MA3203

# Analysis IV

Spring 2022

Satvik Saha

19MS154

*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata,*
*Mohanpur, West Bengal, 741246, India.*

## Contents

## 1 Measure theory

### 1.1 Introduction

Measure theory seeks to generalize the notions of *length*, *area*, *volume* to more general sets: this new notion is called a *measure*. This also allows us to generalize the notion of Riemann integration to a broader class of functions.

Recall that continuous functions, or at least functions with finitely many discontinuities on a closed interval are Riemann integrable. The Dirichlet function, which is discontinuous everywhere, is not.

$$f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

This is simply because every non-empty interval contains at least one rational and one irrational number, so the Darboux lower sum is always 0 and the upper sum is always 1 regardless of the choice of partition.

On the other hand, if we had to assign a particular value to this integral, intuition tells us that it ought to be zero. After all, the function $f$ attains a non-zero value only on the countable set $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$; it is zero almost everywhere. Formally, we will show that $f$ is non-zero on a set of zero Lebesgue measure, which will allow us to set this Lebesgue integral to zero. We will

see that with this new formulation of integration, we end up partitioning the range of $f$ rather than it's domain, and write

$$\int f = 0 \cdot \mu([0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}) + 1 \cdot \mu([0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}) = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.1** (Lebesgue criterion)**.** *A function $f \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if and only if it is bounded and its set of discontinuities has Lebesgue measure zero. This means that the set of discontinuities of $f$ must be coverable by countably many intervals $(x_i, y_i)$ such that the sum of lengths $y_i - x_i$ can be made arbitrarily small.*

## 1.2    Basic definitions

**Definition 1.1.** Let $X$ be a set, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a collection of subsets of $X$. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$ if it satisfies the following.

1. $\mathcal{M}$ contains $X$.

2. $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under complementation.

3. $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under countable unions.

*Remark.* The first condition can be replaced by forcing $\mathcal{M}$ to be non-empty.

*Remark.* The following properties follow immediately.

1. $\mathcal{M}$ contains $\emptyset$.

2. $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under countable intersections.

3. $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under differences.

*Example.* Given a set $X$, its power set forms a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$.

*Example.* Given a set $X$, the set $\{\emptyset, X\}$ forms a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$.

*Example.* Given an uncountable set $X$, the following set forms the co-countable $\sigma$-algebra over $X$.

$$\{E \subseteq X : E \text{ is countable or } E^c \text{ is countable}\}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let $X$ be a set, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$. We say that a function $\mu \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is called a measure if it satisfies the following.

1. $\mu$ is non-negative.

2. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

3. $\mu$ is additive over countable unions of disjoint sets, i.e. for any countable collection $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for all pairs, we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

*Example.* The trivial zero measure sends every set to zero.

*Example.* In probability theory, we look at the event space $\mathcal{E}$ as a $\sigma$-algebra over the sample space $\Omega$. The probability function $P$ is a measure on this event space such that $P(\Omega) = 1$.

*Example.* Let $X$ be a set, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its power set as a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$. Fix $x_0 \in X$, and define
$$\mu \colon \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty], \qquad E \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_0 \in E, \\ 0, & \text{if } x_0 \notin E. \end{cases}$$
This is called the Dirac measure.

*Example.* Let $X$ be a set, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its power set as a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$. Define
$$\mu \colon \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty], \qquad E \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ |E|, & \text{if } E \text{ is finite}, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
This is called the counting measure.

*Example.* Let $X$ be an uncountable set, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its co-countable sigma algebra. Define
$$\mu \colon \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty], \qquad E \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } E \text{ is countable}, \\ 1, & \text{if } E^c \text{ is countable}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space.

1. We say that $\mu$ is finite if $\mu(E)$ is finite for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$.
2. We say that $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite if given $E \in \mathcal{M}$, we can write
$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$$
for $E_i \in \mathcal{M}$ such that each $\mu(E_i)$ is finite.

## 1.3   Basic properties

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Then, the following properties hold.*

1. *If $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $A \subseteq B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$.*
2. *If $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $A \subseteq B$ and $\mu(A)$ is finite, then $\mu(B - A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$.*
3. *If $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $E_i \in \mathcal{M}$, then*
$$\mu\left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Corollary 1.2.1.** *A measure $\mu$ is finite if and only if $\mu(X)$ is finite.*

**Theorem 1.3** (Continuity from below)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable sets such that $E_i \subseteq E_j$ for all $i < j$. Then,*

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

*Proof.* Define $F_i = E_i - E_{i-1}$, denoting $E_0 = \emptyset$. Thus,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_i).$$

Also note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(F_i) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = \mu(E_n).$$

Since the infinite sum in the first part is the limit of partial sums, we have our result. $\square$

**Theorem 1.4** (Continuity from above)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable sets such that $E_i \supseteq E_j$ for all $i < j$. Further assume that $\mu(E_1)$ is finite. Then,*

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

*Proof.* Define $F_i = E_1 - E_n$, and note that $F_i \subseteq F_j$ for all $i < j$. Thus,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(F_n).$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\mu\left(E_1 - \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_1 - E_n).$$

Using the subtractive property and the fact that each $\mu(E_i)$ is finite,

$$\mu(E_1) - \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_1) - \mu(E_n).$$

Pulling the constant $\mu(E_1)$ out from the limit and subtracting from both sides gives our result. $\square$

*Example.* Consider the counting measure $\mu$ on $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$, and define $E_n = \{n, n+1, \dots\}$. Then,

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n) = \infty.$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

## 1.4 The Borel $\sigma$-algebra

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let $X$ be a set, and let $S$ be a collection of subsets of $X$. Then, there exists a smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing $S$. This is called the $\sigma$ algebra generated by $S$, denoted $\mathcal{M}(S)$.*

*Proof.* Let $\Omega$ be the collection of all $\sigma$-algebras on $X$ containing $S$. Note that $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, since it contains the power set of $X$. Consider the intersection of all the sigma algebras in $\Omega$,

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{M}_\lambda \in \Omega} \mathcal{M}_\lambda.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{M}$ is indeed a $\sigma$-algebra. To see this, first note that $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Next, pick $E \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\lambda$, so $E^c \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ for all $\mathcal{M}_\lambda \in \Omega$, hence $E^c \in \mathcal{M}$. Finally, pick $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ where $E_i \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\lambda$, which shows that the union of these $E_i$ is in every $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$, hence in $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. The $\sigma$ algebra generated by $\tau$ is called the Borel $\sigma$-algebra, $\mathcal{B}_X = \mathcal{M}(\tau)$.

*Remark.* The Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_X$ contains all open as well as all closed sets in $X$, as well as their countable unions and intersections.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Consider the collection $\beta$ of open intervals in $\mathbb{R}$, and the standard topology $\tau$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, both $\beta$ and $\tau$ generate the same Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}$.*

*Proof.* This relies on the fact that every open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ can be written as a countable union of open intervals. To see this, pick $x \in U$, and an open interval $x \in (a, b) \subset U$. Now pick $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a < p < x < q < b$, hence $x \in (p, q) \subset U$. Now, $U$ is precisely the union of all such intervals $(p, q)$. This collection is countable, due to the countability of the rationals. $\square$

*Remark.* The same holds if we consider the collection $\beta'$ of closed intervals in $\mathbb{R}$. This can be shown using the standard trick

$$(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \left[ a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

Indeed, we may also consider the collection of intervals of the form $[a, b)$, or the collection of intervals $(a, b]$, or even the collection of intervals $(a, \infty)$, or $(-\infty, b)$, or $[a, \infty)$, or $(-\infty, b]$.

**Definition 1.5.** A countable union of closed sets is called an $F_\sigma$ set. A countable intersection of open sets is called a $G_\delta$ set.

## 1.5   Measurable functions

**Definition 1.6.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}_X)$, $(Y, \mathcal{M}_Y)$ be measure spaces. We say that a function $f \colon X \to Y$ is $(\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_Y)$ measurable if for every $E \in \mathcal{M}_Y$, we have $f^{-1}(E) = \mathcal{M}_X$.

