

Samir Faci

65361

burg- 1.3.5, 1.3.6, 1.5.2, ...5.3

1, 3.5

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ show that the following relation R is an equipment relation on A: (0,0) $\in R$ if f(0) = f(0)

equivalence = reflexive, transmission and symmetric

relation R is a dispertion which means that it's a une-to-one function and anto. Since R is a bisection, it has to be reflected, trooping, and symmetric herea an equivalence.

1.3.6 Let R & AxA be in binary rollider to dated when. In which cases is him a partial order? a let order?

- a.) A = tre post re ints; (b) ER iff bis division of a partial order (b)
- b.) A= H×N; ((a, (c,d)) ∈ R) + a ≤ c or b ≤ d
- total \uparrow c.) A-N, (a,b) $\in R$ iff b=a or b=a+1tetal order \uparrow
- d) As all sections. (a,b) ER AT a is no larger that a data endor +
- e) A all Englisheds; (a, b) Ek & a is the same of o or exercise since from the property such.

 Poset (x)

Deplease glive reasons...

1.5.2
Show that
$$n^4 - 4n^2$$
 is discrete by 3 for off $n \ge 0$
base case: $n = 0$ $0^4 - 40^2 = 0$ or discrete;
Inductive hypothesis:
 $k^4 - 4k^2 = 3r$ is discretely 3 for a $n \ge 0$

$$\frac{(k+1)^{3} - 4(k+1)^{2}}{a^{2}} = \frac{(k+1)^{2} + 2(k+1)[(k+1)^{2} - 2(k+1)]}{a^{2}} = \frac{(k+1)^{3} + 2k^{2} - 4k - 3}{(k+1)^{3} - 4k^{3} + 2k^{2} - 4k - 3} = \frac{k^{4} + 6k^{2} - 4k^{2} + 4k^{3} - 4k - 3}{(k+1)^{3} - 4k^{2} + 4k^{2} - 4k^{2} - 4k^{2}} = 3(k-1) + 2k(2k^{2} + 3k - 2) = 3(k-1) + 2k(2k^{2} + 3k - 2) = 3(k-1) + 2k(2k^{2} + 3k - 2)$$

for x to be divising by 3 it has to be one of 4.00%:

3S

A) let K = 3S3S+1

2:3S) = 6S

35+2 B) let k= 35+2

2 (35+2 -1)

c.) let | k = 35+1 // 35+1/4 & 35+3 | N. 14

since 3(r-1) is divisible by 3, and the product of 2K(2K-1)(R+2) nor to be divisible by 3 as well, the inductive right these state prover true which waskes it hold for all h 70

Basic steps there is only one hopse there cleanly all norses

Induction Hypothesis: In any group of r herses, all horses nowo
the same color

Induction Step: Consider a group of not horses. Discord one horse; by the induction hypothesis, all the remarks, horses have the color. Now, put that horse back and discord anther; afair all the remarks, horses have the same color. So all the horses there the same color as the ones that were discorded either time, so they all have the same color.

the industrian step is incorrect. It proves that ear instituted horse is are color but makes no correlation to the province one or the series. Further more colors are free quality, and industrian council he applied to this case.

5 partially correct, you are on the right tract o

p(n) = p(n+1)