepare Data Elements from an Incoming SNMP Message	32
8. Intellectual Property	37
9. Acknowledgements	38
10. Security Considerations	39
11. References	40
11.1. Normative References	40
11.2. Informative References	41
12. Editors' Addresses	41
13. Full Copyright Statement	43
19. Latt coblitair pracement	43

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 2]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 2 von 44

1. Introduction

The Architecture for describing Internet Management Frameworks [RFC3411] describes that an SNMP engine is composed of:

- 1) a Dispatcher
- 2) a Message Processing Subsystem,
- 3) a Security Subsystem, and
- 4) an Access Control Subsystem.

Applications make use of the services of these subsystems.

It is important to understand the SNMP architecture and its terminology to understand where the Message Processing Subsystem and Dispatcher described in this document fit into the architecture and interact with other subsystems within the architecture. The reader is expected to have read and understood the description of the SNMP architecture, defined in [RFC3411].

The Dispatcher in the SNMP engine sends and receives SNMP messages. It also dispatches SNMP PDUs to SNMP applications. When an SNMP message needs to be prepared or when data needs to be extracted from an SNMP message, the Dispatcher delegates these tasks to a message version-specific Message Processing Model within the Message Processing Subsystem.

A Message Processing Model is responsible for processing an SNMP version-specific message and for coordinating the interaction with the Security Subsystem to ensure proper security is applied to the SNMP message being handled.

Interactions between the Dispatcher, the Message Processing Subsystem, and applications are modeled using abstract data elements and abstract service interface primitives defined by the SNMP architecture.


Similarly, interactions between the Message Processing Subsystem and the Security Subsystem are modeled using abstract data elements and abstract service interface primitives as defined by the SNMP architecture.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 3]

2. Overview

The following illustration depicts the Message Processing in relation to SNMP applications, the Security Subsystem and Transport Mappings.



Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 4]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 4 von 44

2.1. The Dispatcher

The Dispatcher is a key piece of an SNMP engine. There is only one in an SNMP engine, and its job is to dispatch tasks to the multiple version-specific Message Processing Models, and to dispatch PDUs to various applications.

For outgoing messages, an application provides a PDU to be sent, plus the data needed to prepare and send the message, and the application specifies which version-specific Message Processing Model will be used to prepare the message with the desired security processing. Once the message is prepared, the Dispatcher sends the message.

For incoming messages, the Dispatcher determines the SNMP version of the incoming message and passes the message to the version-specific Message Processing Model to extract the components of the message and to coordinate the processing of security services for the message. After version-specific processing, the PDU Dispatcher determines which application, if any, should receive the PDU for processing and forwards it accordingly.

The Dispatcher, while sending and receiving SNMP messages, collects statistics about SNMP messages and the behavior of the SNMP engine in managed objects to make them accessible to remote SNMP entities. This document defines these managed objects, the MIB module which contains them, and how these managed objects might be used to provide useful management.

2.2. Message Processing Subsystem

The SNMP Message Processing Subsystem is the part of an SNMP engine which interacts with the Dispatcher to handle the version-specific SNMP messages. It contains one or more Message Processing Models.

This document describes one Message Processing Model, the SNMPv3 Message Processing Model, in Section 6. The SNMPv3 Message Processing Model is defined in a separate section to show that multiple (independent) Message Processing Models can exist at the same time and that such Models can be described in different documents. The SNMPv3 Message Processing Model can be replaced or supplemented with other Message Processing Models in the future. Two Message Processing Models which are expected to be developed in the future are the SNMPv1 message format [RFC1157] and the SNMPv2c message format [RFC1901]. Others may be developed as needed.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 5]

3. Elements of Message Processing and Dispatching

See [RFC3411] for the definitions of:

contextEngineID
contextName
scopedPDU
maxSizeResponseScopedPDU
securityModel
securityName
securityLevel
messageProcessingModel

For incoming messages, a version-specific message processing module provides these values to the Dispatcher. For outgoing messages, an application provides these values to the Dispatcher.

For some version-specific processing, the values may be extracted from received messages; for other versions, the values may be determined by algorithm, or by an implementation-defined mechanism. The mechanism by which the value is determined is irrelevant to the Dispatcher.

The following additional or expanded definitions are for use within the Dispatcher.

3.1. messageProcessingModel

The value of messageProcessingModel identifies a Message Processing Model. A Message Processing Model describes the version-specific procedures for extracting data from messages, generating messages, calling upon a securityModel to apply its security services to messages, for converting data from a version-specific message format into a generic format usable by the Dispatcher, and for converting data from Dispatcher format into a version-specific message format.

3.2. pduVersion

The value of pduVersion represents a specific version of protocol operation and its associated PDU formats, such as SNMPv1 or SNMPv2 [RFC3416]. The values of pduVersion are specific to the version of the PDU contained in a message, and the PDUs processed by applications. The Dispatcher does not use the value of pduVersion directly.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 6]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 6 von 44

An application specifies the pduVersion when it requests the PDU Dispatcher to send a PDU to another SNMP engine. The Dispatcher passes the pduVersion to a Message Processing Model, so it knows how to handle the PDU properly.

For incoming messages, the pduVersion is provided to the Dispatcher by a version-specific Message Processing module. The PDU Dispatcher passes the pduVersion to the application so it knows how to handle the PDU properly. For example, a command responder application needs to know whether to use [RFC3416] elements of procedure and syntax instead of those specified for SNMPv1.

3.3. pduType

A value of the pduType represents a specific type of protocol operation. The values of the pduType are specific to the version of the PDU contained in a message.

Applications register to support particular pduTypes for particular contextEngineIDs.

For incoming messages, pduType is provided to the Dispatcher by a version-specific Message Processing module. It is subsequently used to dispatch the PDU to the application which registered for the pduType for the contextEngineID of the associated scopedPDU.

3.4. sendPduHandle

This handle is generated for coordinating the processing of requests and responses between the SNMP engine and an application. The handle must be unique across all version-specific Message Processing Models, and is of local significance only.

4. Dispatcher Elements of Procedure

This section describes the procedures followed by the Dispatcher when generating and processing SNMP messages.

4.1. Sending an SNMP Message to the Network

This section describes the procedure followed by an SNMP engine whenever it sends an SNMP message.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 7]

4.1.1. Sending a Request or Notification

The following procedures are followed by the Dispatcher when an application wants to send an SNMP PDU to another (remote) application, i.e., to initiate a communication by originating a message, such as one containing a request or a notification.

