

# MA 1101 : Mathematics I

Satvik Saha, 19MS154

September 29, 2019

## 1 Integers

**Theorem 1.1.** Define a relation  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  as

$$(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (p, q) \quad \text{if} \quad m + q = n + p.$$

Then,  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* For an arbitrary  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , clearly  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m, n)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is reflexive.

Again, for arbitrary  $(m, n), (p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , if  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (p, q)$ , we have  $m + q = n + p$ . By the commutativity of addition on natural numbers,  $p + n = q + m$ , so  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m, n)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is symmetric.

For  $(m, n), (p, q), (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , if  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (p, q)$  and  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (r, s)$ , we have  $m + q = n + p$  and  $p + s = q + r$ . Thus,  $m + q + p + s = n + p + q + r$ , so  $m + s = n + r$ . Thus,  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (r, s)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is transitive.

Therefore,  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

*Notation.* Let us set

$$\mathbb{Z} := (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) / \sim_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{[(n+1, 1)] : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \bar{0} := [(1, 1)], \quad \bar{1} := [(2, 1)].$$

**Definition (Addition).** For  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define

$$a + b := [(m + p, n + q)].$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Addition (+) is well-defined, associative and commutative.

*Proof.* First, we show that + is well-defined. Let  $a = [(m, n)] = [(m', n')], b = [(p, q)] = [(p', q')] \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We claim that  $a + b = [(m + p, n + q)] = [(m' + p', n' + q')]$ , i.e.  $(m + p, n + q) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m' + p', n' + q')$ , i.e.  $m + p + n' + q' = n + q + m' + p'$ . Now,  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m', n')$  and  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (p', q')$ , from which we have  $m + n' = n + m'$  and  $p + q' = q + p'$ . Adding these gives the desired result.

For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)], c = [(r, s)]$ . From the associativity of addition in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) + c &= [(m + p, n + q)] + [(r, s)] \\ &= [((m + p) + r, (n + q) + s)] \\ &= [(m + (p + r), n + (q + s))] \\ &= [(m, n)] + [(p + r, q + s)] \\ &= a + (b + c) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, + is associative.

From the commutativity of addition in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= [(m + p, n + q)] \\ &= [(p + m, q + n)] \\ &= b + a \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, + is commutative.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** For all  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[(m, n)] = [(m + k, n + k)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m + k, n + k)$ , i.e.  $m + n + k = n + m + k$ , which is certainly true.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[(n, n)] = \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $(n, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (1, 1)$ , i.e.  $n + 1 = n + 1$ , which is certainly true.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\bar{0} + a = a = a + \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a + \bar{0} &= [(m, n)] + [(1, 1)] \\ &= [(m + 1, n + 1)] \\ &= [(m, n)] \\ &= a \\ a + \bar{0} &= a = \bar{0} + a \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , satisfying  $a + x = \bar{0} = x + a$ .

*Proof.* For  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ , construct  $x = [(n, m)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly,  $a + x = [(m + n, n + m)] = \bar{0}$ . From commutativity of  $+$ ,  $a + x = \bar{0} = x + a$ .

We now show that  $x$  is unique. Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a + x' = \bar{0} = x' + a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a + x' &= \bar{0} \\ x + (a + x') &= x + \bar{0} \\ (x + a) + x' &= x \\ \bar{0} + x' &= x \\ x' &= x \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Notation.* We denote  $x$  as  $-a$  and say that  $-a$  is the *negative* of  $a$ .

**Corollary 1.6.1.** If  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $-a = [(n, m)]$ .

*Notation.* For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write

$$a - b := a + (-b).$$

**Theorem 1.7.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $a + x = b$ .

*Proof.* From the well-defined nature of  $+$ , there exists a unique  $x = b - a = b + (-a) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a + x &= a + (b + (-a)) \\ &= a + ((-a) + b) \\ &= (a + (-a)) + b \\ &= \bar{0} + b \\ &= b \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a + x' = b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a + x' &= b \\ x + (a + x') &= x + b \\ (x + a) + x' &= x + b \\ b + x' &= b + x \\ x' &= x \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Definition (Multiplication).** For  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define

$$a \cdot b := [(mp + nq, mq + np)].$$

**Theorem 1.8.** Multiplication  $(\cdot)$  is well-defined, associative and commutative.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\cdot$  is well-defined. Let  $a = [(m, n)] = [(m', n')]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] = [(p', q')] \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We claim that  $a \cdot b = [(mp + nq, mq + np)] = [(m'p' + n'q', m'q' + n'p')]$ , i.e.  $(mp + nq, mq + np) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m'p' + n'q', m'q' + n'p')$ .

