

MA3101

Analysis III

Autumn 2021

Satvik Saha
19MS154

*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata,
Mohanpur, West Bengal, 741246, India.*

Contents

1	Euclidean spaces	1
1.1	\mathbb{R}^n as a vector space	1
1.2	\mathbb{R}^n as a metric space	2
1.3	\mathbb{R}^n as a topological space	4
1.4	Compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n	5
1.5	Continuous maps	7
1.6	Connectedness	8
2	Differential calculus	10
2.1	Differentiability	10
2.2	Chain rule	11
2.3	Partial derivatives	12
2.3.1	Directional derivatives	14
2.3.2	Differentiation on manifolds *	15
2.4	Mean value theorem	16
2.5	Inverse and implicit function theorems	18
2.6	Taylor's theorem	19

1 Euclidean spaces

1.1 \mathbb{R}^n as a vector space

We are familiar with the vector space \mathbb{R}^n , with the standard inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n.$$

The standard norm is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2.$$

Exercise 1.1. What are all possible inner products on \mathbb{R}^n ?

Solution. Note that an inner product is a bilinear, symmetric map such that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, an product map on \mathbb{R}^n is completely and uniquely determined by the values $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = a_{ij}$. Let A be the $n \times n$ matrix with entries a_{ij} . Note that A is a real symmetric matrix with positive entries. Now,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = x_1 a_{1j} + \cdots + x_n a_{nj} = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a}_j,$$

where \mathbf{a}_j is the j^{th} column of A . Thus,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a}_1 y_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a}_n y_n = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{y}.$$

Furthermore, any choice of real symmetric A with positive entries produces an inner product.

Theorem 1.1 (Cauchy-Schwarz). *Given two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{w}\|.$$

Proof. This is trivial when $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$. When $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$, set $\lambda = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle / \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$. Thus,

$$0 \leq \|\mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \lambda^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

Simplifying,

$$0 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 - \frac{|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}.$$

This gives the desired result. Clearly, equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$. □

Theorem 1.2 (Triangle inequality). *Given two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|.$$

Proof. Write

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 2|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle| + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz gives

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|^2 \leq (\|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|)^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$ for $\lambda \geq 0$. □

1.2 \mathbb{R}^n as a metric space

Our previous observations allow us to define the standard metric on \mathbb{R}^n , seen as a point set.

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

Definition 1.1. For any $\delta > 0$, the set

$$B_\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < \delta\}$$

is called the open ball centred at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with radius δ . This is also called the δ neighbourhood of \mathbf{x} .

Definition 1.2. A set U is open in \mathbb{R}^n if for every $\mathbf{x} \in U$, there exists an open ball $B_\delta(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$.

Remark. Every open ball in \mathbb{R}^n is open.

Remark. Both \emptyset and \mathbb{R}^n are open.

Definition 1.3. A set F is closed in \mathbb{R}^n if its complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark. Both \emptyset and \mathbb{R}^n are closed.

Remark. Finite sets in \mathbb{R}^n are closed.

Theorem 1.3. *Unions and finite intersections of open sets are open.*

Corollary 1.3.1. *Intersections and finite unions of closed sets are closed.*

Definition 1.4. An interior point x of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that there is a neighbourhood of x contained within S .

Example. Every point in an open set is an interior point by definition. The interior of a set is the largest open set contained within it.

Definition 1.5. An exterior point x of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an interior point of the complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$.

Definition 1.6. A boundary point of a set is neither an interior point, nor an exterior point.

Example. The boundary of the unit open ball $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the sphere S^{n-1} .

Definition 1.7. A limit point x of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that every neighbourhood of x contains a point from S other than itself.

Definition 1.8. The closure of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the union of S and its limit points.

Remark. The closure of a set is the smallest closed set containing it.

Lemma 1.4. Every open set in \mathbb{R}^n is a union of open balls.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Thus, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we can choose $\delta_{\mathbf{x}} > 0$ such that $B_{\delta_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$. The union of all such open balls is precisely the set U . \square

1.3 \mathbb{R}^n as a topological space

Definition 1.9. A topology on a set X is a collection τ of subsets of X such that

1. $\emptyset \in \tau$
2. $X \in \tau$
3. Arbitrary union of sets from τ belong to τ .
4. Finite intersections of sets from τ belong to τ .