*Example.* Consider the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and fix $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Define the characteristic function

$$\chi_E \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in E, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin E. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\chi_E$ is measurable. However, we can choose $E$ to be closed and not open, so that $\chi_E$ is not continuous.

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let $f \colon (X, \mathcal{M}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{M}_Y)$, and let $\mathcal{M}_Y$ be generated by $S$. Then $f \colon X \to Y$ is measurable if for every $E \in S$, we have $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}_X$.*

*Proof.* Define

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ E \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}_X \}.$$

Clearly, $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. We now claim that $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra over $Y$. First, $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ since $f^{-1}(Y) = X \in \mathcal{M}_X$. Next if $E \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $f^{-1}(E^c) = f^{-1}(E)^c \in \mathcal{M}_X$. Finally, if $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that each $E_i \in \mathcal{M}$, set $E$ to be their union, whence

$$f^{-1}(E) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_i) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{M}$ is indeed a $\sigma$-algebra. Since $S$ generates $\mathcal{M}_Y$, we have $\mathcal{M}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, completing the proof. $\square$

*Example.* A function $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}((a, \infty))$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be continuous. Then, $f$ is $(\mathcal{B}_X, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ measurable.*

**Lemma 1.9.** *The composition of measurable functions is measurable. In other words, if $f \colon (X, \mathcal{M}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{M}_Y)$ is surjective and $(\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_Y)$ measurable, and $g \colon (Y, \mathcal{M}_Y) \to (Z, \mathcal{M}_Z)$ is $(\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_Z)$ measurable, then $g \circ f$ is $(\mathcal{M}_X, \mathcal{M}_Z)$ measurable.*

**Lemma 1.10.** *Let $u, v \colon (X, \mathcal{M}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ measurable. Then, $f \colon (X, \mathcal{M}) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$, defined by $x \mapsto (u(x), v(x))$, is $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$ measurable.*

*Proof.* Basic open sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ can be chosen as the open rectangles $(a, b) \times (c, d)$. The pre-image of such an open set under $f$ is $u^{-1}((a, b)) \cap v^{-1}((c, d))$, which is clearly a measurable set in $X$. $\square$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Corollary 1.10.1.** *Let $f, g : (X, \mathcal{M}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R})$ be $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R})$ measurable. Then the sum $f + g$ and the product $f \cdot g$ are also $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R})$ measurable.*

*Proof.* The maps $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ and $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ are continuous, hence measurable. Thus, the composite maps $x \mapsto (f(x), g(x)) \mapsto f(x) + g(x)$ and $x \mapsto (f(x), g(x)) \mapsto f(x)g(x)$ are also measurable. □

*Example.* Let $(X, \mathcal{M})$ be a measurable space, and let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the map

$$s : X \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$$

is measurable. Such functions are called simple functions.

**Lemma 1.11.** *The maximum and minimum of measurable functions are measurable.*

**Corollary 1.11.1.** *The positive and negative parts of a measurable function are measurable.*

*Remark.* Recall that

$$f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}, \qquad f^{-1} = -\min\{f, 0\}, \qquad f = f^+ - f^-.$$

Thus, in order to show that a result holds for all measurable functions, it suffices to show the result only for all non-negative measurable functions.

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a collection of measurable functions $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. Then, their supremum and infimum are measurable.*

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n$ and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n$ are measurable.*

**Theorem 1.14.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $f_n \to f$ pointwise on $X$. Then, $f$ is measurable.*

*Remark.* This is a stronger result than the corresponding one regarding limits of continuous functions.

## 1.6  Lebesgue integration

**Theorem 1.15.** *Let $f\colon X \to [0,\infty]$ be measurable. Then, there exists a sequence of simple functions $s_n\colon X \to [0,\infty)$ such that*

$$0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \cdots \le s_n \le f$$

*for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s_n \to f$.*

**Corollary 1.15.1.** *Any measurable function $f\colon X \to [-\infty,\infty]$ can be written as the limit of a sequence of simple functions $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with $s_n \to f$.*

**Definition 1.7.** When dealing with the extended reals in measure theory, we use the convention $0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0$.

*Remark.* We want to have

$$\int_0^\infty 0 = 0 \cdot \mu(f^{-1}(0)) = 0 \cdot \mu([0,\infty)) = 0.$$

**Definition 1.8.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $s\colon X \to [0,\infty)$ be a simple, measurable function, of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \chi_{A_i}, \qquad A_i \in \mathcal{M}$$

where $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ are distinct values of $s$. Then, the Lebesgue integral of $s$ on $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\int_E s \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot \mu(E \cap A_i).$$

*Example.* The Dirichlet function is the simple function $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, upon assigning a $\sigma$-algebra and a measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$, we will be able to assign its Lebesgue integral on $\mathbb{R}$ as the value $\mu(\mathbb{Q})$.

**Definition 1.9.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $f\colon X \to [0,\infty)$ be a measurable function. Then, the Lebesgue integral of $f$ on $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \sup\left\{ \int_E s \, d\mu, \text{ for all simple functions } s, \text{where } 0 \le s \le f \right\}.$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Theorem 1.16.** *Let $f, g\colon X \to [0, \infty]$ be measurable functions.*

1. *If $0 \le f \le g$, then*
$$\int_E f \, d\mu \le \int_E g \, d\mu.$$

2. *If $A \subset B$, then*
$$\int_A f \, d\mu \le \int_B f \, d\mu.$$

3. *For $c \in \mathbb{R}$,*
$$\int_E cf \, d\mu = c \int_E f \, d\mu.$$

4. *If $f = 0$, then*
$$\int_E f \, d\mu = 0.$$

5. *If $\mu(E) = 0$, then*
$$\int_E f \, d\mu = 0.$$

**Definition 1.10.** We say that a statement is true *almost everywhere* on $X$ if it is true everywhere on $X \setminus E$ for a measure zero set $E$.

**Lemma 1.17.** *Let $f\colon X \to [0, \infty]$ be a measurable function. If*
$$\int_X f \, d\mu = 0,$$
*then $f = 0$ almost everywhere.*

*Proof.* We wish to show that the set $E = f^{-1}(0, \infty]$ has measure zero. Now, note that this is the union of the measurable sets $E_n = f^{-1}(1/n, \infty]$. Since $E_n \subset E \subseteq X$, we have
$$\int_{E_n} \frac{1}{n} \, d\mu \le \int_{E_n} f \, d\mu \le \int_X f \, d\mu.$$
However, this is just
$$0 \le \frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n) \le \int_{E_n} f \, d\mu \le 0,$$
hence each $\mu(E_n) = 0$. Continuity from below gives $\mu(E) = 0$. $\square$

**Lemma 1.18.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $s\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative simple function. Define*
$$\nu(E) = \int_E s \, d\mu, \qquad E \in \mathcal{M}.$$
*Then, $\nu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{M}$.*

### 1.6.1    Monotone convergence

**Theorem 1.19** (Monotone convergence)**.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$, and $f_n \to f$ pointwise. Then,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

**Lemma 1.20.** *Let $f, g \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions. Then, $f + g$ is measurable.*

**Theorem 1.21.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$. Define*

$$f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x).$$

*Then, $f$ is measurable and*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

**Theorem 1.22** (Fatou)**.** *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

**Corollary 1.22.1.** *If $f_n \to f$, then*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

**Corollary 1.22.2.** *If $f_n \to f$ and each $0 \leq f_n \leq f$, then*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

*Example.* Consider the functions $f_n = \chi_{[n,n+1)}$. Now, $f_n \to 0$ pointwise, but the Lebesgue integrals of $f_n$ are all 1.

