

Projektaufgaben Block 2

Carlo Michaelis, 573479; David Hinrichs, 572347; Lukas Ruff, 572521

06 Dezember 2016

1 Nichtparametrisches Testen

1.1 Zwillingsstudie

Um zu testen, ob der Kindergartenbesuch einen signifikanten Einfluss auf die sozialen Fähigkeiten eines Kindes hat, führen wir einen zweiseitigen t -Test und einen zweiseitigen Wilcoxon-Vorzeichen-Test, jeweils zum Signifikanzniveau $\alpha = 0.05$, durch. Die Entscheidung fiel dabei auf einen zweiseitigen Test, da zuvor keine begründete Vermutung über einseitige Effekte angenommen wurde.

```
# Enter data
x <- c(82,69,73,43,58,56,76,65)
y <- c(63,42,74,37,51,43,80,62)

# Two-sided t-test
t.test(x, y, alternative = "two.sided", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95, paired = TRUE)
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: x and y
## t = 2.3791, df = 7, p-value = 0.04895
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.05320077 17.44679923
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 8.75
```

```
# t.test(x-y, alternative = "two.sided", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95) # alternative
```

```
# Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test
wilcox.test(x, y, alternative = "two.sided", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95,
            paired = TRUE, conf.int = TRUE)
```

```
##
## Wilcoxon signed rank test
##
## data: x and y
## V = 32, p-value = 0.05469
## alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.5 19.0
## sample estimates:
## (pseudo)median
## 7.75
```

```
# wilcox.test(x-y, alternative = "two.sided", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95,
#             conf.int = TRUE) # alternative
```

Wir können sehen, dass der t -Test die Nullhypothese ablehnt ($p = 0.04895 < 0.05 = \alpha$) und somit einen signifikanten Einfluss feststellt. Der Wilcoxon-Vorzeichen-Test verwirft die Nullhypothese dagegen nicht ($p = 0.05469 > 0.05 = \alpha$). Durch die Normalverteilungsannahme $X_i - Y_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, die für gegebenes Sample in Frage gestellt werden kann, besitzt der t -Test eine größere Power. Der nichtparametrische Wilcoxon-Vorzeichen-Test benötigt hingegen keine Verteilungsannahme, besitzt jedoch eine kleinere Power.

Wenn statt dem zweiseitigen ein einseitiger Test durchgeführt wird, mit der Annahme, dass die sozialen Fähigkeiten durch den Kindergartenbesuch signifikant höher sind, erhalten wir folgende Ergebnisse:

```
# Two-sided t-test
t.test(x, y, alternative = "greater", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95, paired = TRUE)
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: x and y
## t = 2.3791, df = 7, p-value = 0.02447
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  1.781971      Inf
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
##                8.75
```

```
# t.test(x-y, alternative = "greater", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95) # alternative
```

```
# Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test
wilcox.test(x, y, alternative = "greater", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95,
            paired = TRUE, conf.int = TRUE)
```

```
##
## Wilcoxon signed rank test
##
## data: x and y
## V = 32, p-value = 0.02734
## alternative hypothesis: true location shift is greater than 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##    1 Inf
## sample estimates:
## (pseudo)median
##            7.75
```

```
# wilcox.test(x-y, alternative = "greater", mu = 0, conf.level = 0.95,
#             conf.int = TRUE) # alternative
```

Die p -Werte werden nur an einer Seite bestimmt und entsprechen daher nun exakt der Hälfte der p -Werte des zweiseitigen Tests. Beide Tests indizieren nun einen signifikanten Anstieg der sozialen Fähigkeiten durch den Kindergartenbesuch. Der einseitige t -Test hat eine stärkere Annahme, da eine Änderung des Wertes von vorne herein nur in eine Richtung angenommen wird. Es kommt daher bei gleichem Niveau α schneller zu signifikanten Ergebnissen, als bei einem zweiseitigen t -Test.

1.2 t-Test vs. Wilcoxon-Vorzeichen-Test

```
fnTestPowerMC <- function(fnError, n = 30, theta = 0, alpha = 0.05, nSim = 10^4,
  ...) {
  # This function estimates the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis of a
  # t-test and a Wilcoxon signed rank test using Monte Carlo simulations of iid
  # random variables  $X_i = \theta + \epsilon_i$ .
  #
  # Args:
  # fnError: Function which generates random samples from a symmetric error
  #           distribution  $\epsilon$ 
  # n:       Number of random samples used in tests
  # theta:   True parameter
  # alpha:   Significance level used in tests
  # nSim:    Number of MC simulations of size n
  # ...:     Further arguments to be passed to fnError
  #
  # Returns:
  # A list containing the following elements:
  #   $TProb: MC estimation of rejection probability for the t-test
  #   $WilcoxProb: MC estimation of rejection probability for the Wilcoxon
  #               signed rank test

  # Perform MC simulation
  matX <- matrix(theta + fnError(n*nSim, ...), ncol = n)

  # Define sub-functions which only return p-values from the two tests
  fnPvalT <- function(x, theta) {
    return(t.test(x, mu = theta)$p.value)
  }
  fnPvalWilcox <- function(x, theta) {
    return(wilcox.test(x, mu = theta)$p.value)
  }

  # Perform nSim number of tests with sample size n for each of the two tests
  vecPvalT <- apply(matX, 1, fnPvalT, theta = theta)
  vecPvalWilcox <- apply(matX, 1, fnPvalWilcox, theta = theta)

  # Compute and return estimations of rejection probabilities
  result <- list()
  result$TProb <- mean(vecPvalT < alpha)
  result$WilcoxProb <- mean(vecPvalWilcox < alpha)
  return(result)
}

# Set seed
set.seed(42)

# Normal errors
fnTestPowerMC(fnError = rnorm, nSim = 10^5, theta = 0)

## $TProb
```

```
## [1] 0.04999
##
## $WilcoxProb
## [1] 0.04981
```

```
# Cauchy errors (t-distribution with df = 1)
fnTestPowerMC(fnError = rt, nSim = 10^5, df = 1)
```

```
## $TProb
## [1] 0.02034
##
## $WilcoxProb
## [1] 0.04947
```

```
# Uniform errors
fnTestPowerMC(fnError = runif, nSim = 10^5, min = -1, max = 1)
```

```
## $TProb
## [1] 0.05177
##
## $WilcoxProb
## [1] 0.05073
```

2 Dichteschätzung

2.1 Kerndichteschätzer

```
fnKernelDensityEst <- function(x, X, K, h) {
  # This function computes the kernel density estimates for a given sample X and
# kernel K with bandwidth h at points x.
  #
  # Args:
  # x: Points for which the kernel density estimates are computed
  # X: Data sample on which the kernel density estimation is fitted
  # K: Kernel function to be used for smoothing
  # h: Smoothing Bandwidth
  #
  # Returns:
  # A vector of the kernel density estimates at the points x

  # Get number of points and sample size
  nPts <- length(x)
  n <- length(X)

  # Compute the estimated kernel density values for every bandwidth
  kernels <- list()
  for(i in 1:length(h)) {
    matKernel <- K((matrix(rep(X, nPts), ncol = n, byrow = TRUE) - x) / h[i])
    kernels <- append(kernels, list((1/(n*h[i])) * apply(matKernel, 1, sum)))
  }
}
```

```

# If h is scalar return just a matrix with the kernels
# If h is a vector return a list with multiple kernels, their x and h values
if(length(h) == 1) {
  return(kernels[[1]])
} else {
  return(list(x = x, h = h, kernels = kernels))
}
}

```

```

fnKernelPlot <- function(listKernels, title = NULL, data = NULL) {
  # This function plots the kernel density estimates for a given kernel list
  #
  # Args:
  #   listKernels: list of kernels calculated with fnKernelDensityEst
  #   title: main title of plot
  #
  # Returns:
  #   -

  # Save number of kernels (each with a different bandwidth)
  nKernels <- length(listKernels$kernels)

  # Create palette depending on size of list
  cols <- rainbow(nKernels, alpha = 1)

  # Plot theoretical density of histogram (if data is available)
  if(is.null(data)) {
    plot(listKernels$x, dnorm(listKernels$x), type = "l",
         main = title, xlab = "x", ylab = "Density", ylim = c(0,0.6))
  } else {
    plot(density(data), main = title, xlab = "x",
         ylab = "Density", ylim = c(0,0.6))
  }

  # Print kernels
  for(i in 1:nKernels) {
    lines(listKernels$x, listKernels$kernels[[i]], col = cols[i])
  }

  # Create and add legend
  dlegend <- ifelse(is.null(data), "True density", "R density()")
  hlegend <- sapply(listKernels$h, function(x) {x <- paste("h = ", x)})
  legend("topright", legend = c(dlegend, hlegend),
        col = c("black", cols), lty = 1, cex = 0.75)
}

```

```

# Define different smoothing kernels

```

```

fnRectangularKernel <- function(x) {
  return(0.5 * (abs(x) <= 1))
}

```

```

fnGaussianKernel <- function(x) {

```

```

    return((1/sqrt(2*pi)) * exp((-0.5) * x^2))
  }

fnEpanechnikovKernel <- function(x) {
  return(0.75 * (1 - x^2) * (abs(x) <= 1))
}

```

2.1.1 Anwendung auf standardnormalverteilte Daten

```


# Sample size and generate sample
set.seed(42)
n <- 30
X <- rnorm(n)

# Define points to estimate kernel density on
x <- seq(-4, 4, 0.01)

# Set different bandwidths
#h <- c(0.1, 1, 10)
h <- c(0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2.5, 5)


# Rectangular kernel density estimation
fnKernelPlot(fnKernelDensityEst(x, X, K = fnRectangularKernel, h),
             title = "Rectangular Kernel Density Estimation")

```




```
# Gaussian kernel density estimation
fnKernelPlot(fnKernelDensityEst(x, X, K = fnGaussianKernel, h),
             title = "Gaussian Kernel Density Estimation")
```

Gaussian Kernel Density Estimation



```
# Epanechnikov kernel density estimation
fnKernelPlot(fnKernelDensityEst(x, X, K = fnEpanechnikovKernel, h),
             title = "Epanechnikov Kernel Density Estimation")
```

Epanechnikov Kernel Density Estimation




2.1.2 Anwendung auf faithful Datensatz

```
# Faithful kernel density estimation
data <- faithful$eruptions
x <- seq(min(data), max(data), 0.01)

fnKernelPlot(fnKernelDensityEst(x, data, K = fnGaussianKernel, h),
             title = "Gaussian Kernel Density Estimation for faithful eruption data",
             data = data)
```


Gaussian Kernel Density Estimation for faithful eruption data



2.2 Kreuzvalidierung zur Bandweitenwahl

```
fnG <- function(x, X, K, h) {  
  # This function computes the function G for given bandwidth  
  #  
  # Args:  
  #   x: Points for which the kernel density estimates are computed  
  #   X: Data sample on which the kernel density estimation is fitted  
  #   K: Kernel function to be used for smoothing  
  #   h: Smoothing Bandwidth  
  #  
  # Returns:  
  #   Result of function G  
  
  # Get number of points and sample size  
  nPts <- length(x)  
  n <- length(X)  
  
  G <- 0  
  for(j in 1:nPts) {  
    fj <- 0  
    for(k in 1:n) {  
      if(k != j) {  
        fj <- fj + K((X[k] - X[j]) / h)  
      }  
    }  
    G <- G + fj/((n-1)*h)  
  }  
}
```

```

    }
    return(G/n)
}

fnJ <- function(x, X, h) {
  # Calculates J for given bandwidth
  G <- fnG(x, X, fnGaussianKernel, h)
  f <- fnKernelDensityEst(x, X, fnGaussianKernel, h)
  return(sum(f^2) - (2*G))
}

X <- rnorm(30)
x <- seq(-4, 4, 0.01)
vech <- c(seq(0.1,0.9,0.1), seq(1,10,1))

vecJ <- NULL
for(h in vech) {
  vecJ <- c(vecJ, fnJ(x, X, h))
}
vech[which.min(vecJ)]

## [1] 10

```

3 Bildentrauschen

```

# Load image
imgColor = readImage("Block2/lena.png")

# Display colored image
par(mfrow = c(1,2))
display(imgColor, method="raster")

# Change image to grayscale
img<- channel(imgColor, "gray")

# Display grayscaled image
display(img, method="raster")

# Add noise to image
sigma <- 0.25
imgNoise <- img + rnorm(512*512, 0, sigma)

# Adjust values below 0 and above 1
imgNoise[imgNoise < 0] = 0
imgNoise[imgNoise > 1] = 1

# Display image with noise
par(mfrow = c(1,1))
display(imgNoise, method="raster")

```