

Poisson process martingale exercises

Michael C Sachs

2021-05-04

Poisson process compensator

Let $N(t)$ be the number of events in $[0, t]$ where $N(t) - N(s) \sim \text{Poisson}((t - s)\lambda)$ for $s < t$ and N has independent increments.

Does the Doob-Meyer decomposition apply to $N(t)$? If so, identify the compensator of $N(t)$.

Solution

Problem statement: Find the unique predictable process $X(t)$ such that $N(t) - X(t)$ is a martingale.

Steps (WAG method):

1. I think $X(t) = \lambda t$.
2. Is λt predictable? Yes, it is left-continuous because

$$\lim_{s \uparrow t} \lambda s = \lambda t$$

and all left-continuous processes are predictable.

3. Is $N(t) - \lambda t$ a martingale? Let's check the definition: for $s < t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(N(t) - \lambda t | \mathcal{F}_s) &= E(N(t) - N(s) + N(s) - \lambda t | \mathcal{F}_s) = \\ &= E(N(t) - N(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) + E(N(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) - \lambda t = \\ &= E(N(t) - N(s)) + N(s) - \lambda t = \\ &= (t - s)\lambda + N(s) - \lambda t = N(s) - \lambda s. \end{aligned}$$

Steps (start at the end method):

1. $N(t) - X(t)$ is a martingale iff $E(N(t) - X(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = N(s) - X(s)$ for $s < t$ and a predictable process X . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E(N(t) - X(t) - N(s) + X(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) &= 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ E((N(t) - N(s)) + (X(s) - X(t)) | \mathcal{F}_s) &= 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ E(N(t) - N(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) &= E(X(t) - X(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) \Leftrightarrow \\ (t - s)\lambda &= E(X(t) - X(s) | \mathcal{F}_s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $X(t)$ is predictable, we must have $E(X(t) - X(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) = X(t) - X(s)$. If not, then we could find a t^* such that $E(X(t^*) | \mathcal{F}_{t^*-}) \neq X(t^*)$, which would violate the definition of predictability. The result follows.

Predictable and optional variation processes

In the Poisson process example, we showed earlier that $M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$ is a martingale. Show that $\langle M \rangle = \lambda t$.

What is $[M]$? Hint: $[M](t) = \sum_{s \leq t} (M(s) - M(s-))^2$ for processes with finite variation.

Solution

By the Doob Meyer, and the fact that λt is predictable, we have to show that $M^2(t) - \lambda t$ is a martingale. We do this by checking the definition:

$$\begin{aligned} E((N(t) - \lambda t)^2 - \lambda t | \mathcal{F}_s) &= \\ E(N^2(t) - 2N(t)\lambda t + \lambda^2 t^2 - \lambda t | \mathcal{F}_s). \end{aligned}$$

Above we showed that $E(N(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = N(s) + \lambda(t - s)$ by adding and subtracting $N(s)$, so we have now

$$\begin{aligned} E(N^2(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) - 2(N(s) + \lambda(t - s))\lambda t + \lambda^2 t^2 - \lambda t &= \\ E(N^2(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) - 2N(s)\lambda t - 2\lambda^2 t^2 + 2\lambda^2 st + \lambda^2 t^2 - \lambda t &= \\ E(N^2(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) - 2N(s)\lambda t - \lambda^2 t^2 + 2\lambda^2 st - \lambda t. \end{aligned}$$

Looking now at the first term, it equals

$$\begin{aligned} E((N(s) + N(t) - N(s))^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) &= \\ N(s)^2 + 2N(s)E(N(t) - N(s)) + E((N(t) - N(s))^2) &= \\ N(s)^2 + 2N(s)\lambda(t - s) + \text{Var}(N(t) - N(s)) + (E(N(t) - N(s)))^2 &= \\ N(s)^2 + 2N(s)\lambda(t - s) + \lambda(t - s) + \lambda^2(t - s)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging back into the last line of the previous display:

$$\begin{aligned} N(s)^2 + 2N(s)\lambda(t - s) + \lambda(t - s) + \lambda^2(t - s)^2 - 2N(s)\lambda t - \lambda^2 t^2 + 2\lambda^2 st - \lambda t &= \\ N(s)^2 - 2N(s)\lambda s - \lambda s + \lambda^2(t - s)^2 - \lambda^2 t^2 + 2\lambda^2 st &= \\ N(s)^2 - 2N(s)\lambda s - \lambda s - \lambda^2 s^2 &= \\ (N(s) - \lambda s)^2 - \lambda s, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the martingale property is satisfied.

What about $[M](t)$? For this we use the definition of the optional variation process, and observe that it equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \leq t} (M(s) - M(s-))^2 &= \sum_{s \leq t} (N(s) - N(s-) + \lambda s - \lambda s)^2 = \\ &= \sum_{s \leq t} (N(s) - N(s-))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now if there is a jump at s the term in the sum equals 1, and if not, it equals 0. So this sum counts the number of events that occurred up to t , in other words it equals $N(t)$ itself. This is true for any counting process.