## Notes from a course\* on

# Representation Theory of Finite Groups

# Satvik Saha

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata.

#### Table of Contents

1. Linear representations of groups	1
2. Subrepresentations	3
3. Irreducible representations	4
4. Characters	6

## 1. Linear representations of groups

**Definition 1.1** (Linear representation): Let G be a finite group, and let V be a vector space. A linear representation  $(\sigma, V)$  of G is a homomorphism

$$\sigma: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$$
.

Example 1.1.1: The trivial representation of G is defined by  $g \mapsto id_V$ .

Example 1.1.2: Consider a vector space V of dimension  $\operatorname{ord}(G)$ , and pick a basis  $\{e_h\}_{h\in G}$ . The regular representation  $\tau:G\to\operatorname{GL}(V)$  of G is defined as follows:  $\tau(g)$  sends each of the basis vectors  $e_h\mapsto e_{gh}$ .

The following propositions show that it is possible to define group representations in terms of a special class of group actions of G on the vector space V.

**Proposition 1.2**: Let G be a finite group, and let V be a vector space. Let  $\rho: G \times V \to V$  be a group action of G on V, such that each for each G, the map  $v \mapsto \rho(g,v)$  is linear. Then,  $(\sigma,V)$  is a linear representation, where

$$\sigma: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V), \qquad g \mapsto (v \mapsto \rho(g, v)).$$

<sup>\*</sup>MA4204, instructed by Dr. Swarnendu Datta.

**Proposition 1.3**: Let  $(\sigma, V)$  be a linear representation. Then, the map

$$\rho:G\times V\to V, \qquad (g,v)\mapsto \sigma(g)(v)$$

is a group action of G on V, where for each  $g \in G$ , the map  $v \mapsto \rho(g, v)$  is linear.

In this discussion, we will always work with finite groups, as well as finite dimensional vector spaces over a base field K. Typically, we will consider  $K = \mathbb{C}$ .

We will often abbreviate  $(\sigma, V)$  with V, and  $\sigma(g)$  with g when the presence of  $\sigma$  is clear from context.

#### **Definition 1.4**: The dimension of a representation $(\sigma, V)$ is $\dim(V)$ .

Example 1.4.1: The only one dimensional representation of  $S_3$  in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is the sign homomorphism. To see this, consider an arbitrary homomorphism  $\sigma:S_3\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ . Note that  $\ker(\sigma)$  must be a normal subgroup of  $S_3$ , hence must be one of  $\{e\},A_3,S_3$ . The third option yields the trivial representation  $\sigma=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ , and the first option gives the contradiction  $S_3\cong\mathrm{im}(\sigma)\subset\mathbb{C}^\times$  (the right side is abelian while the left is not). This leaves  $\ker(\sigma)=A_3$ , i.e.  $\sigma(g)=1$  for all even permutations  $g\in S_3$ . The remaining elements of  $S_3$  (the odd permutations) must be sent to -1, since for any odd permutation  $h\in S_3$ , the permutation  $h^2$  is even, so  $\sigma(h)^2=\sigma(h^2)=1$ . The result is precisely the sign homomorphism

$$\sigma: S_3 \to \mathbb{C}^\times, \qquad g \mapsto \begin{cases} +1 \text{ if } g \in A_3 \\ -1 \text{ if } g \notin A_3. \end{cases}$$

Example 1.4.2: Construct an equilateral triangle in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  centered at the origin, and consider the natural action of  $S_3$  on it (permuting its vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ ). This induces a two dimensional representation  $\sigma: S_3 \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . Note that  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ; the third vertex can be obtained via  $v_3 = -v_1 - v_2$ . With this, we can calculate the image of  $(v_1, v_2)$  under the action of each  $g \in S_3$ , and hence the matrices of  $\sigma(g)$  in the given basis as follows.

