# BarnebyLives: an R package to create herbarium specimen labels and clean spreadsheets

Reed Clark Benkendorf<sup>1</sup>\*, Jeremie B. Fant<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chicago Botanic Garden, 1000 Lake Cook Road, Glencoe, Illinois 60022, USA
<sup>2</sup>Plant Biology and Conservation, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

4 Abstract

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

**Premise:** Depositing specimens to herbaria is a time consuming task. Many institutions have reduced the amount of funding for herbaria, and universities have reduced the amount of education dedicated to curatorial tasks and specimen deposition. Despite this, the continual generation of herbaria specimens are essential for current and future research in evolution and ecology. In order to faciliate the continued growth of herbaria BarnebyLives was developed as tool to supplement collection notes, perform geographic and, taxonomic informatic processes, enact spell checks, produce labels, and submit digital data.

Methods and Results: BarnebyLives uses geospatial data from the U.S. Census Bureau to provide political jurisdiction information, and data from other sources, including the United States Geological Survey, to supplement collection notes by providing information on abiotic site conditions. It uses inhouse spell checks to verify the spelling of a collection at all taxonomic ranks, the IPNI standard author database to check standard author abbreviations, and the Royal Botanic Garden Kews 'Plants of the World Online' to check for nomenclatural innovations. Optionally the package writes driving directions to sites using Google Maps. Finally the package outputs data in a tabular format for review by the user to accept or confirm changes,


Conclusions: BarnebyLives provides accurate political and physical information, reduces typos, provides users the most current taxonomic opinions, generates driving directions to sites, and produces aesthetically appealing labels and shipping manifests in a matter of minutes.

- Nearly 400 million specimens are housed in herbaria around the world (Thiers (2021)). These specimens,
- 23 collected with the goal of describing the plant kingdoms taxonomic diversity, and documenting the worlds
- 24 floristic diversity, have found myriad new applications in several adjacent fields such as conservation biology

<sup>\*</sup>Author for Correspondence: rbenkendorf@chicagobotanic.org

- and ecology (Greve et al. (2016), James et al. (2018), Brewer et al. (2019), Rønsted et al. (2020)). However,
- The rate of accessioning new collections to herbaria diminished in the 20th century as priorities in biology
- 27 shifted away from describing, documenting, and understanding earths diversity towards understanding cellular
- processes (Prather et al. (2004), Pyke and Ehrlich (2010), Daru et al. (2018)). Which, among other factors,
- 29 lead to a decline in the amount of funding allocated to collections based research, and the number of staff
- maintaining and accessioning new collections (Funk (2014)). Fortunately, renewed interest in collections have
- brought herbaria of all sizes back to the forefront of plant sciences (Rønsted et al. (2020), Marsico et al.
- 32 (2020)).
- <sup>33</sup> In fact recent innovations in computing, specimen digitization, data sharing, DNA sequencing, and statistics
- have likely brought about greater use of herbarium specimens than ever before (Greve et al. (2016), James
- et al. (2018), Brewer et al. (2019), Rønsted et al. (2020)). These current uses of specimen based data
- extend far beyond their traditional roles in systematics and floristics, and studies utilizing collections are
- 37 regularly carried out to better understand the ecological niches, phenological processes, and interactions
- of plants (Rønsted et al. (2020)). Further we anticipate that collections will gain their most widespread
- 39 utilization as natural history is being revitalized in ecology, via novel approaches, such as remote sensing,
- 40 meta-barcoding, community science, and electronic sensing (Tosa et al. (2021)). Even within systematics,
- the ability to collect and analyze micro-morphological data has fostered revisionary studies in many groups
- 42 providing synapomorphies which can be correlated to molecular results to improve the interpretation of
- 43 monophyletic clades.
- 44 However, while there is recognized need for more specimens the skills of collecting and processing specimens,
- 45 and time allocated for collecting, have declined among young persons (Daru et al. (2018), Mishler et al.
- 46 (2020)). The submittal of specimens to herbaria is a, well documented albeit time consuming process,
- especially for younger collectors with limited experience.
- While many young collectors, who are capable of using dichotomous keys to reliably identify their collections,
- 49 exist we have observed that they face difficulties navigating several aspects of data collection and preparing
- 50 specimens for herbaria. This scenario results in not only the delay in the deposition of many specimens, but
- undoubtedly in a failure for some specimens to ever be accessioned at all. Problems which young collectors
- face generally include both the lack of dedicated time awarded to them at a seasons end to process specimens,
- <sub>53</sub> and a general lack of formal education on cartography, natural history, taxonomy, and plant systematics.
- The successful generation of an herbarium specimen includes many steps which are easy to take for granted.
- 55 For example, while the acquisition of political information for a collection site appears simple, it is only
- 56 so if the collector has the adequate resources at their disposal. Given the association of boundaries with