Sets from τ are called open sets.

Example. The Euclidean metric induces the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example. The discrete topology on a set X is one where every singleton set is open. This is the topology induced by the discrete metric,

$$d_{\text{discrete}}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Example. Let X be an infinite set. The collection of sets consisting of \emptyset along with all sets A such that $X \setminus A$ is finite is a topology on X . This is called the Zariski topology.

Example. Consider the set of real numbers, and let τ be the collection \emptyset, \mathbb{R} , and all intervals $(-x, +x)$ for $x > 0$. This constitutes a topology on \mathbb{R} , very different from the usual one.

This topology cannot be induced by a metric; it is not metrizable.

Consider the constant sequence of zeros. In this topology (\mathbb{R}, τ) , this sequence converges to *every* point in \mathbb{R} . Given any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, the open neighbourhoods of ℓ are precisely the sets \mathbb{R} and the open intervals $(-x, +x)$ for $x > |\ell|$. The tail of the constant sequence of zeros is contained within every such neighbourhood of ℓ , hence $0 \rightarrow \ell$. Indeed, the element zero belongs to every open set apart from \emptyset in this topology.

Definition 1.10. A topological space is called Hausdorff if for every distinct $x, y \in X$, there exist disjoint neighbourhoods of x and y .

Example. Every metric space is Hausdorff. Given distinct x, y in a metric space (X, d) , set $\delta = d(x, y)/3$ and consider the open balls $B_\delta(x)$ and $B_\delta(y)$.

Lemma 1.5. Every convergent sequence in a Hausdorff space has exactly one limit.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and suppose that it converges to distinct x_1 and x_2 . Construct disjoint neighbourhoods U_1 and U_2 around x_1 and x_2 . Now, convergence implies that both U_1 and U_2 contain the tail of $\{x_n\}$, which is impossible since they are disjoint and hence contain no elements in common. \square

Definition 1.11. Given a topological space (X, τ) and a subset $Y \subseteq X$, the collection of sets $U \cap Y$ where $U \in \tau$ is a topology τ_Y on Y . We call this collection the subspace topology on Y , induced by the topology on X .

1.4 Compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n

Definition 1.12. A set $K \subset X$ in a topological space is compact if every open cover of K has a finite sub-cover. That is, for every collection of open sets $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that K is contained in their union, there exists a finite sub-collection $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_k}$ such that K is also contained in their union.

Example. All finite sets are compact.

Example. Given a convergent sequence of real numbers $x_n \rightarrow x$, the collection $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{x\}$ is compact.

Example. In \mathbb{R}^n , compact sets are precisely those sets which are closed and bounded. This is the Heine-Borel Theorem.

Theorem 1.6. The closed intervals $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ are compact.

Remark. This can be extended to show that any k -cell $[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of $[a, b]$, and suppose that $I_1 = [a, b]$ has no finite sub-cover. Then, at least one of the intervals $[a, (a+b)/2]$ and $[(a+b)/2, b]$ must not have a finite sub-cover; pick one and call it I_2 . Similarly, one of the halves of I_2 must not have a finite

sub-cover; call it I_3 . In this process, we generate a sequence of closed intervals $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$, none of which have a finite sub-cover. The length of each interval is given by

$$|I_n| = 2^{-n+1}\|b - a\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, pick a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ where each $x_n \in I_n$. Then, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. To see this, given any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find sufficiently large n_0 such that $2^{-n_0+1}\|b - a\| < \epsilon$. Thus, $x_n \in I_n \subset I_{n_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0$, which means that for any $m, n \geq n_0$, we have $x_m, x_n \in I_{n_0}$ forcing¹

$$\|x_m - x_n\| \leq |I_{n_0}| = 2^{-n_0+1}\|b - a\| < \epsilon.$$

From the completeness of \mathbb{R} , this sequence must converge in \mathbb{R} , specifically in $[a, b]$. Thus, $x_n \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in [a, b]$. It can also be seen that the limit $x \in I_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; if not, say $x \notin I_{n_0}$, then $x \in [a, b] \setminus I_{n_0}$ which is open, hence there is an open interval such that $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap I_{n_0} = \emptyset$. However, I_{n_0} contains all $x_{n \geq n_0}$, thus this δ -neighbourhood of x would miss out a tail of $\{x_n\}$.