g	$(\sigma(g)(v_1),\sigma(g)(v_2))$	Matrix of $\sigma(g)$
e	$(v_1,v_2)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
(12)	$(v_2,v_1)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
(23)	$(v_1,v_3)=(v_1,-v_1-v_2)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
(31)	$(v_3,v_2)=(-v_1-v_2,v_2)$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
(123)	$(v_2,v_3)=(v_2,-v_1-v_2)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$(321) \hspace{1cm} (v_3,v_1)=(-v_1-v_2,v_1) \hspace{1cm} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the setting  $K=\mathbb{C}$ . The fact that G is a finite group means that each element  $g\in G$  has finite order, hence satisfies  $g^m=1$  for some  $m\mid \operatorname{ord}(G)$ . This means that  $\sigma(g)^m=\operatorname{id}_V$ , whence  $x^m-1$  is an annihilating polynomial for  $\sigma(g)$ . A consequence of this is that the minimal polynomial of  $\sigma(g)$  is a factor of  $x^m-1$ ; but the latter splits into distinct linear factors. Furthermore, all eigenvalues of  $\sigma(g)$  are roots of its minimal polynomial. This yields the following result.

**Proposition 1.5**: Suppose that  $K=\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $(\sigma,V)$  be a representation of G, and let  $g\in G$ . Then,  $\sigma(g)$  is diagonalizable, and its eigenvalues are roots of unity.

#### 2. Subrepresentations

**Definition 2.1** (Stable subspace): Let  $(\sigma, V)$  be a representation of G, and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace of V. We say that W is a stable subspace of V if it is invariant under the action of G, i.e.  $gw \in W$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $w \in W$ .

Example 2.1.1: Let  $S_3$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by permuting the basis vectors  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ . Then, the subspace  $\text{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}$  is stable.

**Definition 2.2** (Subrepresentation): Let W be a stable subspace of V. We say that  $(\sigma, W)$  is a subrepresentation of  $(\sigma, V)$ .

**Theorem 2.3**: Suppose that  $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid \operatorname{ord}(G)$ . Let W be a stable subspace of V. Then, there exists a stable subspace W' of V such that  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

When working with the field  $K=\mathbb{C}$ , Theorem 2.3 admits a simpler form by invoking the orthocomplement of  $W\subseteq V$ , with respect to a suitable Hermitian form on V. We say that a Hermitian form  $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:V\times V\to\mathbb{C}$  is G-invariant if for all  $g\in G,v,v'\in V$ , we have  $\langle gv,gv'\rangle=\langle v,v'\rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.4**: Suppose that  $K=\mathbb{C}$ . If W is a stable subspace of V, then  $W^{\perp}$  is a stable subspace of V, with  $V=W\oplus W^{\perp}$ .

*Remark*: The subspace  $W^{\perp}$  is defined with respect to a non-degenerate G-invariant Hermitian form.

*Proof*: For all  $g \in G$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $w' \in W^{\perp}$ , observe that  $g^{-1}w \in W$ , so

$$\langle gw', w \rangle = \langle gw', gg^{-1}w \rangle = \langle w', g^{-1}w \rangle = 0,$$

whence  $qw' \in W^{\perp}$ .

Example 2.4.1: Continuing Example 2.1.1, the subspace span $\{e_1-e_2,e_2-e_3,e_3-e_1\}$  is also stable under the action of  $S_3$ . This gives a two dimensional subrepresentation of  $S_3$ . In fact, it is easy to check that the matrices describing this representation in the basis  $\{2e_1-e_2-e_3,2e_2-e_3-e_1\}$  are precisely the same as those in Example 1.4.2, making these two representations identical in some sense.

*Remark*: Given any Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to C$ , we can obtain a G-invariant Hermitian form on V defined by

$$(u,v)\mapsto \sum_{g\in G} \langle gu,gv\rangle.$$

Returning to Theorem 2.3, observe that if  $\pi_W$  is a projection onto the subspace W, then we may write  $V=W\oplus\ker(\pi_W)$ . With this in mind, we will construct the required subspace W' as the kernel of a suitable projection map  $\pi_W$ . For this, we demand that  $\pi_W$  be G-invariant.

