EDAOutput

Summary Notes:

- 1. Country has the least amount of missingness (at most 0.9%), which tells us that we can use country as our individuals.
- 2. Gender can be successfully recoded as binary for 2014-2018. However, missingness is as bad as 35% for 2017 and 2018. We would need to do some sort of fancy imputation method (Inverse Probability weighting or logistic regression). More research required.
- 3. When subsetting the data by FT employed developers, missingness in Gender is a bit better (as bad as 32%). However, we would need to eliminate 2014 dataset because they don't have a question that separates by Employment Status.
- 4. Missingness in Salary is the terrible for original dataset (as bad as 80%), so we could not use it as a covariate. However, if we adjust for Employment Status, there are good decreases in missingness. But, it's still a terrible situation (as bad as 66%). If our imputation methods that we research work for missingness as bad as 66%, then we can use Salary.

Type of Responses

| Year | Options | Type |
|------|---|---------------------|
| 2018 | Male, Female Transgender, gender | Mark all that apply |
| | non-conforming, genderqueer, Non-binary NA | |
| 2017 | Male, Female Transgender, Gender | Mark all that apply |
| | non-conforming Other, NA | • |
| 2016 | Male, Female Other Prefer not to disclose, NA | Choose one |
| 2015 | Male, Female Other Prefer not to disclose, NA | Choose one |
| 2014 | Male, Female Prefer not to disclose, NA | Choose one |

Data Reduction / Derived Variables

Recoding Algorithm

- 1. Any answer indicating 'Male' exclusively was coded as '1'. 2. 'Prefer not to disclose' was changed to 'NA'.
- 3. All other responses were coded as '0'.

For Country variables, it appears that we can continue with complete cases. There is only missingness in 2016 (n=502, 0.9%) and 2018 (n=412, 0.4%). The missingness is clearly a very small fraction of the entire respective datasets.

\begin{table}[!h]

\caption{Table of Proportions (%) of Gender for 219 Potential Countries Across Time}

| | Other | Males | NA |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2018 | 5.09 | 60.40 | 34.51 |
| 2017 | 6.72 | 61.55 | 31.73 |
| 2016 | 6.21 | 92.04 | 1.75 |
| 2015 | 6.11 | 91.81 | 2.08 |
| 2014 | 4.61 | 89.80 | 5.59 |

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{end}\{\ensuremath{\mbox{table}}\}}$

Solutions to Missingness

Missingness in Gender is very problematic for 2018 and 2017. Otherwise, we can continue with complete case for 2014-2016. Or, if we come up with an imputation method for 2017-18, then might as well apply it to 2014-2016.

(Imputation on Binary Variables

)[https://niasra.uow.edu.au/content/groups/public/@web/@inf/@math/documents/mm/uow228467.pdf] See slides 15 specifically; alludes to using logistic regression to impute for missing values in gender. Jaylen also recommend Inverse Probability Weighting; there's an R package called ipw.