

Computing for Mathematical Physics 2022/23

Homework7

Mark for homework7: /33
(to be completed by your marker)

Feedback from marker:
(to be completed by your marker)

Give your answers in the code cells marked (* Enter your solution here *)

Double click the vertical braces on the RHS of each of the question headings to open and view them.

- 1. Generating and summing (powers of) list elements. [5 marks]
 - a) Define a function `plusSquare[a_, x_]`, which returns $a + x^2$. [1 mark]

```
In[1]:= plusSquare[a_, x_] := a + x2
```

- b) Define a function `sumOfSquaresV1[list_]`, calling `plusSquare` inside `Fold`, returning the sum of the squares of each element inside `list`. Display the output of `sumOfSquaresV1[Range[10]]`. [2 marks]

```
(*retrieve function and put in fold,  
result will add the squares of each number*)  
sumOfSquaresV1[list_] := Fold[plusSquare, list]
```

```
In[3]:= sumOfSquaresV1[Range[10]]
```

- c) Define a function `sumOfSquaresV2[list_]`, which is the same as `sumOfSquaresV1` except that it does not call `plusSquare`, but instead uses a pure function, defined in terms of #'s, to achieve the same output. Display the output of `sumOfSquaresV2[Range[10]]`. [2 marks]

(*pure function uses the same structure as the defined function*)

```
sumOfSquaresV2[list_] := Fold[#1 + #2^2 &, list]
```

```
In[5]:= sumOfSquaresV2[Range[10]]
```

- 2. Simulating dice rolls with `FoldList`. [9 marks]

- a) Write a function, `rollDice[n_]`, simulating n rolls of a *biased* six-sided dice, by returning n random integers, between 1 and 6 [inclusive], using `RandomChoice`. The implementation of `RandomChoice` should reflect that the probability to roll a six with the biased six-sided dice is $\frac{3}{8}$ whilst the probability of rolling any other value is $\frac{1}{8}$.

[2 mark]

```
In[1]:= (*Give bias towards 6*)
```

```
rollDice[n_] :=
```

```
RandomChoice[{0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.125, 0.375} -> {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}, n]
```

- b) Using `FoldList`, define a function `cumulative[inputList_]`, returning a list which gives the cumulative sum of the elements in `inputList`; i.e. the i 'th element in the returned list is given by the sum of all elements up to and including the i 'th element in the `inputList`. E.g. `cumulative[{p, q, r, s}]` should return `{p, p+q, p+q+r, p+q+r+s}`. [1 mark]

```
In[2]:= (*add up all elements*)
```

```
cumulative[inputList_] := FoldList[Plus, inputList]
```

- c) Set `nRolls=100000`. Create a list, `simulatedRolls`, of `nRolls` dice rolls using `rollDice`. Create a further list `simulatedCumulative`, from `simulatedRolls` and the `cumulative` function. **Suppress** the on-screen display of `simulatedRolls` and `simulatedCumulative` by terminating each of the commands which generate them with a semi-colon. [1 mark]

```
In[3]:= nRolls = 100000;
```

```
In[4]:= simulatedRolls = rollDice[nRolls];
```

```
simulatedCumulative = cumulative[simulatedRolls];
```

- d) The **expected** cumulative total for a *fair* dice would be the the expectation, i.e. 3.5, times the number of rolls. Generate a list, `expectedCumulative`, using `Range`, with `nRolls` elements, where the i 'th element equals $\mathcal{E}i$, where \mathcal{E} is the expectation for our *biased* dice. [2 marks]

Expectation value: $1+2+3+4+5+6+6 / 8 = 33/8 = 4.125$

```
In[6]:= expectedCumulative = Map[Times[4.125, #] &, Range[nRolls]];
```

- e) Plot `simulatedCumulative` and `expectedCumulative` on the same graph. Make a further, separate, plot of the ratio `simulatedCumulative/expectedCumulative`, setting the y-axis range to `{0.98,1.02}`. [3 marks]

```
In[40]:= ListLinePlot[{simulatedCumulative, expectedCumulative},
  (*Plot both using listlineplot which automatically joins them together*)
  PlotLegends → {"Simulated", "Expected"}, PlotLabel → "Dice rolls",
  AxesLabel → {"no. dice rolls", "cumalative sum"}
  (*Label axis, graphs and add legends*)
]
```

```
In[43]:= ListLinePlot[sim/exp, (*Plot the ratio between
  the two cumaltives by dividing them by each other*)
  PlotLabel → "Ratio of simulated over expected cumalatives",
  AxesLabel → {"no. dice rolls", "Ratio of cumalatives"},
  (*Label axis, graph again etc.*)
  PlotRange → {0.98, 1.02} (*add plotrange*)
]
```

- 3. Hypergeometric function with `Fold` and `Nest`. [9 marks]

- The Pochhammer symbol $(q)_n$ is defined by:

$$\bullet \text{ eq. 1 : } (q)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n=0, \\ q(q+1) \dots (q+n-1) & n>0. \end{cases}$$

- a) Write a function, `pochhammerUsingFold[q_, n_]`, using `Fold`, returning the Pochhammer symbol $(q)_n$, as defined in eq. 1.

- Display the result of `pochhammerUsingFold[q, 0]`

- Using `Simplify` and the `==` operator, test that `pochhammerUsingFold[q, nTerms]`, yields an identical result to `Mathematica's` internal `Pochhammer[q, nTerms]` function, for `nTerms=7` and `nTerms=18`. [4 marks]

Pochhammer expansion: $q(q+1)(q+2)(q+3)\dots(q+(n-1))$

```
In[45]:= pochhammerUsingFold[q_, n_] := If[n == 0, 1, Fold[(#1) (q + #2) &,
  (*get the previous result and multiply it by q + (n-1)*)
  q, Range[n - 1]]]
pochhammerUsingFold[q, 7] == Pochhammer[q, 7]
pochhammerUsingFold[q, 18] == Pochhammer[q, 18]
```

- b) Replace the line "MISSING CODE" inside the `pochhammerUsingNestList[q_, nMax_]` function, defined below, such that it returns a list of all Pochhammer symbols $(q)_n$, from $n = 0$ up to and including

$n = n_{\text{Max}}$ (see code comments for more details). Run your function to produce output for `pochhammerUsingNestList[q, 4]`.
[2 marks]

In[37]:=

```
pochhammerUsingNestList[q_, nMax_] :=
  NestList[
    (* The following (pure) function returns a two-element list *)
    (* every time it is called. *)
    (* • The 1st element is the 1st element in the previous *)
    (* two-element list that it computed, #[[1]], plus 1, *)
    (* starting with 1; i.e. this element goes 1, 2, 3, ... *)
    (* • The 2nd element is always "MISSING CODE". *)
    (* You must swap "MISSING CODE" for a combination of *)
    (* q, #[[1]], #[[2]], s.t. on the 0th iteration this *)
    (* element is 1, on the 1st it's q, on the 2nd it's *)
    (* q(q+1), on the 3rd it's q(q+1)(q+2) etc ... *)
    {
      #[[1]] + 1,
      ,
      (* Initial 2-element list, output on the 0th iteration is {0,1} *)
      {0, 1},
      (* Do nMax iterations after the 0th iteration. *)
      nMax
    ]
```

```
In[53]:= pochhammerUsingNestList[q_, nMax_] := NestList[{#[[1]] + 1,
  (#[[2]])(q + #[[1]])} &, (*follows a very similar pattern
  to the question before except adapted to how nest works*)
  {0, 1}, nMax]
pochhammerUsingNestList[q, 4][[1 ;;, 2]]
```

```
In[56]:= {{1, 10}, {2, 20}, {3, 30}, {40, 40}}[[1 ;;, 4, 2]]
(*some experimentation for the command before,
normally i remove these but i thought it would
be nice to see how i test code to get to my results *)
```

- c) The hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z)$ is defined for $|z| < 1$ by the power series

$$\bullet \text{ eq. 2 : } {}_2F_1(a, b, c, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

where $(a)_n, (b)_n, (c)_n$ are all Pochhammer symbols.

i) The function, `hypergeometricExpansion[a_, b_, c_, z_, nMax_]`, written in the code cell below, is intended to return the RHS of eq. 2 up to and including terms $\propto z^{n_{\text{Max}}}$. The latter function depends on a second function

`term[a_, b_, c_, z_, n_]` which we have left undefined. Define `term[a_, b_, c_, z_, n_]`, below, such that `hypergeometricExpansion` will then function as intended.

- Should you need Pochhammer symbols, use *Mathematica's* native implementation of these: see `??Pochhammer`.

ii) Use `Series` to expand *Mathematica's* native implementation of ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z)$, called `Hypergeometric2F1[a, b, c, z]`, about $z=0$, for terms up to and including $\propto z^5$ [i.e. the output of `Series` is expected to end with $O(z^6)$]. Convert the output from a `SeriesData` object to a normal expression. Store this result as `native2F1Expansion`.

iii) Use `==` and `Simplify` to test whether `native2F1Expansion` equals the output from your `hypergeometricExpansion[a, b, c, z, nMax]` function for `nMax=5`.

[3 marks]

```
hypergeometricExpansion[a_, b_, c_, z_, nMax_] :=
1 +
Fold[
  (#1 + term[a, b, c, z, #2]) &,
  0,
  Range[nMax]
]
(* Define the RHS of fn, term[n_], below, s.t. *)
(* hypergeometricExpansion[a,b,c,z,nMax] will *)
(*return all terms on the RHS of eq. 2, above, *)
(* up to and including  $O(z^{nMax})$  *)
term[a_, b_, c_, z_, n_] :=
  Pochhammer[a, n] × Pochhammer[b, n]  $\frac{z^n}{n!}$  (*substitution of Pochhammer
    Pochhammer[c, n]
    functions and "z" and "n" variables is extremely straightforward*)
native2F1Expansion = Normal[Series[Hypergeometric2F1[a, b, c, z], {z, 0, 5}]] ;
hypergeometricExpansion[a, b, c, z, 5] ==
  native2F1Expansion (*Simplify function was not required :*)
```

■ 4. Monte Carlo integration with `NestWhile`. [10 marks]

■ In the input cell below the function $g[x, y]$ is defined as

- $$g[x, y] = \cos\left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right]^2 \cos[2 \operatorname{ArcTan}[x, y]]^2 \Theta\left(3\pi - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right) \Theta\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \pi\right).$$

A 3D surface plot is also provided to show the form of the function.

In this question we seek to perform the following integral by so-called Monte Carlo methods

$$\bullet \mathcal{I} = \int_{y_{\min}}^{y_{\max}} \int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} g[x, y] dx dy,$$

with $\{x_{\min}, x_{\max}\} = \{y_{\min}, y_{\max}\} = \{-3\pi, 3\pi\}$.

```
In[1]:= (* Your answer to this question should use *)
(* the following xMin, xMax, yMin, yMax and *)
(* g[x_,y_] definitions. *)
{xMin, xMax} = {yMin, yMax} = {-3 π, 3 π};

g[x_, y_] :=
Cos[ $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ]^2 Cos[2 ArcTan[x, y]]^2
UnitStep[ $3\pi - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ] × UnitStep[ $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \pi$ ]

Plot3D[
  (* Function to plot *)
  g[x, y],

  (* Variables and ranges to plot w.r.t *)
  {x, xMin, xMax},
  {y, yMin, yMax},

  (* Presentation *)
  PlotRange → All,
  PlotPoints → 100,
  AxesLabel → {"x", "y", "z"},
  LabelStyle → {Black, Bold, FontSize → 14},
  ColorFunction → "TemperatureMap",
  PlotLabel → "Surface plot of g[x,y]"
]
```

- a) Determine the integral \mathcal{I} numerically with `NIntegrate[...]`.
[1 mark]

```
In[4]:= NIntegrate[g[x, y], {x, xMin, xMax}, {y, yMin, yMax}]
```

- b) Define a function `onePointApproximation[]`, with *no* inputs, returning $g[x_{R_1}, y_{R_2}] \cdot (x_{\max} - x_{\min}) \cdot (y_{\max} - y_{\min})$, where x_{R_1} and y_{R_2} are two *independent real* random numbers in $x_{\min} \leq x_{R_1} \leq x_{\max}$ and $y_{\min} \leq y_{R_2} \leq y_{\max}$ respectively.
[2 marks]

```
In[5]:= onePointApproximation[] :=
  g[RandomReal[{xMin, xMax}], RandomReal[{yMin, yMax}]] *
  (xMax - xMin) * (yMax - yMin)
```

- c) Read the following description, study the template `updateIntegration[...]` Module code in the input cell below, and insert code for the four missing elements inside it that are marked by comments.

The module's input is a four-element list comprised of:

- `nPoints`:
number of times `onePointApproximation[]` has been called in the course of the current integration; this should be the same as the number of times the `Module[...]` has been called.
- `avg`:
average of all values returned by `onePointApproximation[]`, which is an approximation for the integral \mathcal{I} .
- `err`:
the uncertainty on `avg`; you may assume that `nPoints` is large if need be.
- `avgOfSquares`:
average of the `[nPoints]` squares of values returned by `onePointApproximation[]`.

The job of the module is to call `onePointApproximation[]` to generate another one-point approximation to the integral, update all four quantities above based on the latter call, and return them *in the same form* as the initial four-element input list.

[4 marks]

(* Complete Module below: in particular replace *)
 (* the magenta comments by the appropriate code. *)

```
In[6]:= updateIntegration[{nPoints_, avg_, err_, avgOfSquares_}] :=
Module[{
  nextNPoints,
  nextOnePointApproximation,
  nextAvg,
  nextErr,
  nextAvgOfSquares
},

nextNPoints =
  nPoints + 1;

nextOnePointApproximation =
  onePointApproximation[];

nextAvg =
  
$$\frac{1}{\text{nextNPoints}} * (\text{avg} * \text{nPoints} + \text{nextOnePointApproximation});$$


nextAvgOfSquares =
  
$$\frac{1}{\text{nextNPoints}} * (\text{avgOfSquares} * \text{nPoints} + \text{nextOnePointApproximation}^2);$$


nextErr =
  
$$(1 / \text{Sqrt}[\text{nextNPoints}]) * \sqrt{\text{nextAvgOfSquares} - \text{nextAvg}^2};$$


{nextNPoints, nextAvg, nextErr, nextAvgOfSquares}
]

■ d) Using NestWhile with updateIntegration[...] perform a numerical
evaluation of the integral  $\mathcal{I}$ . Specifically, NestWhile should call
updateIntegration[...] repeatedly as long as err ≥ 0.2 or nPoints < 1000.
```

[Note that the calculation in part d) may take 20 sec to run. If it takes substantially longer than that, it may be due to an error in your code.]

[3 marks]

```
In[12]:= NestWhile[updateIntegration, {0, 0, 0, 0}
(*start with nothing and keep on updating*)
, #[[1]] < 1000 & (*until number of points is 1000*)
]
```

Total Marks: 33

■ **Total marks available: 33**

- **Solutions are due *by* 1200 noon on Thursday March 9th [here](#): allow time for uploading on moodle.**
- A 10% mark deduction will be made (4 marks) if the template isn't used.
- Name your solution notebook file in the format **WK7_HMWK_<Initials>_<Family Name>.nb**, e.g. **WK7_HMWK_K_Hamilton.nb**
- Make a *backup copy* of your solutions.
- Delete all cell evaluation output by selecting **Cell → Delete All Output** from the drop-down menus at the top of the screen, then save and upload *that* file to Moodle.
- The first thing your marker will do when they receive your notebook is to evaluate all of it, to regenerate the output, by clicking **Evaluation → Evaluate Notebook** from the drop-down menus at the top of the screen. *It is your responsibility to check that carrying out this process, with a fresh kernel, will produce the output you intend it to, before you upload your work.*

K. Hamilton

15:26 21 Feb 2023