

Lab 1:

Implement and demonstrate the FIND-S algorithm for finding the most specific hypothesis based on a given set of training data samples.

Find –S Algorithm

In **Find –S algorithm** we tend to find a Maximally Specific Hypothesis that fits the all positive training examples

Some important points related to Find –S algorithm:

1. Find-S algorithm **only considers positive training examples** and neglect negative training examples.

2. In Find-S algorithm, **we move from top to bottom i.e. specific hypothesis to general hypothesis**. In the other words we can say that in Find-S algorithm we start with the most specific hypothesis and generalizes this hypothesis each time whenever the attributes values of hypothesis and attributes values of observed positive training example did not match.

3. Maximally specific hypothesis

A hypothesis h , is a maximally specific hypothesis if it covers none of the negative training examples and there is no other hypothesis h' that covers none negative training examples, such that h is strictly more general than h' .

Notations used in Find-s algorithm:

1. The **most specific hypothesis** is represented by the by the $\{\phi, \phi, \phi, \phi\}$ where number of the ' ϕ ' is equal to number of attributes in training data.
2. ' ϕ ' indicate that **no value is acceptable** for the attributes.
3. '?' Indicate that **any value can be acceptable** for the attributes.

Find -s algorithm:

Step 1: Initialize h to most specific hypothesis h.

Step 2: For each positive training instance x

Step 3: for each attribute's constraint a_i in h

 if the constraint a_i is satisfied by x

 Then does nothing

 else

 replace a_i in h by the next general hypotheses

 Constraint '?' that is satisfied by x.

Step 4: Output hypothesis.

Example: - To understand this algorithm, we consider the below training example.

Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Play tennis
Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
Rain	Cool	Normal	Strong	No
Rain	Cool	Normal	g	yes
overcast			Weak	

In the above training example, target attributes are play Tennis.

First, we initialize h to most specific hypothesis:

$$h = \{\phi, \phi, \phi, \phi\}$$

Now we consider first training example:

$x_1 = (\text{Overcast}, \text{Hot}, \text{High}, \text{Weak})$

This is the positive training example. From here, it is clear that none of the attributes value in h is satisfied with the attributes value in x_1 .

So, each attribute in h is replaced by the next general constraints –

$h_1 = (\text{Overcast}, \text{Hot}, \text{High}, \text{Weak})$

Now, we consider second training example:

$x_2 = (\text{Rain}, \text{Mild}, \text{High}, \text{Weak})$

This is positive training example.

We compare each attribute value in h_1 with the attributes value in x_2 and substitute '?' in the place of any attributes value in h if it is not satisfied with x_2 .

$h_2 = ('?', '?', \text{High}, \text{Weak})$

Now, we consider third training example:

$x_3 = (\text{Rain, Cold, Normal, Normal})$

This is negative training example. so, we neglect this training example and proceed further.

Now we consider fourth training example.

$x_4 = (\text{Overcast, Cool, Normal, Weak})$

This is positive training example.

After comprising each attribute value in h_2 with the attributes value in x_4 ,

we have-

$h_3 = ('?', '?', '?', \text{weak})$

This is the output hypothesis.