Now, pick the open set $U \in \{U_\alpha\}$ which covers the point x . Thus, $x \in U$ so U contains some non-empty open interval $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ around x . Choose n_0 such that $2^{-n_0+1}\|b - a\| < \delta$; this immediately gives $I_{n_0} \subseteq (x - \delta, x + \delta) \subset U$. This contradicts that fact that I_{n_0} has no finite sub-cover from $\{U_\alpha\}$, completing the proof. \square

Remark. The fact that Cauchy sequences in \mathbb{R}^n converge isn't immediately obvious; it is a consequence of the completeness of \mathbb{R}^n . Start by noting that \mathbb{R} has the Least Upper Bound property, from which the Monotone Convergence Theorem follows; every monotonic, bounded sequence of reals converges. It can also be shown that any sequence of reals with contain a monotone subsequence, from which it follows that every bounded sequence contains a convergent subsequence (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Finally, it can be shown that if a subsequence of a Cauchy sequence converges, then the entire sequence also converges to the same limit, giving us the desired result for \mathbb{R} . For sequence in \mathbb{R}^n , we may apply this coordinate-wise to obtain the result.

Lemma 1.7. *Compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n are closed and bounded.*

Proof. Consider a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$, and let $y \in K$. Since $x \neq y$, we choose open balls U_y around y and V_y around x such that $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$. Repeating this for all $y \in K$, we generate an open cover $\{U_y\}$ of K consisting of open balls. The compactness of K guarantees that this has a finite sub-cover, i.e. there is a finite set Y such that the collection $\{U_y\}_{y \in Y}$ covers K . As a result, the finite intersection of all V_y for $y \in Y$ is contained within $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$. Thus, x is in the exterior of K . Since x was chosen arbitrarily from $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$, we see that K is closed.

Now, consider the open cover $\{B_1(x)\}_{x \in K}$, and extract a finite sub-cover of unit open balls. The distance between any two points in K is at most the maximum distance between the centres of any two balls in our sub-cover, plus two. \square

Lemma 1.8. *The intersection of a closed set and a compact set is compact.*

¹If $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ with $x_1 < x_2$, note that $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$, so

$$|x_2 - x_1| = x_2 - x_1 \leq b - a.$$

Proof. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact. Suppose that the open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of $F \cap K$ has no finite sub-cover. Now the complement $U = F^c$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n , hence the collection $\{U_\alpha\} \cup \{U\}$ is an open cover of K , and hence must admit a finite sub-cover of K . In particular, this must be a finite sub-cover of $F \cap K$. However, we can remove the set U from this sub-cover since it shares no element with $F \cap K$; as a result, our sub-cover must be a finite sub-collection of sets U_α , contradicting our assumption. This shows that $F \cap K$ is compact. \square

Lemma 1.9 (Finite intersection property). *Let $\{K_\alpha\}$ be a collection of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n which have the property that any finite intersection of them is non-empty. Then,*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the intersection of all K_α is empty. Fix an index β , and note that no element of K_β lies in every K_α . Set $J_\alpha = K_\alpha^c$, whence the collection $\{J_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta\}$ is an open cover of K_β . This must admit a finite sub-cover $\{J_{\alpha_1}, \dots, J_{\alpha_k}\}$ of K_β . Thus, we must have

$$K_\beta^c \cup J_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup J_{\alpha_k} = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This immediately gives the contradiction

$$K_\beta \cap K_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{\alpha_k} = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.10 (Heine-Borel). *Compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n are precisely those that are closed and bounded.*

Proof. Given a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , we have already shown that it must be closed and bounded. Next, if $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and bounded, it can be enclosed within a k -cell which we know is compact. Thus, F is the intersection of the closed set F and the compact k -cell, proving that F must be compact. \square

1.5 Continuous maps

Definition 1.13. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if the pre-image of every open set from Y is open in X .

