MA2201: Analysis II

Integration

Spring 2021

Satvik Saha 19MS154

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, Mohanpur, West Bengal, 741246, India.

Definition 3.1 (Partition). A partition P of an interval [a, b] is a finite sequence of numbers

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b.$$

The norm of a partition is defined as

$$||P|| = \max |x_{j+1} - x_j|.$$

Definition 3.2 (Tagged partition). A tagged partition $\dot{P}(x_j, \xi_j)$ is a partition P together with a set of numbers ξ_j such that $\xi_j \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$.

Definition 3.3 (Riemann sum). The Riemann sum of a function f on an interval [a,b] with respect to a tagged partition \dot{P} is defined as

$$S(f, \dot{P}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_j)(x_{j+1} - x_j).$$

Definition 3.4 (Riemann integral). A function f is called Riemann integrable on an interval [a,b] if there is some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ where for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that all tagged partitions \dot{P} of [a,b] with $||\dot{P}|| < \delta$ satisfy

$$|S(f, \dot{P}) - \ell| < \epsilon.$$

The number ℓ is the value of the Riemann integral,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \ell.$$

1

MA2201: Analysis II Differentiation

Theorem 3.1. If a function is Riemann integrable on an interval, then the value of the integral is unique.

Proof. Let f be Riemann integrable on [a, b], with integral values ℓ and ℓ' . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we find $\delta > 0$ such that for all tagged partitions \dot{P} with $||\dot{P}|| < \delta$,

$$|S(f,\dot{P}) - \ell| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \qquad |S(f,\dot{P}) - \ell'| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Note that such a partition \dot{P} always exists. Thus,

$$|\ell - \ell'| \le |S(f, \dot{P}) - \ell| + |S(f, \dot{P}) - \ell'| < \epsilon$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, which forces $\ell = \ell'$.

Theorem 3.2. If f is Riemann integrable on [a,b], then f is bounded on that interval. Furthermore, if M > 0 is such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in [a,b]$, then

$$-M(b-a) \le \int_a^b f \le M(b-a).$$

Proof. Suppose not. Let the Riemann integral of f on [a,b] be ℓ . For $\epsilon=1$, we find $\delta>0$ such that for all tagged partitions \dot{P} of [a,b] with $||\dot{P}||<\delta$, we have $|S(f,\dot{P})-\ell|<1$. This means that

$$S(f, \dot{P}) < |\ell| + 1.$$

Let $Q = \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$ be such a partition. The unboundedness of f means that we can find a subinterval $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$ where f is unbounded. Now, choose tags ξ_j creating the tagged partition \dot{Q} . We choose the tag $\xi_k \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ such that

$$|f(\xi_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)| > |\ell| + 1 + |\sum_{j \neq k} f(\xi_j)(x_{j+1} - x_j)|.$$

Now, observe that the triangle inequality demands

$$|S(f,\dot{Q})| \ge |f(\xi_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)| - |\sum_{i \ne k} f(\xi_i)(x_{j+1} - x_j)| > |\ell| + 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, f must be bounded on [a, b].

Next, for any tagged partition \dot{P} of [a,b], we have

$$|S(f, \dot{P})| \le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\xi_j)(x_{j+1} - x_j) \le M(b - a).$$

Let the Riemann integral of f be ℓ . Thus, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we find $\delta > 0$ such that for all tagged partitions \dot{P} with $||\dot{P}|| < \delta$,

$$||S(f, \dot{P})| - |\ell|| < |S(f, \dot{P}) - \ell| < \epsilon.$$

This gives

$$|\ell| < |S(f, \dot{P})| + \epsilon \le M(b-a) + \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we may write

$$|\ell| \leq M(b-a).$$

Theorem 3.3. If f is Riemann integrable on [a,b], and \dot{P}_n is any sequence of tagged partitions of [a,b] such that $||\dot{P}_n|| \to 0$, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(f, \dot{P}_n).$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We find $\delta > 0$ such that for all tagged partitions \dot{P} with $||\dot{P}|| < \delta$, we have

$$|S(f, \dot{P}) - \int_{a}^{b} f| < \epsilon.$$

Now, since $\|\dot{P}_n\| \to 0$, we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\|\dot{P}_n\| < \delta$. Thus, for all $n \geq N$,

$$|S(f, \dot{P}_n) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon.$$

In other words,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(f, \dot{P}_n).$$

Definition 3.5 (Refinement). A partition \tilde{P} is said to be a refinement of a partition P if $P \subset \tilde{P}$.

