

## Part 3

1. The GPT generated test pairs clearly reflected a causal relationship (i.e., only one protected attribute changed while others stayed the same)
- ⋮
- ☐ Strongly disagree
  - ☐ Disagree
  - ☐ Neutral
  - ☐ Agree
  - ☐ Strongly agree
2. The GPT generated tests helped me understand how discrimination could arise in software behavior.
- ☐ Strongly disagree
  - ☐ Disagree
  - ☐ Neutral
  - ☐ Agree
  - ☐ Strongly agree
3. The GPT-generated input test cases covered a wide and representative range of input combinations (including variations in both protected and non-protected attributes).
- ☐ Strongly disagree – The generated tests were narrow and repetitive
  - ☐ Disagree – The tests explored limited attribute combinations
  - ☐ Neutral – The coverage was moderate but could be improved
  - ☐ Agree – The tests showed good diversity across attributes
  - ☐ Strongly agree – The tests comprehensively covered the input space with diverse and meaningful variations

4. Which tool generated clearer and more logically consistent causal test pairs (where only one protected attribute changed)?

- ☐ Strongly prefer Themis
- ☐ Slightly prefer Themis
- ☐ No preference
- ☐ Slightly prefer GPT
- ☐ Strongly prefer GPT

5. Which tool made you feel having more control over the discrimination testing space??

- ☐ Strongly prefer Themis
- ☐ Slightly prefer Themis
- ☐ No preference
- ☐ Slightly prefer GPT
- ☐ Strongly prefer GPT

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

 Microsoft Forms