**Definition 2.5**: A linear map  $f: V \to V'$  is called G-invariant if it is compatible with the G-action, i.e. for all  $g \in G$ ,  $v \in V$ , we have f(gv) = gf(v).

Note that the above definition implicitly deals with *two* representations  $(\sigma, V)$  and  $(\sigma', V)$  of G. The indicated property really looks like  $\sigma'(g)(f(v)) = f(\sigma(g)(v))$  when written in full.

**Lemma 2.6**: Let  $f: V \to V'$  be G-invariant. Then,

- 1. ker(f) is a stable subspace of V.
- 2.  $\operatorname{im}(f)$  is a stable subspace of V'.

Given any linear map  $f: V \to V'$ , we can construct a G-invariant linear map via

$$\tilde{f}: V \to V', \qquad v \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \ gf\big(g^{-1}v\big).$$

With this, we are ready to furnish a proof of our theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 2.3*: Let  $\pi: V \to W$  be any projection onto W. Observe that

$$\pi_W: V \to W, \qquad v \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \ g\pi\big(g^{-1}v\big)$$

is a G-invariant projection onto W. Setting  $W' = \ker(\pi_W)$  completes the proof.

*Remark*: Note how the assumption that  $char(K) \nmid ord(G)$  is crucial for defining the projection  $\pi_W$ .

### 3. Irreducible representations

**Definition 3.1** (Irreducible representations): We way that a representation is irreducible if it admits no proper non-trivial subrepresentations.

In other words, a representation  $(\sigma, V)$  is irreducible if and only if the only G-invariant subspaces of V are  $\{0\}, V$ .

Example 3.1.1: All one dimensional representations are irreducible.

**Theorem 3.2** (Maschke's Theorem): Suppose that  $char(K) \nmid ord(G)$ . Then, every representation of G over the field K can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations of G.

*Proof*: Follows immediately from Theorem 2.3.

Example 3.2.1: Combining Examples 2.1.1 and 2.4.1, we have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^3 = \operatorname{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} \oplus \operatorname{span}\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_3 - e_1\}$$

into irreducible subrepresentations of  $S_3$ .

When we say that two representations  $(\sigma,V)$  and  $(\sigma',V')$  are isomorphic, denoted  $V\cong V'$ , we mean that there exists a G-invariant linear bijection  $f:V\to V'$ . The following result offers a very powerful characterization of G-invariant maps between irreducible representations.

**Theorem 3.3** (Schur's Lemma): Let V, V' be two irreducible representations of G, and let  $f: V \to V'$  be a G-invariant linear map.

- 1. If  $V \ncong V'$ , then f = 0.
- 2. If V = V' and K is algebraically closed, then f is a scalar map, i.e.  $f = \lambda$  id<sub>V</sub> for some  $\lambda \in K$ .

*Proof*:

1. Suppose that  $f \neq 0$ . It suffices to show that f is an isomorphism; to do so, we make extensive use of Lemma 2.6.

First,  $\ker(f) \subseteq V$  is stable, hence must be one of  $\{0\}, V$  by the irreducibility of V. The assumption  $f \neq 0$  forces  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ , whence f is injective.

Next,  $\operatorname{im}(f) \subseteq V'$  is stable, hence must be one of  $\{0\}, V'$  by the irreducibility of V'. Again,  $f \neq 0$  forces  $\operatorname{im}(f) = V'$ , whence f is surjective.

2. We have a G-invariant linear bijection  $f: V \to V$ ; suppose that  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of f, and observe that the map  $(f - \lambda \ \mathrm{id}_V)$  is also G-invariant; indeed, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $v \in V$ ,

$$(f-\lambda)(gv) = f(gv) - \lambda gv = gf(v) - g\lambda v = g(f-\lambda)(v).$$

Since  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of f, we have  $\ker(f - \lambda) \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $\ker(f - \lambda) \subseteq V$  is stable and V is irreducible, we must have  $\ker(f - \lambda) = V$ , whence  $f - \lambda \operatorname{id}_V = 0$ .

