Computer Science Mentors 70

Prepared by: Aishani Sil, Austin Lei, Agnibho Roy, Debayan Bandyopadhyay, Abinav Routhu

1 CRT

1. Suppose we have a number v, which we do not know, but which satisfies the following system of modular equivalences. The numbers n, l, and m are coprime to each other.

$$v \equiv a \mod \ell$$

 $v \equiv b \mod m$
 $v \equiv c \mod n$

We want to use the numbers a, b, and c, which we do know, to reconstruct v.

Just for this worksheet, we will compactly write the system of modular equivalences as a tuple, for example, $v \equiv (a, b, c)$.

(a) Construct a number x' which is zero mod m and mod n, but is nonzero mod ℓ .

Solution: A number which is zero mod m and mod n must be a multiple of m and n. We can choose x' = mn. Because m and n share no factors with ℓ , x' = mn is not a multiple of ℓ , so it is nonzero mod ℓ .

(b) Using x' from the previous part, construct a number x which is still zero mod m and mod n, but is now 1 mod ℓ . In other words, find $x \equiv (1,0,0)$.

Solution: Because m and n are coprime to ℓ , x' = mn has a multiplicative inverse mod ℓ . If we multiply x' by the inverse of mn, we can scale x' to be 1 mod ℓ . In symbols, $x = ((mn)^{-1} \mod \ell)x' \equiv 1 \mod \ell$. Because it is still a multiple of m and of n, it will still be zero in those moduli.

(c) We want to do the same with the other two moduli. Find $y \equiv (0, 1, 0)$ and $z \equiv (0, 0, 1)$.

Solution: Following the same process, $y = \ell n((\ell n)^{-1} \mod m)$, and $z = \ell m((\ell m)^{-1} \mod n)$.

(d) Using the numbers x, y, z above, construct numbers $x'' \equiv (a, 0, 0), y'' \equiv (0, b, 0), z'' \equiv (0, 0, c)$.

Solution: Consider x'' = ax, y'' = by, z'' = cz. Without loss of generality, $x' \equiv (a,0,0)$ because $ax = a(1,0,0) = (1a,0a,0a) \equiv (a,0,0)$. Using the same logic, y'' and z'' also satisfy their respective congruences.

(e) Using the numbers x, y, and z above, construct a number v which satisfies our system of modular equivalences. Is this the only number v that satisfies this system of equivalences? Why or why not?

Solution: Consider v = x'' + y'' + z'' (which also equals ax + by + cz). We've scaled up the relevant bit in each modulus and added them together. The amount that we scale x by has no effect on the equivalence mod m and mod n, since c0 = 0 for any c, but allows us to match the condition $v \equiv a \mod \ell$. This is not the only solution, as we can add multiples of ℓmn to our solution and still satisfy the above equivalences.

(f) If two numbers v and w both satisfy the system of modular equivalences, meaning $v \equiv (a, b, c) \equiv w$, show that $v \equiv w \mod \ell mn$.

Solution: Consider the number v-w. Because v and w are the same under the three different modular equivalences, subtracting them will just give zero under each modulus. Now we use the procedure above to reconstruct v-w=(0,0,0). This gives v-w=0 and v-w=0 is a valid solution to this system of equivalences, but from our previous answer, we know that any multiple of v-w=0 can be added to this. Thus, v-w=0 mod v-w=0 m

2. The supermarket has a lot of eggs, but the manager is not sure exactly how many he has. When he splits the eggs into groups of 5, there are exactly 3 left. When he splits the eggs into groups of 11, there are 6 left. What is the minimum number of eggs at the supermarket?

Solution: We have that $x \equiv 3 \mod 5$ and $x \equiv 6 \mod 11$. We can use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve for x. Recall from the note on modular arithmetic, the solution to x is defined as $x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i\right) \mod N$, where b_i are defined as $\left(\frac{N}{n_i}\right) \left(\left(\frac{N}{n_i}\right)^{-1} \mod n_i\right)$ and $N = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot n_k$ is the product of the moduli.