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Lemma 1.23.** *Let $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of non-negative terms. Then,*

$$\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij}.$$

*Proof.* Define $f_i(j) = a_{ij}$, and $f = \sum_i f_i$. Using the counting measure,

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f_i \, d\mu = \sum_j a_{ij}.$$

Also,

$$f(j) = \sum_i a_{ij}, \qquad \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij} = \sum_j f(j) = \int_{\mathbb{N}} f \, d\mu = \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{N}} f_i \, d\mu = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}. \qquad \square$$

**Theorem 1.24.** *Let $f\colon X \to [0,\infty]$ be a non-negative measurable function. Define*

$$\nu(E) = \int_E f \, d\mu, \qquad E \in \mathcal{M}.$$

*Then, $\nu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, if $g\colon X \to [0,\infty]$ is measurable, then*

$$\int_X g \, d\nu = \int_X g \, f \, d\mu.$$

### 1.6.2   Dominated convergence

**Definition 1.11.** For a measurable function $f\colon X \to [-\infty, \infty]$, we may define

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X f^+ \, d\mu - \int_X f^- \, d\mu,$$

as long as at least one of these terms is finite.

**Definition 1.12.** Let

$$L^1(\mu) = \{f\colon X \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable, and } \int_X |f| \, d\mu \text{ is finite}\}.$$

For $f \in L^1(\mu)$, we may write $f = u + iv$ where $u, v$ are real valued measurable functions and define

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X u \, d\mu + i \int_X v \, d\mu.$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Lemma 1.25.** *When $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\int_X \alpha f + \beta g \, d\mu = \alpha \int_X f \, d\mu + \beta \int_X g \, d\mu.$$

$$\left| \int_X f \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |f| \, d\mu.$$

**Theorem 1.26.** *The space of functions $L^1(\mu)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. The map*

$$T \colon L^1(\mu) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad f \mapsto \int_X f \, d\mu$$

*is a linear map. Furthermore, $L^1(\mu)$ is a metric space, with*

$$d(f, g) = \int_X |f - g| \, d\mu.$$

*Remark.* Observe that

$$|T(f) - T(g)| \leq d(f, g),$$

making $T$ a Lipschitz continuous map.

**Theorem 1.27** (Dominated convergence). *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $f_n \to f$ pointwise on $X$. Furthermore, let $g \colon X \to [0, \infty)$, $g \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $|f_n| \leq g$. Then, $f \in L^1(\mu)$,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

**Corollary 1.27.1** (Bounded convergence). *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $f_n \to f$ pointwise on $X$. Furthermore let $|f_n| \leq M$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mu(X) < \infty$. Then, $f \in L^1(\mu)$,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

*Example.* Consider

$$f_n \colon [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{nx}{1 + n^2 x^2}.$$

Then, it can be shown that $f_n \to 0$ pointwise on $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, each $|f_n| < 1$ and $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$, hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} \frac{nx}{1 + n^2 x^2} \, d\mu = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.28** (Fundamental theorem). *Let $F\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F' = f$ where $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $|f| < c$. Then,*

$$\int_{[a,b]} f \, d\mu = F(b) - F(a).$$

*Proof.* Define

$$f_n \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto n(F(x + 1/n) - F(x)),$$

and note that $f_n \to f$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

**Theorem 1.29.** *Let $f\colon X \times [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$, where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, and let each $f(\cdot, t) = f_t \in L^1(\mu, X)$. Define*

$$F(t) = \int_X f(x, t) \, d\mu(x).$$

*Suppose that $\partial f / \partial t$ exists, with $g \in L^1(\mu, X)$ such that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| \leq g(x).$$

*Then, $F$ is differentiable, with*

$$F'(t) = \int_X \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) \, d\mu(x).$$

**Theorem 1.30.** *Let $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}$, where $f \in L^1(\mu)$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(E) < \delta$, we have*

$$\int_E |f| \, d\mu < \epsilon.$$

*In other words,*

$$\lim_{\mu(E) \to 0} \int_E |f| \, d\mu = 0.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad x \mapsto \begin{cases} |f(x)|, & \text{if } |f(x)| \leq n, \\ n, & \text{if } |f(x)| > n. \end{cases}$$

Then each $|f_n| \leq n$, $f_n \to |f|$ monotonically. Thus, the monotone convergence theorem will give

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X |f| \, d\mu.$$

For sufficiently large $N$, we have

$$\int_X |f| \, d\mu - \int_X f_N \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By restricting the domain of integration to $E \subseteq X$,

$$\int_E |f| \, d\mu - \int_E f_N \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Finally, set $\delta = \epsilon/2N$, so that for $\mu(E) < \delta$, we have

$$\int_E |f| \, d\mu < \int_E f_N \, d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < N\mu(E) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \qquad \square$$

**Theorem 1.31.** *Let $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}$, where $f \in L^1(\mu)$. Suppose that for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$, we have*

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = 0.$$

*Then, $f = 0$ almost everywhere on $X$.*

**Theorem 1.32.** *Let $f\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded on the compact interval $[a,b]$. If $f$ is Riemann integrable, then $f \in L^1(\mu)$ with*

$$\int_a^b f = \int_{[a,b]} f \, d\mu.$$

*Remark.* The converse fails, since $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not Riemann integrable, but it is Lebesgue integrable.

*Example.* Suppose that we wish to compute the integral

$$\int_{(0,1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \, d\mu.$$

Note that the corresponding Riemann integral is improper. Thus, we define the functions

$$f_n \colon (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \chi_{[1/n,1)}(x),$$

and note that $f_n(x) \to 1/\sqrt{x}$ on $(0,1)$ monotonically. Thus, the monotone convergence theorem guarantees that

$$\int_{(0,1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \, d\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{1/n}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 2.$$

*Example.* Suppose that we wish to compute

$$\int_{(1,\infty)} \frac{1}{x^2} \, d\mu.$$

Again, define

$$f_n \colon (1,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \frac{1}{x^2} \chi_{(1,n)}(x).$$

Then $f_n(x) \to 1/x^2$ on $(1,\infty)$ monotonically, so

$$\int_{(0,1)} \frac{1}{x^2} \, d\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} 1 - \frac{1}{n} = 1.$$

*Example.* Suppose that we wish to compute

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x}\, dx.$$

By setting

$$f_n\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x} \chi_{[0,n]}(x),$$

we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x}\, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{[0,\infty)} f_n\, d\mu.$$

Furthermore, $|f_n(x)| \le e^{-2x}$ and the latter is in $L^1(\mu)$. Thus, the dominated convergence theorem guarantees that this limit is

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n\, d\mu = \int_0^\infty e^{-3x}\, dx = \frac{1}{3}.$$

*Remark.* For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence

$$e_n = \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$$

is increasing, with $e_n \to e^x$. To show this, consider the ratio

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} = \frac{(1 + \frac{x}{n+1})^{n+1}}{(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n} = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{x}{n+1}}{1 + \frac{x}{n}}\right)^{n+1}\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{(n+1)(n+x)}\right)^{n+1}\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Applying Bernoulli's inequality, noting that $|x/(n+x)| < 1$,

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} \ge \left(1 - \frac{x}{n+x}\right)\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) = 1.$$

---

*Example.* Suppose that we wish to compute

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_1^\infty \frac{\log(1 + nx)}{1 + x^2 \log n}\, dx.$$

Observe that for $x \ge 1, n \ge 1$, we have $nx \le 1 + nx \le 2nx$ so

$$\frac{\log n + \log x}{1 + x^2 \log n} \le \frac{\log(1 + nx)}{1 + x^2 \log n} \le \frac{\log 2 + \log n + \log x}{1 + x^2 \log n}.$$

The Squeeze Theorem immediately gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(1 + nx)}{1 + x^2 \log n} = \frac{1}{x^2}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\log(1 + nx)}{1 + x^2 \log n} \le \frac{\log 2}{x^2} + \frac{\log x}{x^2} + \frac{\log n}{x^2},$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

and the latter is in $L^1(\mu)$. Indeed, $\log x < x$ yields $\log \sqrt{x} \leq \sqrt{x}$, hence $\log x / x^2 \leq 2x^{-3/2}$. Thus, the dominated convergence theorem guarantees that our limit is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_1^\infty \frac{\log(1 + nx)}{1 + x^2 \log n} \, dx = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx = 1.$$

*Example.* Suppose that we wish to compute

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{n^{1/4} e^{-nx^2}}{1 + x^2} \, dx.$$

