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# Introduction

## Initial Situation

To ensure patient safety, software that directly or indirectly influences product quality must be validated according to Good Automated Manufacturing Practices (GAMP) (Wikipedia, 2018; International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, pp. 14, 15 and 27). This means that a formal and objective proof must be provided that the software is compliant and that its intended use is achieved (International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, p. 14; Johner, 2017). In support of this validation process, GAMP5 is a guide on how to achieve computerised system validation (CSV) (International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, p. 14).

According to GAMP5, software validation includes the verification of user requirements (PQs) and of functional specifications (OQs) (International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, p. 38). Until today the wega CSV specialists team experienced that PQs and OQs are often performed manually, even though test tools like hp alm (Guru99, 2020a) are supporting testing documentations in regulated companies (Evelyne Daniel, personal communication, December 19, 2019 and April 1, 2020).

## Test Optimisation using Automated Testing

As described in several blogs, manual testing has the disadvantages that it is prone to mistakes and errors while performing the tests and that it is time consuming for human testers and therefore it generates high costs (Guru99b, 2020; Hoogenraad, 2017). This is especially true, when the same tests have to be performed several times (Guru99b, 2020). On the other hand, and in contrast to automated testing, manual testing allows to evaluate aspects like user friendliness and positive customer experience (Guru99b, 2020). Therefore this project will focus its investigations on test automation for OQs as testing on functional level does not include evaluations on usability aspects (Qualitest, n.d.).

Coming back to the situation in the pharmaceutical industry, Jae Burnett investigates in her paper ‘Practical Use of Automated Tool in Computer System Compliance’, the usage of test automation tools for validated pharmaceutical environments: She emphasises that life science companies should consider automated testing as an opportunity that could add significant value to the computer system compliance process (Burnett, 2009, p. 75).

She further suggests, that if a full test automation might not always be possible, as it might be difficult to integrate the formal approval and the control of test cases into the test automation system, hybrid approaches between automation and manual process could be considered (Burnett, 2009, p. 75).

In conclusion, OQs are interesting to investigate in respect of test automation, as they are so far mainly performed manually and have therefore a good potential of optimisation in respect of reliability and cost effectiveness. And in contrary to PQs there is no need to consider aspects of user friendliness and positive customer experience, for which automated testing is not suitable.

## BDD High Level Test Automation for OQs

OQs are considered as high level testing as they verify that the functional specifications are fulfilled (International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, p. 38).

Behaviour driven development (BDD), on the other side, is a software development approach that includes tools for high level test automation (Smart, 2015, p.25). One of such tool is Cucumber (Smart, 2015, p.25). As the test automation script is based on a formalised natural language, that is human and machine readable, it has the potential to be a powerful asset in the sense of a hybrid approach between automation and manual processes (Burnett, 2009, p. 75; Nagy & Rose, 2018, chapter 4.6).

In the same sense, Gáspár Nagy and Seb Rose state in their book ‘Discovery: Explore behaviour using examples’ that BDD is well suited for software development in regulated areas and refer thereby also to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Nagy & Rose, 2018, chapter 4.6).

## Tools

* Cucumber/Gherkin which allow to automate the test cases using an automation script (gherkin feature file) (SmartBear Software, 2020).
* Selenium which simulates the user interaction with the web application and will be controlled by cucumber and the gherkin feature file (Selenium, n.d; Jain & Sawant, 2018).
* Scenarioo that is used to display test reports with screenshots (Scenarioo, n.d.).

## Hypothesis and Research Questions

This project will be led by following hypothesis: BDD with its activities from user stories to executable specifications (formulation) and automation is a practicable approach in respect of technical feasibility, taking into account Cucumber/Gherkin, Selenium and Scenarioo, and validation requirements according to GAMP5 for OQ test automation in highly regulated environments of the pharmaceutical industry.

To evaluate the above mentioned hypothesis, the project should find answers to following questions:

* Do the artefacts out of the BDD process satisfy the GAMP5 requirements in respect of OQs?
* Can automation tools like Cucumber/Gherkin, Selenium and Scenarioo (see chapter 2.2.1) be used together in validated environments?
* How can the test suite be adapted to the evolution of the application?
* How could be dealt with new versions of the automation tools in terms of validation?

## Scope

### In Scope

* Evaluation of a test automation for OQs based on BDD for a category 5 software (custom application) according to GAMP5.
* Proposal for a Validation Procedure for Cucumber/Gherkin, Scenarioo and Selenium and their interaction.
* Implementation of a prototype for the evaluation and illustration of a test automation for different functionalities. The test automation is based on the following tools: Cucumber, Selenium, Scenarioo.
* Are to be included: User Requirements, Specification/Test Management, Risk Management, Traceability, the validation process with regard to the OQs for a Category 5 product according to GAMP5.
* If possible, outlooks on related topics (PQs, category 4 software, DevOps), based on the findings obtained.