In our case, $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 6$, $n_1 = 5$ and $n_2 = 11$. First find the b_i :

$$b_1 = \left(\frac{55}{5}\right) \left(\left(\frac{55}{5}\right)^{-1} \mod 5\right) = 11 \cdot \left(11^{-1} \mod 5\right) = 11 \cdot 1 = 11$$

$$b_2 = \left(\frac{55}{11}\right) \left(\left(\frac{55}{11}\right)^{-1} \mod 11\right) = 5 \cdot \left(5^{-1} \mod 11\right) = 5 \cdot 9 = 45$$

Therefore, $x \equiv a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 \equiv 3 \cdot 11 + 6 \cdot 45 \pmod{55} \equiv 28 \pmod{55}$.

You can quickly verify that 28 indeed satisfies both conditions.

3. The numbers 124, 125, 126 are special, because they form a sequence of three consecutive numbers which are all divisible by square numbers greater than 1 (124 is divisible by 4, 125 divisible by 25, and 126 divisible by 9). Show that there exists a sequence of 100 consecutive numbers, all of which are divisible by a square number greater than 1.

Solution: By Chinese remainder theorem, there exists a number n such that n is $0 \mod 4$, $1 \mod 9$, $2 \mod 25$, ..., $(100-1) \mod (100$ th prime number)² (Note that all the moduli are relatively prime). Then n-1 is divisible by 9, n-2 is divisible by 16, ..., n-99 is divisible by (100th prime number)². This forms a sequence of 100 consecutive numbers, all of which are divisible by a square number greater than 1.

2 RSA

1. RSA-BC123 [Practice Bank]

Bob would like to receive messages from Alice via RSA.

(a) He chooses 2 primes, p = 7 and q = 11. What is N?

Solution:
$$N = pq = (7)(11) = 77$$

(b) He chooses e = 7. To what number is e relatively prime?

Solution: *e* must be relatively prime to (p-1)(q-1)=60.

(c) Calculate d.

Solution:
$$d = e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1) = 7^{-1} \mod 60 = 43$$

(d) Imagine Alice wants the send Bob a message x=30. She applies her encryption function E(x) to 30. What is the encrypted message x'?

Solution:
$$E(x) = x^e \mod N \to 30^7 \mod 77 = 2$$

(e) What is the result of Bob applying his decryption function D(x')?

Solution:
$$D(x') = (x')^d \mod 77 = 2^{43} \mod 77 = 30$$

2. RSA T/F [Practice Bank]

(a) Bob has to publish his key (N, e) to get encrypted messages from Alice.

Solution: True. This is the public key.

(b) Alice needs to know Bob's key d to send encrypted messages to Bob.

Solution: False. This is the private key. Anyone with this value can decrypt messages.

(c) The security of RSA relies on the "computation intractability" of determining x from $y = x^e$ even when y and e are known.

Solution: True. Factoring is hard.

(d) $E(x) = x^e \mod N$ is a bijection on GF(N).

Solution: True.

3. Prove the correctness of RSA.

Hint: Proving RSA amounts to showing that given $d = e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1)$:

$$(x^e)^d = x \mod N$$
 $\forall x \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., N-1\}$

Solution:

$$(x^e)^d = x \mod N \qquad \forall x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$$
$$(x^e)^d - x = x^{1+(p-1)(q-1)k} - x \mod N$$
$$= x(x^{(p-1)(q-1)k} - 1) \mod N$$

Now, we will show that the last expression is divisible by both p and q by FLT.

Case 1: $x \mod p = 0$:

$$x(x^{(p-1)(q-1)k}-1)=0(0^{(p-1)(q-1)k}-1)=0 \mod p$$

Case 2: $x \mod p \neq 0$:

$$x(x^{(p-1)(q-1)k} - 1) = x((x^{(p-1)})^{(q-1)k} - 1) \bmod p$$
$$x(1-1) = 0 \bmod p$$

A symmetric argument works for q. If the expression is divisible by both p and q, then it is divisible by pq = N. Thus,

$$x(x^{(p-1)(q-1)k} - 1) = 0 \mod N$$

 $(x^e)^d = x \mod N$

- 4. Alice wants to respond to a previous message that Bob has sent her with either "yes" or "no". She does not want to use the regular RSA scheme to encrypt her response in fear of Eve intercepting this communication.
 - (a) What is wrong with using the standard RSA procedure to send a "yes" or "no"?

