

Tribhuvan University Institute of Engineering Central Campus, Pulchowk

DS Lab 8

Implementing algorithm for Byzantine generals' problem

Submitted By:

Himanshu Pradhan(077BCT030)

Janam Shrestha(077BCT032)

Mejan Lamichhane(077BCT047)

Submitted To:

Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering Pulchowk Campus, Lalitpur

Date: 2024/08/12

Theory

Byzantine Generals' Problem

The Byzantine Generals' Problem is a fundamental issue in distributed computing that underscores the difficulties in achieving reliable security and consensus across a network of independent systems. Imagine a scenario involving several military generals, each commanding a separate division of an army, who need to coordinate on a unified battle strategy. However, the problem is complicated by the possibility that some of these generals may be treacherous and intentionally attempt to disrupt the consensus by sending conflicting or misleading information. The central challenge is to devise an algorithm that ensures the loyal generals can agree on a single plan of action despite the presence of these deceitful elements.

Steps Involved

- 1. Each node in the network starts by proposing a value that it believes should be decided upon. This proposed value is then communicated to all other nodes in the system.
- 2. During this phase, each node broadcasts its proposed value to every other node. This step involves gathering the proposed values from all nodes, thus creating a pool of potential values.
- 3. Each node aggregates the values it has received from other nodes into a list or vector. This collection of values is crucial for further processing and analysis.
- 4. In this round, nodes share their aggregated lists of values with all other nodes. This allows each node to compile comprehensive data from the entire network.
- 5. Nodes then use a majority voting mechanism on the collected data to determine which value appears most frequently. If no single value garners a majority, a default or fallback value is chosen as a compromise.
- 6. After applying the majority voting process to the aggregated values, each node reaches a final decision. Ideally, all non-faulty nodes will converge on the same value, thereby achieving consensus despite the potential presence of faulty or malicious nodes.

Implementation

```
import random
import argparse

ATTACK = "ATTACK"

RETREAT = "RETREAT"

class Node:
    def __init__(self, input_value, id):
        self.input_value = input_value
        self.output_value = ""
        self.process_ids = {}
        self.children = []
```

```
self.id = id
  def send message(self, id, faulty generals):
      order = self.opposite order(self.input value) if is faulty else
self.input value
      updated process ids = self.process ids.copy()
      updated process ids[id] = 1
  def opposite order(self, order):
       return RETREAT if order == ATTACK else ATTACK
  def decide(self):
      if not self.children:
       for child in self.children:
          decision = child.decide()
          decision_counts[decision] = decision_counts.get(decision, 0) + 1
      self.output value = self.majority decision(decision counts)
decision counts.get(RETREAT, 0) else RETREAT
```

```
def generate_faulty_indexes(num_faulty_generals, num_generals):
def byzantine_generals(num_generals, num_faulty_generals, order):
  faulty_indexes = generate_faulty_indexes(num_faulty_generals, num_generals)
  commander = Node(order, 0)
  current depth = 0
  nodes_at_current_depth = 1
  nodes at next depth = 0
      node = queue.pop(0)
      nodes at next depth += num generals - current depth
      nodes at current depth -= 1
      if nodes at current depth == 0:
          current depth += 1
          if current_depth >= num_faulty_generals:
          nodes at current depth = nodes at next depth
          nodes at next depth = 0
          child node = node.send message(i, faulty indexes)
          if child node is None:
          node.children.append(child node)
          queue.append(child_node)
  commander.output_value = commander.decide()
          print(f"Faulty general {general.id} decides on {general.output_value}")
```

```
print(f"General {general.id} decides on {general.output value}")
if __name__ == "__main__":
  parser = argparse.ArgumentParser(description="Simulation of the Byzantine
Generals Problem")
  parser.add argument("-G", type=int, default=7, help="Total number of generals
including the commander")
  parser.add argument("-M", type=int, default=2, help="Number of faulty generals")
  parser.add argument("-O", type=str, default=ATTACK, choices=[ATTACK, RETREAT],
help="Initial order (ATTACK or RETREAT)")
  args = parser.parse_args()
  num generals = args.G
  num faulty generals = args.M
  order = args.0
generals faulty")
      byzantine generals(num generals, num faulty generals, order)
```

Output

```
(venv) himanshupradhan@Himanshus-MacBook-Air test % python3 -u "<u>/Users/himanshupradhan/coding/Archive/test/participant.py</u>"
Commander is faulty
General 1 decides on RETREAT
General 2 decides on RETREAT
Faulty general 3 decides on ATTACK
General 4 decides on RETREAT
General 5 decides on RETREAT
General 6 decides on RETREAT
Consensus decides on RETREAT
```

The output from the Byzantine Generals Problem simulation reveals an important aspect of the algorithm's behavior in the presence of faulty components. The message "Commander is faulty" indicates that the commander (general 0) is faulty, meaning it does not adhere to the correct protocol and might send misleading information. Despite this, the decision-making process among the remaining generals proceeded as follows: Generals 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6, all of whom are non-faulty, decided to RETREAT. On the other hand, General 3, who is faulty, decided to ATTACK, reflecting its deviation from the expected behavior. The final consensus decision, therefore, was RETREAT, which emerged as the majority choice despite the presence of a faulty general. This outcome demonstrates the effectiveness of the consensus algorithm in achieving agreement among the majority, even when some components of the system fail or act maliciously.

Conclusion

The simulation demonstrates that despite a faulty commander and some generals deviating from the expected behavior, the Byzantine Generals Algorithm successfully reached a consensus. The majority decision of RETREAT prevailed, highlighting the algorithm's robustness in achieving agreement even in the presence of faulty components.