

### 0.0.1 Root Cause

1. Thus was heard by me. At one time the Auspicious One was dwelling in the Subhaga Grove at the root of a royal sal tree. At that place, the Auspicious One addressed the bhikkhus: “Bhikkhus.” “Bhante,” those bhikkhus replied to the Auspicious One. The Auspicious One said this.

2. “The root cause of all dhammas, O bhikkhus, I will expound for you. Listen to that and do mind it well, I will speak.” “Yes Bhante.” Those bhikkhus replied to the Auspicious One. The Auspicious One said this.

3. Here, O bhikkhus, Dhamma-deaf puthujjana; the one who does not possess the vision of the noble ones, not an expert, not trained in the noble ones’ Dhamma; the one who does not possess the vision of true men, not an expert, not trained in true men’s Dhamma. He perceives earth from earth. Having perceived earth from earth, he conceives earth, he conceives at earth, he conceives from earth, he conceives “earth by me,” he delights in earth. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

4. He perceives water from water. Having perceived water from water, he conceives water, he conceives at water, he conceives from water, he conceives “water by

me,” he delights in water. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

5. He perceives fire from fire. Having perceived fire from fire, he conceives fire, he conceives at fire, he conceives from fire, he conceives “fire is by me,” he delights in fire. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

6. He perceives air from air. Having perceived air from air, he conceives air, he conceives at air, he conceives from air, he conceives “air by me,” he delights in air. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

7. He perceives [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having perceived [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he conceives [earth bound] devas, he conceives at [earth bound] devas, he conceives from [earth bound] devas, he conceives “[earth bound] devas by me,” he delights in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

8. He perceives [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having perceived [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he conceives [sensual heaven] devas, he conceives at [sensual heaven] devas, he con-

ceives from [sensual heaven] devas, he conceives “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he delights in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

9. He perceives Pajapati from Pajapati. Having perceived Pajapati from Pajapati, he conceives Pajapati, he conceives at Pajapati, he conceives from Pajapati, he conceives “Pajapati by me,” he delights in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

10. He perceives Brahma from Brahma. Having perceived Brahma from Brahma, he conceives Brahma, he conceives at Brahma, he conceives from Brahma, he conceives “Brahma by me,” he delights in Brahma. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

11. He perceives Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having perceived Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he conceives Abhassara devas, he conceives at Abhassara devas, he conceives from Abhassara devas, he conceives “Abhassara devas by me,” he delights in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

12. He perceives Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having perceived Subhakinna devas from Sub-

hakinna devas, he conceives Subhakinna devas, he conceives at Subhakinna devas, he conceives from Subhakinna devas, he conceives “Subhakinna devas by me,” he delights in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

13. He perceives Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having perceived Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he conceives Vehapphala devas, he conceives at Vehapphala devas, he conceives from Vehapphala devas, he conceives “Vehapphala devas by me,” he delights in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

14. He perceives Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having perceived Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he conceives Vanquisher, he conceives at Vanquisher, he conceives from Vanquisher, he conceives “Vanquisher by me,” he delights in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

15. He perceives the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having perceived the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he conceives the domain of infinite space, he conceives at the domain of infinite space, he conceives from the domain of infinite space, he conceives “the domain of infinite space by me,” he delights in the domain of infinite

space. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

16. He perceives the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having perceived the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he conceives the domain of infinite consciousness, he conceives at the domain of infinite consciousness, he conceives from the domain of infinite consciousness, he conceives “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he delights in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

17. He perceives the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having perceived the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he conceives the domain of nothingness, he conceives at the domain of nothingness, he conceives from the domain of nothingness, he conceives “the domain of nothingness by me,” he delights in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

18. He perceives the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having perceived the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he conceives

the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he conceives at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he conceives from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he conceives “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he delights in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

19. He perceives the seen from the seen. Having perceived the seen from the seen, he conceives the seen, he conceives at the seen, he conceives from the seen, he conceives “the seen by me,” he delights in the seen. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

20. He perceives the heard from the heard. Having perceived the heard from the heard, he conceives the heard, he conceives at the heard, he conceives from the heard, he conceives “the heard by me,” he delights in the heard. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

21. He perceives the thought from the thought. Having perceived the thought from the thought, he conceives the thought, he conceives at the thought, he conceives from the thought, he conceives “the thought by me,” he delights in the thought. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

22. He perceives the cognized from the cognized. Having perceived the cognized from the cognized, he conceives the cognized, he conceives at the cognized, he conceives from the cognized, he conceives “the cognized by me,” he delights in the cognized. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

23. He perceives unity from unity. Having perceived unity from unity, he conceives unity, he conceives at unity, he conceives from unity, he conceives “unity by me,” he delights in unity. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