Solution: Since there are only two options, Eve can just guess-and-check. Eve knows the public key that Bob will release in order to receive Alice's message, so now Eve can just encrypt both "yes" and "no" using *e* and see which one matches Alice's encrypted message, which she can publicly see.

(b) What modification to the procedure can be made so that Eve cannot easily guess the message? (Hint: try sending more information than just "yes" or "no".)

Solution: Following the hint, we will need incorporate Alice's response into a bigger message. We can create some random large secret number W and hide the "yes" or "no" into the k^{th} bit of W as 1 or 0. Then, Alice can use Bob's public key to encrypt two messages: W, and k. Bob can put this information together and find the "yes" or "no" in the indicated bit. Note that there are other possible solutions.

Followup question to this solution: Will Eve be able to figure out if Alice wanted to say "yes" or "no" if k was NOT encrypted before sending through the channel (so Eve has access to k's value?) No. Eve will still need to know the decrypted value of W to locate the k^{th} bit of it, which she will not be have knowledge of without knowing Bob's private k key.

3 Polynomials

- 1. In this problem, consider all polynomials to be over GF(p), where p > n for all the n defined in the problems.
 - (a) How many distinct degree *n* polynomials are there?

Solution: A degree n polynomial must be of the form $a_n x^n + a_n x^{n-1} \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$, where $a_n \neq 0$, and each a_i is taken from GF(p) (or in other words, from o to p-1), and a polynomial is determined uniquely by its coefficients. Thus, we have p-1 choices for a_n and p choices for $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$, giving $(p-1)p^n$ such polynomials.

(b) How many distinct polynomials p(x) of degree at most n are there?

Solution: We follow the same solution as in part (a), but now there are p choices for a_n , since a_n can be o. We thus get p^{n+1} polynomials.

(c) How many distinct polynomials of degree at most n are there such that p(0) = 1 and p(1) = 2?

Solution: A polynomial of degree at most n is uniquely determined by the values of the polynomial at n+1 points (for example, $p(0), p(1), \ldots, p(n-1), p(n)$). We have p choices for the values of $p(2), p(3), \ldots, p(n-1), p(n)$ (since they can taken on any value from 0 to p-1), and these values combined with the p(0) and p(1) uniquely determine a polynomial, so there are p^{n-1} such polynomials.

2. Let $n \ge 2$ be a positive integer, and let p be a prime greater than n. Find all polynomials q(x) of degree at most n in GF(p) such that (x-2)q(x)=xq(x-1).

Solution: First, we plug in x=0, giving that -2q(0)=0. Thus, q(0)=0, so o is a root of q(x). Then has a factor of x, so $q(x)=xq_1(x)$ for some polynomial q_1 in GF(p). Then we have that $(x-2)xq_1(x)=x(x-1)q_1(x-1)$. Next, we plug in x=1. Then $-1q_1(1)=0$, so $q_1(1)=0$. Thus, q_1 has a factor of x-1, so $q_1(x)=(x-1)q_2(x)$. Then $(x-2)x(x-1)q_2(x)=x(x-1)(x-2)q_2(x-1)$. Moreover, note that q_2 has degree at most n-2. Suppose that x is not equal to 0, 1 or 2. Then $q_2(x)=q_2(x-1)$. Thus, we conclude that $q_2(2)=q_2(3)=\cdots=q_2(n)=c$, where c is some constant. Note that we have that n-1 values of the polynomial q_2 , which has degree at most n-2. Thus, there is a unique polynomial that satisfies these equations; specifically, the constant polynomial $q_2(x)=c$. Thus, we conclude that $q(x)=xq_1(x)=x(x-1)q_2(x)=cx(x-1)$ for some constant c in GF(p).