From  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (p', q')$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p + q' &= q + p' \\ mp + mq' &= mq + mp' \\ np + nq' &= nq + np' \\ mp + nq + mq' + np' &= mq + np + mp' + nq' \\ (mp + nq, mq + np) &\sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (mp' + nq', m'q' + np') \end{aligned}$$

From  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m', n')$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m + n' &= n + m' \\ mp' + n'p' &= np' + m'p' \\ mq' + n'q' &= nq' + m'q' \\ mp' + nq' + m'q' + n'p' &= mq' + np' + m'p' + n'q' \\ (mp' + nq', m'q' + np') &\sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (m'p' + n'q', m'q' + n'p') \end{aligned}$$

Transitivity of  $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$  yields the desired result.

For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot b) \cdot c &= [(mp + nq, mq + np)] \cdot [(r, s)] \\ &= [((mp + nq)r + (mq + np)s, (mp + nq)s + (mq + np)r)] \\ &= [(mpr + nqr + mqs + nps, mps + nqs + mqr + npr)] \\ a \cdot (b \cdot c) &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(pr + qs, ps + qr)] \\ &= [(m(pr + qs) + n(ps + qr), m(ps + qr) + n(pr + qs))] \\ &= [(mpr + mqs + nps + nqr, mps + mqr + npr + nqs)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ , i.e.  $\cdot$  is associative.

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= [(mp + nq, mq + np)] \\ &= [(pm + qn, pn + qm)] \\ &= b \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\cdot$  is commutative. □

**Theorem 1.9.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \cdot \bar{1} = a = \bar{1} \cdot a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \bar{1} &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(2, 1)] \\ &= [(2m + n, m + 2n)] \\ &= [(m + (m + n), (m + n) + n)] \\ &= [(m, n)] \\ &= a \\ a \cdot \bar{1} &= a = \bar{1} \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.10.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \cdot \bar{0} = \bar{0} = \bar{0} \cdot a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \bar{0} &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(1, 1)] \\ &= [(m + n, m + n)] \\ &= \bar{0} \\ a \cdot \bar{0} &= \bar{0} = \bar{0} \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.11 (Distributivity).** For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .

*Proof.* For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (b + c) &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(p + r, q + s)] \\ &= [(m(p + r) + n(q + s), m(q + s) + n(p + r))] \\ &= [(mp + mr + nq + ns, mq + ms + np + nr)] \\ &= [(mp + nq, mq + np)] + [(mr + ns, ms + nr)] \\ &= a \cdot b + a \cdot c \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.12.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b &= ((-a) + a) \cdot b \\ &= \bar{0} \cdot b \\ &= \bar{0} \\ (-a) \cdot b &= -(a \cdot b) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.13.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) + (-a \cdot b) &= (-a) \cdot (-b) + (-a) \cdot b \\ &= (-a) \cdot ((-b) + b) \\ &= (-a) \cdot \bar{0} \\ &= \bar{0} \\ (-a) \cdot (-b) &= a \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 1.14.** If  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq \bar{0}$ , then  $m \neq n$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $m = n$ . Then, we have  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{0}$ , contradicting our premise. Hence, we must have  $m \neq n$ . □

**Theorem 1.15 (No zero divisors).** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a, b \neq \bar{0}$ , we have  $a \cdot b \neq \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $m \neq n$ ,  $p \neq q$ , since  $a, b \neq \bar{0}$ .

Assume that our theorem is false, i.e.  $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ . Then  $[(mp + nq, mq + np)] = \bar{0} \Rightarrow mp + nq = mq + np$ . One of the following must be true.

**Case I:** If  $m > n$ , there exists  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $m = n + u$ . Thus,  $(n + u)p + nq = (n + u)q + np \Rightarrow np + up + nq = nq + uq + np$ . This implies that  $up = uq \Rightarrow p = q$ , contradicting  $p \neq q$ .

**Case II:** If  $n > m$ , there exists  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $n = m + v$ . Thus,  $mp + (m + v)q = mq + (m + v)p \Rightarrow mp + mq + vq = mq + mp + vp$ . This implies that  $vp = vq \Rightarrow p = q$ , contradicting  $p \neq q$ .