Lemma 1.11. *A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if the pre-image of every closed set from Y is closed in X .*

Theorem 1.12. *The projection maps $\pi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{x} \mapsto x_i$ are continuous.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open; we claim that $\pi_i^{-1}(U)$ is open. Pick $\mathbf{x} \in \pi_i^{-1}(U)$, and note that $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i \in U$. Thus, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta) \subset U$. Now examine $B_\delta(\mathbf{x})$; for any point \mathbf{y} within this open ball, we have $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < \delta$ hence

$$|x_i - y_i|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2 = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^2 < \delta^2.$$

In other words, $\pi_i(\mathbf{y}) = y_i \in (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta)$, hence $\pi_i B_\delta(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta) \subset U$. Thus, given arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \pi_i^{-1}(U)$, we have found an open ball $B_\delta(\mathbf{x}) \subset \pi_i^{-1}(U)$. \square

Lemma 1.13. *Finite sums, products, and compositions of continuous functions are continuous.*

Corollary 1.13.1. *A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous if and only if the components, $\pi_i \circ f$, are continuous.*

Theorem 1.14. *All polynomial functions of the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n are continuous.*

Example. The unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed. It is by definition the pre-image of the singleton closed set $\{1\}$ under the continuous map

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.$$

Theorem 1.15. *The continuous image of a compact set is compact.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous, where Y is the image of the compact set X , and let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be an open cover of Y . Then, the collection $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}$ is an open cover of X . Using the compactness of X , extract a finite sub-cover $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}), \dots, f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_k})$ of X . It follows that the collection $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_k}$ is a finite sub-cover of Y . \square

1.6 Connectedness

Definition 1.14. Let X be a topological space. A separation of X is a pair U, V of non-empty disjoint open subsets such that $X = U \cup V$.

Definition 1.15. A connected topological space is one which cannot be separated.

Lemma 1.16. *A topological space X is connected if and only if the only sets which are both open and closed are \emptyset and X .*

Example. The intervals $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ are connected. To see this, suppose that U, V is a separation of (a, b) . Pick $x \in U, y \in V$, and without loss of generality let $x < y$. Define $S = [x, y] \cap U$, and set $c = \sup S$. It can be argued that $c \in (a, b)$, but $c \notin U, c \notin V$, using the properties of the supremum.

Theorem 1.17. *The continuous image of a connected set is connected.*

Proof. Let f be a continuous map on the connected set X , and let Y be the image of X . If U, V is a separation of Y , then it can be shown that $f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V)$ constitutes a separation of X , which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 1.16. A path γ joining two points $x, y \in X$ is a continuous map $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(a) = x, \gamma(b) = y$.

Definition 1.17. A set in X is path connected if given any two distinct points in X , there exists a path joining them.

Lemma 1.18. *Every path connected set is connected.*

Proof. Let X be path connected, and suppose that U, V is a separation of X . Then, pick $x \in U, y \in V$, and choose a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ between x and y . The sets $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ separate the interval $[0, 1]$, which is a contradiction. \square

Example. All connected sets are not path connected. Consider the topologist's sine curve,

$$\left\{ \left(x, \sin \frac{1}{x} \right) : 0 < x \leq 1 \right\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Definition 1.18. The ϵ neighbourhood of a set K in a metric space X is defined as

$$\bigcup_{a \in K} B_\epsilon(a) = \bigcup_{a \in K} \{x \in X : d(x, a) < \epsilon\}.$$

Exercise 1.2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact, and define $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \text{dist}(x, K) = \inf_{a \in K} d(x, a).$$

Show that f is continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , and $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = K$.

Exercise 1.3. If $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact and $K \cap L = \emptyset$, then

$$\text{dist}(K, L) = \inf_{a \in K} \text{dist}(a, L) > 0.$$

Exercise 1.4. If $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact and U is an open set containing K , then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that U contains the ϵ neighbourhood of K .