1) The application requests this using the abstract service primitive:

```
statusInformation =
                                -- sendPduHandle if success
                                -- errorIndication if failure
 sendPdu(
      transportDomain
                                -- transport domain to be used
                                -- destination network address
  IN
      transportAddress
      messageProcessingModel
                                -- typically, SNMP version
  IN
                                -- Security Model to use
      securityModel
  IN
      securityName
                                -- on behalf of this principal
      securityLevel
                                -- Level of Security requested
  IN
                             -- data from/at this entity
      contextEngineID
  IN
                               -- data from/in this context
  IN
      contextName
      pduVersion
                                -- the version of the PDU
  IN
  TN
      PDU
                                -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit
                                -- TRUE or FALSE
  IN
      expectResponse
```

- 2) If the messageProcessingModel value does not represent a Message Processing Model known to the Dispatcher, then an errorIndication (implementation-dependent) is returned to the calling application. No further processing is performed.
- 3) The Dispatcher generates a sendPduHandle to coordinate subsequent processing.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 8]

4) The Message Dispatcher sends the request to the version-specific Message Processing module identified by messageProcessingModel using the abstract service primitive:

```
statusInformation =
                                         -- success or error indication
  prepareOutgoingMessage(
        transportDomain
  IN
                                        -- as specified by application
       transportAddress -- as specified by application messageProcessingModel -- as specified by application
  IN
  IN
                                        -- as specified by application
        securityModel
  IN
        securityName
                                        -- as specified by application
        securityLevel
                                       -- as specified by application
  IN
                                      -- as specified by application
  IN
        contextEngineID
  IN
        contextName
                                        -- as specified by application
                                        -- as specified by application
        pduVersion
  IN
  IN
        PDU
                                        -- as specified by application
       -- as specified by application
sendPduHandle -- as determined in step 3.
destTransportDomain -- destination transport domain
destTransportAddress outgoingMessage -- the message to condition
  IN
  IN
  OUT
  OUT
  OUT
        outqoingMessageLength -- the message length
  OUT
```

- 5) If the statusInformation indicates an error, the errorIndication is returned to the calling application. No further processing is performed.
- 6) If the statusInformation indicates success, the sendPduHandle is returned to the application, and the outgoingMessage is sent. The transport used to send the outgoingMessage is returned via destTransportDomain, and the address to which it was sent is returned via destTransportAddress.

Outgoing Message Processing is complete.

4.1.2. Sending a Response to the Network

The following procedure is followed when an application wants to return a response back to the originator of an SNMP Request.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 9]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 9 von 44

1) An application can request this using the abstract service primitive:

```
result =
returnResponsePdu(
     messageProcessingModel -- typically, SNMP version
     securityModel
                              -- Security Model in use
     securityName
                              -- on behalf of this principal
 IN
 IN
     securityLevel
                              -- same as on incoming request
                              -- data from/at this SNMP entity
     contextEngineID
 ΤN
     contextName
                              -- data from/in this context
 IN
     pduVersion
                              -- the version of the PDU
 IN
     PDU
                              -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit
 IN
     maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- maximum size of Response PDU
                              -- reference to state information
     stateReference
 IN
                              -- as presented with the request
                              -- success or errorIndication
 IN
      statusInformation
                               -- (error counter OID and value
 )
                               -- when errorIndication)
```

2) The Message Dispatcher sends the request to the appropriate Message Processing Model indicated by the received value of messageProcessingModel using the abstract service primitive:

```
result =
                                 -- SUCCESS or errorIndication
 prepareResponseMessage(
      messageProcessingModel
                                -- specified by application
      securityModel
                                 -- specified by application
                                 -- specified by application
      securityName
 IN
 IN
      securityLevel
                                 -- specified by application
 IN
      contextEngineID
                                 -- specified by application
                                 -- specified by application
 IN
      contextName
                                 -- specified by application
 IN
      pduVersion
                                 -- specified by application
 IN
      PDU
      maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- specified by application
                                 -- specified by application
      stateReference
 IN
 IN
      statusInformation
                                 -- specified by application
OUT destTransportDomain -- destination transport domain
OUT destTransportAddress -- destination transport address
 OUT outgoingMessage
                                -- the message to send
 OUT outgoingMessageLength -- the message length
```

3) If the result is an errorIndication, the errorIndication is returned to the calling application. No further processing is performed.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 10]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 10 von 44

4) If the result is success, the outgoingMessage is sent. The transport used to send the outgoingMessage is returned via destTransportDomain, and the address to which it was sent is returned via destTransportAddress.

Message Processing is complete.

4.2. Receiving an SNMP Message from the Network

This section describes the procedure followed by an SNMP engine whenever it receives an SNMP message.

Please note, that for the sake of clarity and to prevent the text from being even longer and more complicated, some details were omitted from the steps below. In particular, the elements of procedure do not always explicitly indicate when state information needs to be released. The general rule is that if state information is available when a message is to be "discarded without further processing", then the state information must also be released at that same time.

4.2.1. Message Dispatching of received SNMP Messages

- 1) The snmpInPkts counter [RFC3418] is incremented.
- 2) The version of the SNMP message is determined in an implementation-dependent manner. If the packet cannot be sufficiently parsed to determine the version of the SNMP message, then the snmpInASNParseErrs [RFC3418] counter is incremented, and the message is discarded without further processing. If the version is not supported, then the snmpInBadVersions [RFC3418] counter is incremented, and the message is discarded without further processing.
- 3) The origin transportDomain and origin transportAddress are determined.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 11]

4) The message is passed to the version-specific Message Processing Model which returns the abstract data elements required by the Dispatcher. This is performed using the abstract service primitive:

```
result =
                               -- SUCCESS or errorIndication
 prepareDataElements(
      transportDomain
                              -- origin as determined in step 3.
      transportAddress
                              -- origin as determined in step 3.
  IN
                              -- as received from the network
  IN
      wholeMsq
  IN
      wholeMsqLength
                               -- as received from the network
 OUT messageProcessingModel -- typically, SNMP version
 OUT securityModel
                              -- Security Model specified
 OUT securityName
                              -- on behalf of this principal
      securityLevel
                              -- Level of Security specified
 OUT
      contextEngineID
 OUT
                              -- data from/at this entity
                              -- data from/in this context
 OUT
      contextName
      pduVersion
 OUT
                              -- the version of the PDU
                              -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit
 OUT
      PDU
 OUT
      pduType
                              -- SNMP PDU type
                              -- handle for a matched request
 OUT sendPduHandle
 OUT maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- maximum size of Response PDU
 OUT statusInformation
                              -- success or errorIndication
                               -- (error counter OID and value
                               -- when errorIndication)
 OUT
      stateReference
                               -- reference to state information
                               -- to be used for a possible
                               -- Response
      )
```

- 5) If the result is a FAILURE errorIndication, the message is discarded without further processing.
- 6) At this point, the abstract data elements have been prepared and processing continues as described in Section 4.2.2, PDU Dispatching for Incoming Messages.

4.2.2. PDU Dispatching for Incoming Messages

The elements of procedure for the dispatching of PDUs depends on the value of sendPduHandle. If the value of sendPduHandle is <none>, then this is a request or notification and the procedures specified in Section 4.2.2.1 apply. If the value of snmpPduHandle is not <none>, then this is a response and the procedures specified in Section 4.2.2.2 apply.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 12]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412

4.2.2.1. Incoming Requests and Notifications

The following procedures are followed for the dispatching of PDUs when the value of sendPduHandle is <none>, indicating this is a request or notification.

- The combination of contextEngineID and pduType is used to determine which application has registered for this request or notification.
- 2) If no application has registered for the combination, then:
 - a) The snmpUnknownPDUHandlers counter is incremented.
 - b) A Response message is generated using the abstract service primitive:

```
result =
                                -- SUCCESS or FAILURE
prepareResponseMessage(
    messageProcessingModel -- as provided by MP module
                               -- as provided by MP module
IN
    securityModel
                               -- as provided by MP module
IN
    securityName
IN
    securityLevel
                               -- as provided by MP module
                            -- as provided by MP module
IN
    contextEngineID
IN
    contextName
                               -- as provided by MP module
ΙN
    pduVersion
                                -- as provided by MP module
                                -- as provided by MP module
    PDU
IN
    maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- as provided by MP module
IN
                               -- as provided by MP module
     stateReference
IN
     statusInformation
ΙN
                                -- errorIndication plus
                                -- snmpUnknownPDUHandlers OID
                                -- value pair.
OUT destTransportDomain -- destination transportDomain
OUT destTransportAddress -- destination transportAddress
OUT outgoingMessage
                               -- the message to send
                               -- its length
OUT outgoingMessageLength
)
```

- c) If the result is SUCCESS, then the prepared message is sent to the originator of the request as identified by the transportDomain and transportAddress. The transport used to send the outgoingMessage is returned via destTransportDomain, and the address to which it was sent is returned via destTransportAddress.
- d) The incoming message is discarded without further processing. Message Processing for this message is complete.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 13]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 13 von 44

3) The PDU is dispatched to the application, using the abstract service primitive:

```
processPdu(
                                -- process Request/Notification
      messageProcessingModel
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
  IN
      securityModel
                               -- as provided by MP module
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      securityName
      securityLevel
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      contextEngineID
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      contextName
  IN
      pduVersion
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      PDU
                               -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- as provided by MP module
  IN
      stateReference
                               -- as provided by MP module
                                -- needed when sending response
       )
```

Message processing for this message is complete.

4.2.2.2. Incoming Responses

The following procedures are followed for the dispatching of PDUs when the value of sendPduHandle is not <none>, indicating this is a response.

- 1) The value of sendPduHandle is used to determine, in an implementation-defined manner, which application is waiting for a response associated with this sendPduHandle.
- 2) If no waiting application is found, the message is discarded without further processing, and the stateReference is released. The snmpUnknownPDUHandlers counter is incremented. Message Processing is complete for this message.
- 3) Any cached information, including stateReference, about the message is discarded.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 14]

4) The response is dispatched to the application using the abstract service primitive:

```
processResponsePdu(
                                -- process Response PDU
      messageProcessingModel
                                -- provided by the MP module
      securityModel
  IN
                                -- provided by the MP module
                                -- provided by the MP module
  IN
      securityName
      securityLevel
                                -- provided by the MP module
  IN
  IN
      contextEngineID
                                -- provided by the MP module
                                -- provided by the MP module
  IN
      contextName
  IN
      pduVersion
                                -- provided by the MP module
  IN
      PDU
                                -- provided by the MP module
      statusInformation
  IN
                             -- provided by the MP module
  IN
      sendPduHandle
                                -- provided by the MP module
```

Message Processing is complete for this message.

4.3. Application Registration for Handling PDU types

Applications that want to process certain PDUs must register with the PDU Dispatcher. Applications specify the combination of contextEngineID and pduType(s) for which they want to take responsibility.

1) An application registers according to the abstract interface primitive:

Note: Implementations may provide a means of requesting registration for simultaneous multiple contextEngineID values, e.g., all contextEngineID values, and may also provide a means for requesting simultaneous registration for multiple values of the pduType.

- 2) The parameters may be checked for validity; if they are not, then an errorIndication (invalidParameter) is returned to the application.
- 3) Each combination of contextEngineID and pduType can be registered only once. If another application has already registered for the specified combination, then an errorIndication (alreadyRegistered) is returned to the application.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 15]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 15 von 44

4) Otherwise, the registration is saved so that SNMP PDUs can be dispatched to this application.

4.4. Application Unregistration for Handling PDU Types

Applications that no longer want to process certain PDUs must unregister with the PDU Dispatcher.

1) An application unregisters using the abstract service primitive:

Note: Implementations may provide a means for requesting the unregistration for simultaneous multiple contextEngineID values, e.g., all contextEngineID values, and may also provide a means for requesting simultaneous unregistration for multiple values of pduType.

2) If the contextEngineID and pduType combination has been registered, then the registration is deleted.

If no such registration exists, then the request is ignored.

5. Definitions

5.1. Definitions for SNMP Message Processing and Dispatching

```
SNMP-MPD-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
```

IMPORTS

MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP FROM SNMPv2-CONF MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE, snmpModules, Counter32 FROM SNMPv2-SMI;

snmpMPDMIB MODULE-IDENTITY

LAST-UPDATED "200210140000Z"

ORGANIZATION "SNMPv3 Working Group"

CONTACT-INFO "WG-EMail: snmpv3@lists.tislabs.com

Subscribe: snmpv3-request@lists.tislabs.com

Co-Chair: Russ Mundy

Network Associates Laboratories

postal: 15204 Omega Drive, Suite 300

Rockville, MD 20850-4601

USA

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 16]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 16 von 44

EMail: mundy@tislabs.com phone: +1 301-947-7107

Co-Chair &

Co-editor: David Harrington

Enterasys Networks

postal: 35 Industrial Way

P. O. Box 5005

Rochester NH 03866-5005

USA

EMail: dbh@enterasys.com phone: +1 603-337-2614

Co-editor: Jeffrey Case

SNMP Research, Inc.

postal: 3001 Kimberlin Heights Road

Knoxville, TN 37920-9716

USA

EMail: case@snmp.com phone: +1 423-573-1434

Co-editor: Randy Presuhn

BMC Software, Inc.

postal: 2141 North First Street

San Jose, CA 95131

USA

EMail: randy presuhn@bmc.com

phone: +1 408-546-1006

Co-editor: Bert Wijnen

Lucent Technologies

postal: Schagen 33

3461 GL Linschoten

Netherlands

EMail: bwijnen@lucent.com phone: +31 348-680-485

"

DESCRIPTION "The MIB for Message Processing and Dispatching

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). This version of this MIB module is part of RFC 3412; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

..

REVISION "200210140000Z" -- 14 October 2002
DESCRIPTION "Updated addresses, published as RFC 3412."
REVISION "199905041636Z" -- 4 May 1999

REVISION "199905041636Z"

DESCRIPTION "Updated addresse

"Updated addresses, published as RFC 2572."

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 17]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 17 von 44

```
REVISION
                 "199709300000Z"
                                           -- 30 September 1997
   DESCRIPTION
                "Original version, published as RFC 2272."
    ::= { snmpModules 11 }
-- Administrative assignments *************************
snmpMPDAdmin
                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpMPDMIB 1 }
snmpMPDMIBObjects
                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpMPDMIB 2 }
snmpMPDMIBConformance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpMPDMIB 3 }
-- Statistics for SNMP Messages ***********************
snmpMPDStats
                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { snmpMPDMIBObjects 1 }
snmpUnknownSecurityModels OBJECT-TYPE
                Counter32
   SYNTAX
   MAX-ACCESS
                read-only
   STATUS
                current
   DESCRIPTION "The total number of packets received by the SNMP
                engine which were dropped because they referenced a
                securityModel that was not known to or supported by
                the SNMP engine.
    ::= { snmpMPDStats 1 }
snmpInvalidMsqs OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX
                Counter32
   MAX-ACCESS
                read-only
   STATUS
                current
   DESCRIPTION "The total number of packets received by the SNMP
                engine which were dropped because there were invalid
                or inconsistent components in the SNMP message.
    ::= { snmpMPDStats 2 }
snmpUnknownPDUHandlers OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX
                Counter32
   MAX-ACCESS
                read-only
   STATUS
                current
   DESCRIPTION "The total number of packets received by the SNMP
                engine which were dropped because the PDU contained
                 in the packet could not be passed to an application
                 responsible for handling the pduType, e.g. no SNMP
                 application had registered for the proper
                combination of the contextEngineID and the pduType.
    ::= { snmpMPDStats 3 }
```

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 18]

```
-- Conformance information **********************************
snmpMPDMIBCompliances OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {snmpMPDMIBConformance 1}
                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {snmpMPDMIBConformance 2}
snmpMPDMIBGroups
-- Compliance statements
snmpMPDCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
    STATUS
                 current
    DESCRIPTION "The compliance statement for SNMP entities which
                 implement the SNMP-MPD-MIB.
    MODULE
              -- this module
        MANDATORY-GROUPS { snmpMPDGroup }
    ::= { snmpMPDMIBCompliances 1 }
snmpMPDGroup OBJECT-GROUP
    OBJECTS {
              snmpUnknownSecurityModels,
              snmpInvalidMsgs,
              snmpUnknownPDUHandlers
    STATUS
                 current
    DESCRIPTION "A collection of objects providing for remote
                 monitoring of the SNMP Message Processing and
                 Dispatching process.
    ::= { snmpMPDMIBGroups 1 }
END
 The SNMPv3 Message Format
This section defines the SNMPv3 message format and the corresponding
SNMP version 3 Message Processing Model (v3MP).
SNMPv3MessageSyntax DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN
    SNMPv3Message ::= SEQUENCE {
        -- identify the layout of the SNMPv3Message
        -- this element is in same position as in SNMPv1
        -- and SNMPv2c, allowing recognition
        -- the value 3 is used for snmpv3
        msgVersion INTEGER ( 0 .. 2147483647 ),
        -- administrative parameters
```

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 19]

msgGlobalData HeaderData,

-- security model-specific parameters
-- format defined by Security Model

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 19 von 44

```
msgSecurityParameters OCTET STRING,
        msgData ScopedPduData
    }
    HeaderData ::= SEQUENCE {
                   INTEGER (0..2147483647),
        msgMaxSize INTEGER (484..2147483647),
                  OCTET STRING (SIZE(1)),
        msqFlaqs
                   -- .... 1
                                   authFlag
                      .... ..1.
                                   privFlag
                                  reportableFlag
                       .... .1..
                                   Please observe:
                   __
                   -- .... ..00
                                  is OK, means noAuthNoPriv
                                   is OK, means authNoPriv
                       .... ..01
                      .... ..10 reserved, MUST NOT be used.
                     .... ..11
                                 is OK, means authPriv
        msgSecurityModel INTEGER (1..2147483647)
    }
    ScopedPduData ::= CHOICE {
        plaintext
                    ScopedPDU,
        encryptedPDU OCTET STRING -- encrypted scopedPDU value
    }
    ScopedPDU ::= SEQUENCE {
        contextEngineID OCTET STRING,
        contextName
                         OCTET STRING,
        data
                         ANY -- e.g., PDUs as defined in [RFC3416]
END
```

6.1. msqVersion

The msgVersion field is set to snmpv3(3) and identifies the message as an SNMP version 3 Message.

6.2. msgID

The msgID is used between two SNMP entities to coordinate request messages and responses, and by the v3MP to coordinate the processing of the message by different subsystem models within the architecture.

Values for msgID SHOULD be generated in a manner that avoids re-use of any outstanding values. Doing so provides protection against some replay attacks. One possible implementation strategy would be to use the low-order bits of snmpEngineBoots [RFC3411] as the high-order

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 20]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 20 von 44

portion of the msgID value and a monotonically increasing integer for the low-order portion of msgID.

Note that the request-id in a PDU may be used by SNMP applications to identify the PDU; the msgID is used by the engine to identify the message which carries a PDU. The engine needs to identify the message even if decryption of the PDU (and request-id) fails. No assumption should be made that the value of the msgID and the value of the request-id are equivalent.

The value of the msgID field for a response takes the value of the msgID field from the message to which it is a response. By use of the msgID value, an engine can distinguish the (potentially multiple) outstanding requests, and thereby correlate incoming responses with outstanding requests. In cases where an unreliable datagram service is used, the msgID also provides a simple means of identifying messages duplicated by the network. If a request is retransmitted, a new msgID value SHOULD be used for each retransmission.

6.3. msgMaxSize

The msgMaxSize field of the message conveys the maximum message size supported by the sender of the message, i.e., the maximum message size that the sender can accept when another SNMP engine sends an SNMP message (be it a response or any other message) to the sender of this message on the transport in use for this message.

When an SNMP message is being generated, the msgMaxSize is provided by the SNMP engine which generates the message. At the receiving SNMP engine, the msgMaxSize is used to determine the maximum message size the sender can accommodate.

6.4. msgFlags

The msgFlags field of the message contains several bit fields which control processing of the message.

The reportableFlag is a secondary aid in determining whether a Report PDU MUST be sent. It is only used in cases where the PDU portion of a message cannot be decoded, due to, for example, an incorrect encryption key. If the PDU can be decoded, the PDU type forms the basis for decisions on sending Report PDUs.

When the reportableFlag is used, if its value is one, a Report PDU MUST be returned to the sender under those conditions which can cause the generation of Report PDUs. Similarly, when the reportableFlag is used and its value is zero, then a Report PDU MUST NOT be sent. The reportableFlag MUST always be zero when the message contains a PDU

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 21]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 21 von 44

from the Unconfirmed Class, such as a Report PDU, a response-type PDU (such as a Response PDU), or an unacknowledged notification-type PDU (such as an SNMPv2-trap PDU). The reportableFlag MUST always be one for a PDU from the Confirmed Class, including request-type PDUs (such as a Get PDU) and acknowledged notification-type PDUs (such as an Inform PDU).

If the reportableFlag is set to one for a message containing a PDU from the Unconfirmed Class, such as a Report PDU, a response-type PDU (such as a Response PDU), or an unacknowledged notification-type PDU (such as an SNMPv2-trap PDU), then the receiver of that message MUST process it as though the reportableFlag had been set to zero.

If the reportableFlag is set to zero for a message containing a request-type PDU (such as a Get PDU) or an acknowledged notification-type PDU (such as an Inform PDU), then the receiver of that message MUST process it as though the reportableFlag had been set to one.

Report PDUs are generated directly by the SNMPv3 Message Processing Model, and support engine-to-engine communications, but may be passed to applications for processing.

