

names Sadiq Lina Apaza Huayta.

# Comparing the Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse and Gradient Descent for Solving Linear Regression Problems: A Performance Analysis

## Introduction:

Linear regression is used to model the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. The objective is to find the coefficient vector  $\beta$  that minimizes the error between observed and predicted values. The general model is expressed as:  $y = X\beta + \epsilon$  where  $y$  represents the observed values,  $X$  is the feature matrix,  $\beta$  is the vector of unknown parameters, and  $\epsilon$  corresponds to random error. The cost function to minimize is:

$$S(\beta) = \|X\beta - y\|^2$$

## Background and Theoretical Framework

Linear regression models the relationship between a dependent variable  $y$  and independent variables  $X$  through:  $y = X\beta + \epsilon$  where  $\beta$  are the coefficients estimated by minimizing the sum of squared residuals:  $\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \|X\beta - y\|^2$

The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse gives an exact analytical solution:  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{pinv}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$  or, in general,  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{pinv}} = X^+ y$ . It is precise but computationally expensive for large datasets or ill-conditioned matrices. Gradient Descent, on the hand, is an iterative optimization algorithm that updates the parameters as:  $\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \alpha X^T (X\beta^{(t)} - y)$  where  $\alpha$  is the learning rate. It is more scalable but may converge slowly or inaccurately if the data is poorly conditioned.

## Methodology.

For synthetic data, feature matrices  $X$  were generated with controlled condition numbers to simulate well and ill conditioned cases. The target variable  $y$  followed the model  $y = X\beta^* + \epsilon$ , allowing the true solution to be known.

Both methods were applied: the pseudoinverse was computed using `numpy.linalg.pinv` while gradient descent used a fixed learning rate  $\alpha = 0.01$  and stopped when changes in parameters became very small or after 10,000 iterations. Performance was evaluated using three metrics: Runtime, Mean Squared Error, Iterations to convergence.

**Analysis of Results:** The results show that the Moore Penrose pseudoinverse is consistently faster and more accurate than Gradient descent, especially for well and moderately conditioned data.