Good morning everyone, today we're going to talk with you about Moshe's Katsav case, which took place back in 2006, in Israel.

Katsav was a public figure in Israel, who was accused for different types of sex crimes by 3 women.

We're going to see how this specific example relates to the article, we wrote with Dov Gabbay and abstract argumentation model with many lives.

🡪Before moving on to H. You know - in our first talk with Dov, he started talking to us about sex offenders. We asked him what it had to do with logic. He replied that it was possible to look at it in the form of an abstract argument. From there the subject rolled up to here.

(**Go to the blackboard**)

And here we are. So back to the theory: we propose to view argumentation networks (of the form (S, R)) as

representing a survival game. The players are the elements of S and

the relation R is the attack relation.

Who are the players in our case? Someone knows?

-Right. The main participates of our case. A, H, L and Katsav.

Does anyone know who's in the attack relation?

-Right. The complainants are the set of departure and the offenders are the set of destination.

So, in the meantime we have one element in the attack relation. Let's draw it.

(**I draw the nodes and connect them with an arrow. Your turn Sahar**)

(**While you read I add the details and the formula on the blackboard. You read also the details of the next presentation("The plea bargain") then - My turn**)

**2.Background**

Let's go back in time until 2007. Then in the plea bargain there were only two complainants.

I shall explain to you later the different values in the model, but first let's ask ourselves why when we reduced from Moshe's life - the sum of strengths of the attack it's not equals 2.