# Term presentation Problem 6

Satvik Saha, 19MS154 November 19, 2020

MA2102: Linear Algebra I Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata

#### **Problem statement**

Find a basis of the quotient space  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  /  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$  is the subspace of symmetric matrices.

The subspace of symmetric matrices is such that for any  $A \in \operatorname{\mathsf{Sym}}_{\mathsf{n}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$A = A^{\top} \Leftrightarrow a_{ij} = a_{ji}.$$

It can be shown that the skew-symmetric matrices form a subspace such that for any  $B \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$B = -B^{\top} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b_{ij} = -b_{ji}.$$

The subspace of symmetric matrices is such that for any  $A \in \operatorname{\mathsf{Sym}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$A = A^{\top} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}.$$

It can be shown that the skew-symmetric matrices form a subspace such that for any  $B \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$B = -B^{\top} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b_{ij} = -b_{ji}.$$

Any matrix  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be written uniquely as the sum of a symmetric and a skew-symmetric matrix.

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(X - X^{T}).$$

Furthermore,  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) \cap Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ , because if X is both symmetric and skew-symmetric,

$$X^{\top} = X = -X^{\top} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X = \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$\mathsf{M}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathsf{Sym}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathsf{Skew}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R})$$
 .

Any matrix  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be written uniquely as the sum of a symmetric and a skew-symmetric matrix.

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(X - X^{T}).$$

Furthermore,  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) \cap Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ , because if X is both symmetric and skew-symmetric,

$$X^{\top} = X = -X^{\top} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X = \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$\mathsf{M}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathsf{Sym}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathsf{Skew}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R})$$

Any matrix  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be written uniquely as the sum of a symmetric and a skew-symmetric matrix.

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(X - X^{T}).$$

Furthermore,  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R}) \cap Skew_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ , because if X is both symmetric and skew-symmetric,

$$X^{\top} = X = -X^{\top} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X = \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$\mathsf{M}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathsf{Sym}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathsf{Skew}_\mathsf{n}(\mathbb{R})$$
.

Let  $E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the matrix whose  $i, j^{\text{th}}$  element is 1, and the remaining elements are 0. The set of  $\beta$  of all such  $E_{ij}$  comprises the standard basis of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . For any  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} E_{ij}.$$

Set

$$B_{ij}=E_{ij}-E_{ji}.$$

Thus,  $B_{ij}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix with 1 in the  $i, j^{th}$  position, and -1 in the  $j, i^{th}$  position. Let

$$\gamma = \left\{ B_{ij} \colon 1 \le i < j \le n \right\}.$$

Let  $E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the matrix whose  $i, j^{\text{th}}$  element is 1, and the remaining elements are 0. The set of  $\beta$  of all such  $E_{ij}$  comprises the standard basis of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . For any  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} E_{ij}.$$

Set

$$B_{ij}=E_{ij}-E_{ji}.$$

Thus,  $B_{ij}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix with 1 in the  $i, j^{\text{th}}$  position, and -1 in the  $j, i^{\text{th}}$  position. Let

$$\gamma = \left\{ B_{ij} \colon 1 \le i < j \le n \right\}.$$

The linear independence of  $\gamma$  follows from the linear independence of  $\beta = \{E_{ij}\}.$ 

$$\sum_{i < j} c_{ij} B_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} c_{ij} E_{ij} - c_{ij} E_{ji} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Suppose  $B \in \operatorname{Skew}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,  $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$  and  $b_{ii} = 0$ .

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} E_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{i = j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{i > j} b_{ij} E_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \mathbf{0} + \sum_{i < j} b_{ji} E_{ji}$$

$$= \sum_{i < i} b_{ij} E_{ij} - b_{ij} E_{ji} = \sum_{i < i} b_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

The linear independence of  $\gamma$  follows from the linear independence of  $\beta = \{E_{ij}\}.$ 

$$\sum_{i < j} c_{ij} B_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} c_{ij} E_{ij} - c_{ij} E_{ji} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Suppose  $B \in \text{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,  $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$  and  $b_{ii} = 0$ .

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} E_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{i = j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{i > j} b_{ij} E_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} E_{ij} + \mathbf{0} + \sum_{i < j} b_{ji} E_{ji}$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} E_{ij} - b_{ij} E_{ji} = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

Let V be vector space over F and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace. The quotient space V/W consists of equivalence classes [v], where  $v \in V$  and

$$[v] = v + W = \{v + w : w \in W\}.$$

Equivalently,  $u \in [v]$  if and only if  $u - v \in W$ .

We define

$$[\mathbf{u}] + [\mathbf{v}] = [\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}], \qquad \lambda[\mathbf{v}] = [\lambda \, \mathbf{v}].$$

With this, V/W is a vector space over F.