Is the compactness of K necessary?

2 Differential calculus

2.1 Differentiability

Definition 2.1. Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$ be its components. Then, f is differentiable at $t_0 \in (a, b)$ if the following limit exists.

$$f'(t_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t_0 + h) - f(t_0)}{h}.$$

Remark. The vector $f'(t_0)$ represents the tangent to the curve f at the point $f(t_0)$. The full tangent line is the parametric curve $f(t) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, f is differentiable at $x \in U$ if there exists a linear transformation $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x) - \lambda h}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

The derivative of f at x is denoted by $\lambda = Df(x)$.

Remark. In a neighbourhood of x , we may approximate

$$f(x + h) \approx f(x) + Df(x)(h).$$

Remark. The statement that this quantity goes to zero means that each of the m components must also go to zero. For each of these limits, there are n axes along which we can let $h \rightarrow 0$. As a result, we obtain $m \times n$ limits, which allow us to identify the $m \times n$ components of the matrix representing the linear transformation λ (in the standard basis). These are the partial derivatives of f , and the matrix of λ is the Jacobian matrix of f evaluated at x .

Example. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear map. By choosing $\lambda = T$, we see that T is differentiable everywhere, with $DT(x) = T$ for every choice of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This is made obvious by the fact that the best linear approximation of a linear map at some point is the map itself; indeed, the ‘approximation’ is exact.

Lemma 2.1. If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with derivative $Df(x)$, then

1. f is continuous at x .
2. The linear transformation $Df(x)$ is unique.

Proof. We prove the second part. Suppose that λ, μ satisfy the requirements for $Df(x)$; it can be shown that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (\lambda - \mu)h/\|h\| = 0$. Now, if $\lambda v \neq \mu v$ for some non-zero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\lambda v - \mu v = \frac{\lambda(tv) - \mu(tv)}{\|tv\|} \cdot \|v\| \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction. □

2.2 Chain rule

Exercise 2.1. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Then, there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\|T\mathbf{x}\| \leq M\|\mathbf{x}\|.$$

Solution. Set $\mathbf{v}_i = T(\mathbf{e}_i)$ where \mathbf{e}_i are the standard unit basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n . Then,

$$\|T\mathbf{x}\| = \left\| \sum_i x_i \mathbf{v}_i \right\| \leq \sum_i \|x_i \mathbf{v}_i\| \leq \max_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\| \sum_i |x_i|.$$

Since each $|x_i| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|$, set $M = n \max_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\|$ and write

$$\|T\mathbf{x}\| \leq \max_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\| \sum_i |x_i| \leq \max_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\| \cdot n \|\mathbf{x}\| = M\|\mathbf{x}\|.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ where f is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and g is differentiable at $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, $g \circ f$ is differentiable, with $D(g \circ f)(a) = Dg(f(a)) \circ Df(a)$. Note that this means that the Jacobian matrices simply multiply.

Proof. Set $b = f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\lambda = Df(a)$, $\mu = Dg(f(a))$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \mathbb{R}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, & \varphi(x) &= f(x) - f(a) - \lambda(x - a), \\ \psi: \mathbb{R}^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k, & \psi(y) &= g(y) - g(b) - \mu(y - b). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x - a)}{\|x - a\|} = 0.$$

Write the numerator as

$$g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x - a) = \psi(f(x)) + \mu(\varphi(x)).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\|x - a\|} = 0, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow b} \frac{\psi(y)}{\|y - b\|} = 0.$$

Thus, find $M > 0$ such that $\|\mu(\varphi(x))\| \leq M\|\varphi(x)\|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\|\mu(\varphi(x))\|}{\|x - a\|} \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{M\|\varphi(x)\|}{\|x - a\|} = 0.$$

Now write

$$\lim_{f(x) \rightarrow b} \frac{\psi(f(x))}{\|f(x) - b\|} = 0,$$

hence for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighbourhood of b on which

$$\|\psi(f(x))\| \leq \epsilon \|f(x) - b\| = \epsilon \|\varphi(x) + \lambda(x - a)\|.$$

