- an undirected graph is G=(V,E), with V the vertices, E the edges. $V=\{V_1,V_2,...,V_n\}$ is a set of objects, E a set of connections between the objects s.t. $\forall e \in E, e = \{u, v\}$ with $u, v \in V$.
 - a directed graph differs in its edges E, where $\forall e \in E, e = (u, v)$ with $u, v \in V$. - a subgraph of G is $H=(V_H,E_H)$ where $V_n\subseteq V, E_H\subseteq E$, and $(\forall e=(u,v)\in E)(u,v\in V_H)$
 - connected component: maximal connected subgraph (can't connect more nodes)
- a cycle in G=(V,E) is a sequence of nodes $\{v_k\}\in V$ s.t. $\{v_i,v_{i+1}\}\in E \land \{v_1,v_k\}\in E$ - a tree is an acyclic connected graph
- local optimal decision to try solving a global problem - decisions are irrevocable (helpful for easy time complexity proofs)

- greedy algorithm:

- Minimum spanning tree (MST) problem: given an undirected connected graph G and nonnegative
 - weights d(e) = d(u, v), find the subgraph T that connects all vertices and minimizes
- $\mathrm{cost}(T) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in T} d(u,v)$

 - description - given graph G, choose arb node s, the start of T
- proof
- The pair ("in T", "not in T") is a cut of G. By the Cut Property, the MST contains the

 - impl
 - fn new(items) -> Self; - fn min() -> Item; - fn insert(item);

- find $\in O(1)$; size array lookup - union $\in O(\log n)$ amortized; procedure
- the # of items in the largest set????) $-2k\log_2 2k \in O(k\log k)$ work tree-based implementation Figure 3: tree-based union-find. - let struct Node { parent: Option<&Node>, height: uint } - create an array of node addresses, so we can get from a node id to its node in O(1)- create all singleton nodes
- at most n-1 union ops $\in O(n)$ - total runtime $\in O(m \log n + 2m \log n + n) \in O(m \log n)$ - graph traversal problem: suppose a graph G = (V, E). Find a path from a node s to t if it exists.
 - breadth-first search
 - T = T + eS = updateFrontier(G, S, e) - next/update is pop/push for DFS and de/enqueue for BFS, O(m) across entire program. - same logic as Prim's - everything else in O(n) (including loop)
- topological sort problem: given a DAG, assign an "order" to the nodes (a bijective $f: V \to [n]$). - Solution 1 - Theorem: every DAG has a node with no incoming edges - think about it. what if there wasn't? then follow the edges backward. Since finite nodes in graph, eventually you return to already-seen: a cycle. - thus: let i = 1
 - think about what this paradigm means for neg weights: if I choose a locally good weight, I might miss out on globally good (very negative) weights. - impl - define one start node s, tentative distances d (all ∞ except d[s] = 0), tentative parents p all None, frontier F = V. - while frontier has items -u =frontier node with min d- remove u from F (this "locks u in": its current d is the shortest possible.) - update d for neighbors of u if applicable - proof
 - $T(n) \in O(f(n))$ if $\exists n_0 \ge 0, c \ge 0, T(n) \le cf(n) \forall n \ge n_0$ - "exists some c linear multiplier such that cf(n) dominates T(n) after some n_0 large number."

- $T(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ if $\exists \varepsilon \ge 0, n_0 \ge 0, T(n) \ge \varepsilon f(n) \forall n \ge n_0$

 $T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ if $T(n) \in O(f(n)) \land T(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$

- relax until can't anymore. d[u] must hold shortest paths.

- we want a formal definition of alg. efficiency for large problems

- revised problem statement: find that \exists negative cycle *or* shortest path

- tree Method - by induction (used to verify a known/given time bound) 1. show T(k) < f(k) for "small" k2. assume T(k) < f(k) for k < n
- time complexity $-T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + c \cdot n$ – Base case for k=2. $c2\log 2=2c\geq T(2)$
- $T(n) \le 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + c \cdot n$ by defin

- divide step:

- find closest in each side - merge step: - what if we cut the global closest distance?

