### **Composition of Interfaces**

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Peter Feiler

Jan 2017



# **Composition of Interfaces**

#### **Objectives**

- Composition of interfaces and properties into a component type
- Single connection declaration for interfaces aka feature group
- Composition rules for features, modes, flows, annexes

#### Approach

- Allow extends of multiple component types
- Composition rules align with current extends rules
  - Composition of abstract category to become abstract or specific component category
  - Composition of specific component category into the same category
- Allow multiple named instances of the same interface
  - Effectively offers nested feature group connectivity

### **Composition of Interfaces**

#### Features accessible directly within namespace of component

- Externally: connections identify subcomponent and feature (V2)
- Internally: connections identify feature (V2)

```
Abstract Logical
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
End Logical;
Abstract Physical
Network: requires bus access CANBus;
End Physical;
System s1 extends Logical
Features
Onemore: out event port;
End s1;
System s2 extends Logical, Physical
End s2;
System s3 extends Logical, Physical
Features
Onemore: out event port;
End s3;
```

V2: Locally added feature name cannot exist as name of a "Logical" feature

V3: Feature names from Logical and Physical cannot be in conflict

V3: Locally added feature name cannot exist as name of Interfaces features

### **Interfaces as Views**

#### Features may show up in multiple interfaces

Some features may be available in both an "admin" and an "operational" view

```
Abstract Logical
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
Reset: in event port;
End Logical;

Abstract Admin
Status: out data port;
Reset: in event port;
Shutdown: in event port;
End Admin;

System sl extends Logical, Admin
End sl;
```

V3: Matching features from Logical and Admin represent same feature

Matching name and classifier

At last meeting we allow same property value in different interfaces

# **Composition of Directional Interfaces**

Interfaces with directional features may be included as original direction or as inverse direction for component at the other end of a connection

This is the inverse of from feature groups

```
System Sender extends Logical, Physical
End sender;

System Receiver extends inverse of Logical, Physical
End receiver;
```

inverse of for Logical to get opposite port direction

No inverse of for Physical since both require access to physical platform

Use another term instead:

Reverse

### **Composition of Named Interfaces**

Objective: Handle multiple instance of same interface, e.g., voter taking input from multiple instances of same subsystem

- Individual features qualified by interface instance name
  - Internally: interfaceinstancename . Featurename

Conn2: Sub2.IFlog.temperature -> Voter.Source2.temperature ;

Exernally: subcomponentname . interfaceinstancename . Featurename

```
System sif1 extends
    IFlog: Logical,
    IFphys: Physical
End sif1;
System voter Extends
Sourcel: inverse of Logical,
Source2: inverse of Logical
End voter ;
System implementation Top.impl
Subcomponents
Sub1: system sif1;
Sub2: system sif1;
Voter: system voter;
Connections
Conn1: Sub1.IFlog -> Voter.Source1 ;
```

Connections between named interfaces (aka feature group connections) or between features in an interface (reach down)

```
Software Engineering Institute
```

### **Composition of Named Interfaces**

Objective: Handle interfaces with conflicting feature names

```
Abstract Logical1
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
End Logical;
Abstract Logical2
temperature: out data port;
weight: out data port;
End Logical2;
System s2 extends L1: Logical1, L2: Logical2
End s2;
System implementation s2.i
Subcomponents
  sub1: system s1;
Connections
  conn1: sub1.out -> L1.temperature;
  conn2: sub1.out -> L2.temperature;
End 2s.i;
```

In the implementation the connection declarations specify that the same sub1 output is mapped into a port in two different interfaces. These may be ports with the same name, or ports with different names.

#### Feature Refinement & Named Interfaces

Local refinement of inherited features in named interfaces

```
Abstract Logical
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
End Logical;

System mysys extends Logical
Features
temperature: refined to out data port TemperatureData;
End mysys;

System mysysl extends L1: Logical
Features
L1.temperature: refined to out data port TemperatureData;
End mysys;
```

### Refinement of Composite Interface

#### Use of refined interface in composition

```
Abstract Logicall extends Logical
Features
temperature: refined to out data port TemperatureData;
End Logicall;

System mysys extends Logical, Physical
End mysys;

System mysysl extends mysys
Features
temperature: refined to out data port TemperatureData;
End mysysl;

System mysys2 extends Logicall, Physical
-- no extends trace to mysys
End mysys2;
```

# **Subcomponent Refers to Interface**

Substitution of any component that is an extension of interface type

Type\_Extension substitution rule

```
System mysys extends Logical, Physical
End mysys;

System implementation top.i
Subcomponents
   sub1: system mysys;
   sub2: system Logical;
End top.i;

System implementation top2.i extends top.i
Subcomponents
   sub1: refined to system mysys.i;
   sub2: refined to system mysys.i;
End top.i;
```

### **Nested Interfaces**

Works for composition of named interface instances

- Nested name scopes
  - Effectively we have nested feature groups
  - Deprecate feature groups in V3

```
Abstract composite extends L1: Logical1, PF: Physical
End composite;

System Top extends FG: composite, L2: Logical2
End top;
```