24. He perceives diversity from diversity. Having perceived diversity from diversity, he conceives diversity, he conceives at diversity, he conceives from diversity, he conceives “diversity by me,” he delights in diversity. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

25. He perceives the all from the all. Having perceived the all from the all, he conceives the all, he conceives at the all, he conceives from the all, he conceives “the all by me,” he delights in the all. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

26. He perceives nibbana from nibbana. Having perceived nibbana from nibbana, he conceives nibbana, he conceives at nibbana, he conceives from nibbana, he conceives “nibbana by me,” he delights in nibbana. What is the reason for that? He does not have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**Puthujjana section is finished.**

27. Also, O bhikkhus, the bhikkhu who is in higher training, the one of unattained mind, the one who dwells ever aspiring for the unsurpassed security from bondage, he too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he must not conceive earth, he must not conceive at earth, he must not conceive from earth, he must not conceive “earth by me,” he must not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

28. He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he must not conceive water, he must not conceive at water, he must not conceive from water, he must not conceive “water by me,” he must not delight in water. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.



29. He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he must not conceive fire, he must not conceive at fire, he must not conceive from fire, he must not conceive “fire by me,” he must not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

30. He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he must not conceive air, he must not conceive at air, he must not conceive from air, he must not conceive “air by me,” he must not delight in air. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

31. He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he must not conceive [earth bound] devas, he must not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he must not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he must not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he must not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

32. He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he must not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he must not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he must not conceive from

[sensual heaven] devas, he must not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he must not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

33. He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he must not conceive Pajapati, he must not conceive at Pajapati, he must not conceive from Pajapati, he must not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he must not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

34. He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he must not conceive Brahma, he must not conceive at Brahma, he must not conceive from Brahma, he must not conceive “Brahma by me,” he must not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

35. He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he must not conceive Abhassara devas, he must not conceive at Abhassara devas, he must not conceive from Abhassara devas, he must not conceive “Abhassara devas by me,” he must not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

36. He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he must not conceive Subhakinna devas, he must not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he must not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he must not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he must not delight in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

37. He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he must not conceive Vehapphala devas, he must not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he must not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he must not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he must not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

38. He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he must not conceive Vanquisher, he must not conceive at Vanquisher, he must not conceive from Vanquisher, he must not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he must not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

39. He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive “the domain of infinite space is by me,” he must not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

space, he must not conceive the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he must not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he must not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

40. He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he must not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he must not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he must not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he must not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he must not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

41. He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he must not conceive the domain of nothingness, he must not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he must not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he must not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he must not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it,

I say.

42. He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he must not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he must not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he must not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he must not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he must not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

43. He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he must not conceive the seen, he must not conceive at the seen, he must not conceive from the seen, he must not conceive “the seen by me,” he must not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

44. He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he must not conceive the heard, he must not conceive at the heard, he must not conceive from the heard, he must not conceive

“the heard by me,” he must not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

45. He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he must not conceive the thought, he must not conceive at the thought, he must not conceive from the thought, he must not conceive “the thought by me,” he must not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

46. He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he must not conceive the cognized, he must not conceive at the cognized, he must not conceive from the cognized, he must not conceive “the cognized by me,” he must not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

47. He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he must not conceive unity, he must not conceive at unity, he must not conceive from unity, he must not conceive “unity by me,” he must not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

48. He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he must not

conceive diversity, he must not conceive at diversity, he must not conceive from diversity, he must not conceive “diversity by me,” he must not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

49. He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he must not conceive the all, he must not conceive at the all, he must not conceive from the all, he must not conceive “the all by me,” he must not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

50. He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he must not conceive nibbana, he must not conceive at nibbana, he must not conceive from nibbana, he must not conceive “nibbana by me,” he must not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? He must have comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**Sekha section is finished.**

51. Also, O bhikkhus, the bhikkhu who is an arahant with asavas obliterated, one who has lived [the life of purity], done what must be done, laid down the burden,

attained the true advantage, completely obliterated the fetters of being, one who is liberated by right final knowledge. He too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

52. He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

53. He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

54. He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.



55. He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

56. He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

57. He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**58.** He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive “Brahma by me,” he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**59.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive “Abhassara devas by me,” he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**60.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**61.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas,

he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

62. He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

63. He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

64. He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not

conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

65. He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

66. He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not

delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

67. He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

68. He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

69. He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

70. He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized, he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

71. He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

72. He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

73. He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive “the all by me,” he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

74. He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive “nibbana by me,” he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? He has comprehensive knowledge of it, I say.

**First arahant section is finished.**

75. Also, O bhikkhus, the bhikkhu who is an arahant with asavas obliterated, one who has lived [the life of purity], done what must be done, laid down the burden, attained the true advantage, completely obliterated the fetters of being, one who is liberated by right final knowledge. He too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

76. He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration

of lust.

