Website Phishing

Introduction

Phishing is the fraudulent attempt to obtain sensitive information or data, such as usernames, passwords, credit card numbers, or other sensitive details by impersonating oneself as a trustworthy entity in a digital communication. Typically carried out by email spoofing, instant messaging, and text messaging, phishing often directs users to enter personal information at a fake website which matches the look and feel of the legitimate site.

Phishing is by far the most common attack performed by cyber-criminals, recording over twice as many incidents of phishing than any other type of computer crime.

As per Data by FBI, crime related to phishing increased rapidly.

Comparison for the top five reported crime types of 2020 for the years of 2016 to 2020:



Websites Phishing using Unsupervised Machine Learning

The main idea of this project is to how website phishing attacks can be prevented using unsupervised machine learning technique. In this project I am going to train the model using Neural Network, K-means and K-modes with the UCI Phishing Websites Data Set and see how accurate our model differentiates between Phishing and Legitimate website.

In this project I am going to use UCI Phishing Websites Data Set, which contains 11055 instances and 31 attributes.

First, we will import the dataset and see the dataset.

```
From scipy.io import arff
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

### Import numpy as np

#
```

	0	1	2	3	4
having_IP_Address	-1	1	1	1	1
URL_Length	1	1	0	0	0
Shortining_Service	1	1	1	1	-1
having_At_Symbol	1	1	1	1	1
double_slash_redirecting	-1	1	1	1	1
Prefix_Suffix	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
having_Sub_Domain	-1	0	-1	-1	1
SSLfinal_State	-1	1	-1	-1	1
Domain_registeration_length	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
Favicon	1	1	1	1	1
port	1	1	1	1	1
HTTPS_token	-1	-1	-1	-1	1
Request_URL	1	1	1	-1	1
URL_of_Anchor	-1	0	0	0	0
Links_in_tags	1	-1	-1	0	0
SFH	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Submitting_to_email	-1	1	-1	1	1
Abnormal_URL	-1	1	-1	1	1
Redirect	0	0	0	0	0
on_mouseover	1	1	1	1	-1
RightClick	1	1	1	1	1
popUpWidnow	1	1	1	1	-1
Iframe	1	1	1	1	1
age_of_domain	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
DNSRecord	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
web_traffic	-1	0	1	1	0
Page_Rank	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Google_Index	1	1	1	1	1
Links_pointing_to_page	1	1	0	-1	1
Statistical_report	-1	1	-1	1	1
Result	-1	-1	-1	-1	1

Checking all columns in the dataset:

Now we will check the total number of observations and classes in the dataset:

As result dataset contains 4898 observation from -1 class shows Phishing data and 6157 observations from 1 class shows Legitimate data.


Plotting Class vs Number of Observations:

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
plt.style.use('ggplot')

plot = class_distribution.groupby('Class')['Number of Observations'].sum().plot(kind='barh', width=0.2, figsize=(10,8))

plot.set_title('Class distribution', fontsize = 18)
plot.set_xlabel('Number of Observations', fontsize = 15)
plot.set_ylabel('Class', fontsize = 15)