Hence,  $a \cdot b \neq \bar{0}$ . □

**Corollary 1.15.1.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ , then  $a = \bar{0}$  or  $b = \bar{0}$ .

**Theorem 1.16 (Cancellation).** For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \neq \bar{0}$ , we have  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c \Rightarrow b = c$ .

*Proof.* For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ . We have  $m \neq n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= a \cdot c \\ [(mp + nq, mq + np)] &= [(mr + ns, ms + nr)] \\ mp + nq + ms + nr &= mq + np + mr + ns \\ m(p + s) + n(q + r) &= m(q + r) + n(p + s) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that our theorem is false. Thus,  $b \neq c$ , i.e.  $b + (-c) = [(p + s, q + r)] \neq \bar{0} \Rightarrow p + s \neq q + r$ . Without loss of generality, let  $p + s > q + r$ , i.e.  $p + s = q + r + x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus,  $m(q + r + x) + n(q + r) = m(q + r) + n(q + r + x)$ . This implies that  $mx = nx \Rightarrow m = n$ , which contradicts  $m \neq n$ .

Hence,  $b = c$ . □

**Definition (Order).** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say that  $a > b$  if  $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Lemma 1.17.** If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n$ , i.e.  $m = n + x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* We must show that  $a = [(n + x, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , i.e. for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n + x, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (k + 1, 1)$ , i.e.  $n + x + 1 = n + k + 1$ . This is clearly true for  $k = x$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.18.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $a \cdot b > \bar{0}$  if  $a, b > \bar{0}$  or  $a, b < \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* If  $a, b > \bar{0}$ , then  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus,  $a = [(m + 1, 1)]$  and  $b = [(n + 1, 1)]$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= [((m + 1)(n + 1) + (1)(1), (m + 1)1 + 1(n + 1))] \\ &= [(mn + m + n + 1 + 1, m + 1 + n + 1)] \\ &= [((m + n + 2) + mn, (m + n + 2))] \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Therefore,  $a \cdot b > \bar{0}$ .

If  $a, b < \bar{0}$ , then  $\bar{0} - a, \bar{0} - b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , i.e.  $-a, -b > \bar{0}$ . Therefore,  $(-a) \cdot (-b) > \bar{0} \implies a \cdot b > \bar{0}$

**Definition (Identification map).** Define  $I_{\mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) := [(n + 1, 1)], \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 1.19.**  $I_{\mathbb{N}}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) &= I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) \\ [(m + 1, 1)] &= [(n + 1, 1)] \\ (m + 1, 1) &\sim_{\mathbb{Z}} (n + 1, 1) \\ m + 1 + 1 &= n + 1 + 1 \\ m &= n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $I_{\mathbb{N}}$  is injective.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.20.**  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $x \in I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N})$ . Thus, there exists at least one  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x = I_{\mathbb{N}}(k) = [(k + 1, 1)]$ , which implies that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  by definition.

Next, we show that  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \subseteq I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N})$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . By definition,  $x = [(k + 1, 1)]$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $x = I_{\mathbb{N}}(k) \in I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N})$ .

Hence, we conclude that  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.21.**  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(1) = \bar{1}$ .

*Proof.*

$$I_{\mathbb{N}}(1) = [(1 + 1, 1)] = [(2, 1)] = \bar{1} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.22.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(m + n) = I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) + I_{\mathbb{N}}(n)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) + I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) &= [(m + 1, 1)] + [(n + 1, 1)] \\ &= [(m + 1 + n + 1, 1 + 1)] \\ &= [((m + n) + 1, 1)] \\ &= I_{\mathbb{N}}(m + n) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.23.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(m \cdot n) = I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) \cdot I_{\mathbb{N}}(n)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) \cdot I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) &= [(m + 1, 1)] \cdot [(n + 1, 1)] \\ &= [((m + 1)(n + 1) + (1)(1), (m + 1)1 + 1(n + 1))] \\ &= [(mn + m + n + 1 + 1, m + n + 1 + 1)] \\ &= [(mn + 1, 1)] \\ &= I_{\mathbb{N}}(m \cdot n) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.24.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) > I_{\mathbb{N}}(n)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) - I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) &= [(m+1, 1)] + (-[(n+1, 1)]) \\ &= [(m+1, 1)] + [(1, n+1)] \\ &= [(m+1+1, 1+n+1)] \\ &= [(m, n)]. \end{aligned}$$