**77.** He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**78.** He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**79.** He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**80.** He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual



heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**81.** He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**82.** He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive “Brahma by me,” he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**83.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive

“Abhassara devas by me,” he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**84.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**85.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**86.** He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? Because

of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**87.** He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**88.** He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**89.** He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does

not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

90. He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

91. He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

92. He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

93. He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

94. He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized, he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

95. He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from

unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

96. He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

97. He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive “the all by me,” he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

98. He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive “nibbana by me,” he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from lust due to the obliteration of lust.

**Second arahant section is finished.**

**99.** Also, O bhikkhus, the bhikkhu who is an arahant with asavas obliterated, one who has lived [the life of purity], done what must be done, laid down the burden, attained the true advantage, completely obliterated the fetters of being, one who is liberated by right final knowledge. He too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**100.** He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**101.** He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**102.** He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**103.** He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**104.** He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**105.** He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not



conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**106.** He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive “Brahma by me,” he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**107.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive “Abhassara devas by me,” he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**108.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight in

Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**109.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**110.** He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**111.** He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What

is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

112. He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

113. He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

114. He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the

domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

115. He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

116. He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

117. He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

118. He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized, he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

119. He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

120. He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he

does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**121.** He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive “the all by me,” he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**122.** He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive “nibbana by me,” he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from hate due to the obliteration of hate.

**Third arahant section is finished.**

**123.** Also, O bhikkhus, the bhikkhu who is an arahant with asavas obliterated, one who has lived [the life of purity], done what must be done, laid down the burden, attained the true advantage, completely obliterated the

fetters of being, one who is liberated by right final knowledge. He too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

124. He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

125. He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

126. He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air.

What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**127.** He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**128.** He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**129.** He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What



is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**130.** He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive “Brahma by me,” he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**131.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive “Abhassara devas by me,” he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**132.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? Because

of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**133.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**134.** He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**135.** He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,”

he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

136. He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

137. He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**138.** He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**139.** He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**140.** He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard.

What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

141. He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

142. He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized, he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

143. He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**144.** He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**145.** He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive “the all by me,” he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**146.** He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive “nibbana by me,” he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? Because of his liberation from delusion due to the obliteration of delusion.

**Fourth arahant section is finished.**

**147.** Also, O bhikkhus, the Tathagata, the Arahant, the rightly self-awakened one, he too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**148.** He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**149.** He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**150.** He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**151.** He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**152.** He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**153.** He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not



conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**154.** He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive “Brahma by me,” he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**155.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive “Abhassara devas by me,” he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**156.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight

in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**157.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**158.** He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**159.** He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What

is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**160.** He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**161.** He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**162.** He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the

domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**163.** He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**164.** He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**165.** He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**166.** He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized, he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**167.** He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**168.** He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What

is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**169.** He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive “the all by me,” he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**170.** He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive “nibbana by me,” he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? The Tathagata has comprehensive knowledge of that, I say.

**First Tathagata section is finished.**

**171.** Also, O bhikkhus, the Tathagata, the Arahant, the rightly self-awakened one, he too directly knows earth from earth. Having directly known earth from earth, he does not conceive earth, he does not conceive at earth, he does not conceive from earth, he does not conceive “earth by me,” he does not delight in earth. What is the

reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**172.** He directly knows water from water. Having directly known water from water, he does not conceive water, he does not conceive at water, he does not conceive from water, he does not conceive “water by me,” he does not delight in water. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**173.** He directly knows fire from fire. Having directly known fire from fire, he does not conceive fire, he does not conceive at fire, he does not conceive from fire, he does not conceive “fire by me,” he does not delight in fire. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,”

I say.

174. He directly knows air from air. Having directly known air from air, he does not conceive air, he does not conceive at air, he does not conceive from air, he does not conceive “air by me,” he does not delight in air. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

175. He directly knows [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas. Having directly known [earth bound] devas from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive at [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive from [earth bound] devas, he does not conceive “[earth bound] devas by me,” he does not delight in [earth bound] devas. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.



176. He directly knows [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas. Having directly known [sensual heaven] devas from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive at [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive from [sensual heaven] devas, he does not conceive “[sensual heaven] devas by me,” he does not delight in [sensual heaven] devas. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

177. He directly knows Pajapati from Pajapati. Having directly known Pajapati from Pajapati, he does not conceive Pajapati, he does not conceive at Pajapati, he does not conceive from Pajapati, he does not conceive “Pajapati by me,” he does not delight in Pajapati. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

178. He directly knows Brahma from Brahma. Having directly known Brahma from Brahma, he does not

conceive Brahma, he does not conceive at Brahma, he does not conceive from Brahma, he does not conceive "Brahma by me," he does not delight in Brahma. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: "Delight is the root of suffering," "being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death." Therefore, O bhikkhus, "from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening," I say.