Observe that the map $t \mapsto te^{-t^4}$ is bounded on $(0, \infty)$, attaining a maximum at $t = 1/\sqrt{2}$ with the maximum $M = e^{-1/4}/\sqrt{2}$. Thus, putting $t = n^{1/4} x^{1/2}$, we have

$$n^{1/4} \sqrt{x} e^{-nx^4} \leq M, \qquad \frac{n^{1/4} e^{-nx^2}}{1 + x^2} \leq \frac{M/\sqrt{x}}{1 + x^2}.$$

On the interval $(0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}(1 + x^2)} \, dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \, dx = 2,$$

and on $(1, \infty)$, we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}(1 + x^2)} \, dx \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx = 1.$$

Furthermore, $n^{1/4} e^{-nx^2} \to 0$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$. Thus, the dominated convergence theorem guarantees that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{n^{1/4} e^{-nx^2}}{1 + x^2} \, dx = 0.$$

*Remark.* Consider $n^{1/m} e^{-nx^k}$; to bound this, we examine the map $t \mapsto te^{-t^m}$. This attains a maximum when $e^{-t^m} - mt^m e^{-t^m} = 0$, i.e. $t = 1/m^{1/m}$, hence a maximum value of $M = e^{-1/m}/m^{1/m}$. Thus, putting $t = n^{1/m} x^{k/m}$,

$$n^{1/m} x^{k/m} e^{-nx^k} \leq M, \qquad n^{1/m} e^{-nx^k} \leq M x^{-k/m}.$$

## 1.7 $L^p$ spaces

**Definition 1.13.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define

$$L^p(\mu) = \{f \colon X \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable, and } \int_X |f|^p \, d\mu \text{ is finite}\}.$$

*Remark.* We denote

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_X |f|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Definition 1.14.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $f \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable. We define the essential supremum of $f$ as

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{M : \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > M\} = 0\}.$$

*Remark.* We have $f \leq \|f\|_\infty$ almost everywhere in $X$.

*Example.* Note that for
$$f \colon (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto 1/x,$$
we have $\|f\|_\infty = \infty$. By convention, $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

**Definition 1.15.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space. We define the space of essentially bounded functions as

$$L^\infty(\mu) = \{f \colon X \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable, and } \|f\|_\infty \text{ is finite}\}.$$

**Lemma 1.33** (Young). *For $a, b \geq 0$, $p, q > 1$,*

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}, \qquad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Using Jensen's inequality on the logarithm,

$$\log(ta^p + (1-t)b^q) \geq t\log(a^p) + (1-t)\log(b^q).$$

Putting $t = 1/p$ and exponentiating immediately gives the result. $\qquad\square$

**Lemma 1.34** (Hölder). *For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $f, g$ measurable,*

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \qquad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

*Proof.* This is trivial when either $f, g = 0$, or $p, q = 1$. Otherwise, applying Young's inequality and integrating gives

$$\int_X |f(x)g(x)| \, d\mu \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_X |f(x)|^p \, d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \int_X |g(x)|^q \, d\mu.$$

When $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_q = 1$, this immediately gives the desired inequality since the right hand side is 1. Otherwise, define $F = f/\|f\|_p$, $G = g/\|g\|_q$, upon which $\|F\|_p = \|G\|_q = 1$, hence

$$\|fg\|_1 = \int_X |f(x)g(x)| \, d\mu \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \qquad\square$$

*Example.* Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and let each $\mu([n, n+1)) = 1$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[n,n+1)} f \, d\mu = 0.$$

To show this, note that Hölder's inequality for $p = 1 = 2$, also known as the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, gives

$$\int_{[n,n+1)} |f| \, d\mu \leq \left( \int_{[n,n+1)} |f|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{[n,n+1)} d\mu \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_{[n,n+1)} |f|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, note that the following defines a measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$\nu(E) = \int_E |f|^2 \, d\mu.$$

Furthermore, this is a finite measure because $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \|f\|_2^2 < \infty$. Now, each $\nu([n, n+1)) \leq \nu([n, \infty))$; continuity from above now gives

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[n,n+1)} |f|^2 \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu([n, \infty)) = \nu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

**Lemma 1.35** (Minkowski)**.** *For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f, g$ measurable,*

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

*Proof.* This is trivial when $p = 1, \infty$. Otherwise, for $1 < p < \infty$, note that

$$|f(x) + g(x)|^p \leq (|f(x) + g(x)|)^p \leq (2 \max(f(x), g(x)))^p \leq 2^p \left( |f(x)|^p + |g(x)|^p \right).$$

This shows that when $f, g \in L^p(\mu)$, we have $f + g \in L^p(\mu)$. Set $F = |f + g|^{p-1}$, when the triangle inequality followed by Hölder's inequality gives

$$\|f + g\|_p^p \leq \int_X F(x)|f(x)| \, d\mu + \int_X F(x)|g(x)| \, d\mu \leq \|F(x)\|_q \|f\|_p + \|F(x)\|_q \|g\|_p,$$

where $q = 1 - 1/p$. Using $(p-1)q = p$,

$$\|F(x)\|_q = \left( \int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^{(p-1)q} \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} = \left( \int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1-1/p} = \frac{\|f + g\|_p^p}{\|f + g\|_p}.$$

This immediately gives the result. □

**Theorem 1.36.** *The spaces of functions $L^p(\mu)$ and $L^\infty(\mu)$ are complete metric spaces.*

*Remark.* Two functions in such a space are identified if they are equal almost everywhere.

**Corollary 1.36.1.** *Let $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mu)$, where each $f_n \in L^p(\mu)$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f_{n_k} \to f$ pointwise in $\mathbb{C}$, almost everywhere in $X$. Moreover, there exists $h \in L^p(\mu)$ such that $|f_{n_k}| \leq h$ almost everywhere in $X$.*

**Theorem 1.37.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space, and let $1 \le p < q \le \infty$. Then, $L^p(\mu) \supseteq L^q(\mu)$.*

*Proof.* Set $u = q/p > 1$, $v = 1 - 1/u$, whence

$$\| |f|^p \|_1 \le \| |f|^p \|_u \|1\|_v = \|1\|_v \left( \int_X |f|^q \, d\mu \right)^{p/q} = \mu(X)^{1/v} \|f\|_q^p.$$

Thus,

$$\|f\|_p = \| |f|^p \|_1^{1/q} \le \mu(X)^{1/p - 1/q} \|f\|_q. \qquad \square$$

*Example.* Note that the map $x \mapsto 1/\sqrt{x}$ is in $L^1(0, 1)$, but not in $L^2(0, 1)$.

*Example.* Note that the map $x \mapsto 1/x(|\log x| + 1)^2$ is in $L^1(0, 1)$, but not in any $L^p(0, 1)$ where $p > 1$.

**Theorem 1.38.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space. Then, $L^\infty(\mu) \subseteq L^p(\mu)$ for all $1 \le p \le \infty$, and*

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty.$$

*Example.* Consider the function

$$f \colon (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto \log x.$$

Then for $1 \le p < \infty$, we have

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_{(0,1)} |\log x|^p \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0,1)} |\log x|^p \chi_{(1/n, 1)} \, d\mu.$$

The latter follows from the monotone convergence theorem. Now, make the substitution $u = \log x$ to evaluate the Riemann integral

$$\int_{1/n}^1 |\log x|^p \, dx = \int_{-\log n}^0 |u|^p e^u \, du = \int_0^{\log n} u^p e^{-u} \, du.$$

Indeed, the monotone convergence theorem immediately shows that this converges to $\Gamma(p + 1)$. Another way to show that this converges is to see that $e^u > u^k/k!$, $u^p e^{-u} \le k! u^{p-k}$. Choosing sufficiently large $k$ so that $k - p > 2$, we have

$$\int_0^{\log n} u^p e^{-u} \, du \le \int_0^1 du + k! \int_1^{\log n} \frac{1}{u^2} \, du = 1 + k! - \frac{k!}{\log n}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_{(0,1)} |\log x|^p \, dx \le 1 + k! < \infty.$$

This shows that $f \in L^p(\mu)$ for all $1 \le p < \infty$. However, it is clear that $f \notin L^\infty(\mu)$.