Let V be vector space over F and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace. The quotient space V/W consists of equivalence classes [v], where  $v \in V$  and

$$[v] = v + W = \{v + w : w \in W\}.$$

Equivalently,  $u \in [v]$  if and only if  $u - v \in W$ .

We define

$$[\mathsf{u}] + [\mathsf{v}] = [\mathsf{u} + \mathsf{v}], \qquad \lambda[\mathsf{v}] = [\lambda \, \mathsf{v}].$$

With this, V/W is a vector space over F.

Let  $v \in V$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . Then

$$[v + w_1] = [v + w_2].$$

Pick  $u\in [v+w_1]$ . Then  $u=v+w_1+w_1'$  for some  $w_1'\in W$ . Now,  $w_1+w_1'\in W$ , so  $(w_1+w_1')-w_2\in W$ . This means that

$$u = v + w_1 + w_1' = v + w_2 + (w_1 + w_1' - w_2) \in [v + w_2].$$

The reverse inclusion follows by symmetry.

Alternatively, note that since addition in well-defined,

$$[v+w_1]=[v]+[w_1]=[v]+[0]=[v]+[w_2]=[v+w_2]\\$$

Let  $v \in V$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . Then

$$[v + w_1] = [v + w_2].$$

Pick  $u\in [v+w_1]$ . Then  $u=v+w_1+w_1'$  for some  $w_1'\in W$ . Now,  $w_1+w_1'\in W$ , so  $(w_1+w_1')-w_2\in W$ . This means that

$$u = v + w_1 + w_1' = v + w_2 + (w_1 + w_1' - w_2) \in [v + w_2].$$

The reverse inclusion follows by symmetry.

Alternatively, note that since addition in well-defined,

$$[v+w_1]=[v]+[w_1]=[v]+[0]=[v]+[w_2]=[v+w_2]. \label{eq:constraint}$$

We claim that the set

$$\gamma' = \{ [B_{ij}] : B_{ij} \in \gamma \} = \{ [B_{ij}] : 1 \le i < j \le n \}$$

is a basis of  $M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Consider the linear combination

$$\sum_{i < j} c_{ij} [B_{ij}] = [0]$$
$$[B] = [0]$$

This means that the skew-symmetric matrix  $B \in [0]$ , i.e. B = 0 + A = A for some  $A \in \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This forces B = 0, whence  $c_{ij} = 0$ . Thus,  $\gamma'$  is linearly independent.

We claim that the set

$$\gamma' = \{ [B_{ij}] : B_{ij} \in \gamma \} = \{ [B_{ij}] : 1 \le i < j \le n \}$$

is a basis of  $M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Consider the linear combination

$$\sum_{i < j} c_{ij} [B_{ij}] = [\mathbf{0}]$$
$$[B] = [\mathbf{0}]$$

This means that the skew-symmetric matrix  $B \in [0]$ , i.e.  $B = \mathbf{0} + A = A$  for some  $A \in \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This forces  $B = \mathbf{0}$ , whence  $c_{ij} = 0$ . Thus,  $\gamma'$  is linearly independent.

Pick  $[X] \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , write X = A + B where  $A \in \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $B \in \operatorname{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that

$$[X] = [A + B] = [B].$$

Now, expand B in the basis  $\gamma$ .

$$B = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

Then,

$$[X] = [B] = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} [B_{ij}].$$

Pick  $[X] \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , write X = A + B where  $A \in \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $B \in \operatorname{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that

$$[X] = [A + B] = [B].$$

Now, expand B in the basis  $\gamma$ .

$$B = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

Then,

$$[X] = [B] = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} [B_{ij}].$$

Thus,  $\gamma'$  is linearly independent and spans  $M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This proves that  $\gamma'$  is a basis of  $M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Moreover,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  contain  $1+2+\cdots+(n-1)=n(n-1)/2$  elements, so

$$\dim \operatorname{Skew}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \dim \operatorname{M}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1).$$

#### **Appendix**

For a linear map  $T: V \to W$ , the map

$$\mathscr{T}: V/\ker T \to \operatorname{im} T, \qquad [v] \mapsto T(v)$$

is a linear isomorphism. By setting

$$T \colon M_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad X \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(X - X^{\top}),$$

note that  $\ker T = \operatorname{\mathsf{Sym}}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\operatorname{\mathsf{im}} T = \operatorname{\mathsf{Skew}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $T(X) = B \in \mathsf{Skew}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then X = [B]. Since a linear isomorphism sends a basis to a basis, and the inverse of a linear isomorphism is a linear isomorphism, the set  $\mathscr{T}^{-1}(\gamma) = \gamma'$  is a basis of  $\mathsf{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \, / \, \mathsf{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .