

Composition of Interfaces

Software Engineering Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Peter Feiler

Jan 2016



Composition of Interfaces

Objectives

- Composition of interfaces and properties into a component type
- Single connection declaration for interfaces
- Composition rules for features, modes, flows, annexes

Approach

- Allow extends of multiple component types
- Composition rules align with current extends rules
 - Composition of abstract category to become abstract or specific component category
 - Composition of specific component category into the same category
- Allow multiple named instances of the same interface
 - Effectively offers nested feature group connectivity



Composition of Interfaces

Features accessible directly within namespace of component

- Externally: connections identify subcomponent and feature (V2)
- Internally: connections identify feature (V2)

Abstract Logical

temperature: out data port;

Speed: out data port;

End Logical;

Abstract Physical

Network: requires bus access CANBus;

End Physical;

System s1 extends Logical

Features

Onemore: out event port;

End s1;

System s2 extends Logical, Physical

End s2;

System s3 extends Logical, Physical

Features

Onemore: out event port;

End s3;

V2: Locally added feature name cannot be in conflict with Logical features

V3: Feature names from Logical and Physical cannot be in conflict

V3: Features from Logical, Physical and local cannot be in conflict.



Composition of Directional Interfaces

Interfaces with directional features may be included as original direction or as inverse direction for component at the other end of a connection

- This is the inverse of from feature groups

```
System Sender extends Logical, Physical  
End s1;
```

```
System Receiver extends inverse of Logical, Physical  
End s2;
```

inverse of for Logical to get opposite port direction
No inverse of for Physical since both require access to physical platform



Composition of Named Interfaces

Objective: Handle multiple instance of same interface, e.g., voter taking input from multiple instances of same subsystem

- Individual features qualified by interface instance name
 - Internally: `interfacename . Featurename`
 - Externally: `subcomponentname . Interfacename . Featurename`

```
System sif1 extends
```

```
    IFlog: Logical,  
    IFphys: Physical
```

```
End sif1;
```

```
System voter Extends
```

```
    if1: inverse of Logical,  
    if2: inverse of Logical
```

```
End s2;
```

```
System implementation Top.impl
```

```
Subcomponents
```

```
Sub1: system sif1;  
Sub2: system sif1;  
Voter: system voter;
```

```
Connections
```

```
Conn1: Sub1.IFlog -> Voter.if1 ;  
Conn2: Sub2.Iflog.temperature -> Voter.if2.temperature ;
```

Connections between named interfaces (aka feature group connections) or between features in an interface (reachdown)



Composition of Named Interfaces

Objective: Handle interfaces with conflicting feature names

```
Abstract Logical1
```

```
temperature: out data port;
```

```
Speed: out data port;
```

```
End Logical;
```

```
Abstract Logical2
```

```
temperature: out data port;
```

```
weight: out data port;
```

```
End Logical2;
```

```
System s2 extends L1: Logical1, L2: Logical2
```

```
End s2;
```

```
System implementation s2.i
```

```
Subcomponents
```

```
sub1: system s1;
```

```
Connections
```

```
conn1: sub1.out -> L1.temperature;
```

```
conn2: sub1.out -> L2.temperature;
```

```
End 2s.i;
```

In the implementation the connection declarations specify that the same sub1 output is mapped into a port in two different interfaces. These may be ports with the same name, or ports with different names.



Nested Interfaces

Works for composition of named interface instances

- Effectively we have nested feature groups
- Deprecate feature groups in V3

```
Abstract composite extends L1: Logical1, PF: Physical  
End composite ;
```

```
System Top extends FG: composite, L2: Logical2  
End top;
```

Unnamed interfaces flatten the

```
Abstract composite extends Logical1, Physical  
End composite ;
```

All features in single namespace

```
System Top extends composite, Logical2  
End top;
```

Name conflict between L1 and L2 feature temperature



Interface Equivalence Mapping

Support for composition of independently developed subsystems or subsystem with different nested interface hierarchies

- Reusable equivalence mapping

```
map1: mapping Type1 == Type2 as  
lfea1.fea2 == rfea1;  
Lfea1.fea3 == rfea2.fea11  
end mapping ;
```

- Inline mappings (reach down multiple nesting levels)

```
Conn1: sub1.lfea1.fea2 -> sub2.rfea1;  
Conn2: sub1.lfea1.fea3 -> sub2.rfea2.fea11;
```



Composition of Interface Properties

Interface properties are inherited by the component

- Also for nested interfaces the properties
- In feature groups the feature group type property did not become a component property but an inheritable property of the feature group

Abstract Logical

temperature: out data port;

Speed: out data port;

Properties

Myname => "peter";

End Logical;

Abstract Physical

Network: requires bus access CANBus;

Properties

Hisname => "peter";

End Physical;

System s2 extends Logical, Physical

End s2;

System s3 extends Logical, Physical

properties

Myname => "paul";

Cannot inherit same property
from two interfaces: equal is ok

Can override property locally



Composition of Flows

Same rules as V2 extends

Flows in interfaces are only with respect to its features

The composite component may add flow specification for flows between features in different interfaces

```
Abstract Logical
temperature: out data port;
Speed: out data port;
flows
  temp: flow source temperature;
End Logical;

System s2 extends Logical, Physical
End s2;

System s3 extends Logical, Physical
flows
  spd: flow source speed;
End s3;
```

Cannot inherit flows with the same name. Use of named interfaces addresses possible name conflicts.

Can add flows for inherited features as was possible in V2



Composition of Modes

Only one source (same as **extends** of single classifier)

- Local additions as in V2

Union of mode states from different interfaces

- Were they developed independently for the same state machine or as independent state machines?

Separate mode state machines

- We currently do not support multiple concurrent state machines for the same component
- Note that modal subcomponents have the effect of concurrent state machines

