

**PEER GROUP EVALUATION**  
**Milestone 2**

Your Name     Sagarkumar Vaghasia     Group     GOLD    

**Don't base your evaluations on friendship or personality conflicts. Your input can be a valuable indicator to help assess contributions in a fair manner. Also, be respectful.**

**I. Names of your group members (including yourself as "a").** (The student's name corresponds to the letter when filling out responses)

a. You: Sagarkumar Vaghasia

b. Dharmay Sureja

c. Radhey Rupapara

d. Jayashree Ramasubramanian

e. Tejaswini Rallapalli

**Group Work Performance for this part of the Project**

**II. Rate each member** (the **a,b,c,d,e** above) for the following attributes with a **1, 2, 3, 4, or 5** where

1=Strongly agree, 2=Agree, 3=Neutral, 4=Disagree and 5=Strongly disagree

1. Shows up on time to meetings a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 3 e. 3

2. Reliable with attending meetings/responding to emails or other group communications  
a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 2 e. 2

3. Reliable with meeting internal team deadlines for feedback/work products.  
a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 2 e. 2

4. Contributes ideas to the group/participates in discussions a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 4 e. 4

5. Respects each group member's opinions a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 1 e. 1

6. Listens to each group member's opinions a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 1 e. 1

7. Works hard at ensuring a quality submission a. 1 b. 1 c. 1 d. 3 e. 2

**2. If your group was paid \$100 for this part of the project, how would you distribute the funds (this does not have to be equal amongst your group – it should be based on how much work you think each member did)?**

**5 Member groups:**

a. 25 b. 25 c. 25 d. 10 e. 15

**Other notes:**