

Code Analysis Endpoint ? Flask Application

Table of Contents

- 1. [Overview](#overview)
- 2. [Architecture & Flow](#architecture--flow)
- 3. [Key Functions & Helpers](#key-functions--helpers)
- 4. [Request Handling](#request-handling)
- 5. [Language Detection](#language-detection)
- 6. [Context Construction](#context-construction)
- 7. [LLM Interaction](#llm-interaction)
- 8. [Response Rendering](#response-rendering)
- 9. [Pros & Cons](#pros--cons)
- 10. [Suggested Improvements](#suggested-improvements)

- -

Overview

A single Flask route (`/code-analyze`) accepts a code file upload, infers its language from the extension, builds a minimal context, sends it to an LLM endpoint for analysis, and renders the result on the main page. It is designed as a quick demo for single file code analysis.

- -

Architecture & Flow

...

Client (POST `/code-analyze`)

?

?

Flask Route ? Validate file & form data

?

?

Infer language ? Build context dict

?

?

Generate LLM messages via `build_code_assistant_messages()`

?

?

POST to LM Studio chat/completions endpoint

?

?

Parse LLM response ? Render index.html with result

...

Key components:

- **Flask** ? web framework.

- **W

- --

Key Functions & Helpers

| Function | Purpose |

|-----|-----|

| `code_analyze()` | Main route handling file upload, context creation, LLM call, and rendering. |

| `build_code_assistant_messages(context, goal)` | (External) Builds the conversation payload for the LLM. |

| `secure_filename()` | Sanitizes uploaded file names. |

- *Constants (assumed global)**

- `LM

- --

Request Handling

- Accepts **POST** with `multipart/form-data`.

- Exp

- Optional form fields: `code_goal` and `tech_stack`.

- Validates presence of a file; returns **400** if missing.

- --

Language Detection

```
```python
```

```
ext = filename.rsplit(".", 1)[-1].lower() if "." in filename else ""
```

```
language_map = { ... } # mapping of extensions to language names
```

```
language = language_map.get(ext, "text")
```

```
...
```

- Very naive: relies solely on file extension.

- Fall

- --

## Context Construction

```
```python
```

```
context = {
```

```
    "project_name": "Ad-hoc upload project",
```

```
    "project_tech_stack": tech_stack,
```

```
    "project_notes": "Single-file upload via Recall AI demo.",
```

```
    "project_summary": "...",
```

```
    "active_file": {
```

```
        "path": filename,
```

```
        "language": language,
```

```
        "content": code_content,
```

```
    },
```

```
    "selection_snippet": "",
```

```
    "related_files": [],
```

```
}
```

```
```
```

- Minimal, single?file context.

- Des

- --

## LLM Interaction

```
```python
```

```
messages = build_code_assistant_messages(context, user_goal or "Explain this code file in detail.")
```

```
payload = {"model": CHAT_MODEL, "messages": messages, "temperature": 0.2}
```

```
resp = requests.post(f"{LM_STUDIO_BASE_URL}/chat/completions", json=payload)
```

```
...
```

- Uses a low temperature for deterministic output.

- Exp

- --

Response Rendering

```
```python
return render_template(
 "index.html",
 chunks_count=len(DOCUMENT_CHUNKS),
 code_answer=code_answer,
 code_goal=user_goal,
)
```
```

- Passes the LLM answer, goal, and chunk count to the template.

- No

- --

Pros & Cons

Pros

- **Simplicity** ? Easy to understand and extend.

- **R**

Cons

- **Naive language detection** ? Extension?only approach can misclassify.

- **N**

- --

Suggested Improvements

| Area | Recommendation |
|---------------------------|---|
| Language Detection | Use a library like `guesslang` or parse file headers for more accurate inference. |
| File Validation | Enforce size limits, MIME type checks, and optional syntax validation. |
| Multi?file Support | Accept a ZIP archive or repository clone; build a full project context. |

- | **Context Enrichment** | Pull metadata from `pyproject.toml`, `package.json`, or other config files. |
- | **Error Handling** | Catch exceptions from `requests` and provide user-friendly messages. |
- | **Caching** | Cache LLM responses for identical inputs to reduce latency and cost. |
- | **Rate Limiting** | Protect the endpoint from abuse (e.g., `Flask-Limiter`). |
- | **Testing** | Add unit tests for language detection, context building, and LLM payload creation. |
- | **Documentation** | Generate API docs (e.g., `Swagger/OpenAPI`) for the route. |

- -

Overall Review

The `code_analyze` endpoint is a solid foundation for a single-file code analysis demo. It cleanly separates concerns: file handling, context creation, LLM interaction, and rendering. However, to move from a prototype to production-grade service, it needs stronger validation, richer context handling, and robust error management. Implementing the suggested improvements will make it more reliable, secure, and extensible for real-world use cases.