- topographically complex areas (e.g. watersheds) it often requires topographic maps, which are no longer
- 58 widespread resulting in many having difficulties interpreting them, or transcription of coordinates into a
- 59 Geographic Information System (e.g. ArcMap, which is relatively expensive at 100\$ year), or more likely
- 60 Google Maps by individual site a time consuming process. This lack of topographic maps compounds the
- 61 issues of young collectors being unable to come up with appropriate site names.
- <sub>62</sub> Further issues relate to the typical problems of nomenclature, to wit the pace at which taxonomic innovations
- 63 are now made and which many persons of all skill levels find difficult to keep up with...



Here we provide a description of the BarnebyLives R package. BarnebyLives was named for plant taxonomist Rupert Charles Barneby (1911-2000), whom published over 6,500 pages of text, described over 750 taxa, and is notable for balancing his studies at the William & Lynda Steere Herbarium at the New York Botanical Garden with annual collection trips in Western North America from 1937-1970, and sporadically until his passing (Welsh (2001)). Select accolades of Rupert include the 1989 Asa Gray Award from the American Society of Plant Taxonomists (ASPT), the 1991 Engler Silver Medal from the International Association of Plant Taxonomists (IAPT), as well as being one of eight recipients of the International Botanical Congress's (IBC) Millennium Botany Award (1999) (Welsh (2001)).

#### METHODS AND RESULTS

#### Usage

All steps of BarnebyLives except for label generation are run from within Rstudio. Data may be read in from any common spreadsheet management system or database connection such as Excel, Libre-Office, OpenOffice, or via the cloud on Googlesheets. The latter two options are documented here and in package vignettes, detailed descriptions of the required and suggested input columns are located on the Github page (https://github.com/sagesteppe/BarnebyLives 'Input Data Column Names') and over 100 real-world examples are on

a Google Sheets accessible from the page. BarnebyLives is atypical of R packages in that it requires a considerable amount of data to operate (Table 1). Virtually all of the on-disk memory associated with these data are for storing geo-spatial information, setting up a local instance of the program - at whichever scale a user desires (see Figure XX) is available in the package documentation. Functions which require the on-disk data require a path to the data as an argument. Manually supplying the argument allows for the users to judiciously decide a storage location suitable for there needs.

We anticipate most personal BarnebyLives instances will be less than several gigabytes, and the processing takes relatively little RAM, hence we believe installations can work on hardware as small as Chromebooks, and have the data stored entirely on thumb-drives.

The final steps of Barnebylives, generating the labels require working installations of Rmarkdown, a LaTeX installation (e.g. pdflatex, lualatex, xelatex), and the open source command line tools pdfjam and pdftk.

While these steps are run through bash, we have wrapped them in a R functions which bypass the need to enter the commands to a terminal. Several commands in BarnebyLives require the output from previous functions, and a workflow which satisfies these requirements is presented in Figure 1.

#### 105 Herbarium Collections

87

91

92

93

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

The package was finalized using the primary authors collections from 2023. The testing of the package within this manuscript was performed using a subset of their collections from 2018-2022, all of which are un-accessioned. Only collections which had identifications to the level of species or lower, and transcribed collection dates and coordinates were used. This results in a data set of 819 records for testing, from 204 sites located across Western North America (Figure 2). In total 615 species (with 557 sets of authors), with 66 infraspecies (22 authors) in 73 families were used for testing.

BarnebyLives took roughly seven minutes (392.664s) to run all local steps, and roughly ten minutes (605.025s) to search Plants of the World Online, and 78.785s to search Google Maps and write directions



Figure 2: The spatial extent (orange), and herbarium collection sites (burgundy) tested in this manuscript.

to sites. Most of the local run time is attributable to the spatial (spatial: 162.376s), and taxonomic operations (228.774s), style: 1.514s.

