HAB (Harmful Algal Bloom) Detection System

COMP47250 Team Software Project Final Report

Daniel Ilyin
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
daniel.ilyin@ucdconnect.ie

Sagar Satish Poojary
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
email address @ucdconnect.ie

Dharmik Arvind Vara
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
email address @ucdconnect.ie

Karthika Garikapati
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
email address @ucdconnect.ie

Kruthi Jangam
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
email address @ucdconnect.ie

Roshan Palem
School of Computer Science, UCD
Dublin, Ireland
email address @ucdconnect.ie

Abstract— Index Terms—

I. INTRODUCTION

II. USER STORIES AND SCENARIOS

- A. Marine Biologists and Environmental Agencies
- B. Aquaculture Operators
- C. Public Health Officials

III. STATE OF THE ART AND RELATED WORK

- A. Traditional HAB Detection Methods
- B. Remote Sensing Approaches
- C. Machine Learning in Environmental Monitoring
- D. Spatiotemporal Analysis Methods
- E. Comparison with Existing Systems

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

- A. System Architecture Overview
- B. Data Collection and Preprocessing Pipeline
 - 1) Ground Truth Data Sources:
 - 2) Remote Sensing Data Acquisition:
 - 3) Data Preprocessing and Quality Control:
- C. Datacube Construction
 - 1) Spatiotemporal Window Definition:
 - 2) Modality Selection and Integration:
 - 3) Sparse Data Handling:
- D. Machine Learning Architecture
 - 1) CNN Feature Extraction:
 - 2) Temporal Classification Methods:
 - 3) Model Training and Validation:
- E. Web-Based Dashboard
 - 1) Frontend Implementation:
 - 2) Real-time Data Integration:
 - 3) Visualization Components:

- F. Cloud Infrastructure and Deployment
 - 1) AWS Architecture:
 - 2) MLOps Pipeline:
 - 3) Scalability and Performance Optimization:

V. EVALUATION AND RESULTS

- A. Dataset Description
- B. Experimental Setup
 - 1) Cross-Validation Strategy:
 - 2) Performance Metrics:
 - 3) Baseline Comparisons:
- C. Detection Performance
 - 1) Classification Results:
 - 2) Feature Importance Analysis:
 - 3) Comparison with Traditional Methods:
- D. Prediction Performance
 - 1) Temporal Forecasting Results:
 - 2) Prediction Horizon Analysis:
- E. System Performance Evaluation
 - 1) Scalability Testing:
 - 2) Response Time Analysis:
 - 3) Resource Utilization:
- F. User Evaluation
 - 1) User Study Design:
 - 2) Usability Assessment:
 - 3) User Feedback and Insights:
- G. Technical Evaluation
 - 1) Model Performance Analysis:
 - 2) Infrastructure Performance:
 - 3) System Reliability:

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

- A. Summary of Achievements
- B. Key Technical Contributions
- C. Limitations and Challenges
- D. Future Directions
 - 1) Model Improvements:
 - 2) Data Enhancement:
 - 3) System Extensions:
- E. Impact and Applications

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word "acknowledgment" in America is without an "e" after the "g". Avoid the stilted expression "one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...". Instead, try "R. B. G. thanks...". Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

REFERENCES

- G. Eason, B. Noble, and I. N. Sneddon, "On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions," Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, vol. A247, pp. 529–551, April 1955.
- [2] J. Clerk Maxwell, A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, 3rd ed., vol. 2. Oxford: Clarendon, 1892, pp.68–73.