From 1.17,  $[(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Therefore,  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) - I_{\mathbb{N}}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \implies I_{\mathbb{N}}(m) > I_{\mathbb{N}}(n)$ , as desired.  $\square$

## Identification

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we shall identify  $I_{\mathbb{N}}(n)$  with  $n$ . With this identification,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftrightarrow \bar{0} \\ 1 &\leftrightarrow \bar{1} \\ \mathbb{N} &= \mathbb{Z}^+ \subset \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} &= \{n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\bar{0}\} \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Rationals

**Theorem 2.1.** Define a relation  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  as

$$(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (p, q) \quad \text{if} \quad mq = np.$$

Then,  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ .

*Proof.* For an arbitrary  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ , clearly  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m, n)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is reflexive.

Again, for arbitrary  $(m, n), (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ , if  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (p, q)$ , we have  $mq = np$ . By the commutativity of multiplication on integers,  $pn = qm$ , so  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m, n)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is symmetric.

For  $(m, n), (p, q), (r, s) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ , if  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (p, q)$  and  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (r, s)$ , we have  $mq = np$  and  $ps = qr$ . Thus,  $mqs = npq$ , so  $ms = nr$ . Thus,  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (r, s)$ , hence  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is transitive.

Therefore,  $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ .  $\square$

*Notation.* Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} &:= (\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})) / \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}, \\ \bar{0} &:= [(0, 1)], \quad \bar{1} := [(1, 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition (Addition).** For  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we define

$$a + b := [(mq + np, nq)].$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Addition  $(+)$  is well-defined, associative and commutative.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $+$  is well-defined. Let  $a = [(m, n)] = [(m', n')]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] = [(p', q')] \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Now,  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m', n')$  and  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (p', q')$ , from which we have  $mn' = m'n$  and  $pq' = p'q$ . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= [(mq + np, nq)] = [(m'q' + n'p', n'q')] \\ (mq + np)(n'q') &= (m'q' + n'p')(nq) \\ mn'qq' + nn'pq' &= m'nqq' + nn'p'q \\ qq'(mn' - m'n) &= nn'(p'q - pq') \\ qq'(0) &= nn'(0) \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly true.

For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(a + b) + c &= [(mq + np, nq)] + [(r, s)] \\
&= [((mq + np)s + nq(r), nqs)] \\
&= [(mqs + nps + nqr, nqs)] \\
&= [(m)qs + n(ps + qr), nqs] \\
&= [(m, n)] + [(ps + qr, qs)] \\
&= a + (b + c)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $+$  is associative.

$$\begin{aligned}
a + b &= [(mq + np, nq)] \\
&= [(pn + qm, qn)] \\
&= b + a
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $+$  is commutative. □

**Lemma 2.3.** For all  $(m, n) \in S$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $[(m, n)] = [(mk, nk)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (mk, nk)$ , i.e.  $mnk = nmk$ , which is certainly true. □

**Lemma 2.4.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $[(n, n)] = \bar{1}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $(n, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1, 1)$ , i.e.  $n \cdot 1 = n \cdot 1$ , which is certainly true. □

**Theorem 2.5.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\bar{0} + a = a = a + \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a + \bar{0} &= [(m, n)] + [(0, 1)] \\
&= [(m \cdot 1 + n \cdot 0, n \cdot 1)] \\
&= [(m, n)] \\
&= a \\
a + \bar{0} &= a = \bar{0} + a
\end{aligned}$$
□

**Theorem 2.6.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , satisfying  $a + x = \bar{0} = x + a$ .

*Proof.* For  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ , construct  $x = [(-m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Clearly,  $a + x = [(mn + n(-m), nn)] = \bar{0}$ . From commutativity of  $+$ ,  $a + x = \bar{0} = x + a$ .

We now show that  $x$  is unique. Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a + x' = \bar{0} = x' + a$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a + x' &= \bar{0} \\
x + (a + x') &= x + \bar{0} \\
(x + a) + x' &= x \\
\bar{0} + x' &= x \\
x' &= x
\end{aligned}$$
□

*Notation.* We denote  $x$  as  $-a$  and say that  $-a$  is the *negative* of  $a$ .

