

MA2201: ANALYSIS II

Differentiation

Spring 2021

Satvik Saha
19MS154

*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata,
Mohanpur, West Bengal, 741246, India.*

The origins of differential calculus lie in our attempts to approximate various functions using linear ones. Suppose that we have been given a curve described by the function f , and we want to *locally* approximate the function around a point x using a straight line. In other words, for a small shift h , we want to write

$$f(x+h) \approx f(x) + kh.$$

Here, k is the slope of the straight line. In order to obtain k , we can rearrange the above to get

$$k \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

As we pick smaller and smaller neighbourhoods of x , we want our approximation to get better and better. Thus, if such an approximation is possible, then the value of k must stabilize. This means that the limit

$$k = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

must exist. Note that this immediately forces the continuity of f , since

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x+h) - f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = 0k = 0,$$

whereby $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$. Splitting the limit is justified because the individual limits exist. If such a limit k exists, we call it the derivative of f at x , denoted $f'(x)$. We are now able to write

$$f(x+h) \approx f(x) + f'(x)h.$$

Definition 2.1 (Derivative). The derivative of a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $x \in [a, b]$ is defined as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h},$$

if such a limit exists. Note that we only demand a one-sided limit if x is an endpoint. If the derivative of f exists at every point in $[a, b]$, we say that f is differentiable on $[a, b]$.

Example. Consider the map $x \mapsto x^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the binomial theorem, we can write

$$(x + h)^n = x^n + nx^{n-1}h + \cdots + h^n,$$

which means that

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [(x + h)^n - x^n] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[nx^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2}x^{n-2}h + \cdots + h^{n-1} \right] = nx^{n-1}.$$

Note that the process of differentiation we described can be generalised to multivariable functions. The idea is to locally approximate a function with an affine function.

Theorem 2.1. *If $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on (a, b) , then it is also continuous on (a, b) .*

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then,*

1. *f maps compact sets to compact sets.*
2. *f maps connected sets to connected sets.*

Corollary 2.2.1. *A continuous function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ maps intervals to intervals.*

Corollary 2.2.2. *A continuous function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its minimum and maximum on $[a, b]$.*

Definition 2.2. Given $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a point $c \in (a, b)$ is said to be a point of local maximum if there exists a neighbourhood I_c of c such that

$$f(c) > f(x),$$

for all $x \in I_c \setminus \{c\}$. There is an analogous definition for a local minimum.

Theorem 2.3. *If $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and $c \in (a, b)$ is a point of local minimum or maximum, then $f'(c) = 0$.*

Remark. The converse is not true. Note that the derivative of $x \mapsto x^3$ vanishes at $x = 0$, but that is not a local minimum or maximum.

Proof. Let c be a local minimum or maximum of f , but suppose that $f'(c) \neq 0$. Define the function

$$g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} (f(x) - f(c))/(x - c), & \text{if } x \neq c \\ f'(c), & \text{if } x = c \end{cases}$$

We note that g is continuous. Also, $f'(c) = g(c) \neq 0$. If $g(c) > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood $I_\delta = (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ such that for all $x \in I_\delta$, $g(x) > 0$, from the continuity of g . This means that on I_c ,

$$\frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} > 0,$$

which gives $f(x) > f(c)$ on $(c, c + \delta)$ and $f(x) < f(c)$ on $(c - \delta, c)$. This means that c cannot be a local minimum, nor a local maximum. There is an analogous case assuming $g(c) < 0$, which leads to the same contradiction. Thus, we must have $f'(c) = g(c) = 0$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable, and $c \in (a, b)$ is such that $f'(c) = 0$ and $f''(c) < 0$, then c is a point of local maximum. If $f'(c) = 0$ and $f''(c) > 0$, then c is a point of local minimum.*