The spell check operation of the scientific name accounted for nearly all of the time (228.683s) spent performing local taxonomic operations. The generation of labels consumed around seven minutes (424.042s) for the rendering, 50.54s to combine individual labels four per single sheet of landscape orientated paper, and 2.97s to combine the 205 sheets to a single Portable Document Format (PDF).

#### 126 Results

#### 127 ## named character(0)

Even on data which had been manually cleaned and error-checked by 128 a human several times BarnebyLives was able to reduce transcription 129 errors, identify typos, make nomenclature suggestions, and reformat 130 text elements for downstream use. While no families were misspelled, BL made 20 suggestions on naming, 10 manually entered typos 132 were found, it identified 2 where an incorrect family was entered, and 1 instance of an outdated circumscription applied. BL flagged 134 6 records where the author follows an alternative taxonomy, and flagged 1 record in error. The number of flagged genera were XX (% 136 percent), XX of these were misspelled, XX were outdated, XX 137 BL was able to identify 41 misspellings ... BL made 20 suggestions on naming, where an incorrect family was entered, and 34 instance 139 of an outdated circumscription applied. BL flagged 6 records where the author follows an alternative taxonomy, and flagged 1 record in 141 error. 142

Excluding the previous records at the level of genus, BL flagged 75 binomials. Not all genera which where flagged in the previous step were identified in the last check, as BL may search for an epithet with a genus which was more similar to the non-target genus, and for which a binomial does not exist.

The number of author abbreviations which were not in the appropriate format were XX (% percent), in nearly all cases the presence or absence of a period were the issue. Plants of the World Online was able to identify XX new names for the submitted taxa, XX of which the author adopted. 5 records were appropriately flagged for issues with auto fill increment of the longitude value, and 3 records were also auto-flagged for increases in latitude values (% of records).

# 155 CONCLUSIONS

BarnebyLives is a tool which is able to rapidly acquire relevant geographic, and taxonomic data. It is also capable of performing specialized spell checks, and assorted curatorial tasks to produce both digital and analog data. The package relies on no licensed Software, such as the Microsoft suite, and is suitable for install on all major operating systems (Windows, Mac, Linux), with a small

Variable	Usage	Source	Name	Data Model	Siz (Gil
County	Political	US Census Bureau	Counties	Vector	0.07
State			States		0.0
Ownership		US Geological Survey	Protected Areas Database		0.43
TRS			Public Land Survey System		0.8
Place Names	Site Name		Geographic Names Information System		0.08
Mountains	Site Name	EarthEnv	GMBA Mountain Inventory v2		0.00
Elevation	Site Characteristics	Open Topography	Geomorpho90m - Elevation	Raster	4.2
Slope			Geomorpho90 - Slope		4.6
Aspect			Geomorpho90m - Aspect		4.1
Geomorphons			Geomorpho90m - Geomorphons		0.45
Surficial Geology		US Geological Survey	State Geologic Map Compilation	Vector	0.70
axonomic Spellings	Spell Checks	World Flora Online	World Flora Online	Text	0.00
Author Abbreviations		IPNI	International Plant Names Index		0.00

amount of use of the command line, which may be called from the Rstudio rather than a 'traditional' terminal. Figure 3: Sources of Data required for operations

# 64 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- $_{165}$   $\,$  The project was conceptualized by R.C.B. The program
- was written by R.C.B. Data collection and analysis were
- performed by R.C.B. R.C.B. wrote the manuscript with
- input from all other authors. All authors approved the
- 169 final version of the manuscript.

# 170 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 171 The Bureau of Land Management are graciously acknowl-
- edged as providers of funding to R.C.B for the majority
- of his specimen collection activities. Two anonymous peer
- 174 reviewers who increased the quality of this manuscript are
- thanked. Several prominent associated collectors of spec-
- imens used in this study are thanked: Dani Yashinovitz,
- Dakota Becerra, Hannah Lovell, Caitlin Miller & Hubert
- 178 Szczygiel.