Apply the triangle inequality and find $M' > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi(f(x))\| \leq \epsilon \|\varphi(x)\| + \epsilon M' \|x - a\|.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\|\psi(f(x))\|}{\|x - a\|} \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\epsilon \|\varphi(x)\|}{\|x - a\|} + \epsilon M' = \epsilon M'.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this limit is zero, completing the proof. \square

2.3 Partial derivatives

Definition 2.3. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The partial derivative of f with respect to the coordinate x_j at some $a \in U$ is defined by the following limit, if it exists.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(a)}{h}.$$

Lemma 2.3. If $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$Df(a)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(a) + \dots + x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(a).$$

Example. Consider

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} xy/(x^2 + y^2), & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0), \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Note that f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$; it is not even continuous there. However, both partial derivatives of f exist at $(0, 0)$.

Lemma 2.4. If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the matrix representation of $Df(a)$ in the standard basis is given by

$$[Df(a)] = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a) \right]_{ij}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be differentiable at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $g: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable at $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, the matrix representation of $D(g \circ f)(a)$ in the standard basis is the product

$$[D(g \circ f)(a)] = [Dg(f(a))][Df(a)] = \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_\ell} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j} \right]_{ij}.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(g \circ f)_i(a) = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_\ell}(f(a)) \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}(a).$$

Example. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable, and let $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, y, f(x, y)) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the graph of f . Now, let $\gamma: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma(f)$ be a differentiable curve, represented by

$$\gamma(t) = (g(t), h(t), f(g(t), h(t))).$$

Then, we can compute the derivative

$$\gamma'(a) = \left(g'(a), h'(a), g'(a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + h'(a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big|_{(g(a), h(a))} \right)$$

Exercise 2.2. Consider the inner product map, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. What is its derivative?

Solution. We treat the inner product as a map $g: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which acts as

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \cong g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n.$$

Now, note that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} = y_i, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} = x_i.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Dg(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a_i \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the matrix representation of the derivative of the inner product map at the point (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) is given by $[\mathbf{b}^\top \ \mathbf{a}^\top]$.

Exercise 2.3. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable curve. What is the derivative of the real map $t \mapsto \|\gamma(t)\|^2$?

Solution. We write this map as $t \mapsto \langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle$. Consider the scheme

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(t) \\ \gamma(t) \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle.$$

Pick a point $t \in \mathbb{R}$, whence the derivative of the map at t is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma(t)^\top & \gamma(t)^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma'(t) \\ \gamma'(t) \end{bmatrix} = 2\langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle.$$

Remark. Consider the surface $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and pick an arbitrary differentiable curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. Now, the tangent vector $\gamma'(t)$ is tangent to the sphere S^{n-1} at any point $\gamma(t)$. We claim that this tangent drawn at $\gamma(t)$ is always perpendicular to the position vector $\gamma(t)$. This is made trivial by our exercise: the map $t \mapsto \|\gamma(t)\|^2 = 1$ is a constant map since γ is a curve on the unit sphere. This means that it has zero derivative, forcing $\langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle = 0$.

2.3.1 Directional derivatives

Definition 2.4. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The directional derivative of f along a direction $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at a point $a \in U$ is defined by the following limit, if it exists.

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h\mathbf{v}) - f(a)}{h}.$$

Example. Consider

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} x^3/(x^2 + y^2), & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0), \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Note that f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$. However, all directional derivatives of f exist at $(0, 0)$. Indeed, consider a direction $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$, and examine the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [f(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) - f(0, 0)] = \cos^3 \theta.$$

Definition 2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. The gradient of f is defined as the map

$$\nabla f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \mapsto \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \right]_i.$$

Remark. The gradient at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is thought of as a vector. In contrast, the derivative is thought of as a linear transformation. Otherwise, we see that $\nabla f(x) = [Df(x)]$.

Definition 2.6. Let $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of real-valued differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Fix a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then fix a tangent vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the map

$$\nabla_v: C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto Df(a)(v)$$

is a linear functional. The quantity $\nabla_v f$ is called the directional derivative of f in the direction v at the point a .