#### Unnamed interfaces share a name space (no nested name space)

```
Abstract composite extends Logical1, Physical End composite;

All features in single namespace
```

System Top extends composite, Logical2

End top;

Name conflict between Logical1 and Logical2 feature temperature

# **Interface Equivalence Mapping**

Support for composition of independently developed subsystems or subsystem with different nested interface hierarchies

Inline mappings (reach down multiple nesting levels)

Reusable equivalence mapping

```
Connx: sub1 -> sub2 mapping pckx::map1;
```

# **Composition of Properties**

#### Specify a set of property values

- That apply to features in the interface or contribute to the component that supports the interface
- Properties section of interface
  - Equivalent of data set (mini) annex

#### Specification of which properties apply to a component

- Stereo type identifies a set of property definitions
  - May or may not include a property value
  - Gets associated with component classifier (or other model element)
- Component can have multiple associated stereo types
  - Property definition reference in multiple stereo types is acceptable

# Composition of Interface Property values

Interface property values are inherited by the component

```
Abtract Logical
features
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
Properties
                                  Component level property value
Myname => "peter";
                                    Feature level property value
Rate => 5 mpd applies to Speed;
End Logical;
Abstract Physical
Network: requires bus access CANBus;
Properties
Hisname => "peter";
End Physical;
System s2 extends Logical, Physical
End s2;
System s3 extends Logical, Physical
properties
Myname => "paul";
```

Same property from two interfaces must have same value (ok last time)

Can override property locally. Can be used to resolve conflict of inherited values.

End s3;

# **Composition of Interface Property Values - 2**

#### Named interface composition

 Component level property values apply to component, not the named interface name space

```
Abtract Logical
features
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
Properties
                                  Component level property value
Myname => "peter";
                                   Feature level property value
Rate => 5 mpd applies to Speed;
End Logical;
Abstract Physical
Network: requires bus access CANBus;
Properties
Hisname => "peter";
End Physical;
System s2 extends L1: Logical, P1: Physical
                                                  Myname and Hisname
End s2;
                                                    are s2 properties
```

# **Feature Group Types and Feature Groups**

Feature group types define sets of features that can be instantiated as feature groups.

Properties can be associated with features in feature group type.

Property associated with feature group type is inherited by all elements in group.

Feature group declarations define named instances of feature collections that can be referenced in connection declarations as a connection of the collective.

Proposal: Eliminate feature group types. Named interface instance declarations replace feature group declarations.

Property lookup for inherit goes to the enclosing component (skip lookup in enclosing feature group type). The properties defined in an interface are associated with the enclosing component.

### **Composition of Flows**

Same rules as V2 extends

Flows in interfaces are only with respect to its features

The composite component may add flow specification for flows between features in different interfaces

```
Abtract Logical
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
flows
temp: flow source temperature;
End Logical;

System s2 extends Logical, Physical
End s2;

System s3 extends Logical, Physical
flows
spd: flow source speed;
End s3;
```

Cannot inherit flows with the same name. Use of named interfaces addresses possible name conflicts.

Can add flows for inherited features as was possible in V2

### **Composition of Modes**

#### Only one source (same as **extends** of single classifier)

- Local additions as in V2
  - current std allows adding states in type extensions

#### Union of mode states from different interfaces

 Were they developed independently for the same state machine or as independent state machines?

#### Separate mode state machines

- We currently do not support multiple concurrent state machines for the same component
- Note that modal subcomponents have the effect of concurrent state machines

# **Remaining Items**

Interface as separate concept/keyword

Array dimension specification in interface (see Array discussion)

Annex composition

Composition of implementations (implementation views)

# **Interface Concept and Generic Component**

#### Interface as separate concept from generic component

- Are there items in abstract component type that should not be in interface
- Interface cannot have implementation
  - If you want an implementation for a single interface you have to explicitly define a type based on interface
- Use in subcomponents
  - Cannot be instantiated
  - Refinement to a component type or implementation can be instantiated

```
Interface myfunction
Features
Inp: in port;
End myfunction;

System implementation sys.i
Subcomponents
Myaction: system myfunction;
end sys.i;

Abstract myf extends myfunction;
```

What is the value of distinguishing between the two?

# **Annex Composition**

Configuration of annex specifications into an AADL model

See configuration discussion

Composition of annexes from different interfaces

- Follow annex rules for annex extension
  - Addition and override in extension
- Same name in two interfaces
  - Not allowed
  - Same specification

# **Composition of Implementations (Views)**

#### Use scenarios:

- Power supply view & platform network view
- Physical & digital connectivity views

#### Composition rules

- Subcomponents: same subcomponent
  - name match
  - consistent classifier
    - Type extension
    - Different interfaces to deal with different features being connected
      - Substitution by classifier supporting both interfaces
- Connections
  - Unique name and endpoints
  - Need for same connection in multiple implementation views?
- Modes, Flows, properties: as in interface composition