An SNMP engine that receives a reportPDU may use it to determine what kind of problem was detected by the remote SNMP engine. It can do so based on the error counter included as the first (and only) varBind of the reportPDU. Based on the detected error, the SNMP engine may try to send a corrected SNMP message. If that is not possible, it may pass an indication of the error to the application on whose behalf the failed SNMP request was issued.

The authFlag and privFlag portions of the msgFlags field are set by the sender to indicate the securityLevel that was applied to the message before it was sent on the wire. The receiver of the message MUST apply the same securityLevel when the message is received and the contents are being processed.

There are three securityLevels, namely noAuthNoPriv, which is less than authNoPriv, which is in turn less than authPriv. See the SNMP architecture document [RFC3411] for details about the securityLevel.

a) authFlag

If the authFlag is set to one, then the securityModel used by the SNMP engine which sent the message MUST identify the securityName on whose behalf the SNMP message was generated and MUST provide, in a securityModel-specific manner, sufficient data for the receiver of the message to be able to authenticate that

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 22]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 22 von 44

identification. In general, this authentication will allow the receiver to determine with reasonable certainty that the message was:

- sent on behalf of the principal associated with the securityName,
- was not redirected,
- was not modified in transit, and
- was not replayed.

If the authFlag is zero, then the securityModel used by the SNMP engine which sent the message MUST identify the securityName on whose behalf the SNMP message was generated but it does not need to provide sufficient data for the receiver of the message to authenticate the identification, as there is no need to authenticate the message in this case.

b) privFlag

If the privFlag is set, then the securityModel used by the SNMP engine which sent the message MUST also protect the scopedPDU in an SNMP message from disclosure, i.e., it MUST encrypt/decrypt the scopedPDU. If the privFlag is zero, then the securityModel in use does not need to protect the data from disclosure.

It is an explicit requirement of the SNMP architecture that if privacy is selected, then authentication is also required. That means that if the privFlag is set, then the authFlag MUST also be set to one.

The combination of the authFlag and the privFlag comprises a Level of Security as follows:

```
authFlag zero, privFlag zero -> securityLevel is noAuthNoPriv authFlag zero, privFlag one -> invalid combination, see below authFlag one, privFlag zero -> securityLevel is authNoPriv authFlag one, privFlag one -> securityLevel is authPriv
```

The elements of procedure (see below) describe the action to be taken when the invalid combination of authFlag equal to zero and privFlag equal to one is encountered.

The remaining bits in msgFlags are reserved, and MUST be set to zero when sending a message and SHOULD be ignored when receiving a message.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 23]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 23 von 44

6.5. msqSecurityModel

The v3MP supports the concurrent existence of multiple Security Models to provide security services for SNMPv3 messages. The msgSecurityModel field in an SNMPv3 Message identifies which Security Model was used by the sender to generate the message and therefore which securityModel MUST be used by the receiver to perform security processing for the message. The mapping to the appropriate securityModel implementation within an SNMP engine is accomplished in an implementation-dependent manner.

6.6. msgSecurityParameters

The msgSecurityParameters field of the SNMPv3 Message is used for communication between the Security Model modules in the sending and receiving SNMP engines. The data in the msgSecurityParameters field is used exclusively by the Security Model, and the contents and format of the data is defined by the Security Model. This OCTET STRING is not interpreted by the v3MP, but is passed to the local implementation of the Security Model indicated by the msgSecurityModel field in the message.

6.7. scopedPduData

The scopedPduData field represents either the plain text scopedPDU if the privFlag in the msgFlags is zero, or it represents an encryptedPDU (encoded as an OCTET STRING) which MUST be decrypted by the securityModel in use to produce a plaintext scopedPDU.

6.8. scopedPDU

The scopedPDU contains information to identify an administratively unique context and a PDU. The object identifiers in the PDU refer to managed objects which are (expected to be) accessible within the specified context.

6.8.1. contextEngineID

The contextEngineID in the SNMPv3 message uniquely identifies, within an administrative domain, an SNMP entity that may realize an instance of a context with a particular contextName.

For incoming messages, the contextEngineID is used in conjunction with the pduType to determine to which application the scopedPDU will be sent for processing.

For outgoing messages, the v3MP sets the contextEngineID to the value provided by the application in the request for a message to be sent.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 24]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 24 von 44

6.8.2. contextName

The contextName field in an SNMPv3 message, in conjunction with the contextEngineID field, identifies the particular context associated with the management information contained in the PDU portion of the message. The contextName is unique within the SNMP entity specified by the contextEngineID, which may realize the managed objects referenced within the PDU. An application which originates a message provides the value for the contextName field and this value may be used during processing by an application at the receiving SNMP Engine.

6.8.3. data

The data field of the SNMPv3 Message contains the PDU. Among other things, the PDU contains the PDU type that is used by the v3MP to determine the type of the incoming SNMP message. The v3MP specifies that the PDU MUST be one of those specified in [RFC3416].

7. Elements of Procedure for v3MP

This section describes the procedures followed by an SNMP engine when generating and processing SNMP messages according to the SNMPv3 Message Processing Model.

Please note, that for the sake of clarity and to prevent the text from being even longer and more complicated, some details were omitted from the steps below.

- a) Some steps specify that when some error conditions are encountered when processing a received message, a message containing a Report PDU is generated and the received message is discarded without further processing. However, a Report-PDU MUST NOT be generated unless the PDU causing generation of the Report PDU can be determined to be a member of the Confirmed Class, or the reportableFlag is set to one and the PDU class cannot be determined.
- b) The elements of procedure do not always explicitly indicate when state information needs to be released. The general rule is that if state information is available when a message is to be "discarded without further processing", then the state information should also be released at that same time.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 25]

7.1. Prepare an Outgoing SNMP Message

This section describes the procedure followed to prepare an SNMPv3 message from the data elements passed by the Message Dispatcher.

- The Message Dispatcher may request that an SNMPv3 message containing a Read Class, Write Class, or Notification Class PDU be prepared for sending.
 - a) It makes such a request according to the abstract service primitive:

```
statusInformation =
                                   -- success or errorIndication
  prepareOutgoingMessage(
        transportDomain
transportAddress
                                   -- requested transport domain
  IN
                                   -- requested destination address
        messageProcessingModel -- typically, SNMP version
  IN
        securityModel -- Security Model to use
  IN
       securityName -- on behalf of this principal
securityLevel -- Level of Security requested
contextEngineID -- data from/at this entity
contextName -- data from/in this context
-- version of the PDU *
  IN
  IN
  IN
  IN
  ΤN
        PDU
                                   -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit
  IN
        expectResponse
  IN
                                   -- TRUE or FALSE *
        sendPduHandle
                                   -- the handle for matching
  IN
                                   -- incoming responses
  OUT destTransportDomain -- destination transport domain
        destTransportAddress -- destination transport address
  OUT
  OUT
       outgoingMessage
                                   -- the message to send
  OUT
        outgoingMessageLength -- the length of the message
  )
```