### Out of Scope

* Validation activities according to GAMP5 outside of OQs (e.g. process validation, IQs, PQs, design reviews, used infrastructure ...).
* BDD activities that are outside the chain from user stories to high-level test automation (e.g. the implementation technique TDD, Unit/Module Testing).
* Tool evaluations for implementation (e.g. Selenium vs. Cypress) are not part of this work.
* Risk evaluation regarding the implementation of the prototype: exemplary risks are considered, but without claiming that the risk evaluation was carried out correctly and completely from a practical point of view.
* Compliance of the prototype: if possible, an exemplary compliance requirement that is technically easy to implement will be considered. However, the prototype will not be compliant to regulations like FDA 21 CFR part 11 or EU GMP Annex 11.

## Approach

The starting point for the BDD part is the book "Discovery - Explore behaviour using examples" by Gaspar Nagy and Seb Rose (ISBN 978-1983591259). In chapter 4.6 the authors state that BDD leads to improved efficiency in software development for regulated environments.

The CSV part of the project is based on the widely-used CSV standard GAMP5.

The BDD approach and the GAMP5 methodology are analysed to develop a combined process. This process is then presented in an appropriate form (e.g. BPMN) so that it can be evaluated by a wega CSV specialist. The process will be regularly reviewed by a wega CSV specialist while being developed to assure its conformity with GAMP5. Based on the developed process a prototype will be implemented as proof of concept. Few exemplary user requirements are defined as a basis for its implementation. As the final input regarding the suitability of the developed model, the prototype is audited by a wega CSV specialist. This then forms the basis for the ‘Learnings & Discussion’ and the ‘Outlook’.

In summary following activities are planned in two different streams as shown in the figures 1 & 2:

* Development of a combined process between BDD and GAMP5 in respect to OQs
* Based on point 1 to implementation of a prototype
* Audit of the prototype by wega
* Analysis of the audit results including learnings, a discussion and an outlook
* Analysis of what is needed to be done in order to use Cucumber/Gherkin, Scenarioo and Selenium in a validated environment including following aspects
  + single tools
  + combination of the tools
  + updates of the tools

Figure 1 Process to investigate OQ test automation

Figure 2 Analysis of the usability of automation tools for regulated environments

# Materials & Methods

## Analysis

Literature search

BPMN: <http://www.bpmn.org/>

## Prototyping

### Tools Included in the Evaluation

* Cucumber/Gherkin which allow to automate the test cases using an automation script (gherkin feature file) (SmartBear Software, 2020).
* Selenium which simulates the user interaction with the web application and will be controlled by cucumber and the gherkin feature file (Selenium, n.d; Jain & Sawant, 2018).
* Scenarioo that is used to display test reports with screenshots (Scenarioo, n.d.).

### Tools Used Outside the Evaluation Part

The use of the following tools is anticipated but might be subject to changes. These tools will support the work but are not relevant for the evaluation itself.

* Front end: vue CLI 🡪 <https://cli.vuejs.org/>
* Back end: Spring 🡪 <https://spring.io/>
* Database: PostgreSQL 🡪 <https://www.postgresql.org/>
* Others:  
  Maven 🡪 [https://maven.apache.org/](https://maven.apache.org/guides/introduction/introduction-to-repositories.html)   
  GitHub 🡪 <https://github.com/>

### Used tools

OQ TEST APP

* AdoptOpenJDK 14 with HotSpot as JVM (200406 - <https://adoptopenjdk.net/index.html?variant=openjdk14&jvmVariant=hotspot>) was chosen as it is an open-source version of the Java Standard Edition platform (200406 - <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OpenJDK>). There are different Open JDK distributors on the market (200406 - <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OpenJDK>). AdoptOpenJDK was chosen as it is recommended by stackoverflow when no specific environmental or license requirement are needed and the most standard DK build would therefore be appropriate (200406 - <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/52431764/difference-between-openjdk-and-adoptopenjdk>) .
* IntelliJ IDEA community 2019.2 was used as development environment: 200406 - <https://www.jetbrains.com/idea/>
* Maven was used to allow dependency management: for description see the oq-test-app POM on <https://github.com/sableu/BDD4OQ>.......
* Cucumber/Gherkin, Selenium and Scenarioo were used in the versions as described in the oq-test-app POM on <https://github.com/sableu/BDD4OQ>.......

### Method

Incremental Software Engineering Approach in order to evaluate the question, what implication the extension of the application with new functionalities on the test suite (see chapter 6.6).

## Evaluations

### Tool-Evaluation

Tool Evaluation for Cucumber/Gherkin, Scenarioo and Selenium: An analysis will be performed on what is needed in order to be able to use these tools in a validated environment.

### Audit of the Prototype

The audit will be done by wega. The goal of the audit is to evaluate the documents and the prototype itself that were created within the scope of this project, in respect to the GAMP5 requirements for OQs of a category 5 software. The audit report is attached to this document.