*Remark*: Note how the existence of the scalar  $\lambda \in K$  is guaranteed by the fact that K is algebraically closed.

**Corollary 3.3.1**: All C-linear irreducible representations of finite abelian groups are one dimensional.

*Proof*: Let  $(\sigma, V)$  be an irreducible representation of a finite abelian group G. Check that for each  $g \in G$ , the linear map  $\sigma(g): V \to V$  is G-invariant, since it commutes with all  $\sigma(h)$  for  $h \in G$ . From Schur's Lemma (Theorem 3.3), each  $\sigma(g)$  is a scalar map. As a result, every one dimensional subspace of V is stable. The result now follows from the irreducibility of V.

#### 4. Characters

**Definition 4.1** (Character): The character  $\chi_V$  of a representation  $(\sigma, V)$  of G is the function

$$\chi_V: G \to K, \qquad g \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\sigma(g)).$$

Example 4.1.1:  $\chi_V(1) = \dim(V)$ .

Observe that  $\chi_V(g)$  is precisely the sum of eigenvalues of  $\sigma(g)$ . The eigenvalues of  $\chi_V(g^{-1})$  are simply reciprocals of those of  $\chi_V(g)$ ; in the setting  $K=\mathbb{C}$ , the following result is immediate from Proposition 1.5.

**Proposition 4.2**: Suppose that  $K = \mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ .

The fact that the trace is invariant under conjugation, i.e.  $tr(tst^{-1}) = tr(s)$ , yields the following result.

**Lemma 4.3**:  $\chi_V$  is a class function, i.e.  $\chi_V$  is constant on conjugacy classes of G.

**Lemma 4.4**: Isomorphic representations have the same character.

*Proof*: Let  $f: V \to V'$  be an isomorphism of representations  $(\sigma, V)$  and  $(\sigma', V')$  of G. Then for each  $g \in G$ , we have  $f \circ \sigma(g) = \sigma'(g) \circ f$ , hence  $\sigma(g) = f^{-1} \circ \sigma'(g) \circ f$ . Taking the trace of both sides and using the cyclic property gives  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(g)) = \operatorname{tr}(\sigma'(g))$  as desired.

The space  $K^G$  of all maps  $G \to K$  forms a vector space over K, with dimension  $\operatorname{ord}(G)$ . In the setting  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , we may define the following inner product.

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}^G \times \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \ \varphi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}.$$

*Remark*: For characters  $\chi, \chi'$ , Proposition 4.2 gives

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \chi'(g^{-1}).$$

**Theorem 4.5** (Orthogonality of characters): Suppose that  $K = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $(\sigma, V)$ ,  $(\sigma', V')$  be two irreducible representations of G.

- 1. If  $V \ncong V'$ , then  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_{V'} \rangle = 0$ .
- 2. If  $V \cong V'$ , then  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_{V'} \rangle = 1$ .

*Proof*: Let  $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$  be a basis of V, and let  $\{v_1',...,v_m'\}$  be a basis of V'. Given any linear map  $f:V\to V'$ , we will denote  $\tilde{f}=\sum_{g\in G}\sigma'(g)\circ f\circ\sigma(g)^{-1}$ ; recall that  $\tilde{f}$  is G-invariant.

1. Observe that Schur's Lemma (Theorem 3.3) forces all such  $\tilde{f}=0$ . In particular, consider the maps  $e_{ij}$  defined for each  $1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m$  as

$$e_{ij}: V \to V', \qquad \sum_i \alpha_i v_i \mapsto \alpha_i v_j'.$$

These maps  $\{e_{ij}\}$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{L}(V,V')$ . Check that the matrix entries obey

$$\left[a \circ e_{ij} \circ b\right]_{k\ell} = \left[a\right]_{ki} \left[b\right]_{j\ell},$$

so using  $\tilde{e}_{ij}=0$  gives the relations

$$\left[\tilde{e}_{ij}\right]_{kl} = \sum_{g \in G} \left[\sigma'(g) \circ e_{ij} \circ \sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{kl} = \sum_{g \in G} \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ki} \left[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{j\ell} = 0$$

for all  $1 \le i, k \le n, \ 1 \le j, \ell \le m$ . These hold in particular for  $i = k, \ j = \ell$ ; summing over  $1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le m$ , we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \sum_{ij} \sum_{g \in G} \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ii} \left[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{jj} = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\left(\sum_{i} \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ii}\right) \left(\sum_{j} \left[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{jj}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{V}(g) \chi_{V'}(g^{-1}) \\ &= \operatorname{ord}(G) \langle \chi_{V}, \chi_{V'} \rangle. \end{split}$$

2. Schur's Lemma (Theorem 3.3) forces all such  $\tilde{f}=\lambda_f\operatorname{id}_V$  for scalars  $\lambda_f\in\mathbb{C}$ . To extract  $\lambda_f$ , take the trace of both sides to obtain

$$n\lambda_f = \dim(V)\lambda_f = \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma'(g) \circ f \circ \sigma(g)^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{ord}(G)\operatorname{tr}(f).$$

With this, each  $\tilde{e}_{ij}=\lambda_{ij}\delta_{ij}\operatorname{id}_V$ , where  $\lambda_{ij}=\operatorname{ord}(G)/n$ . Thus, we obtain the relations

$$\sum_{g \in G} \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ki} \left[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{j\ell} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{ord}(G) \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$$

for all  $1 \le i, j, k, \ell \le n$ . Following a similar process as before,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{ord}(G)\langle\chi_V,\chi_{V'}\rangle &= \sum_{g \in G} \Biggl(\Biggl(\sum_i \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ii}\Biggr) \Biggl(\sum_j \left[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{jj}\Biggr)\Biggr) \\ &= \sum_{ij} \sum_{g \in G} \left[\sigma'(g)\right]_{ii} \Biggl[\sigma(g)^{-1}\right]_{jj} \\ &= \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{ord}(G) \delta_{ij} \\ &= \operatorname{ord}(G) \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

With this, the characters of irreducible representations form an orthonormal subset of class functions on G. To check whether a representation V is irreducible or not, it is enough to verify that  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$ .

**Corollary 4.5.1**: The number of irreducible representations of G (up to isomorphism) is at most the number of conjugacy classes of G.

Example 4.5.2: We have now established that the trivial representation, the one dimensional representation from Example 1.4.1, and the two dimensional representation from Example 1.4.2 are the only irreducible representations of  $S_3$ . Note that  $S_3$  has three conjugacy classes:  $\{e\}$ ,  $\{(12), (23), (31)\}$ , and  $\{(123), (321)\}$ . With this, we can construct the *character table* for  $S_3$ , with each row containing the characters of the group elements with respect to the given representation.

$S_3$	e	(12)	(23)	(31)	(123)	(321)
Trivial	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sign	1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
Standard	2	0	0	0	-1	-1

Observe that the rows of this table are orthogonal; indeed, so are the columns!

Given any representation V of G, we can use Maschke's Theorem (Theorem 3.2) to decompose it as a direct sum of (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations  $V_1, ..., V_k$ , with multiplicities  $m_1, ..., m_k$ . By representing the elements of G as matrices in block diagonal form, we can derive the following result.

**Lemma 4.6**: Let  $V_1, ..., V_k$  be irreducible representations of G, and let

$$V \cong m_1 V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_k V_k.$$

Then,

$$\chi_V = m_1 \chi_{V_1} + \dots + m_k \chi_{V_k}.$$

The multiplicities can be recovered as  $m_i = \langle \chi_V, \chi_{V_i} \rangle$ .

This immediately tells us that  $\chi_V = \chi_{V'}$  if and only if  $V \cong V'$ . Furthermore, we have the relation

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = \sum_i m_i^2.$$

Example 4.6.1: Let  $S_4$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  by permuting the basis vectors  $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ , and let  $(\sigma,V)$  denote the induced (regular) representation. Note that each matrix  $\sigma(g)$  is a permutation, hence its trace  $\chi_{V(g)}$  is precisely the number of elements of  $\{1,2,3,4\}$  fixed by the action of g. With this, we can compute  $\chi_V$  for each conjugacy class (identified by its cycle type) as follows.

$S_4$	e	$(ab) \times 6$	$(ab)(cd)\times 3$	$(abc)\times 8$	$(abcd)\times 6$
Trivial	1	1	1	1	1
Sign	1	-1	1	1	-1
V	4	2	0	1	0

Compute

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = \frac{1}{24} (4^2 + 6 \cdot 2^2 + 8 \cdot 1^2) = 2,$$

whence V is not irreducible. Indeed, we know that  $W_1=\mathrm{span}\{e_1+e_2+e_3+e_4\}$  is a trivial subrepresentation of V of dimension 1. Furthermore,  $2=1^2+1^2$  is the only way of writing 2 as a sum of squares of integers, so V must decompose into precisely two irreducible subrepresentations with both multiplicities 1. This means that  $V\cong W_1\oplus W_3$  for some irreducible representation  $W_3$  of dimension 3. Using  $\chi_V=\chi_{W_1}+\chi_{W_3}$ , we can compute the character  $\chi_{W_3}$  and obtain the following.

Next, we move on to a different representation of  $S_4$ : consider all subsets of size 2 of  $\{1,2,3,4\}$  (of which there are 6), and consider the action on this collection induced by the permutations on the set  $\{1,2,3,4\}$ . Let  $(\tau,V')$  denote the induced representation. Again,  $\chi_{V'}(g)$  is the number of 2-subsets fixed by the action of g. For instance, an element  $(ab) \in S_4$  will only fix 2-subsets  $\{a,b\},\{c,d\}$ , while an element  $(abc) \in S_4$  fixes no 2-subset. With this, we have the following.

Compute  $\langle \chi_{V'}, \chi_{V'} \rangle = 3 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$ . Again, we may compute  $\langle \chi_{V'}, \chi_{W_1} \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle \chi_{V'}, \chi_{W_3} \rangle = 1$ , which tells us that  $V' \cong W_1 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_2$  for some irreducible representation  $W_2$  of dimension 2. Using  $\chi_{V'} = \chi_{W_1} + \chi_{W_3} + \chi_{W_2}$ , we can compute the character  $\chi_{W_2}$ .

We now have 4 irreducible characters of  $S_4$ ; indeed, we may combine  $W_3$  with the sign representation to get another irreducible representation  $W_3'$ , completing the character table of  $S_4$ .

$S_4$	e	$(ab) \times 6$	$(ab)(cd)\times 3$	$(abc)\times 8$	$(abcd)\times 6$
Trivial	1	1	1	1	1
Sign	1	-1	1	1	-1
$W_2$	2	0	2	-1	0
$W_3$	3	1	-1	0	-1
$W_3'$	3	-1	-1	0	1

The last trick uses the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.7**: Let  $(\sigma, V)$  and  $(\tau, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$  be representations of G. Then,  $(\tau \sigma, V)$  is also a representation of G, where  $(\tau \sigma)(g) = \tau(g)\sigma(g)$ . Furthermore,  $\chi_{\tau \sigma} = \chi_{\tau} \chi_{\sigma}$ .

Remark: If we wish to define a transitive action of G on a set X (and thereby examine the vector space  $\mathrm{span}\{e_x\}_{x\in X}$  with the action of G defined via  $ge_x=e_{gx}$ ), we may invoke the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, along with the fact that there is only one orbit (all of X) to demand that  $\mathrm{ord}(X)\mid\mathrm{ord}(G)$ .