Remark. We can represent ∇_v as the operator

$$\nabla_v(\cdot) = D(\cdot)(a)(v) = \sum_i v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_a = v \cdot \nabla(\cdot).$$

Lemma 2.6. The directional derivatives ∇_v form a vector space called the tangent space, attached to the point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This can be identified with the vector space \mathbb{R}^n by the natural map $\nabla_v \mapsto v$. The standard basis can be informally denoted by the vectors

$$\nabla_{e_1} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \nabla_{e_n} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

2.3.2 Differentiation on manifolds *

Definition 2.7. A homeomorphism is a continuous, bijective map whose inverse is also continuous.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Denote the graph of f as

$$\Gamma(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Then, $\Gamma(f)$ is a smooth manifold.

Proof. Consider the homeomorphism

$$\varphi: \Gamma(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (x, f(x)) \mapsto x.$$

This is clearly bijective, continuous (restriction of a projection map), with a continuous inverse (from the continuity of f). Call this homeomorphism φ a coordinate map on $\Gamma(f)$. \square

Definition 2.8. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where M is a smooth manifold, with a coordinate map $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that f is differentiable at a point $a \in M$ if $f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $\varphi(a)$.

Definition 2.9. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where M is a smooth manifold, let $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a coordinate map, and let $a \in M$. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve such that $\gamma(0) = a$, and further let γ be differentiable in the sense that $\varphi \circ \gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is differentiable. The directional derivative of f at a along γ is defined as

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) \right|_{t=0} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left. \frac{f(\gamma(t+h)) - f(\gamma(t))}{h} \right|_{t=0}.$$

Note that we are taking the derivative of $f \circ \gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the conventional sense.

Lemma 2.8. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two curves in M such that $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = a$, and

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_1(t) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_2(t) \right|_{t=0}.$$

In other words, γ_1 and γ_2 pass through the same point a at $t = 0$, and have the same velocities there. Then, the directional derivatives of f at a along γ_1 and γ_2 are the same.

Definition 2.10. Let M be a smooth manifold, and let $a \in M$. Consider the following equivalence relation on the set of all curves γ in M such that $\gamma(0) = a$.

$$\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \iff \left. \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_1(t) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_2(t) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Each resultant equivalence class of curves is called a tangent vector at $a \in M$. Note that all these curves in a particular equivalence class pass through a with the same velocity vector.

The collection of all such tangent vectors, i.e. the space of all curves through a modulo the equivalence relation which identifies curves with the same velocity vector through a , is called the tangent space to M at a , denoted $T_a M$.

Remark. Each tangent vector $v \in T_a M$ acts on a differentiable function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ yielding a (well-defined) directional derivative at a .

$$v: C^1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma_v(t)) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Thus, the tangent space represents all the directions in which taking a derivative of f makes sense.

Remark. The tangent space $T_a M$ is a vector space. Upon fixing f , the map $Df(a): T_a M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v \mapsto vf(a)$ is a linear functional on the tangent space.

Remark. Given a tangent vector $v \in T_a M$, it can be identified with its corresponding velocity vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, the tangent space $T_a M$ can be identified with the geometric tangent plane drawn to the manifold M at the point a .

2.4 Mean value theorem

Consider a differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and fix $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define the functions

$$g_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g_i(x) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

Then, each g_i is differentiable, with

$$g'_i(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

By applying the Mean Value Theorem on some interval $[c, d]$, we can find $\alpha \in (c, d)$ such that $g_i(d) - g_i(c) = g'_i(\alpha)(d - c)$. In other words,

$$f(\dots, d, \dots) - f(\dots, c, \dots) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\dots, \alpha, \dots)(d - c).$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, f is differentiable at a if all the partial derivatives $\partial f / \partial x_j$ exist in a neighbourhood of a and are continuous at a .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $m = 1$. We claim that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|h\|} \|f(a+h) - f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) h_i\| = 0.$$