**179.** He directly knows Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas. Having directly known Abhassara devas from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive Abhassara devas, he does not conceive at Abhassara devas, he does not conceive from Abhassara devas, he does not conceive "Abhassara devas by me," he does not delight in Abhassara devas. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: "Delight is the root of suffering," "being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death." Therefore, O bhikkhus, "from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening," I say.

**180.** He directly knows Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas. Having directly known Subhakinna devas from Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive at Subhakinna devas, he does not conceive from Subhakinna devas, he does not con-

ceive “Subhakinna devas is by me,” he does not delight in Subhakinna devas. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**181.** He directly knows Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas. Having directly known Vehapphala devas from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive at Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive from Vehapphala devas, he does not conceive “Vehapphala devas is by me,” he does not delight in Vehapphala devas. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**182.** He directly knows Vanquisher from Vanquisher. Having directly known Vanquisher from Vanquisher, he does not conceive Vanquisher, he does not conceive at Vanquisher, he does not conceive from Vanquisher, he does not conceive “Vanquisher by me,” he does not delight in Vanquisher. What is the reason for that? Having

known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**183.** He directly knows the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space. Having directly known the domain of infinite space from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite space, he does not conceive “the domain of infinite space by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite space. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**184.** He directly knows the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness. Having directly known the domain of infinite consciousness from the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive at the domain of infinite consciousness, he does not conceive from the domain of infinite consciousness,

he does not conceive “the domain of infinite consciousness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of infinite consciousness. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**185.** He directly knows the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness. Having directly known the domain of nothingness from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive at the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive from the domain of nothingness, he does not conceive “the domain of nothingness by me,” he does not delight in the domain of nothingness. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**186.** He directly knows the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. Having directly known the domain of neither perception nor non-perception from

the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive at the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive from the domain of neither perception nor non-perception, he does not conceive “the domain of neither perception nor non-perception by me,” he does not delight in the domain of neither perception nor non-perception. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**187.** He directly knows the seen from the seen. Having directly known the seen from the seen, he does not conceive the seen, he does not conceive at the seen, he does not conceive from the seen, he does not conceive “the seen by me,” he does not delight in the seen. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**188.** He directly knows the heard from the heard. Having directly known the heard from the heard, he does not conceive the heard, he does not conceive at the heard, he does not conceive from the heard, he does not conceive “the heard by me,” he does not delight in the heard. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**189.** He directly knows the thought from the thought. Having directly known the thought from the thought, he does not conceive the thought, he does not conceive at the thought, he does not conceive from the thought, he does not conceive “the thought by me,” he does not delight in the thought. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**190.** He directly knows the cognized from the cognized. Having directly known the cognized from the cognized, he does not conceive the cognized, he does not conceive at the cognized, he does not conceive from the cognized,

he does not conceive “the cognized by me,” he does not delight in the cognized. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**191.** He directly knows unity from unity. Having directly known unity from unity, he does not conceive unity, he does not conceive at unity, he does not conceive from unity, he does not conceive “unity by me,” he does not delight in unity. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening,” I say.

**192.** He directly knows diversity from diversity. Having directly known diversity from diversity, he does not conceive diversity, he does not conceive at diversity, he does not conceive from diversity, he does not conceive “diversity by me,” he does not delight in diversity. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: “Delight is the root of suffering,” “being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death.” Therefore, O bhikkhus, “from



the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening," I say.

**193.** He directly knows the all from the all. Having directly known the all from the all, he does not conceive the all, he does not conceive at the all, he does not conceive from the all, he does not conceive "the all by me," he does not delight in the all. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: "Delight is the root of suffering," "being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death." Therefore, O bhikkhus, "from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening," I say.

**194.** He directly knows nibbana from nibbana. Having directly known nibbana from nibbana, he does not conceive nibbana, he does not conceive at nibbana, he does not conceive from nibbana, he does not conceive "nibbana by me," he does not delight in nibbana. What is the reason for that? Having known thus: "Delight is the root of suffering," "being is the coming into being of birth, old age and death." Therefore, O bhikkhus, "from the obliteration, fading away, cessation, giving up, letting go of all thirsting, the Tathagata has fully awakened to the unsurpassed right self-awakening," I say.

**Second Tathagata section is finished.**

**195.** This is what the Auspicious One said. Those bhikkhus did not delight in the Auspicious One's words.