for i in plot.patches:
    plot.text(i.get_width()+0.1, i.get_y()+0.1,str(i.get_width()), fontsize=10)
```



Descriptive Statistics Summary of the dataset:

	count	mean	etd	min	25%	50%	75%	max
having_IP_Address	11055.0	0.313795	0.949534	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
URL_Length	11055.0	-0.633198	0.766095	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.0
Shortining_Service	11055.0	0.738761	0.673998	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
having_At_Symbol	11055.0	0.700588	0.713598	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
double_slash_redirecting	11055.0	0.741474	0.671011	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Prefix_Suffix	11055.0	-0.734962	0.678139	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.0
having_Sub_Domain	11055.0	0.063953	0.817518	-1.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
SSLfinal_State	11055.0	0.250927	0.911892	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Domain_registeration_length	11055.0	-0.336771	0.941629	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0
Favicon	11055.0	0.628584	0.777777	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
port	11055.0	0.728268	0.685324	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
HTTPS_token	11055.0	0.675079	0.737779	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Request_URL	11055.0	0.186793	0.982444	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
URL_of_Anchor	11055.0	-0.076526	0.715138	-1.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Linke_in_tage	11055.0	-0.118137	0.763973	-1.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
SFH	11055.0	-0.595749	0.759143	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.0
Submitting_to_email	11055.0	0.635640	0.772021	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Abnormal_URL	11055.0	0.705292	0.708949	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Redirect	11055.0	0.115694	0.319872	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
on_mouseover	11055.0	0.762099	0.647490	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
RightClick	11055.0	0.913885	0.405991	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
popUpWidnow	11055.0	0.613388	0.789818	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Iframe	11055.0	0.816915	0.576784	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
age_of_domain	11055.0	0.061239	0.998168	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
DNSRecord	11055.0	0.377114	0.926209	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
web_traffic	11055.0	0.287291	0.827733	-1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Page_Rank	11055.0	-0.483673	0.875289	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0
Google_Index	11055.0	0.721574	0.692369	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Links_pointing_to_page	11055.0	0.344007	0.569944	-1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
Statistical_report	11055.0	0.719584	0.694437	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Result	11055.0	0.113885	0.993539	-1.0	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

```
df.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 11055 entries, 0 to 11054
Data columns (total 31 columns):
# Column
                                Non-Null Count Dtype
                                -----
   having IP Address
                                11055 non-null int64
0
                                11055 non-null int64
1 URL Length
2 Shortining_Service
3 having_At_Symbol
                               11055 non-null int64
3 having_At_Symbol 11055 non-null int64
4 double_slash_redirecting 11055 non-null int64
5 Prefix Suffix
6 having_Sub_Domain
7 SSLfinal_State
                               11055 non-null int64
                              11055 non-null int64
                               11055 non-null int64
8 Domain_registeration_length 11055 non-null int64
9 Favicon
                               11055 non-null int64
10 port
                                11055 non-null int64
                                11055 non-null int64
11 HTTPS_token
12 Request URL
                               11055 non-null int64
13 URL_of_Anchor
                               11055 non-null int64
14 Links_in_tags
                               11055 non-null int64
15 SFH
                               11055 non-null int64
16 Submitting_to_email
                               11055 non-null int64
17 Abnormal URL
                               11055 non-null int64
                               11055 non-null int64
18 Redirect
19 on_mouseover
                               11055 non-null int64
20 RightClick
                               11055 non-null int64
                               11055 non-null int64
21 popUpWidnow
 22 Iframe
                               11055 non-null int64
                              11055 non-null int64
 23 age_of_domain
24 DNSRecord
                               11055 non-null int64
25 web_traffic
                               11055 non-null int64
                               11055 non-null int64
26 Page_Rank
27 Google_Index
                               11055 non-null int64
                              11055 non-null int64
28 Links_pointing_to_page
29 Statistical_report
                               11055 non-null int64
30 Result
                               11055 non-null int64
dtypes: int64(31)
memory usage: 2.6 MB
```

Renaming "Result" to "Class" and Replacing -1 to 0:

```
df.rename(columns={'Result': 'Class'}, inplace=True)

df['Class'] = df['Class'].map({-1:0, 1:1})
df['Class'].unique()

array([0, 1], dtype=int64)
```

Checking for NA values:

```
df.isna().sum()
having_IP_Address
                                0
URL Length
                                0
Shortining_Service
                                0
having At Symbol
                                0
double slash redirecting
                                0
Prefix Suffix
having_Sub_Domain
                                0
SSLfinal State
                                0
Domain_registeration_length
Favicon
                                0
port
                                0
HTTPS token
                                0
Request URL
                                0
URL_of_Anchor
                                0
Links_in_tags
                                0
                                0
Submitting_to_email
                                0
Abnormal_URL
                                0
Redirect
                                0
on mouseover
                                0
RightClick
                                0
popUpWidnow
                               0
Iframe
                                0
age of domain
                                0
DNSRecord
                                0
web traffic
                                0
Page Rank
                                0
Google Index
                                0
Links_pointing_to_page
                               0
Statistical_report
                                0
Class
                                0
dtype: int64
```

Neural Network

After splitting the data into train and test with test size 20%.