- * The SNMPv3 Message Processing Model does not use the values of expectResponse or pduVersion.
- b) A unique msgID is generated. The number used for msgID should not have been used recently, and MUST NOT be the same as was used for any outstanding request.
- 2) The Message Dispatcher may request that an SNMPv3 message containing a Response Class or Internal Class PDU be prepared for sending.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 26]

a) It makes such a request according to the abstract service primitive:

```
-- SUCCESS or FAILURE
result =
prepareResponseMessage(
      messageProcessingModel
                                  -- typically, SNMP version
                                 -- same as on incoming request
      securityModel
      securityName
                                 -- same as on incoming request
 IN
      securityLevel
                                 -- same as on incoming request
 IN
                             -- data from/at this SNMP entity
      contextEngineID
 IN
      contextName
                                 -- data from/in this context
      pduVersion
                                  -- version of the PDU
 IN
 IN
      PDU
                                  -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit
      maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- maximum size sender can
 IN
                                  -- accept
 ΙN
      stateReference
                                  -- reference to state
                                  -- information presented with
                                  -- the request
      statusInformation
                                  -- success or errorIndication
 IN
                                  -- error counter OID and value
                                  -- when errorIndication
OUT destTransportDomain -- destination transport domain
OUT destTransportAddress -- destination transport address
OUT outgoingMessage -- the message to send
 OUT outgoingMessageLength
                                -- the length of the message
 )
```

- b) The cached information for the original request is retrieved via the stateReference, including:
 - msgID,
 - contextEngineID,
 - contextName,
 - securityModel,
 - securityName,
 - securityLevel,
 - securityStateReference,
 - reportableFlag,
 - transportDomain, and
 - transportAddress.

The SNMPv3 Message Processing Model does not allow cached data to be overridden, except by error indications as detailed in (3) below.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 27]

- 3) If statusInformation contains values for an OID/value combination (potentially also containing a securityLevel value, contextEngineID value, or contextName value), then:
 - a) If a PDU is provided, it is the PDU from the original request. If possible, extract the request-id and pduType.
 - b) If the pduType is determined to not be a member of the Confirmed Class, or if the reportableFlag is zero and the pduType cannot be determined, then the original message is discarded, and no further processing is done. A result of FAILURE is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
 - c) A Report PDU is prepared:
 - 1) the varBindList is set to contain the OID and value from the statusInformation.
 - 2) error-status is set to 0.
 - 3) error-index is set to 0.
 - 4) request-id is set to the value extracted in step b). Otherwise, request-id is set to 0.
 - d) The errorIndication in statusInformation may be accompanied by a securityLevel value, a contextEngineID value, or a contextName value.
 - 1) If statusInformation contains a value for securityLevel, then securityLevel is set to that value, otherwise it is set to noAuthNoPriv.
 - 2) If statusInformation contains a value for contextEngineID, then contextEngineID is set to that value, otherwise it is set to the value of this entity's snmpEngineID.
 - 3) If statusInformation contains a value for contextName, then contextName is set to that value, otherwise it is set to the default context of "" (zero-length string).
 - e) PDU is set to refer to the new Report-PDU. The old PDU is discarded.
 - f) Processing continues with step 6) below.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 28]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 28 von 44

- 4) If the contextEngineID is not yet determined, then the contextEngineID is determined, in an implementation-dependent manner, possibly using the transportDomain and transportAddress.
- 5) If the contextName is not yet determined, the contextName is set to the default context.
- 6) A scopedPDU is prepared from the contextEngineID, contextName, and PDU.
- 7) msgGlobalData is constructed as follows:
 - a) The msgVersion field is set to snmpv3(3).
 - b) msqID is set as determined in step 1 or 2 above.
 - c) msgMaxSize is set to an implementation-dependent value.
 - d) msgFlags are set as follows:
 - If securityLevel specifies noAuthNoPriv, then authFlag and privFlag are both set to zero.
 - If securityLevel specifies authNoPriv, then authFlag is set to one and privFlag is set to zero.
 - If securityLevel specifies authPriv, then authFlag is set to one and privFlag is set to one.
 - If the PDU is from the Unconfirmed Class, then the reportableFlag is set to zero.
 - If the PDU is from the Confirmed Class then the reportableFlag is set to one.
 - All other msgFlags bits are set to zero.
 - e) msgSecurityModel is set to the value of securityModel.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 29]

- 8) If the PDU is from the Response Class or the Internal Class, then:
 - a) The specified Security Model is called to generate the message according to the primitive:

```
statusInformation =
  generateResponseMsq(
       messageProcessingModel -- SNMPv3 Message Processing
                                -- Model
       globalData
  IN
                                -- msqGlobalData from step 7
       maxMessageSize -- from msgMaxSize (step 7c)
securityModel -- as determined in step 7e
securityEngineID -- the value of snmpEngineID
                            -- from msgMaxSize (step 7c)
  IN
  IN
  IN
  IN
       securityName
                               -- on behalf of this principal
       securityLevel
                               -- for the outgoing message
  IN
  IN
       scopedPDU
                                -- as prepared in step 6)
       securityStateReference -- as determined in step 2
  IN
  OUT securityParameters -- filled in by Security Module
  OUT
       wholeMsq
                                -- complete generated message
                            -- length of generated message
  OUT wholeMsgLength
```

If, upon return from the Security Model, the statusInformation includes an errorIndication, then any cached information about the outstanding request message is discarded, and an errorIndication is returned, so it can be returned to the calling application. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

- b) A SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 9) If the PDU is from the Confirmed Class or the Notification Class, then:
 - a) If the PDU is from the Unconfirmed Class, then securityEngineID is set to the value of this entity's snmpEngineID.

Otherwise, the snmpEngineID of the target entity is determined, in an implementation-dependent manner, possibly using transportDomain and transportAddress. The value of the securityEngineID is set to the value of the target entity's snmpEngineID.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 30]

b) The specified Security Model is called to generate the message according to the primitive:

If, upon return from the Security Model, the statusInformation includes an errorIndication, then the message is discarded, and the errorIndication is returned, so it can be returned to the calling application, and no further processing is done. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

- c) If the PDU is from the Confirmed Class, information about the outgoing message is cached, and an implementation-specific stateReference is created. Information to be cached includes the values of:
 - sendPduHandle
 - msgID
 - snmpEngineID
 - securityModel
 - securityName
 - securityLevel
 - contextEngineID
 - contextName
- d) A SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 31]

7.2. Prepare Data Elements from an Incoming SNMP Message

This section describes the procedure followed to extract data from an SNMPv3 message, and to prepare the data elements required for further processing of the message by the Message Dispatcher.

