

COMP301 - HW2 - Sabuha KAYA

Q1)

- a) - writing pseudo code:
- we have an array of size $n \rightarrow A[n]$
 - Smallest value = minVal

```

int A[n];
int minVal = 0;
int minIndex;

for (i = 0, i < n, i++)
    minIndex = i
    minVal = A[i]
    for (j = i+1, j < n, j++)
        if (A[j] < A[minIndex])
            minIndex = j;

swap(A[minIndex], A[i])
A[minIndex] = A[i]
A[i] = minVal;
    
```

- b) This algorithm has two loop invariant
- 1) For the first for loop, array is sorted until i 'th point.
 - 2) For the second/inside loop, the minimum value is the always minimum in the range of $A[i]$ to $A[j]$.

↳ If we prove this part, we can give an example

$A[n] \rightarrow \{1, 5, 4, 2, 3\}$

first we will sort in the outside loop. $\rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
 while doing that we will watch the above steps in algorithm \rightarrow

always min	$1 < 5 \checkmark$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ is the min.} \\ \text{do the same for} \\ 5, 4, 2, 3 \\ \text{and get } \rightarrow 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \end{array} \right. \rightarrow$	algorithm makes perfectly!
	min = 1		
	$1 < 4 \checkmark$		
	min = 1		
	$min < 3 \checkmark$		
	min = 1		

c) Since we have n values in array and we have 2 for loops the worst case is $O(n^2)$. In best case scenario we can assume that the given array is already sorted. But it doesn't change the fact that we have to iterate through all of the loops. And that means we have best and worst case scenarios same for selection sort algorithm.

Q2) In the question it says us to look at while loop.

In while loop we have

while ($i > 0$ ~~88~~ $A[i] > \text{key}$)

$A[i+1] = A[i]$

$i = i - 1$;

What this loop does is mainly 2 procedures

① we are having a linear search to search through backward in a sorted sub-array in order to put the key value to the appropriate location.

② we are shifting the values bigger than "key" to the end of the array in order to take the actual key to the exact place.

Binary search is \rightarrow halving the size of remaining portion of the sequence each time.

↳ So if we apply binary search to decrease the running time of insertion sort that won't work. Because binary search decreases the num. of comparisons for first statement, we will have to shift element to the end of the array in order to put key to the required position. And shifting all of these elements will take $O(n)$ time, which means the running time of the insertion sort will be $\Theta(n^2)$.

So we CANNOT do that.

↳ if we use doubly linked list it is also $\Theta(n^2) \rightarrow$ sorting $\rightarrow n$
inserting $\rightarrow n \rightarrow$ because we have to navigate the link structure to find the insertion point \rightarrow so, $\Theta(n^2)$

Q3)

To apply recursion algorithm

Firstly \rightarrow Sort the sub-array $A[1 \dots n-1]$

Second \rightarrow Then get the value n \rightarrow find proper place and insert in the array.

When we insert the first element there is no need to sort that's why it takes $\Theta(1)$ time. After 1st one since there are $n-1$ elements the running time is $\Theta(n)$

$$\text{So Running time} = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & n=1 \\ T(n-1) + \Theta(n) & n>1 \end{cases}$$

\rightarrow Normal insertion sort method

Insert (Arr, key)

keyVal = Arr[key]

idx = key - 1

while idx > 0 & Arr[idx] > keyVal

Arr[idx+1] = Arr[idx]

idx = idx - 1

Arr[idx+1] = keyVal

\rightarrow When we write the recursive version:

insertion-sort-recursion (Arr, n)

if $n > 1$

insertion-sort-recursion (Arr, n-1)

Insert (Arr, n)

worst \rightarrow If the element we insert is smaller than all of the elements we will shift every number in array which means $\Theta(n)$ running time.

average \rightarrow the element is smaller than half of the array $\rightarrow \frac{n}{2} \rightarrow \Theta(n)$ again. This will be done for every 1 element in recursion \rightarrow which means running time becomes $n \times \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^2)$