# theorem 3 multidimensional case proof writeup

## Brandon Dong

July 2023

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Gaussian Mixture Definition

We say that a random vector X is a (centered) Gaussian vector mixture if there exists a positive random matrix Y and a standard Gaussian random vector Z independent of Y such that X and YZ have the same distribution.

### 1.2 Theorem 3 in multiple dimensions

Let X be a Gaussian mixture in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $X_1 \dots X_n$  be independent copies of X. For two vectors  $a = (a_1 \dots a_n)$  and  $b = (b_1 \dots b_n)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$(a_1^2 \dots a_n^2) \leq (b_1^2, \dots b_n^2) \Longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right|^p \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i \right|^p$$

where for now  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm, and when  $p \in (-1,2)$  the second inequality is reversed (unsure) provided that the expectation is finite

#### **Proof:**

First, we fix p > 2 and let X be a Gaussian mixture with identical independent copies  $X_1 
ldots X_n$ . Then for each  $X_i$  by definition we have  $X_i = A_i G_i$  for  $A_i$  random matrices and  $G_i$  standard d dimensional Gaussian vectors, all independent. Then we know that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i\right|^p = \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A_i G_i\right|^p.$$

We introduce the notation  $X_A$  to denote the centered Gaussian vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with covariance matrix A, i.e  $X_A \sim N(0, A)$ . Then by the properties of linear transformations and sums of Gaussian vectors,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A_i G_i \sim N\left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 A_i A_i^T\right)$$

since each individual element of the sum is a Gaussian vector with mean 0 and covariance matrix  $a_i^2 A_i A_i^T$ , and we can rewrite the above expression as

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i A_i G_i\right|^p = \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 A_i A_i^T} G_1\right|^p$$

where the square root A of a matrix C is the matrix A such that  $C = AA^{T}$ .

Now consider the functional  $f(A) = \mathbb{E}|\sqrt{A}G|^p$ , where A is a covariance matrix (and therefore symmetric, but not necessarily full rank). We then decompose A into  $UDU^T$  with  $U, D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  where U is orthogonal and D is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of A along its diagonal and potentially zeroes along the diagonal afterwards, so that

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_d & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and taking the square root along the diagonal we can set

$$\sqrt{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\lambda_2} & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{\lambda_d} \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $\sqrt{A} = U\sqrt{D}U^T$  and

$$f(A) = \mathbb{E}|\sqrt{A}G|^p = \mathbb{E}|U\sqrt{D}U^TG|^p = \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_j g_j^2}\right|^p$$

where the last step is because G is rotationally invariant, and the Euclidean norm is invariant with respect to multiplication by an orthogonal matrix. Here we write  $g_j$  to indicate the Gaussian random variable that is the jth component of G.

To prove the theorem form here it would be sufficient to show that f is matrix convex for symmetric matrices, i.e.  $f(\frac{A+B}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(A) + \frac{1}{2}f(B)$  with A and B symmetric. To see why, note that if we define the function  $\Psi(a) = \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{a_i}X_j\right|^p$  with the  $X_j$  defined as above, and follow the logic above, we see that  $\Psi(a) = \mathbb{E}_{A,G}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j A_j A_j^T}G_1\right|^p$ , where the subscript on the expected value indicates that it's the expected value over both A and G. Then using the above functional and applying Fubini's/Tonelli's, this becomes  $\Psi(a) = \mathbb{E}_A[f(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j A_j A_j^T)]$ .

this becomes  $\Psi(a) = \mathbb{E}_A[f(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j A_j A_j^T)]$ . Now, if we want the Schur convexity of  $\Psi$ , we have  $a \leq b$  and want  $\Psi(a) \leq \Psi(b)$ . By an equivalent condition for Schur majorization we know that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{\sigma} b_{\sigma}$  for some permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1 \dots n\}$  and  $\lambda_{\sigma} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum \lambda_{\sigma} = 1$ . Then we know that

$$\Psi(a) = \mathbb{E}_{A} \left[ f \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} A_{j} A_{j}^{T} \right) \right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{A} \left[ f \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} b_{\sigma(j)} \right) A_{j} A_{j}^{T} \right) \right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{A} \left[ f \left( \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \left( \sum_{j} b_{\sigma(j)} A_{j} A_{j}^{T} \right) \right) \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E}_{A} \left( \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} f \left( \sum_{j} b_{\sigma(j)} A_{j} A_{j}^{T} \right) \right) \\
= \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{A} f \left( \sum_{j} b_{\sigma(j)} A_{j} A_{j}^{T} \right) \\
= \sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{A} f \left( \sum_{j} b_{\sigma(j)} A_{\sigma(j)} A_{\sigma(j)}^{T} \right) \\
= \mathbb{E}_{A} f \left( \sum_{j} b_{\sigma(j)} A_{\sigma(j)} A_{\sigma(j)}^{T} \right) \\
= \Psi(b)$$
(assuming convexity of  $f$ )

with the last step because  $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} = 1$ , so all we care about is the convexity of f.

To do show its convexity, then, we can note that  $f(A) = g(\lambda(A)) = \mathbb{E}\sqrt{\sum \lambda_i g_i^2}^p$  where  $\lambda(A)$  is the vector of eigenvalues of A. However, then using Exercise II.1.14 from Bhatia's Matrix Analysis and that A and B are real symmetric we get that  $\lambda(A+B) \prec \lambda(A) + \lambda(B)$ , so we can use the Marshall

and Proschan result to get that g is Schur convex and therefore f is matrix convex, since we have that

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) &= g\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda(A+B)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j}(A+B)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j}(A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j}(B)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j}(A)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} + \mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j}(B)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} \quad \text{(convexity of } x \mapsto x^{p/2} \text{ for } p > 2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_{j}(A)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_{j}(B)g_{j}^{2}}\right|^{p} = \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} \end{split}$$

and that completes the proof. For  $p \in (-1,2), p \neq 0$ , each inequality above flips, giving concavity instead.