```
X = df.iloc[:,0:30].values.astype(int)
y = df.iloc[:,30].values.astype(int)

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=np.random.seed(7))
```

Training data with Neural Network having 3 layers Input layer, hidden layer and output layer with activation relu and sigmoid and compiling the model using binary_crossentropy and Adam optimizer

We build the Model using the Sequential API

Model Summary:

```
model.summary()
Model: "sequential"
Layer (type)
                        Output Shape
                                              Param #
dense (Dense)
                        (None, 40)
                                              1240
dense 1 (Dense)
                        (None, 30)
                                              1230
dense 2 (Dense)
                        (None, 1)
______
Total params: 2,501
Trainable params: 2,501
Non-trainable params: 0
```

Now we Fit the model on batch size=64, epochs=128 and get the accuracy of 95.75%:

```
# fit the keras model on the dataset
 history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, batch_size=64, epochs=128,validation_split=0.05 ,verbose=1, callbacks=[es_cb])
 scores = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
 print('\nAccuracy score of the Neural Network is {0:.2f}%'.format(scores[1]*100))
 y: 0.9413
 Epoch 42/128
 132/132 [====
           y: 0.9368
 Epoch 43/128
 132/132 [============ ] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 0.0958 - accuracy: 0.9593 - val loss: 0.1326 - val accurac
 y: 0.9413
 Epoch 44/128
 v: 0.9436
 Fnoch 45/128
         132/132 [===
 v: 0.9278
 Epoch 46/128
 132/132 [==========] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 0.0974 - accuracy: 0.9597 - val loss: 0.1416 - val accurac
 y: 0.9368
 70/70 [================= ] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.1141 - accuracy: 0.9575
 Accuracy score of the Neural Network is 95.75%
```


Again, Fit the model on batch size=10, epochs=150 and get the accuracy score of 96.47%

```
M # fit the keras model on the dataset
 model.fit(X_train, y_train, batch_size=10, epochs=150, verbose=1, callbacks=[es_cb])
 # make class predictions with the model
 predictions = model.predict_classes(X_test)
 # summarize the first 5 cases
 for i in range(10):
  "print('%s => %d (expected %d)' % (X_test[i].tolist(), predictions[i], y_test[i]))
 scores = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
 \textit{\#print('} \land \texttt{Necuracy score of the Neural Network is \{0:.2f\}\%'.format(scores[1]*100))}
 885/885 |=========== | - 2s 2ms/step - loss: 0.0465 - accuracy: 0.978
 Epoch 47/150
 885/885 [============== ] - 2s 2ms/step - loss: 0.0465 - accuracy: 0.9782
 Epoch 48/150
 885/885 [=====
        Epoch 49/150
 885/885 [====
        Epoch 50/150
 885/885 [====
          [1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1, -1, 1, -1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1] => 1 (expected 1)

▶ print('\nAccuracy score of the Neural Network is {0:.2f}%'.format(scores[1]*100))
```


Plot for Training and Validation loss based on number of epoch

Training and Validation Loss



Plot for Accuracy Train vs Validation based on number of epoch

Training and Validation Accuracy



We can see that when we Fit the model on batch size=64, epochs=128, then we get 95.75% accuracy. Similarly, when we Fit the model on batch size=10, epochs=150, then we get 96.47% accuracy

K-Means Clustering

we are going to implement Unsupervised Machine Learning Algorithm i.e., K-Means Clustering algorithm.

Applying K-Means with cluster 2 on independent variables and dropping target column "Result" and fitting data on K means clustering model:

```
In [31]: M from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
    X_sup = df_unsup.drop(['Result'], axis=1)
    y_sup = df_unsup['Result']
    kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters=2, random_state=None)
    kmeans.fit(X_sup)
Out[31]: KMeans(n_clusters=2)
```

Comparing Predicted clustering group with original target column i.e. "Result"


```
In [33]: M clusters = kmeans.predict(X_sup)
In [34]: M clusters
Out[34]: array([0, 1, 0, ..., 1, 0, 1])
```

Using the Elbow method to find the optimal number of clusters:

```
wcss = []
for i in range(1, 11):
    kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters = i, init = 'k-means++', random_state = 42)
    kmeans.fit(X_sup)
    #inertia method returns wcss for that model
    wcss.append(kmeans.inertia_)
```

Plotting for Elbow Method below and we can see K=2 is an optimal value

```
plt.figure(figsize=(10,5))
sns.lineplot(range(1, 11), wcss,marker='o',color='red')
plt.title('The Elbow Method')
plt.xlabel('Number of clusters')
plt.ylabel('WCSS')
plt.show()
```



Fitting K-Means to the dataset for 2 clusters


In [37]:

```
kmeans_2 = KMeans(n_clusters = 2, init = 'k-means++', random_state = 42)
y_kmean = kmeans_2.fit_predict(X_sup)
```

Plotting the Clusters:

As per below diagram there are 2 clusters and for each cluster, we have count of Result containing 0 and 1


For Cluster 0 we can see Result having 0 count is around 1200 while having 1 is around 1800 Similarly for Cluster 1 we can see Result having 0 count is 3700 while having 1 is around 4500



Calculate the Accuracy Score:

```
M print('Accuracy Score: {0:.2f}%'.format(accuracy_score(y_sup, kmeans_2.fit_predict(X_sup))*100.))
  print('\n')
print('*****Classification report of the K-Means Clustering classifier*****')
  print('\n')
  print(classification_report(y_sup, kmeans_2.fit_predict(X_sup), target_names=['Phishing Websites', 'Normal Websites']))
  Accuracy Score: 50.89%
  Accuracy score of the K-Means Clustering Classifier 50.89%
  ******Classification report of the K-Means Clustering classifier*****
                   precision recall f1-score support
                             0.77
0.30
  Phishing Websites
                      0.47
                                         0.58
                                                 4898
                                                6157
                     0.62
    Normal Websites
                                        0.41
                                         0.51 11055
         accuracy
                   0.54 0.54
0.55 0.51
                                        0.49 11055
0.48 11055
         macro avg
      weighted avg
```

Confusion Matrix:



The Confusion Matrix tells us the following:

- There are two possible predicted classes: 0 and 1. If we were predicting that the website is, for example, 0 mean phishing, and 1 means legitimate.
- The classifier made a total of 11055 predictions.
- Out of those 11055 cases, the classifier predicted "0" 3754 times, and "1" 1872 times.
- In reality, 6157 data are legitimate and 4898 are phishing.

Basic terms related to Confusion matrix:

- True positives (TP): These are cases in which we predicted one (legitimate), 1872
- True negatives (TN): We predicted zero(phishing), 3754
- False positives (FP): We predicted one they will legitimate, but they are not phishing. (Also known as a "Type I error."), 1144
- False negatives (FN): We predicted zero they are not legitimate, but they actually phishing (Also known as a "Type II error."), 4285

Accuracy: (TP+TN)/Total. Describes overall, how often the classifier correct. i.e. (3754+1872)/11055

Therefore, the accuracy score is 50.89%

Whenever we think about unsupervised machine learning algorithm, the first algorithm comes in our mind is K-means Clustering. As K-means clustering works efficiently only for numerical dataset. We don't get proper results for the categorical data because of the improper spatial representation. K-means Clustering fails to find patterns in the categorical dataset. So, K-modes clustering comes into the picture.

In next step we are going to implement K-modes clustering algorithm.

K-Modes Clustering

K-modes is used for clustering categorical variables. It defines clusters based on the number of matching categories between data points. (This contrasts with the more well-known k-means algorithm, which clusters numerical data based on Euclidean distance.)

Implemented are:

- k-modes with initialization based on density Cao
- k-modes using Huang

Fitting K-Mode with "Cao" initialization with 2 Clusters