Examine

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+h) - f(a) &= f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + h_{n-1}, a_n) + \\ &\quad f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + h_{n-1}, a_n) - f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) + \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad f(a_1 + h_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(c_n) h_n + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(c_1) h_1. \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the Mean Value Theorem. As $h \rightarrow 0$, each $c_i \rightarrow a$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|h\|} \|f(a+h) - f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) h_i\| &= \frac{1}{\|h\|} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(c_i) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) \right) h_i \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(c_i) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) \right| \frac{|h_i|}{\|h\|} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(c_i) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $h \rightarrow 0$, observe that $\partial f / \partial x_i(c_i) \rightarrow \partial f / \partial x_i(a)$ by the continuity of the partial derivatives, completing the proof. \square

Corollary 2.9.1. *All polynomial functions on \mathbb{R}^n are differentiable.*

Theorem 2.10. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable with continuous partial derivatives, and let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a point of local maximum. Then, $Df(a) = 0$.*

Proof. We need only show that each

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) = 0.$$

This must be true, since a is also a local maximum of each of the restrictions g_i as defined earlier. \square

2.5 Inverse and implicit function theorems

Theorem 2.11 (Inverse function theorem). *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuously differentiable on a neighbourhood of $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\det(Df(a)) \neq 0$. Then, there exist neighbourhoods U of a and W of $f(a)$ such that the restriction $f: U \rightarrow W$ is invertible. Furthermore, f^{-1} is continuous on U and differentiable on U .*

Lemma 2.12. *Consider a continuously differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let M denote the surface defined by the zero set of f . Then, M can be represented as the graph of a differentiable function $h: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at those points where $Df \neq 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\partial f / \partial x_n \neq 0$ at some point $a \in M$. It can be shown that the map

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x))$$

is invertible in a neighbourhood W of a , with a continuous and differentiable inverse of the form

$$G: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \mapsto (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, g(u)).$$

Since $F \circ G$ must be the identity map on W , we demand

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x))) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Thus, the zero set of f in this neighbourhood of a satisfies $x_n = 0$, hence

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)) = 0.$$

In other words, the part of the surface M in the neighbourhood of a is precisely the set of points

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)).$$

Simply set

$$h: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0),$$

whence the surface M is locally represented by the graph of h . □

Remark. Note that by using

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, h(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = 0$$

on the surface, we can use the chain rule to conclude that for all $1 \leq i < n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(a) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.13 (Implicit function theorem). *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuously differentiable in an open set containing (a, b) , with $f(a, b) = 0$. Let $\det(\partial f^j / \partial x_{n+k}(a, b)) \neq 0$. Then, there exists an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing a , an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ containing b , and a differentiable function $g: U \rightarrow V$ such that $f(x, g(x)) = 0$.*

Remark. The condition on the determinant can be rephrased as $\text{rank } Df(a, b) = m$.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable, and let M be the surface defined by its zero set. Furthermore, let $\nabla f(a) \neq 0$ for some $a \in M$; thus, M can be locally represented by a graph on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Then, $\nabla f(a)$ is normal to the tangent vectors drawn at a to M ; in fact, the perpendicular space of $\nabla f(a)$ is precisely the tangent space $T_a M$.*

Proof. Consider a tangent vector drawn at a to M , represented by the differentiable curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, $\gamma(0) = a$; note that we use the identification $\gamma'(0) = v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, calculate

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) \right|_{t=0} = Df(\gamma(0))(\gamma'(0)) = Df(a)(v).$$

On the other hand, we have $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$ identically. Thus,

$$v \cdot \nabla f(a) = Df(a)(v) = 0. \quad \square$$

2.6 Taylor's theorem

Theorem 2.15. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ have continuous second order partial derivatives. Then,*

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}.$$

Theorem 2.16. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have continuous second order partial derivatives, and let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\|(x - x_0, y - y_0)\| < \epsilon$,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= f(x_0, y_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x - x_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y - y_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (x - x_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} (y - y_0)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} (x - x_0)(y - y_0) + R(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where as $(x, y) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0) \rightarrow 0$, the remainder term vanishes as

$$\frac{|R(x, y)|}{\|(x - x_0, y - y_0)\|^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

All partial derivatives here are evaluated at (x_0, y_0) .