**Theorem 1.39.** *Let $S$ be the set of all simple, measurable, complex valued functions which are non-zero on a set of finite measure. Then, the closure of $S$ in $L^p(\mu)$ is the whole of $L^p(\mu)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

**Theorem 1.40** (Lusin)**.** *Let $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable, and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ have finite measure, with $f = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support, such that*

$$\mu\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\} < \epsilon.$$

*Moreover, $g$ can be chosen such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |g| \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

**Theorem 1.41.** *The set of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support is dense in $L^p(\mu)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

*Example.* The set of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support is *not* dense in $L^\infty(\mu)$; recall that the uniform limit of continuous functions is always continuous, i.e. sequences of continuous functions can only converge to continuous functions in $L^\infty(\mu)$. Instead, the closure of this set in $L^\infty$ consists of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such such given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $|f| < \epsilon$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$.

*Example.* Let $f \in L^2[0,1]$, and suppose that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)\, x^n \, dx = 0$$

for all integers $n \geq 0$. Then, $f = 0$ almost everywhere. To show this, note that we have

$$\int_0^1 f(x)(a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n)\, dx = 0, \qquad \int_0^1 f(x) p(x)\, dx.$$

for all polynomials $p(x)$. By the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, we have

$$\int_0^1 f(x) g(x)\, dx = 0$$

for all continuous functions $h$ on $[0,1]$. Now, $f \in L^2[0,1]$, hence there exists a sequence of continuous functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ on $[0,1]$ so that $h_n \to f$ in $L^2[0,1]$. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x)(h_n(x) - f(x))\, dx \right| \leq \|f\|_2 \, \|h_n - f\|_2 \to 0.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^1 f^2 \, dx = 0,$$

forcing $f = 0$ almost everywhere.

**Theorem 1.42.** *The set of all smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support is dense in $L^p(\mu)$, for $1 \le p < \infty$.*

**Theorem 1.43** (Egoroff)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space, and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex measurable functions $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_n \to f$ pointwise almost everywhere on $X$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $E \subseteq X$ such that $f_n \to f$ uniformly on $E$ and $\mu(X \setminus E) < \epsilon$.*

*Remark.* The converse holds even without assuming $\mu(X) < \infty$.

*Example.* Consider the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$, and the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ described by

$$f_n \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad k \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \le k \le n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $f_n \to 1$ pointwise. Setting $\epsilon = 1/2$, we demand $f_n \to f$ uniformly on a set $E$ with $\mu(\mathbb{N} \setminus E) < 1/2$. This forces $\mathbb{N} \setminus E = \emptyset$, i.e. $E = \mathbb{N}$. However, the convergence $f_n \to 1$ is not uniform on $\mathbb{N}$.

*Example.* Consider the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ described by

$$f_n \colon [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto x^n.$$

Then, $f_n \to \chi_{\{1\}}$ pointwise, and the convergence $f_n \to 0$ is uniform on every interval $[0, 1 - \delta]$ for $\delta > 0$. However, we cannot have uniform convergence on any measure zero set.

**Theorem 1.44.** *Let $1 \le p < \infty$, and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ such that $f_n \to f$ pointwise and $f_n, f \in L^p(\mu)$. Then, $f_n \to f$ converges in $L^p(\mu)$ if and only if $\|f_n\|_p \to \|f\|_p$.*

*Proof.* First suppose that $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mu)$, i.e. $\|f_n - f\|_p \to 0$. Now, Minkowski's inequality will show that

$$\left| \|f_n\|_p - \|f\|_p \right| \le \|f_n - f\|_p,$$

hence we have $\|f_n\|_p \to \|f\|_p$ by the squeeze theorem.

Next, suppose that $\|f_n\|_p \to \|f\|_p$. We first show that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\alpha + \beta)^p \le 2^{p-1}(|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p).$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

Indeed, this follows immediately from the convexity of the map $t \mapsto t^p$ and Jensen's inequality, whence $(\alpha/2 + \beta/2)^p \le (|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p)/2$. Thus,

$$|f_n - f|^p \le 2^{p-1}|f_n|^p + 2^{p-1}|f|^p.$$

Now, $|f_n \to f|^p \to 0$ pointwise, and the right hand side of our inequality is in $L^1(\mu)$. Thus, the dominated convergence theorem guarantees that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{[0,1]} |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu = \int_{[0,1]} \lim_{n\to\infty} |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu = 0.$$

Thus, $\|f_n - f\|_p \to 0$. $\qquad\square$

## 1.8 The Lebesgue measure

**Definition 1.16.** Let $X$ be a set, and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote its power set. We say that a function $\mu^* \colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty]$ is called an outer measure if it satisfies the following.

1. $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$.

2. $\mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B$.

3.
$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i).$$

*Example.* Let $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\emptyset, X \in \xi$, and let $f \colon \xi \to [0, \infty]$ with $f(\emptyset) = 0$. For $A \subseteq X$, we may define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(E_i) : A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, E_i \in \xi\right\}.$$

It can be verified that this is indeed an outer measure. The first property follows immediately, and the second follows from the fact that if $A \subseteq B$, all covers of $B$ are also covers of $A$. For the third, let

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Then, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $E_{ij} \in \xi$ such that

$$\mu^*(A_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} \ge \sum_j f(E_{ij}), \qquad A_i \subseteq \bigcup_j E_{ij}.$$

Now, the sets $E_{ij}$ all cover $A$, so

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_{ij} f(E_{ij}) \le \sum_i \left[\mu^*(A_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^i}\right] = \sum_i \mu^*(A_i) + \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have the desired result,

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_i \mu^*(A_i).$$

**Definition 1.17.** A set $A \subseteq X$ is called $\mu^*$-measurable if for all $E \subseteq X$, we have

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^c).$$

*Remark.* We need only check the $\geq$ direction, and we need only check sets $E$ of finite outer measure.

**Theorem 1.45** (Carathéodory). *Let $X$ be non-empty with an outer measure $\mu^*$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all $\mu^*$-measurable subsets of $X$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ forms a $\sigma$-algebra, and the restriction of $\mu^*$ to $\mathcal{M}$ is a measure $\mu$. Moreover, $\mu$ is a complete measure.*

*Remark.* A complete measure $\mu$ is such that given a measurable set $A$ with $\mu(A) = 0$, all the subsets $B \subseteq A$ are measurable, with $\mu(B) = 0$.

**Definition 1.18.** Let $\ell$ be the length function for intervals in $\mathbb{R}$. Extend this to an outer measure $m_1^*$ as outlined previously, and use the Carathéodory Theorem to find a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ and a complete measure $m_1$ on $\mathcal{L}$. Then, we call $\mathcal{L}$ the Lebesgue $\sigma$-algebra, and $m_1$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$m_1^*(A) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |b_i - a_i| : A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(a_i, b_i)\right\}.$$

*Remark.* A similar process can be carried out using the volume function $\ell_n$ for rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^n$, yielding the Lebesgue $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{L}_n$ and the complete Lebesgue measure $m_n$.

*Example.* All singletons have Lebesgue measure zero. As a result, all countable sets also have Lebesgue measure zero.

**Theorem 1.46.** *We have the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}$.*

*Remark.* The restriction of $m_1$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called the Borel measure $m_{\mathcal{B}}$.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that sets of the form $A = (a, \infty) \in \mathcal{L}$. Pick a subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a cover of $E$ with intervals $(a_n, b_n)$. Then, by the property of infimums,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n \cap (a, \infty)) + \ell(I_n \cap (-\infty, a)) \geq m_1^*(E \cap A) + m_1^*(E \cap A^c)$$

However, each

$$\ell(I_n) \geq \ell(I_n \cap (a, \infty)) + \ell(I_n \cap (-\infty, a)).$$

Thus, summing and taking infimums again,

$$m_1^*(A) \geq m_1^*(E \cap A) + m_1^*(E \cap A^c),$$

proving that $A$ is $m_1^*$ measurable, hence $A \in \mathcal{L}$. $\qquad\square$

**Theorem 1.47.** *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable. Then,*

$$m_1(E) = \inf\{m_1(U) : E \subseteq U, U \text{ is open}\},$$
$$= \sup\{m_1(K) : K \subseteq E, K \text{ is compact}\}.$$

*Remark.* The above relations describe the Lebesgue measure as a limit of sorts of the Borel measure.