```
km_cao = KModes(n_clusters=2, init = "Cao", n_init = 1, verbose=1)
fitClusters_cao = km_cao.fit_predict(X_sup)

Init: initializing centroids
Init: initializing clusters
Starting iterations...
Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 316, cost: 70230.0
Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 161, cost: 70230.0
```


Fitting K-Mode with "Huang" initialization with 2 clusters

```
km_huang = KModes(n_clusters=2, init = "Huang", n_init = 1, verbose=1)
fitClusters_huang = km_huang.fit_predict(X_sup)

Init: initializing centroids
Init: initializing clusters
Starting iterations...
Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 2291, cost: 76409.0
Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 504, cost: 76409.0
```

Determining and plotting the optimum value of k using cost function by using "Cao" initialization


```
N cost = []
  for num_clusters in list(range(1,5)):
      kmode = KModes(n_clusters=num_clusters, init = "Cao", n_init = 1, verbose=1)
      kmode.fit_predict(X_sup)
      cost.append(kmode.cost_)
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 0, cost: 75004.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 316, cost: 70230.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 161, cost: 70230.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 1277, cost: 61352.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 307, cost: 61352.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 1510, cost: 59846.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 238, cost: 59846.0
```



From above graph we can see that the optimum value of K is 2

Determining and plotting the optimum value of k using cost function by using "Huang" initialization

```
M cost_H = []
  for num clusters H in list(range(1,5)):
      kmode H = KModes(n clusters=num clusters H, init = "Huang", n init = 1, verbose=1)
      kmode H.fit predict(X sup)
      cost_H.append(kmode_H.cost_)
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 0, cost: 75004.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 1963, cost: 66628.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 2221, cost: 65374.0
  Run 1, iteration: 3/100, moves: 346, cost: 65374.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 1485, cost: 64426.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 193, cost: 64426.0
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 2620, cost: 57776.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 1357, cost: 57424.0
  Run 1, iteration: 3/100, moves: 118, cost: 57424.0
```




From above graph we can see that the optimum value of K is 2

Combining dataset for actual and predicted one for Cao Initialization:

```
clustersDf = pd.DataFrame(fitClusters_cao)
clustersDf.columns = ['cluster_predicted']
combinedDf = pd.concat([X_sup, clustersDf], axis = 1).reset_index()
combinedDf = combinedDf.drop(['index', 'level_0'], axis = 1)
```


Plotting for cluster "having_IP_Address":



Combining dataset for actual and predicted one for Cao Initialization:

```
clustersDf_H = pd.DataFrame(fitClusters_huang)
clustersDf_H.columns = ['cluster_predicted']
combinedDf_H = pd.concat([X_sup, clustersDf], axis = 1).reset_index()
combinedDf_H = combinedDf_H.drop(['index', 'level_0'], axis = 1)
```

Plotting for cluster "having_IP_Address":



Accuracy Score for Cao Initialization:

```
▶ print('Accuracy Score: {0:.2f}%'
  .format(accuracy_score(y_sup, km_cao.fit_predict(X_sup))*100.))
print('\n')
  print('Accuracy Score: {0:.2f}%'
        .format(accuracy_score(y_sup, km_cao.fit_predict(X_sup))*100.))
  print('\n')
  print('*****Classification report of the K-Mode Clustering classifier*****')
  print('\n')
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 316, cost: 81283.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 161, cost: 81283.0
  Accuracy Score: 41.61%
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 316, cost: 81283.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 161, cost: 81283.0
  Accuracy Score: 41.61%
  *****Classification report of the K-Mode Clustering classifier****
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 316, cost: 81283.0
Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 161, cost: 81283.0
                    precision recall f1-score support
  Phishing Websites
                         0.42
                                   0.86
                                            0.57
                                                      4898
    Normal Websites
                        0.36
                                   0.06
                                           0.11
                                                      6157
                                            0.42
                                                     11055
           accuracy
                         0.39 0.46
                                            0.34
                                                     11055
          macro avg
       weighted avg
                         0.39 0.42
                                          0.31
                                                  11055
```

Accuracy Score for Huang Initialization:

```
M print('Accuracy Score: {0:.2f}%'
        .format(accuracy_score(y_sup, km_huang.fit_predict(X_sup))*100.))
  print('\n')
  print('Accuracy Score: {0:.2f}%'
        .format(accuracy_score(y_sup, km_huang.fit_predict(X_sup))*100.))
  print('*****Classification report of the K-Mode Clustering classifier*****')
  print('\n')
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 2062, cost: 76444.0
  Accuracy Score: 79.38%
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 2604, cost: 82454.0
  Accuracy Score: 49.24%
  *****Classification report of the K-Mode Clustering classifier*****
  Init: initializing centroids
  Init: initializing clusters
  Starting iterations...
  Run 1, iteration: 1/100, moves: 2660, cost: 76487.0
  Run 1, iteration: 2/100, moves: 1233, cost: 76444.0
  Run 1, iteration: 3/100, moves: 648, cost: 76444.0
                   precision
                              recall f1-score support
  Phishing Websites
                        0.25
                                 0.37
                                           0.30
                                                    4898
    Normal Websites
                        0.19
                                 0.12
                                           0.14
          accuracy
                                          0.23
                                                 11055
      macro avg 0.22 0.24
weighted avg 0.22 0.23
                                       0.22
0.21
                                                   11055
                                                  11055
```

Accuracy score of Cao Initialization clusters is 41.61%, and the Accuracy score of Huang Initialization clusters is 79.38%.

Comparison of the two phases:

We have implemented supervised as well as unsupervised machine learning algorithm, now we are evaluating which algorithm is giving accurate result.

SR No.	Algorithm	Supervised or Unsupervised	Accuracy
1.	Logistic Regression	Supervised	93.71%
2.	Neural Network	Supervised	96.47%
3.	K-Means Clustering	Unsupervised	50.89%
4.	K-Modes Clustering	Unsupervised	79.38%

In above table we can see that both supervised machine learning algorithm Logistic Regression and Neural network giving better accuracy than the unsupervised machine learning algorithms K-means and K-modes clustering.

Comparison with other researchers who have worked on the same dataset:

I learnt from the reference research Paper about assessment of features related to phishing using an automated technique. As per the researcher there are some characteristics that distinguish phishing websites from legitimate ones such as long URL, IP address in URL, adding prefix and suffix to domain and request URL adding prefix and suffix to domain and request URL, etc. In this paper they explore important features that are automatically extracted from websites using a new tool instead of relying on an experienced human in the extraction process and then judge on the features importance in deciding website legitimacy. I used machine learning technique which automatically predict is the website phishing or not considering by using website data to trained the model. Also, my model provide accuracy for the test data.

References:

- 1. https://www.fbi.gov/news/pressrel/press-releases/fbi-releases-the-internet-crime-complaint-center-2020-internet-crime-report-including-covid-19-scam-statistics
- 2. https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/phishing+websites
- 3. http://www.antiphishing.org/trendsreports/
- 4. https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/00327/Phishing%20Websites%20Features.docx
- 5.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261081735_An_assessment_of_features_related_to_phishing_websites_using_an_automated_technique
- 6. https://pypi.org/project/kmodes/
- 7. http://ijarcet.org/wp-content/uploads/IJARCET-VOL-3-ISSUE-5-1584-1589.pdf
- 8. https://www.ijcaonline.org/archives/volume145/number10/narad-2016-ijca-910767.pdf
- 9. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.695.3997&rep=rep1&type=pdf