1) The message is passed in from the Message Dispatcher according to the abstract service primitive:

-- SUCCESS or errorIndication result = prepareDataElements(IN transportDomain
IN transportAddress -- origin transport address IN wholeMsq -- as received from the network IN wholeMsgLength -- as received from the network OUT messageProcessingModel -- typically, SNMP version -- Security Model to use OUT securityModel OUT securityName -- on behalf of this principal
OUT securityLevel -- Level of Security requested
OUT contextEngineID -- data from/at this entity
OUT contextName -- data from/in this context OUT contextName -- data from/in this context -- version of the PDU OUT pduVersion OUT PDU -- SNMP Protocol Data Unit OUT pduType -- SNMP PDU type OUT sendPduHandle -- handle for matched request OUT maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- maximum size sender can accept OUT statusInformation -- success or errorIndication -- error counter OID and value -- when errorIndication -- reference to state information OUT stateReference -- to be used for a possible -- Response)

- 2) If the received message is not the serialization (according to the conventions of [RFC3417]) of an SNMPv3Message value, then the snmpInASNParseErrs counter [RFC3418] is incremented, the message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 3) The values for msgVersion, msgID, msgMaxSize, msgFlags, msgSecurityModel, msgSecurityParameters, and msgData are extracted from the message.
- 4) If the value of the msgSecurityModel component does not match a supported securityModel, then the snmpUnknownSecurityModels counter is incremented, the message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 32]

- 5) The securityLevel is determined from the authFlag and the privFlag bits of the msgFlags component as follows:
 - a) If the authFlag is not set and the privFlag is not set, then securityLevel is set to noAuthNoPriv.
 - b) If the authFlag is set and the privFlag is not set, then securityLevel is set to authNoPriv.
 - c) If the authFlag is set and the privFlag is set, then securityLevel is set to authPriv.
 - d) If the authFlag is not set and privFlag is set, then the snmpInvalidMsgs counter is incremented, the message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
 - e) Any other bits in the msgFlags are ignored.
- 6) The security module implementing the Security Model as specified by the securityModel component is called for authentication and privacy services. This is done according to the abstract service primitive:

statusInformation = -- errorIndication or success -- error counter OID and -- value if error processIncomingMsq(IN messageProcessingModel -- SNMPv3 Message Processing Model IN messageriocess...

IN maxMessageSize -- of the senaing sum.

-- of the senaing sum.

-- for the received message

-- for the received message -- of the sending SNMP entity IN securityModel
IN securityLevel -- Level of Security -- as received on the wire IN wholeMsq IN wholemsg
IN wholeMsgLength
OUT securityEngineID
OUT securityName -- length as received on the wire -- authoritative SNMP entity -- identification of the principal OUT scopedPDU, -- message (plaintext) payload OUT maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- maximum size sender can accept OUT securityStateReference -- reference to security state -- information, needed for -- response

If an errorIndication is returned by the security module, then:

a) If statusInformation contains values for an OID/value pair, then generation of a Report PDU is attempted (see step 3 in section 7.1).

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 33]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 33 von 44

- 1) If the scopedPDU has been returned from processIncomingMsg, then determine contextEngineID, contextName, and PDU.
- 2) Information about the message is cached and a stateReference is created (implementation-specific). Information to be cached includes the values of:

msgVersion,
msgID,
securityLevel,
msgFlags,
msgMaxSize,
securityModel,
maxSizeResponseScopedPDU,
securityStateReference

3) Request that a Report-PDU be prepared and sent, according to the abstract service primitive:

```
-- SUCCESS or FAILURE
result =
returnResponsePdu(
IN messageProcessingModel -- SNMPv3(3)
-- from step 6 a) 1)
IN contextEngineID
IN contextName
                   -- from step 6 a) 1)
IN pduVersion
                   -- SNMPv2-PDU
IN PDU
                    -- from step 6 a) 1)
IN maxSizeResponseScopedPDU -- from processIncomingMsg
                   -- from step 6 a) 2)
IN stateReference
```

- b) The incoming message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 7) The scopedPDU is parsed to extract the contextEngineID, the contextName and the PDU. If any parse error occurs, then the snmpInASNParseErrs counter [RFC3418] is incremented, the security state information is discarded, the message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete. Treating an unknown PDU type is treated as a parse error is an implementation option.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 34]

- 8) The pduVersion is determined in an implementation-dependent manner. For SNMPv3, the pduVersion would be an SNMPv2-PDU.
- 9) The pduType is determined, in an implementation-dependent manner. For [RFC3416], the pduTypes include:
 - GetRequest-PDU,
 - GetNextRequest-PDU,
 - GetBulkRequest-PDU,
 - SetRequest-PDU,
 - InformRequest-PDU,
 - SNMPv2-Trap-PDU,
 - Response-PDU,
 - Report-PDU.
- 10) If the pduType is from the Response Class or the Internal Class,
 then:
 - a) The value of the msgID component is used to find the cached information for a corresponding outstanding Request message. If no such outstanding Request message is found, then the security state information is discarded, the message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
 - b) sendPduHandle is retrieved from the cached information.

Otherwise, sendPduHandle is set to <none>, an implementation defined value.

- 11) If the pduType is from the Internal Class, then:
 - a) statusInformation is created using the contents of the Report-PDU, in an implementation-dependent manner. This statusInformation will be forwarded to the application associated with the sendPduHandle.
 - b) The cached data for the outstanding message, referred to by stateReference, is retrieved. If the securityModel or securityLevel values differ from the cached ones, it is important to recognize that Internal Class PDUs delivered at the security level of noAuthNoPriv open a window of opportunity for spoofing or replay attacks. If the receiver of such messages is aware of these risks, the use of such unauthenticated messages is acceptable and may provide a useful function for discovering engine IDs or for detecting misconfiguration at remote nodes.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 35]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 35 von 44

When the securityModel or securityLevel values differ from the cached ones, an implementation may retain the cached information about the outstanding Request message, in anticipation of the possibility that the Internal Class PDU received might be illegitimate. Otherwise, any cached information about the outstanding Request message is discarded.

- c) The security state information for this incoming message is discarded.
- d) stateReference is set to <none>.
- e) A SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 12) If the pduType is from the Response Class, then:
 - a) The cached data for the outstanding request, referred to by stateReference, is retrieved, including:
 - snmpEngineID
 - securityModel
 - securityName
 - securityLevel
 - contextEngineID
 - contextName
 - b) If the values extracted from the incoming message differ from the cached data, then any cached information about the outstanding Request message is discarded, the incoming message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

When the securityModel or securityLevel values differ from the cached ones, an implementation may retain the cached information about the outstanding Request message, in anticipation of the possibility that the Response Class PDU received might be illegitimate.

- c) Otherwise, any cached information about the outstanding Request message is discarded, and the stateReference is set to <none>.
- d) A SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 13) If the pduType is from the Confirmed Class, then:

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 36]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 36 von 44

- a) If the value of securityEngineID is not equal to the value of snmpEngineID, then the security state information is discarded, any cached information about this message is discarded, the incoming message is discarded without further processing, and a FAILURE result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- b) Information about the message is cached and a stateReference is created (implementation-specific). Information to be cached includes the values of:

msgVersion,
msgID,
securityLevel,
msgFlags,
msgMaxSize,
securityModel,
maxSizeResponseScopedPDU,
securityStateReference

- c) A SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.
- 14) If the pduType is from the Unconfirmed Class, then a SUCCESS result is returned. SNMPv3 Message Processing is complete.

8. Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 37]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 37 von 44

9. Acknowledgements

This document is the result of the efforts of the SNMPv3 Working Group. Some special thanks are in order to the following SNMPv3 WG members:

```
Harald Tveit Alvestrand (Maxware)
Dave Battle (SNMP Research, Inc.)
Alan Beard (Disney Worldwide Services)
Paul Berrevoets (SWI Systemware/Halcyon Inc.)
Martin Bjorklund (Ericsson)
Uri Blumenthal (IBM T. J. Watson Research Center)
Jeff Case (SNMP Research, Inc.)
John Curran (BBN)
Mike Daniele (Compaq Computer Corporation)
T. Max Devlin (Eltrax Systems)
John Flick (Hewlett Packard)
Rob Frye (MCI)
Wes Hardaker (U.C.Davis, Information Technology - D.C.A.S.)
David Harrington (Cabletron Systems Inc.)
Lauren Heintz (BMC Software, Inc.)
N.C. Hien (IBM T. J. Watson Research Center)
Michael Kirkham (InterWorking Labs, Inc.)
Dave Levi (SNMP Research, Inc.)
Louis A Mamakos (UUNET Technologies Inc.)
Joe Marzot (Nortel Networks)
Paul Meyer (Secure Computing Corporation)
Keith McCloghrie (Cisco Systems)
Bob Moore (IBM)
Russ Mundy (TIS Labs at Network Associates)
Bob Natale (ACE*COMM Corporation)
Mike O'Dell (UUNET Technologies Inc.)
Dave Perkins (DeskTalk)
Peter Polkinghorne (Brunel University)
Randy Presuhn (BMC Software, Inc.)
David Reeder (TIS Labs at Network Associates)
David Reid (SNMP Research, Inc.)
Aleksey Romanov (Quality Quorum)
Shawn Routhier (Epiloque)
Juergen Schoenwaelder (TU Braunschweig)
Bob Stewart (Cisco Systems)
Mike Thatcher (Independent Consultant)
Bert Wijnen (IBM T. J. Watson Research Center)
```

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 38]

The document is based on recommendations of the IETF Security and Administrative Framework Evolution for SNMP Advisory Team. Members of that Advisory Team were:

```
David Harrington (Cabletron Systems Inc.)
Jeff Johnson (Cisco Systems)
David Levi (SNMP Research Inc.)
John Linn (Openvision)
Russ Mundy (Trusted Information Systems) chair
Shawn Routhier (Epilogue)
Glenn Waters (Nortel)
Bert Wijnen (IBM T. J. Watson Research Center)
```

As recommended by the Advisory Team and the SNMPv3 Working Group Charter, the design incorporates as much as practical from previous RFCs and drafts. As a result, special thanks are due to the authors of previous designs known as SNMPv2u and SNMPv2*:

```
Jeff Case (SNMP Research, Inc.)
David Harrington (Cabletron Systems Inc.)
David Levi (SNMP Research, Inc.)
Keith McCloghrie (Cisco Systems)
Brian O'Keefe (Hewlett Packard)
Marshall T. Rose (Dover Beach Consulting)
Jon Saperia (BGS Systems Inc.)
Steve Waldbusser (International Network Services)
Glenn W. Waters (Bell-Northern Research Ltd.)
```

10. Security Considerations

The Dispatcher coordinates the processing of messages to provide a level of security for management messages and to direct the SNMP PDUs to the proper SNMP application(s).

A Message Processing Model, and in particular the v3MP defined in this document, interacts as part of the Message Processing with Security Models in the Security Subsystem via the abstract service interface primitives defined in [RFC3411] and elaborated above.

The level of security actually provided is primarily determined by the specific Security Model implementation(s) and the specific SNMP application implementation(s) incorporated into this framework. Applications have access to data which is not secured. Applications should take reasonable steps to protect the data from disclosure, and when they send data across the network, they should obey the securityLevel and call upon the services of an Access Control Model as they apply access control.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 39]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 39 von 44

The values for the msgID element used in communication between SNMP entities MUST be chosen to avoid replay attacks. The values do not need to be unpredictable; it is sufficient that they not repeat.

When exchanges are carried out over an insecure network, there is an open opportunity for a third party to spoof or replay messages when any message of an exchange is given at the security level of noAuthNoPriv. For most exchanges, all messages exist at the same security level. In the case where the final message is an Internal Class PDU, this message may be delivered at a level of noAuthNoPriv or authNoPriv, independent of the security level of the preceding messages. Internal Class PDUs delivered at the level of authNoPriv are not considered to pose a security hazard. Internal Class PDUs delivered at the security level of noAuthNoPriv open a window of opportunity for spoofing or replay attacks. If the receiver of such messages is aware of these risks, the use of such unauthenticated messages is acceptable and may provide a useful function for discovering engine IDs or for detecting misconfiguration at remote nodes.

This document also contains a MIB definition module. None of the objects defined is writable, and the information they represent is not deemed to be particularly sensitive. However, if they are deemed sensitive in a particular environment, access to them should be restricted through the use of appropriately configured Security and Access Control models.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [RFC3411] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Management Frameworks", STD 62, RFC 3411, December 2002.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 40]

- RFC 3412 Message Processing and Dispatching for SNMP December 2002
 - [RFC3413] Levi, D., Meyer, P. and B. Stewart, "Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Applications", STD 62, RFC 3413, December 2002.
 - [RFC3414] Blumenthal, U. and B. Wijnen, "The User-Based Security Model (USM) for Version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", STD 62, RFC 3414, December 2002.
 - [RFC3415] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R. and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3415, December 2002.
 - [RFC3416] Presuhn, R., Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Version 2 of the Protocol Operations for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3416, December 2002.
 - [RFC3417] Presuhn, R., Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3417, December 2002.
 - [RFC3418] Presuhn, R., Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Management Information Base (MIB) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3418, December 2002.

11.2. Informative References

- [RFC1901] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", RFC 1901, January 1996.
- [RFC2028] Hovey, R. and S. Bradner, "The Organizations Involved in the IETF Standards Process", BCP 11, RFC 2028, October 1996.
- [RFC2576] Frye, R., Levi, D., Routhier, S. and B. Wijnen, "Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-Standard Network Management Framework", RFC 2576, March 2000.
- [RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D. and B. Stewart,
 "Introduction and Applicability Statements for InternetStandard Management Framework", RFC 3410, December 2002.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 41]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 41 von 44

12. Editors' Addresses

Jeffrey Case SNMP Research, Inc. 3001 Kimberlin Heights Road Knoxville, TN 37920-9716 USA

Phone: +1 423-573-1434 EMail: case@snmp.com

David Harrington Enterasys Networks 35 Industrial Way Post Office Box 5005 Rochester, NH 03866-5005 USA

Phone: +1 603-337-2614 EMail: dbh@enterasys.com

Randy Presuhn BMC Software, Inc. 2141 North First Street San Jose, CA 95131 USA

Phone: +1 408-546-1006

EMail: randy_presuhn@bmc.com

Bert Wijnen Lucent Technologies Schagen 33 3461 GL Linschoten Netherlands

Phone: +31 348-680-485 EMail: bwijnen@lucent.com

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 42]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 42 von 44

13. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Case, et al. Standards Track [Page 43]

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 43 von 44

http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3412 Seite 44 von 44