

Problem 1:

$$O(1), \text{ if } n = 1$$

a) $T(n) \leq 2T(\text{floor}(n/2)) + O(1), \text{ for } n \geq 2$

b) Using telescoping we can find the bound for $T(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq 2T(n/2) + d \\ &\leq 2(2T(n/4) + d) + d \\ &\leq 4T(n/4) + 3d \\ &\leq 4(2T(n/8) + d) + 3d \\ &\leq 8T(n/8) + 7d \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq 2^k T(n/2^k) + (2^k - 1)d \end{aligned}$$

It will go on till $2^k = n$, so that $T(n/2^k)$ for $n = 2^k$ is $O(1)$

$$\equiv k = \log n$$

Hence, $T(n) \leq n + (n - 1)d$

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

- c) l streak = maximum consecutive occurrences of any element in left array (0-middle)
 r streak = maximum consecutive occurrences of any element in right array (middle+1, n-1)
 max streak = maximum consecutive occurrences of any element in whole array

Problem 2:

a) $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n^2$
 As per Master's Theorem,
 $a = 4$, $b = 2$, $f(n) = n^2$
 $\log_2 4 = 2$, hence $n^{\log_b a} = n^2$
 n^2 vs $f(n) = n^2$
 As, $f(n) = \theta(n^{\log_b a})$, so case 2 of theorem holds.
 Hence, $T(n) = \theta(n^2 \log n)$.

b) $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \sqrt{n}$

As per Master's Theorem,

$a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = \sqrt{n}$

$\log_2 2 = 1$, hence $n^{\log_b a} = n$

n vs $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$

As, $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$, so case 1 of theorem holds .

Hence, $T(n) = \theta(n)$.

c) $T(n) = 7T(n/3) + n^2$

As per Master's Theorem,

$a = 7, b = 3, f(n) = n^2$

$\log_3 7 = 1.77$, hence $n^{\log_b a} = n^{1.77}$

$n^{1.77}$ vs $f(n) = n^2$

As, $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$, so case 3 of theorem holds .

Hence, $T(n) = \theta(f(n)) = \theta(n^2)$.

d) $T(n) = 2T(n/8) + n^{1/3}$

As per Master's Theorem,

$a = 2, b = 8, f(n) = n^{1/3}$

$\log_8 2 = 1/3$, hence $n^{\log_b a} = n^{1/3}$

$n^{1/3}$ vs $f(n) = n^{1/3}$

As, $f(n) = \theta(n^{\log_b a})$, so case 2 of theorem holds .

Hence, $T(n) = \theta(n^{1/3} \log n)$.

Problem 3:

Algorithm: For this problem, number of swaps required to bring entire class in order can be thought of as problem to count number of inversions. For this we use merge sort.

- 1) We first sort the array based on age of the persons using merge sort. This takes $O(n \log n)$ and returns the swaps needed to bring them in order.

After step -1, the array is now sorted with teacher in middle and 7 years old on left side of teacher and 8 years old on right side of teacher.

- 2) We now divide the array into two parts: 1) array containing 7 year old students
2) array containing 8 year old student.

And apply merge sort on both arrays individually to sort 7 years in ascending order of their height and 8 year old in descending order of their height.

This takes $2O(n \log n)$

- 3) And then we finally merge these two arrays in $O(n)$

Complexity Analysis:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Time complexity} &= O(n \log n) + 2O(n \log n) + O(n) \\ &= O(n \log n)\end{aligned}$$

Proof of correctness:

This problem can be thought of as a counting inversion problem which states how far is the array from being sorted. This is solved using merge sort and counting the swaps required to sort the array which takes $O(n \log n)$

Here, we also apply merge sort in a sequential way to count the number of swaps and outputs them.

Problem 4:

For the problem of finding max number of pair of points that can be aligned by a line, we first try to find a line between all sets of points by finding the slope and the intercept. That operation is $O(n^2)$ operation because for n pair of points there are n^2 lines that can be drawn between them. Then we sort those lines using merge sort which is $O(n^2 \log n)$ operation as the size of data becomes n^2 . So that is $O(n^2 \log n^2)$ which is nothing but $O(2n^2 \log n)$ which is $O(n^2 \log n)$. Then we traverse through the data and find the common line with the max points which get aligned. This operation is again $O(n^2)$. So the total cost of running the algorithm is $O(n^2 \log n) + O(n^2) + O(n^2)$. As $O(n^2 \log n)$ is the dominating term the complexity of our algorithm is $O(n^2 \log n)$.

Problem 5

Algorithm: For this problem, We use k-select algorithm to solve our problem. We find pivot element using Improved Select algorithm.

- 1) We find the pivot element by dividing the array into groups of 5 and then come up with a pivot element. This step takes $O(n)$.
- 2) We then apply k-select algorithm and divide the array into 3 parts, large, equal, and smaller array based on pivot element.
- 3) If the equal array has $n/2$ or $n/3$ elements respectively, we terminate the algorithm with YES else we repeat step 1, 2 and 3 on large array or small array depending on the length of these two arrays, i.e. if their length is $> n/2$ or $n/3$.

Complexity Analysis:

The above algorithm is same as improved k-select algorithm which has complexity of $O(n)$. Our algorithm also does the same thing, except the conditions on which it recurse is different.

$$\text{Total Time complexity} = O(n)$$