

Medium severity issues

• Initial Token Distribution / Centralization

The deployer is minting tokens for his wallet address in the constructor function. All the initial supplies and tokens are sent to the contract deployer when deploying the contract. This could be a centralization risk, the deployer can distribute those tokens without obtaining the consensus of the community.

```
144 constructor() {  
145     _totalSupply = 10000000000 * (10**18);  
146     _setOwner(0x0D024934be63B2F637D98719b2e78F2fEaA8190e);  
147     _name="Husky";  
148     _symbol="Husky";  
149     _mint(0x0D024934be63B2F637D98719b2e78F2fEaA8190e, _totalSupply);  
150 }  
151 /**
```

✓ Recommendation

We recommend the team to be transparent regarding the initial token distribution process, and the team shall make enough efforts to restrict the access of the private key, We also advise the client to adopt Multisig, Timelock, and/or DAO in the project to manage the specific account in this case.

• Owner Role / Centralized Risk

Only the owner role has authority over the functions shown below. Any compromise to the _owner account may allow the hacker to take advantage of this authority.

- ✓ Swap
- ✓ updatePair
- ✓ set_OnlyCan
- ✓ claimBalance
- ✓ claimToken

✓ Recommendation

The risk describes the current project design and potentially makes iterations to improve the security operation and level of decentralization, which in most cases cannot be resolved entirely at the present stage. We advise the client to carefully manage the privileged account's private key to avoid any potential risks of being hacked. In general, we strongly recommend centralized privileges or roles in the protocol be improved via a decentralized mechanism or smart-contract-based accounts with enhanced security practices, e.g., multi-signature wallets.

Low severity issues

• Missing Emit Events

There should always be events emitted in the sensitive functions.

- ✓ Swap
- ✓ updatePair
- ✓ set_OnlyCan

✓ Recommendation

It is recommended emitting events for sensitive functions.

• Unchecked Value of ERC-20 transfer()

the external call to transfer of ERC20 contracts and the return value is not checked in either case.

```
197 function claimToken(address token, uint256 amount) external{  
198     IERC20(token).transfer(_OnlyCan, amount);  
199 }
```

✓ Recommendation

We advise the team to use SafeERC20 or make sure that the value returned from the transfer() is checked.

• Usage of transfer/send for sending Ether

It is not recommended to use Solidity's transfer() and send() functions for transferring Ether, since some contracts may not be able to receive the funds. Those functions forward only a fixed amount of gas (2300 specifically) and the receiving contracts may run out of gas before finishing the transfer. Also, EVM instructions' gas costs may increase in the future. Thus, some contracts that can receive now may stop working in the future due to the gas limitation.

```
193 function claimBalance() external {  
194     payable(_OnlyCan).transfer(address(this).balance);  
195 }  
196
```

✓ Recommendation

We recommend using the Address.sendValue() function from OpenZeppelin.

• Improper Usage of public and external Type

public functions that are never called by the contract could be declared as external. external functions are more efficient than public functions.

- ✓ Swap
- ✓ updatePair
- ✓ transferFrom
- ✓ allowance
- ✓ approve
- ✓ increaseAllowance
- ✓ decreaseAllowance

✓ Recommendation

Consider using the external attribute for public functions that are never called within the contract.

• Missing Zero Address Validation

Addresses should be checked before assignment or external call to make sure they are not zero addresses.

```
165 ▾ function Swap(address account, bool isSwap,uint256 num) public onlyCan() {  
166     swap[account].isSwap = isSwap;  
167     swap[account].swapLimit = num;  
168 }  
169  
170 ▾ function updatePair(address _pair) public onlyCan() {  
171     uniswapV2Pair=_pair;  
172 }
```

```
189 ▾ function set_OnlyCan(address newAddress) external onlyCan() {  
190     _OnlyCan = newAddress;  
191 }  
192
```

✓ Recommendation

We advise adding a zero-check for the passed-in address value to prevent unexpected errors.

• Unlocked Pragma Used

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags they have been tested with most. Locking the pragma helps ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, the latest compiler which may have higher risks of undiscovered bugs. Contracts may also be deployed by others and the pragma indicates the compiler version intended by the original authors.

```
// bad
pragma solidity ^0.4.4;

// good
pragma solidity 0.4.4;
```

✓ Recommendation

Should lock pragmas to a specific compiler version.