# Computerised System Validation according to GAMP5

## GAMP5: An Overview

### What is GAMP5

### Key Concepts

### Life Cycle Approach

### Product Categories

### Project Phase for Category 5 Software

### Automated Testing

(International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering ISPE, 2008, pp. 207)

## OQs According to GAMP5

### Writing OQs

### Incorporating the Risk Assessment

### Traceability

### Specification- and Test Management

### Validation Process in Respect to OQs

* Incl. validation process for test-automation tools

# Behaviour Driven Development

Literature:

* The BDD Books: Discovery – Explore behaviour using examples   
  Gáspár Nagy and Seb Rose   
  ISBN: 978-1983591259
* BDD in Action – Behavior-Driven Development for the whole software lifecycle  
  John Ferguson Smart  
  ISBN: 9781617291654
* Writing Great Specifications – Using Specification by Example and Gherkin  
  Kamil Nicieja  
  ISBN: 9781617294105

## BDD a suitable Software Engineering Approach for Highly Regulated Environments

## The Approach: An Overview

## Writing Executable Specifications with Gherkin

* Basierend auf dem Buch: Writing Great Specifications: Using specification by example and Gherkin von Kamil Nicieja

## Automation Tools

BDD Automation Tool:   
- Cucumber/Gherkin: <https://cucumber.io/>

Further tools to complement Cucumber:  
- Scenarioo: <http://scenarioo.org/>  
- Selenium: <https://www.selenium.dev/>

# OQs using BDD – A combined process

## Organisation, Roles, Responsibilities

## QA Process

## Test Automation Tool Validation for Cucumber/Gherkin, Scenarioo, Selenium and their interactions

# Prototyping

## Tool-Validation

Implication of updates of the used tools on validation

Category 1 (Selenium, Cucumber Gherkin nach GAMP5)

Category 3 (Scenarioo)

Versionskontrolle ist wichtig 🡪 controlled by Maven, das hier über die Dependencies erlaubt es zu kontrollieren, deshalb ist Mave wichtig im Environmental Set-up

## Application Design

## Environmental Set-Up

### General Set-Up

### OQ Test App

Code is based on Code written by Andreas Hosbach (<https://github.com/andreashosbach/cucumber-reporter> )

### JBA Frontend (JavaBusinessApplication)

### JBA Backend (JavaBusinessApplication)

### Database

## Specification/Formulation

### From User Stories to Feature Files

### Risk Assessment

### Compliance

## Test Automation

### Glue Files

### Test Reports

## QA Processes

### Specification and Test Management

### Traceability

### Approval

## Implications of adding new functionalities on the OQ Test-Suite

# Results of the Prototype Audit

## xxxx

## xxxx

# Learnings & Discussion

## xxxx

## xxxx

# Outlook

## General

## Specific Topics

### PQs

### Category 4 Software

### DevOps

# Formatting

## Quotes

Enable „Replace "Straight quotes" with “smart quotes”” in the AutoFormat section of the AutoCorrect options. Default language is „English (U.K.)“.

Variant: «…»

## Enumerations

Please use the „List Bullet“ template.

* Item 1
* Item 2

## Footnotes

Example for text containing a footnote.[[1]](#footnote-1)

## Figures

Please use the following option to insert graphical illustrations (e.g. Power Point charts):

Home Tab 🡪 Paste 🡪 Paste Special… 🡪 Picture (Enhanced Metafile).

Use the template „Figure“ for the formatting of illustrations. After inserting the illustration it must be anchored to the text. For this purpose, place the cursor in the target area of the figure (a paragraph which is formatted as „Figure“), do a right click on the figure and follow the instructions below:

Size and position 🡪 Text Wrapping 🡪 In Line with Text

The size of the illustration can also be changed in „Size and position“.

To **name** your illustration, place the cursor below your figure and choose „Insert Caption“ from tab „References“.

Caption: Figure number, colon, space, caption.

Please compare the following example: Figure 1



Figure 3: Example illustration

## Tables

### Tables as illustration

For tables which are imported as **illustration** or **object** e.g. from excel, use the same procedure like for figures (2.4) except that the illustration is formatted as “Figure Table” instead of “Figure”

For the caption use the same label “Table” as for word tables and format it as “Caption Table”.

Table caption: Below the table, like in our example: Table 1



Table 1: Example for a table imported as illustration

### Word tables

Choose our template „Table heading“ for title row and „Table text“ for table content. Please follow our instructions to caption your table:

Tab “References” 🡪 “Insert caption” (Position: Below selected item)

Reformat accordingly to „Caption Table“ (Styles).

Caption: Table number, colon, space, caption.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Bezeichnung der  Grössenklasse | Anzahl Beschäftigte  (Vollzeitäquivalent) |
| Kleinunternehmen | 10 bis unter 50 |
| Mittlere Unternehmen 1 | 50 bis unter 100 |
| Mittlere Unternehmen 2 | 100 bis unter 250 |

Table 2: Example for a table created by Word

## Changing the font

The font for the whole document can be changed by the “normal” template (is not recommended). All other styles which are used in this document are linked to this template.

To change the font anyway:

Tab „Home“ 🡪 „Styles“ 🡪 Choose template „Normal“ in the dropdown-menu (right click) 🡪 „Modify“
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