 - for each point, there is a small finite (15 lol) number of points that might be able to be the global closest

- repeating |V| 1 times, add to T, the lowest edge (and nodes) from "in T" to "not in T"
- - lowest cost edge crossing this cut, which is by defn the next edge Prim's adds, i.e. Prim's adds edges in the MST. At any point in the algorithm, $T=(V_T,E_T)$ is a subgraph and a tree. T grows by 1 vertex
 - interface - fn delete(item);
 - Figure 1: notice the monotonic decreasing upward. Also notice the array implementation, where traverse_left(i) = 2i and traverse_right(i) = 2i + 1. - new $\in O(n)$; take items as array, for all elements (indices right to left), sift down
 - $min \in O(1)$; it's at the top of the tree - insert $\in O(\log n)$; insert as leaf preserving shape (aka dense array), sift up - delete $\in O(\log n)$; swap with leaf, delete, sift swapped down 1. O(n); create empty heaparray (for max n items)

- 4. prepend smaller to larger list - proof - (2) is computation. others O(1)
 - proof - depth of tree is number of renamings

- $\text{new} \in O(n)$

depth-first search

ancestor or descendant of y.

leaving x, as a descendant.

 $|\operatorname{layer}(y)| \leq 1$

 $T = (\{v\}, \{\})$

while S has items,

S = set of nodes adj to v

e = nextEdge(G, S)

3

5

- todo: prove correctness

while i <= n:

i++

- proof: todo

- Solution 2

order.

- proof: todo - shortest path problem

Method

Dijkstra

Bellman-Ford

- use tree-growing paradigm, like Prim's

- really weird induction. todo.

find (infinitely) negative weight cycles.

- again, use d[s] = 0, $d[else] = \infty$

O(nm)

D/BFS

A*

pseudocode

- Dijkstra

- A*

- impl

- impl

- big O

- big Ω

- big Θ

- Theorem:

- mergesort

- impl:

sort(L)

set f(u) = idel u from graph

Figure 6: a bipartite graph

(since edges are between adj layers or same layer)

Figure 4: depth-first search

- theorem: adjacent edges are not on the same level. That is, if $(x, y) \in E$, x is either an

- $\rightarrow \leftarrow$. - these (and Prim's!) are specifc types of tree-growing algorithms
- thus, DFS and BFS are $\in O(n+m)$ is-bipartite problem - definition: a graph is bipartite if \exists a two-coloring. that is, if you can divide the nodes into two colors s.t. all edges connect nodes of diff colors.

- solution: do a BFS from any node, swapping colors per layer. check if any edge is monolayer

- find node u with no incoming
- literally just Dijkstra but with h[u], a heuristic distance from u to dest t - that is, replace "min d" with "min f = d + h" - an admissable h is one that always underestimates (or is equal to) the real u to t- if *h* admissable, A* will find the globally optimal solution (proof omitted) - Bellman-Ford - as mentioned above, tree-growing (Dijk/A*) doesn't work for negative weights. nor do they

- relaxation (Ford) step: find any edge (u, v) s.t. d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v). update d for that

 $\exists c, \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T(n)}{g(n)} = c \Longleftrightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ and similarly for the other two big complexities.

- "exists some ε linear multiplier such that $\varepsilon f(n)$ is dominated by T(n)...

L1 = sort(L[1 : |L|/2])L2 = sort(L[|L|/2 : |L|])^ two calls to self on input length |L|/2 return merge(L1, L2) in O(n)

if |L| == 2: return [min L, max L] in O(1)

 $= cn \log n$ by alg something something correct bounds of $O(n \log n)$ - closest two points problem: given n points in 2d space, find closest two

- divide points (e.g. left and right side).

- overall this means this merge step is $O(15n) \in O(n)$ - this is $O(n \log n)$:D

- MST Cycle Property: let a cycle C be in G. the heaviest edge on the cycle C is not in G's MST. - MST Cut Property: let $S \subseteq V$, s.t. $1 \le |S| < |V|$, i.e. S is not empty but not all nodes. Call a an "edge on the cut" is some $e = \{u, v\}, u \in S, v \in V \setminus S$). - Prim's alg
- think about why T must be a tree. if there are cycles, you can trivially rm an edge to cut costs pair $(S, V \setminus S)$ a cut of the graph. Every MST of G contains the lightest edge on the cut (where

 - and 1 edge at each step, stopping at $|V_G|-1$ steps, and so results in a spanning tree. Since these edges are in the MST from above, the spanning tree is the MST. \Box - (min) heap ADT - heap-ordered-tree (as an array) implementation