Definition 3.6 (Common refinement). Given two partitions P_1 and P_2 of an interval [a, b], we say that \tilde{P} is their common refinement if $P_1 \cup P_2 \subset \tilde{P}$.

Definition 3.7 (Darboux sums). Given a partition P of [a,b] and a bounded function f, define

$$m_j = \inf_{t \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]} f(t), \qquad M_j = \sup_{t \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]} f(t).$$

The lower and upper Darboux sums are defined as

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m_j(x_{j+1} - x_j), \qquad U(f, P) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j(x_{j+1} - x_j).$$

Lemma 3.4. If P is a partition of an interval [a, b], then

$$L(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$$
.

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that the infimum is less than or equal to the supremum, i.e. $m_j \leq M_j$.

Theorem 3.5. Let \tilde{P} be a refinement of a partition P of an interval [a,b]. Then,

$$L(f, P) \le L(f, \tilde{P}) \le U(f, \tilde{P}) \le U(f, P)$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$P = \{x_0, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\},$$

$$\tilde{P} = \{x_0, \dots, x_k, y, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Set

$$m_1 = \inf_{t \in [x_k, y]} f(t), \qquad m_2 = \inf_{t \in [y, x_{k+1}]} f(t), \qquad m = \inf_{t \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]} f(t).$$

Then, observe that

$$L(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, P) = m_1(y - x_k) + m_2(x_{k+1} - y) - m(x_{k+1} - x_k).$$

Now, from the properties of the infimum, $m_1 \geq m$ and $m_2 \geq m$, so

$$L(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, P) \ge m(y - x_k + x_{k+1} - y - x_{k+1} + x_k) = 0.$$

This procedure of adding one point can be repeated finitely many times to obtain the same conclusion for any refinement of P. The case for the upper sum is analogous.

Corollary 3.5.1. For any two partitions P_1 and P_2 of [a,b],

$$L(f, P_1) \leq U(f, P_2).$$

Proof. Note that $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a common refinement of P_1 and P_2 , hence

$$L(f, P_1) < L(f, P_1 \cup P_2) < U(f, P_1 \cup P_2) < U(f, P_2).$$

Corollary 3.5.2. If $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of refinements of a partition P_0 of [a,b], then the following limits exist.

$$L_{f,P_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} L(f, P_n), \qquad U_{f,P_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} U(f, P_n).$$

Proof. This follows from the monotone convergence theorem, together with the fact that $U(f, P_0)$ and $L(f, P_0)$ are upper and lower bounds of the two respective sequences.

Corollary 3.5.3. The following quantities exist, where the infimum and supremum is taken over all possible partitions P of [a,b].

$$L_f = \sup L(f, P), \qquad U_f = \inf U(f, P).$$

Furthermore, for any partition P,

$$L(f, P) \le L_f \le U_f \le U(f, P).$$

MA2201: Analysis II Differentiation

Proof. First examine the set of all lower Darboux sums, $\{L(f,P)\}$. This set is non-empty, since any partition of [a,b] gives a corresponding lower sum. Note that we have already demanded that f is bounded! This set is also bounded above, by any upper sum. Thus, the completeness of the reals guaranteed the existence of a supremum. The case for upper sums is analogous.

The outermost inequalities trivially follow from the definitions of the infimum and supremum. The middle inequality follows from the fact that if A and B are two subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $\alpha \in A, \beta \in B$ implies $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\sup A \leq \inf B$.

Definition 3.8 (Darboux integrals). The lower and upper Darboux integrals of a function f are defined as

$$L_f = \sup L(f, P), \qquad U_f = \inf U(f, P).$$

Here, the infimum and supremum is taken over all possible partitions P of [a, b]. If $L_f = U_f$, then the common integral is simply called the Darboux integral,

$$\int_a^b f = L_f = U_f.$$

Such a function f is called Darboux integrable.

Theorem 3.6. Riemann and Darboux integrability are equivalent and assign the same value to the integrals. Specifically, a function f is Riemann integrable on [a,b] is for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P such that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$$
.