*Proof.* For the first part, note that for any open set $U$ such that $E \subseteq U$, we immediately have $m_1(E) \leq m_1(U)$, hence

$$m_1(E) \leq \inf\{m_1(U) : E \subseteq U, U \text{ is open}\}.$$

We now show the reverse inequality. Note that if $m_1(E) = \infty$, this is trivial. Otherwise, $m_1(E)$ is finite, hence for $\epsilon > 0$ we can find an open cover $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $E$ such that

$$m_1(E) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \ell((a_n, b_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty m_1(I_n) \geq m_1\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n\right).$$

Since $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n$ is an open set with $E \subseteq U$, we are done.

Note that when $m_1(E)$ is finite, we have found open $U$ such that $E \subseteq U$, and $m_1(U \setminus E) < \epsilon$. But $U \setminus E = U \cap E^c = E^c \setminus U^c$, and $U^c \subseteq E^c$. Relabelling, we have shown that given Lebesgue measurable $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we can find a closed set $F$ such that $F \subseteq E$, and $m_1(E \setminus F) < \epsilon$.

For the next part, note that for any compact set $K$ such that $K \subseteq E$, we immediately have $m_1(E) \geq m_1(K)$, hence

$$m_1(E) \geq \sup\{m_1(K) : K \subseteq E, K \text{ is compact}\}.$$

We now show the reverse inequality. First, consider the case where $E$ is bounded, so $m_1(E)$ is finite. If $E$ is closed, it is also compact, hence the result is trivial. Otherwise, the inclusion $E \subset \overline{E}$ is strict, hence $\overline{E} \setminus E$ is non-empty and open. This gives

$$m_1(\overline{E} \setminus E) = \inf\{m_1(U) : \overline{E} \setminus E \subseteq U, U \text{ is open}\}.$$

Thus for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists open $U$ such that $\overline{E} \setminus E \subseteq U$ and

$$m_1(\overline{E} \setminus E) + \epsilon \geq m_1(U), \qquad m_1(E) - \epsilon \leq m_1(\overline{E} \setminus U).$$

Note that we could perform this rearrangement since the sets $E, \overline{E}, U$ all have finite measure. This, we have found a suitable compact set $K = \overline{E} \setminus U$ with $E \subseteq K$, hence we are done.

If $E$ is unbounded and $m_1(E) = \infty$, set $E_n = E \cap [n, n+1)$, whence $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_n$. Now the $E_n$ are bounded, measurable, and disjoint. For $\epsilon > 0$, find compact sets $K_n$ such that each

$$m_1(E_n) - \frac{\epsilon}{3 \cdot 2^{|n|}} \leq m_1(K_n).$$

Set

$$E^n = \bigcup_{-n \leq i \leq n} E_n, \qquad K^n = \bigcup_{-n \leq i \leq n} K_i$$

Note that each $K^n$ is compact. Summing our inequality gives

$$m_1(E^n) - \epsilon \leq m_1(K^n).$$

Continuity from below gives
$$m_1(E) = \lim_{n\to\infty} m_1(E^n).$$

Thus, if $m_1(E) = \infty$, we have $m_1(K^n) \to \infty$ with each $K^n \subseteq E$ and $K^n$ compact, hence we are done.

Otherwise, $m_1(E)$ is finite. Find open $U$ such that $E \subseteq U$, $m_1(U \setminus E) < \epsilon/3$. Setting $U^n = U \cap (-n, n)$, we have

$$U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U^n, \qquad m_1(U) = \lim_{n\to\infty} m_1(U^n),$$

hence $m_1(U \setminus U^m) < \epsilon/3$ for sufficiently large $m$. This in turn gives $m_1(E \setminus U^m) < \epsilon/3$. Next, since $m_1(E), m_1(U^m)$ are finite, we can find closed sets $F_1, F_2$ such that $F_1 \subseteq E$, $F_2 \subseteq U^m$, and $m_1(E \setminus F_1) < \epsilon/3$, $m_1(U^m \setminus F_2) < \epsilon/3$. Furthermore, $F_2$ is closed and bounded, hence compact. Set $K = F_1 \cap F_2$, which is closed and bounded hence compact. Now, $K \subseteq E$, and

$$E \setminus K \subseteq (E \setminus U^m) \cup (E \setminus F_1) \cup (U^m \setminus F_2).$$

This immediately gives $m_1(E \setminus K) < \epsilon$, or $m_1(E) - \epsilon < m_1(K)$, hence we are done. □

**Corollary 1.47.1.** *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists an open set $U$ such that $E \subseteq U$ and $m_1(U \setminus E) < \epsilon$.*

*Proof.* We have already dealt with the case where $m_1(E)$ is finite. Otherwise, set $E_n = E \cap [n, n+1)$; each of these has finite measure, hence we can find open sets $U_n$ such that $E_n \subseteq U_n$ and $m_1(U_n \setminus E_n) < \epsilon/3 \cdot 2^{|n|}$. Set $U = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} U_n$, whence $U$ is open with $E \subseteq U$ and

$$m_1(U \setminus E) \leq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} m_1(U_n \setminus E_n) < \epsilon. \qquad □$$

**Corollary 1.47.2.** *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(E) < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a compact set $K$ such that $K \subseteq E$ and $m_1(E \setminus K) < \epsilon$.*

*Example.* Note that we cannot necessarily find open sets $U$ with $U \subseteq E$, or closed sets $F$ with $E \subseteq K$ such that the differences have arbitrarily small measure. Note that the set $\mathbb{Q}^c$ of irrationals has empty interior although $m_1(\mathbb{Q}^c) = \infty$, and the closure of the set $\mathbb{Q}$ of rationals is the entirety of $\mathbb{R}$ although $m_1(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

*Example.* If $U \subseteq R$ is Lebesgue measurable and non-empty, then $m_1(U) > 0$. This is because the non-empty open set $U$ must contain a basic open interval of the form $(a, b) \subseteq U$, hence $m_1(U) \geq m_1((a, b)) = b - a > 0$.

*Example.* If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(E) = 0$, then $\overline{E^c} = \mathbb{R}$. To see this, pick $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and an open neighbourhood $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$. If $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap E^c = (x - \delta, x + \delta) \setminus E$ were empty, that would force $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq E$, hence $m_1(E) \geq 2\delta > 0$, a contradiction.

**Theorem 1.48.** *A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable if and only if we can write*

$$E = G \setminus N_1 = F \cup N_2,$$

*where $G$ is a $G_\delta$ set, $F$ is an $F_\sigma$ set, and $N_1, N_2$ have measure zero.*

*Remark.* A $G_\delta$ set is a countable intersection of closed sets, and an $F_\sigma$ set is a countable union of open sets.

*Proof.* Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable. Then, we can find a sequence of open sets $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that each $E \subseteq U_n$ and $m_1(U_n \setminus E) < 1/n$. Set

$$G = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n, \qquad m_1(G \setminus E) \leq m_1(U_n \setminus E) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

This forces $m_1(G \setminus E) = 0$. Thus, we write $E = G \setminus (G \setminus E)$.