 - Figure 2: array-based union-find - new $\in O(n)$; creates the three lists 1. find smaller set $(x \le y)$ 2. for each x_i , make set[elem] be y3. update sizes array - after k unions, $\leq 2k$ items touched - for any item v, set[v] is relabeled ≤ $\log_2 2k$ times (TODO WTF WHY) (2k is
 - find $\in O(\log n)$; follow ptrs to the root, which is the set label – set at i is renamed at most $\log_2 n$ times because each renaming doubles size - union $\in O(1)$ (amortized?); move pointer, update height. is this really all we do? - work of Kruskal's for array-based union-find is $O(m \log n)$ - sorting edges $\in O(m \log m) \in O(m \log n)$ - because $m \le n^2$, so $\log m \le \log n^2 = 2 \log n$ - at most 2m find operations $\in O(2m)$ (to check if edge would create cycle) - at most n-1 union ops in $O(n \log n)$ - total runtime $\in O(m \log n + 2m + n \log n) \in O(m \log n)$ – work of Kruskal's for tree-based union-find is also $O(m \log n)$ - sorting edges $\in O(m \log n)$ from above - at most 2m find $\operatorname{ops} \in O(2m \log n)$
 - proof: WLOG, let x ancestor of y. When we pass x, we haven't seen y. All nodes between initially seeing x and leaving x are decendants of x. So, y must have been explored before 6 Figure 5: breadth-first search - theorem: adjacent edges are near the same level. That is, if $(x,y) \in E$, then $|\operatorname{layer}(x)|$ - proof: 1) WLOG, AFSOC that layer(x) < layer(y) - 1. 2) All nbors of x are added in or before layer(x) + 1. By 2, layer $(y) \le \text{layer}(x) + 1$. But by 1, layer(y) > layer(x) + 1. TreeGrowingAlg(graph G, initial node v, fn findnext)

2

5?

Figure 7: can't have odd cycles

- do a DFS, track entering and leaving order, topological sort is the descending leaving number Time Bound Notes O(n+m) unweighted only $O(m \log n)$ pos weights, source to all sinks $O(m \log n)$ needs an h (pref. admissable), source to one sink can process negative weights Table 1: summary of options - as a note, running through these on paper is much better for comprehension than staring at the

- divide and conquer - time complexity proofs - set up with old friend recurrence relations 3. show $T(n) \in O(f(n))$ i.e. $T(n) \le f(n)$, large n, blah blah blah
 - $\leq 2\left(c\frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2}\right) + c \cdot n$ by IH $= cn \log n - cn \log_2 2 + cn$ by alg
 - call the smaller "closest distance" d - if that that global closest exists, it must at most d away from our split, a "possible region" - also, all pairs are at least d away from each other (both sides) - so, we just need to check all O(n) points in the "possible region"

- work of Prim's with a heap is $O(m \log n)$ 2. O(1); choose arb start node u3. $O(\log n)$ work per heap operation, O(m) times total (because we only not not steeped as $O(\log n)$) work per heap operation, O(m)that much, trust. see your example); $\forall v \in \mathsf{nbors}(u)$, if v is closer to T than our saved distance from v to T, update this distance (and also set parent of v to u). With a heap, do del(v); insert(v, d(u, v)) or just decreaseKey(v, d(u, v)). TODO parent?? 4. $O(\log n)$; set u to closest node to T (min of heap) and delete it 5. O(n) repetitions; while u exists, go to (3) - this is $O(m \log n + n \log n)$ from (3) and repeating (4), which is $\in O(m \log n)$ Reverse Delete - description start with G - repeating |V| - 1 times - remove edges by decreasing weight unless it'd split the graph - proof - tree bc we removed all cycles by defn of algorithm – spanning bc |V| – 1 thing and non-split clause - minimum: at some step, let e be the next edge removed. Since it's removed, it's in a cycle and must be the largest edge in it. By cycle property, all removed edges are not in the MST. - Kruskal's alg description - start with $T = (V, \{\})$, i.e. all the nodes and no edges - repeating |V| - 1 times add edges in increasing order unless it creates a cycle - proof - tree bc we didn't connect in a cycle... but why is output connected? - spanning bc |V| - 1 thing and tree - minimum: at some step, let e be the next edge added. All rejected edges would have created cycles, and must be the max in the cycle they create by algo defn. This means, by cycle property, it's MST. - impl - union-find abstract data type (ADT) interface - fn new(items) -> Self; splits items into that many sets - fn find(item) -> Label; finds the set the item belongs to - fn union(a, b); merges two sets array-based implementation index item lists set 1 1 2 3 3 3 4 4 5 1 6 1 7 1 7