# 179 DATA AVAILABILITY STATE-

# $\mathbf{MENT}$

- The BarnebyLives R package is open source, the devel-
- opment version is available on GitHub (https://github
- .com/sagesteppe/BarnebyLives), and the stable version
- 184 is available on CRAN. The package includes three real
- use-case vignettes (tutorials) on usage. One vignette "set-
- ting up files" explores setting up a instance for a certain
- geographic area. Another vignette "running pipeline"
- showcases the usage of the package for processing data entered on a spreadsheet. A final vignette "creat-
- ing\_labels" shows the usage of an R, and Bash script launched from RStudio to produce print-ready labels.
- All data used in this mansucript are available at: https://github.com/sagesteppe/Barneby\_Lives\_dev/manu
- 191 script

# ORCID

- <sup>193</sup> Reed Benkendorf https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3110-6687
- <sup>194</sup> Jeremie Fant https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9276-1111

# 195 REFERENCES

- Brewer, G. E., J. J. Clarkson, O. Maurin, A. R. Zuntini, V.
- 197 Barber, S. Bellot, N. Biggs, et al. 2019. Factors affecting
- targeted sequencing of 353 nuclear genes from herbarium speci-
- mens spanning the diversity of angiosperms. Frontiers in plant
- 200 science 10: 1102.
- Daru, B. H., D. S. Park, R. B. Primack, C. G. Willis, D.
- 202 S. Barrington, T. J. Whitfeld, T. G. Seidler, et al. 2018.
- 203 Widespread sampling biases in herbaria revealed from large-
- $_{\rm 204}~$  scale digitization. New Phytologist 217: 939–955.
- Funk, V. A. 2014. The erosion of collections-based science:
- Alarming trend or coincidence. The Plant Press 17: 1–13.
- Greve, M., A. M. Lykke, C. W. Fagg, R. E. Gereau, G. P.
- Lewis, R. Marchant, A. R. Marshall, et al. 2016. Realising the

- 209 potential of herbarium records for conservation biology. South
- 210 African Journal of Botany 105: 317-323.
- James, S. A., P. S. Soltis, L. Belbin, A. D. Chapman, G. Nelson,
- 212 D. L. Paul, and M. Collins. 2018. Herbarium data: Global
- 213 biodiversity and societal botanical needs for novel research.
- 214 Applications in plant sciences 6: e1024.
- Marsico, T. D., E. R. Krimmel, J. R. Carter, E. L. Gillespie,
- 216 P. D. Lowe, R. McCauley, A. B. Morris, et al. 2020. Small
- 217 herbaria contribute unique biogeographic records to county,
- 218 locality, and temporal scales. American journal of botany 107:
- 219 1577-1587.
- 220 Mishler, B. D., R. Guralnick, P. S. Soltis, S. A. Smith, D.
- 221 E. Soltis, N. Barve, J. M. Allen, and S. W. Laffan. 2020.
- 222 Spatial phylogenetics of the north american flora. Journal of
- 223 Systematics and Evolution 58: 393-405.
- 224 Prather, L. A., O. Alvarez-Fuentes, M. H. Mayfield, and C. J.
- Ferguson. 2004. The decline of plant collecting in the united
- 226 states: A threat to the infrastructure of biodiversity studies.
- 227 Systematic Botany 29: 15–28.
- 228 Pyke, G. H., and P. R. Ehrlich. 2010. Biological collections and
- 229 ecological/environmental research: A review, some observations
- $_{230}$   $\,$  and a look to the future. Biological reviews 85: 247–266.
- Rønsted, N., O. M. Grace, and M. A. Carine. 2020. Integrative
- 232 and translational uses of herbarium collections across time,
- space, and species. Frontiers in Plant Science 11: 1319.
- Thiers, B. M. 2021. The world's herbaria 2021: A summary
- 235 report based on data from index herbarium.
- <sup>236</sup> Tosa, M. I., E. H. Dziedzic, C. L. Appel, J. Urbina, A. Massey,
- J. Ruprecht, C. E. Eriksson, et al. 2021. The rapid rise of next-
- generation natural history. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution
- 9: 698131.
- <sup>240</sup> Welsh, S. L. 2001. Rupert c. Barneby (1911-2000). Taxon.

# **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

- $_{\rm 242}$   $\,$  Additional supporting information can be found online in the
- $_{\rm 243}$   $\,$  Supporting Information section at the end of this article.
- 244 **Appendix S1.** A table of all time trials for each function.