Next, we can find a sequence of closed sets $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that each $F_n \subseteq E$ and $m_1(E \setminus F_n) < 1/n$. Again, set

$$F = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n, \qquad m_1(E \setminus F) \leq m_1(E \setminus F_n) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

This forces $m_1(E \setminus F) = 0$. Thus, we write $E = F \cup (E \setminus F)$. $\qquad\square$

**Corollary 1.48.1.** *Given any Lebesgue measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we can find Borel measurable sets $F, G$ such that $F \subseteq E \subseteq G$, and $m_1(G \setminus F) = 0$.*

**Theorem 1.49.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Then, there exists an extended $\sigma$-algebra $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ and an extended measure $\bar{\mu}$ such that $\bar{\mu}$ is a complete measure. Furthermore,*

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \{S \cup N : S \in \mathcal{M}, N \subseteq N', \mu(N) = 0\},$$

*and*

$$\bar{\mu}(S \cup N) = \mu(S).$$

**Theorem 1.50.** *The completion of the Borel measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}, m_\mathcal{B})$ is the Lebesgue measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, m_1)$.*

**Lemma 1.51.** *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable, so are the translations $x + E$ and the dilations $rE$. Moreover,*

$$m_1(x + E) = m_1(E), \qquad m_1(rE) = |r|\, m_1(E).$$

*Example.* The Cantor set defined as

$$\mathcal{C} = [0,1] \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3n-1} \left( \frac{3k+1}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+2}{3^{n+1}} \right),$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n, \qquad C_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^n-1} \left( \left[ \frac{3k}{3^n}, \frac{3k+1}{3^n} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{3k+2}{3^n}, \frac{3k+3}{3^n} \right] \right)$$

is compact, and uncountable. Indeed it is Borel measurable, hence Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.

---

*Example.* Consider $\mathbb{R}$ as an additive group, and examine the quotient group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$. Pick exactly one representative element from each coset, ensuring that it belongs to the interval $[0,1]$, and call this set $\mathcal{V}$. This is a Vitali set, and it it not Lebesgue measurable. To see this, suppose that it were. Enumerate the rationals in $[-1,1]$ as $\{q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, and set $\mathcal{V}_i = q_i + \mathcal{V}$. Note that each $\mathcal{V}_i$ must also be Lebesgue measurable, with $m_1(\mathcal{V}_i) = m_1(\mathcal{V})$ due to translation invariance. We claim that

$$[0,1] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_i \subseteq [-1,2].$$

To see the former inclusion, pick arbitrary $x \in [0,1]$. Then, $x$ must belong to one of the cosets of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$, say $r + \mathbb{Q}$ with $r \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus, $x - r \in \mathbb{Q}$, but $-1 \le x - r \le 1$ hence $x - r = q_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It immediately follows that $x = q_i + r \in q_i + \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_i$.

Our set of inclusions implies that

$$m_1([0,1]) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_1(\mathcal{V}_i) \le m_1([-1,3]).$$

Thus, $1 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_1(\mathcal{V}) \le 3$, which is absurd.

*Remark.* The construction of $\mathcal{V}$ invokes the Axiom of Choice.

---

**Lemma 1.52.** *The following inclusions are strict.*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}).$$

*Remark.* The Borel $\sigma$-algebra has the cardinality of the continuum, $\mathfrak{c}$. However, note that the uncountable Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$ is Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, hence all of its subsets are also Lebesgue measurable. This shows that the Lebesgue $\sigma$-algebra has cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, strictly greater than that of the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.

---

**Theorem 1.53.** *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(E) > 0$, then $E$ contains a non-measurable subset.*

*Proof.* First, we show that any measurable subset of a Vitali set $\mathcal{V}$ has measure zero. Indeed if $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ is Lebesgue measurable, then set $A_i = q_i + A$ for all rationals $q_i \in [-1, 1]$. Furthermore,

the sets $A_i$ are all mutually disjoint. From $A_i \subseteq [-1, 2]$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_1(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_1(A_i) \leq 3,$$

hence $m_1(A) = 0$.

Now, let $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ be Lebesgue measurable, and $m_1(E) > 0$. Then, if all the sets $E_i = E \cap \mathcal{V}_i$ were to be measurable, each $m_1(E_i) = 0$ hence the union

$$m_1(E) = m_1\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus, at least one of the $E_i$ must be non-measurable.

Finally, given $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ Lebesgue measurable with $m_1(E) > 0$, we must have some $m_1(E \cap [n, n+1)) > 0$, whence we apply the same argument on the shifted set $(E \cap [n, n+1)) - n$. $\quad\square$

**Corollary 1.53.1.** *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable, such that all of its subsets are also Lebesgue measurable. Then, $m_1(E) = 0$.*

## 1.9   Product measures

**Definition 1.19.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be measure spaces.

1. The sets $A \times B$ with $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $B \in \mathcal{N}$ are called measurable rectangles.

2. Finite unions of disjoint measurable rectangles are called elementary sets.

3. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the collection of all elementary rectangles. The product $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}$.

**Definition 1.20.** A collection $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a monotone class if the following hold.

1. Given $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with each $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$, the union

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

2. Given $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with each $B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$, the intersection

$$B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Definition 1.21.** The smallest monotone class containing a collection $S$ of subsets of $X$ is called the monotone class generated by $S$, denoted $\mathcal{A}(S)$.

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Lemma 1.54.** *Given a collection $S$ of subsets of $X$, we have*

$$S \subseteq \mathcal{A}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X).$$

**Definition 1.22.** A collection $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called an algebra over $X$ if the following hold.

1. $\mathcal{F}$ contains $X$.
2. $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under complementation.
3. $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under finite unions.

*Remark.* The following properties follow immediately.

1. $\mathcal{F}$ contains $\emptyset$.
2. $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under finite intersections.
3. $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under differences.

*Example.* The collection of elementary sets $\mathcal{E}$ defined earlier forms an algebra over $X \times Y$.

**Theorem 1.55** (Monotone Class Theorem)**.** *If $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebra of sets over $X$, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$. In other words, the monotone class generated by $\mathcal{F}$ is precisely the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{F}$.*

*Proof.* For each $P \in \mathcal{F}$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \{Q \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) : P \cup Q, P \setminus Q, Q \setminus P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

We claim that each $\mathcal{A}_P$ is a monotone class. Indeed, let $\{Q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{A}_P$, and let $Q$ be their union. Then, $\{P \cup Q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{Q_n \setminus P\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$, hence

$$P \cup Q = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} P \cup Q_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}), \qquad Q \setminus P = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Q_n \setminus P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Also, $\{P \setminus Q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$, hence

$$P \setminus Q = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} P \setminus Q_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}).$$

This shows that the union $Q \in \mathcal{A}_P$. The case for decreasing sequences is analogous.

Now, note that given $P, Q \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $P \cup Q, P \setminus Q, Q \setminus P \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, $Q \in \mathcal{A}_P$, $P \in \mathcal{A}_Q$. This shows that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_P, \mathcal{A}_Q$, so $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_P, \mathcal{A}_Q$.

Next, for $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$, we have $P \in \mathcal{A}_Q$, so $P \cup Q, P \setminus Q \in \mathcal{A}_Q \supseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$. This is enough to show that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$ is an algebra.

Finally, let $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of sets from $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$. Since the latter is an algebra, the finite unions

$$F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Now, $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$, so

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} F_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}).$$

This shows that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$ is a $\sigma$-algebra containing $\mathcal{F}$, so $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$.   $\square$

**Corollary 1.55.1.** *The monotone class generated by the algebra of elementary sets $\mathcal{E}$ is the product $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$.*

**Theorem 1.56.** *Let $E \subseteq \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. Define the sections*

$$E_x = \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in E\}, \qquad E_y = \{x \in X : (x,y) \in E\}.$$

*Then, $E_x \in \mathcal{M}$, $E_y \in \mathcal{N}$.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\Omega = \{E \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} : E_x \in \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } x \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}.$$

Note that $\Omega$ trivially contains all measurable rectangles, hence we have $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \Omega$. We claim that $\Omega$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, whence $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \Omega$ forces $\Omega = \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. To show this, first we clearly have $X \times Y \in \Omega$. Next given $E \in \Omega$, we have $E_x \in \mathcal{N}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(E^c)_x &= \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in E^c\} \\
&= \{y \in Y : (x,y) \notin E\} \\
&= Y \setminus \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in E\} \\
&= Y \setminus E_x
\end{aligned}$$

gives $(E^c)_x = (E_x)^c \in \mathcal{N}$. Finally, if $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are such that $E_i \in \Omega$, then each $(E_i)_x \in \mathcal{N}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right)_x &= \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\} \\
&= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in E_i\} \\
&= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i)_x
\end{aligned}$$

gives $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)_x = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i)_x \in \mathcal{N}$.   $\square$

**Theorem 1.57.** *Let $Z$ be a topological space, and let $f\colon X \times Y \to Z$ be $(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{B}_Z)$ measurable. Define the sections*

$$\begin{aligned}
f_x &\colon Y \to Z, &\quad y &\mapsto f(x,y), \\
f_y &\colon X \to Z, &\quad x &\mapsto f(x,y).
\end{aligned}$$

*Then, $f_x, f_y$ are measurable functions.*

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

*Proof.* Let $U \subseteq Z$ be open. Then, $E = f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ by the measurability of $f$. It can be shown that $f_x^{-1}(U) = E_x \in \mathcal{N}$ and $f_y^{-1}(U) = E_y \in \mathcal{M}$, hence $f_x, f_y$ are indeed measurable. □

**Definition 1.23.** A measure space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is called $\sigma$-finite if $X$ can be written as the countable, disjoint union of measurable sets of finite measure.