**Corollary 2.6.1.** If  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $-a = [(-m, n)]$ .

*Notation.* For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we write

$$a - b := a + (-b).$$

**Theorem 2.7.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying  $a + x = b$ .

*Proof.* From the well-defined nature of  $+$ , there exists a unique  $x = b - a = b + (-a) \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a + x &= a + (b + (-a)) \\
&= a + ((-a) + b) \\
&= (a + (-a)) + b \\
&= \bar{0} + b \\
&= b
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a + x' = b$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a + x' &= b \\
x + (a + x') &= x + b \\
(x + a) + x' &= x + b \\
b + x' &= b + x \\
-b + (b + x') &= -b + (b + x) \\
(-b + b) + x' &= (-b + b) + x \\
\bar{0} + x' &= \bar{0} + x \\
x' &= x
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition (Multiplication).** For  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we define

$$a \cdot b := [(mp, nq)].$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Multiplication  $(\cdot)$  is well-defined, associative and commutative.*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\cdot$  is well-defined. Let  $a = [(m, n)] = [(m', n')]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)] = [(p', q')] \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Now,  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m', n')$  and  $(p, q) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (p', q')$ , from which we have  $mn' = m'n$  and  $pq' = p'q$ . We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
a \cdot b &= [(mp, nq)] = [(m'p', n'q')] \\
(mp)(n'q') &= (nq)(m'p') \\
(mn')(pq') &= (m'n)(p'q)
\end{aligned}$$

which is clearly true.

For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \cdot b) \cdot c &= [(mp, nq)] \cdot [(r, s)] \\
&= [((mp)r, (nq)s)] \\
&= [(mpr, nqs)] \\
a \cdot (b \cdot c) &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(pr, qs)] \\
&= [(m(pr), n(qs))] \\
&= [(mpr, nqs)]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ , i.e.  $\cdot$  is associative.

$$\begin{aligned}
a \cdot b &= [(mp, nq)] \\
&= [(pm, qn)] \\
&= b \cdot a
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\cdot$  is commutative.

□

**Theorem 2.9.** *For all  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a \cdot \bar{1} = a = \bar{1} \cdot a$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a \cdot \bar{1} &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(q, 1)] \\
&= [(m \cdot 1, n \cdot 1)] \\
&= [(m, n)] \\
&= a \\
a \cdot \bar{1} &= a = \bar{1} \cdot a
\end{aligned}$$

□



**Theorem 2.10.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \cdot \bar{0} = \bar{0} = \bar{0} \cdot a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \bar{0} &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(0, 1)] \\ &= [(m \cdot 0, n)] \\ &= \bar{0} \\ a \cdot \bar{0} &= \bar{0} = \bar{0} \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.11.** For all  $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying  $a \cdot x = \bar{1} = x \cdot a$ .

*Proof.* For  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ , construct  $x = [(n, m)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Clearly,  $a \cdot x = [(mn, nm)] = \bar{1}$ . From commutativity of  $\cdot$ ,  $a \cdot x = \bar{1} = x \cdot a$ .

We now show that  $x$  is unique. Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a \cdot x' = \bar{1} = x' \cdot a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot x' &= \bar{1} \\ x \cdot (a \cdot x') &= x \cdot \bar{1} \\ (x \cdot a) \cdot x' &= x \\ \bar{1} \cdot x' &= x \\ x' &= x \end{aligned}$$

□

*Notation.* We denote  $x$  as  $a^{-1}$  and say that  $a^{-1}$  is the *inverse* of  $a$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying  $a \cdot x = b$ .

*Proof.* From the well-defined nature of  $\cdot$ , there exists a unique  $x = a^{-1} \cdot b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot x &= a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b) \\ &= (a \cdot a^{-1}) \cdot b \\ &= \bar{1} \cdot b \\ &= b \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a \cdot x' = b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot x' &= b \\ x \cdot (a \cdot x') &= x \cdot b \\ (x \cdot a) \cdot x' &= x \cdot b \\ b \cdot x' &= b \cdot x \\ b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot x') &= b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot x) \\ (b^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot x' &= (b^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot x \\ \bar{1} \cdot x' &= \bar{1} \cdot x \\ x' &= x \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.13 (Distributivity).** For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .

*Proof.* For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $a = [(m, n)]$ ,  $b = [(p, q)]$ ,  $c = [(r, s)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (b + c) &= [(m, n)] \cdot [(ps + qr, qs)] \\ &= [(m(ps + qr), nqs)] \\ &= [(mps + nqr, nqs)] \\ a \cdot b + a \cdot c &= [(mp, nq)] + [(mr, ns)] \\ &= [((mp)(ns) + (nq)(mr), (nq)(ns))] \\ &= [(mnps + mnqr, nnqs)] \\ &= [(n(mps + mqr), n(nqs))] \\ &= [(mps + mqr, nqs)] \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .

□

**Theorem 2.14.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b &= ((-a) + a) \cdot b \\ &= \bar{0} \cdot b \\ &= \bar{0} \\ (-a) \cdot b &= -(a \cdot b) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.15.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) + (-a \cdot b) &= (-a) \cdot (-b) + (-a) \cdot b \\ &= (-a) \cdot ((-b) + b) \\ &= (-a) \cdot \bar{0} \\ &= \bar{0} \\ (-a) \cdot (-b) &= a \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.16.** If  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a \neq \bar{0}$ , then  $m \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $m = 0$ . Then, we have  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{0}$ , contradicting our premise. Hence, we must have  $m \neq 0$ . □

**Theorem 2.17 (No zero divisors).** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a, b \neq \bar{0}$ , we have  $a \cdot b \neq \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Note that  $m \neq 0, p \neq 0$ , since  $a, b \neq \bar{0}$ .

Assume that our theorem is false, i.e.  $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ . Then  $[(mp, nq)] = \bar{0} \Rightarrow mp = 0$ .

From 1.15.1,  $m = 0$  or  $p = 0$ , which contradicts our premise.

Hence,  $a \cdot b \neq \bar{0}$ . □

**Corollary 2.17.1.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , if  $a \cdot b = \bar{0}$ , then  $a = \bar{0}$  or  $b = \bar{0}$ .

**Theorem 2.18 (Cancellation).** For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a \neq \bar{0}$ , we have  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c \Rightarrow b = c$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= a \cdot c \\ a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) &= a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c) \\ (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b &= (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot c \\ b &= c \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.19.** For all  $a = [(m, n)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a = [(-m, -n)]$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $(m, n) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (-m, -n)$ , i.e.  $m(-n) = n(-m)$ , which is certainly true. □

**Definition (Order).** For all  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $a > b$  if  $mq > np$ .

**Theorem 2.20.** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $a \cdot b > \bar{0}$  if  $a, b > \bar{0}$  or  $a, b < \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = [(m, n)], b = [(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . From  $n, q \in \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}^+$  we have  $n > 0$  and  $q > 0$ , so  $nq > 0 \Rightarrow nq \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $a, b > \bar{0}$ , then  $m > 0$  and  $p > 0$ . Thus,  $mp > 0$  which gives  $a \cdot b = [(mp, nq)] > \bar{0}$ .

If  $a, b < \bar{0}$ , then  $0 > a$  and  $0 > b$  so  $0 > m$  and  $0 > p$ . Thus,  $-m, -n > 0$ , so  $(-m)(-n) = mn > 0$ , which gives  $a \cdot b > \bar{0}$ . □

**Definition (Identification map).** Define  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by

$$I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) := [(n, 1)], \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Theorem 2.21.**  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) &= I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \\ [(m, 1)] &= [(n, 1)] \\ m \cdot 1 &= n \cdot 1 \\ m &= n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is injective. □

**Theorem 2.22.**  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(0) = \bar{0}$ .

*Proof.*

$$I_{\mathbb{Z}}(0) = [(0, 1)] = \bar{0} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.23.**  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(1) = \bar{1}$ .

*Proof.*

$$I_{\mathbb{Z}}(1) = [(1 + 1, 1)] = [(2, 1)] = \bar{1} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.24.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m + n) = I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) + I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) + I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) &= [(m, 1)] + [(n, 1)] \\ &= [(m \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot n, 1 \cdot 1)] \\ &= [(m + n, 1)] \\ &= I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m + n) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.25.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m \cdot n) = I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) \cdot I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) \cdot I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) &= [(m, 1)] \cdot [(n, 1)] \\ &= [(m \cdot n, 1 \cdot 1)] \\ &= [(mn, 1)] \\ &= I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m \cdot n) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.26.** For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m > n$ ,  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) > I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ .

*Proof.* We claim  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) > I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ , i.e.  $[(m, 1)] > [(n, 1)]$ . This is equivalent to  $m > n$ , which is true. □

## Identification

For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we shall identify  $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  with  $n$ . With this identification,

$$0 \leftrightarrow \bar{0}$$

$$1 \leftrightarrow \bar{1}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$$