**Definition 1.24.** Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be $\sigma$-finite measure spaces. The product measure on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ is defined as

$$(\mu \times \nu)(E) = \int_X \nu(E_x) \, d\mu = \int_Y \mu(E_y) \, d\nu.$$

**Lemma 1.58.** *The product measure is well-defined, and is indeed a measure on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$.*

*Example.* Consider $X = [0,1]$ with the Lebesgue measure, and $Y = [0,1]$ with the counting measure. Set $D = \{(x,x) : x \in [0,1]\}$, whence

$$\int_X \nu(D_x) \, d\mu = \int_{[0,1]} 1 \, d\mu = 1, \qquad \int_Y \nu(D_y) \, d\nu = \int_{[0,1]} 0 \, d\mu = 0.$$

*Example.* The product measure obtained from two complete measure spaces may not be complete. Consider the product $m_1 \times m_1$ on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, and the set $\{0\} \times [0,1]$. This clearly has zero measure, but the subset $\{0\} \times \mathcal{V}$ is not $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$ measurable; if it were, the section $\mathcal{V}$ would have to be Lebesgue measurable.

This shows in particular that $m_1 \times m_1 \neq m_2$, where $m_2$ is the Lebesgue measure defined on $R^2$ (via the Carathéodory process). However, it is true that the completion $\overline{m_1 \times m_1} = m_2$.

**Theorem 1.59** (Fubini-Tonelli)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be $\sigma$-finite measure spaces, and let $f\colon X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable.*

1. *Let $f \geq 0$. Then the functions*

$$\varphi\colon X \to [0, \infty], \qquad x \mapsto \int_Y f_x \, d\nu,$$

$$\psi\colon Y \to [0, \infty], \qquad y \mapsto \int_X f_y \, d\mu$$

*are measurable, and*

$$\iint_{X \times Y} f \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \varphi \, d\mu = \int_Y \psi \, d\nu.$$

2. *If $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$, then $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$, $\psi \in L^1(\nu)$, and*

$$\iint_{X \times Y} f \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \varphi \, d\mu = \int_Y \psi \, d\nu < \infty.$$

*Remark.* If $f\colon X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable, we have $|f| \geq 0$ measurable, hence

$$\varphi^*\colon X \to [0, \infty], \qquad x \mapsto \int_Y |f_x| \, d\nu,$$

$$\psi^*\colon Y \to [0, \infty], \qquad y \mapsto \int_X |f_y| \, d\mu$$

measurable. This immediately gives

$$\iint_{X \times Y} |f| \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \varphi^* \, d\mu = \int_Y \psi^* \, d\nu.$$

If either

$$\int_X \varphi^* \, d\mu < \infty, \qquad \int_Y \psi^* \, d\nu < \infty,$$

we obtain $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$, and can use part *2*.

---

**Corollary 1.59.1.** *Let $\{a_{mn}\}_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be a doubly-indexed sequence.*

1. *If each $a_{mn} \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}.$$

2. *If each $a_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$ but either*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| < \infty, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| < \infty,$$

*then the interchange of summations can be performed as in part 1.*

*Example.* Consider the sequence defined as

$$a_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = n, \\ -1, & \text{if } m + 1 = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} = 0, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} = 1.$$

**Theorem 1.60.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space and let $f \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ be measurable. Set*

$$E(t) = \{x \in X : |f(x)| > t\},$$

*and define the distribution function of $f$ as*

$$F \colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty], \qquad t \mapsto \mu(E(t)).$$

*Then,*

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} F \, dm_1 = \int_X |f| \, d\mu.$$

*Proof.* Using Fubini-Tonelli, write

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} F \, dm_1 = \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_X \chi_{E(t)}(x) \, d\mu(x) \, dm_1(t) = \int_X \int_{[0,\infty)} \chi_{E(t)}(x) \, dm_1(t) \, d\mu(x).$$

Now, note that

$$\chi_{E(t)}(x) = \chi_{[0,|f(x)|)}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |f(x)| > t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} F \, dm_1 = \int_X \int_{[0,\infty)} \chi_{[0,|f(x)|)}(t) \, dm_1(t) \, d\mu(x) = \int_X |f(x)| \, d\mu(x). \qquad \square$$

*Updated on May 6, 2022*

**Theorem 1.61.** *Let*

$$\Phi\colon \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty) \times S^{n-1}, \qquad x \mapsto (\|x\|, x/\|x\|).$$

*This is clearly a homeomorphism. Define the measure*

$$m_n'\colon \mathcal{B}_{(0,\infty) \times S^{n-1}} \to [0,\infty], \qquad E \mapsto m_n(\Phi^{-1}(E)).$$

*Then, there exists a measure $\rho$ on $(0,\infty)$ and a surface measure $\sigma$ on $S^{n-1}$ such that $m_n'$ factors as the product*

$$m_n' = \rho \times \sigma.$$

*Furthermore,*

$$\rho\colon \mathcal{B}_{(0,\infty)} \to [0,\infty], \qquad E \mapsto \int_E r^{n-1}\, dm_1(r),$$

*and*

$$\sigma\colon \mathcal{B}_{S^{n-1}} \to [0,\infty], \qquad F \mapsto n \cdot m_n\{rx : x \in F, 0 \le r \le 1\}.$$

**Lemma 1.62.** *If $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is Borel measurable, and either $f \ge 0$ or $f \in L^1(m_n)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\, dm_n = \int_{(0,\infty)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(r\hat{x})\, r^{n-1}\, d\sigma(\hat{x})\, dm_1(r).$$

*Furthermore, if $f$ is radial, i.e. $f(x) = g(|x|)$ for some $g\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\, dm_n = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \int_{(0,\infty)} g(r)\, r^{n-1}\, dm_1(r).$$

*Example.* Consider the integrals

$$I_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\|x\|^2}\, dm_n.$$

For $n = 2$, we can apply the polar formula to write

$$I_2 = \sigma(S^1) \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r\, dr = \pi.$$

Note that we have used $\sigma(S^1) = 2m_2(B^2) = 2\pi$. Now, by repeated application of Fubini-Tonelli, we have

$$I_n = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}}}_{n \text{ times}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \underbrace{dm_1 \ldots dm_1}_{n \text{ times}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x_i^2}\, dm_1(x_i) = I_1^n.$$

Thus, $I_2 = \pi$ gives $I_1 = \sqrt{\pi}$, hence $I_n = \pi^{n/2}$. The polar formula also gives

$$I_n = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{n-1}\, dx.$$

Making the substitution $r = x^2$ yields

$$\pi^{n/2} = \sigma(S^{n-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{n/2-1} \, du = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(S^{n-1}) \, \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(S^{n-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Using $\sigma(S^{n-1}) = n m_n(B^n)$, we have the volume of the unit $n$-ball

$$m_n(B^n) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(n/2)\Gamma(n/2)} = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2 + 1)}.$$

Putting $n = 1$, $m_1(B^1) = 2$, we compute $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$.