MA5102: Partial Differential Equations

Final Assignment

Satvik Saha

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata

Contents

Problem 1	
Problem 2	
Problem 3	
Problem 4	
Problem 5	

Problem 1

Let u be a solution of

$$a(x,y)u_x+b(x,y)u_y=-u\\$$

and of class C^1 in the closed unit disk Ω in the xy-plane. Furthermore, let

$$a(x,y)x + b(x,y)y > 0$$

on the boundary of Ω . Prove that u vanishes identically on Ω .

Solution

Note that by setting $v = u^2 \ge 0$, we have

$$a(x,y)v_x + b(x,y)v_y = 2uu_x a + 2uu_y b = -2u^2 = -2v. \\$$

Since v is of class C^1 on Ω , it must attain its maximum $M \geq 0$ at some point $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$. Now, if z_0 is in the interior of Ω , then we must have $v_x(z_0) = v_y(z_0) = 0$, hence $M = v(z_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \big(av_x + bv_y \big)(z_0) = 0$. This forces v = 0, hence u = 0 on Ω as desired.

This leaves the case where $z_0\in\partial\Omega.$ Consider an initial curve along $\partial\Omega,$ and set up the characteristic equations

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = a$$
, $\frac{dy}{ds} = b$, $\frac{dv}{ds} = -2v$.

Thus, $v(\theta,s)=\varphi(\theta)e^{-2s}$. Let θ_0,s_0 be such that $(x(\theta_0,s_0),y(\theta_0,s_0))=(x_0,y_0)=z_0$. Then, $\varphi(\theta_0)=v(\theta_0,s_0)e^{2s_0}=Me^{2s_0}$. Note that if M=0, we are done. Otherwise, M>0. Now,

1

at z_0 , the tangent (a,b) to the characteristic curve $s\mapsto (x(\theta_0,s),y(\theta_0,s))$ points outwards from Ω , since $(a,b)\cdot (x_0,y_0)>0$ there. This means that for some $\delta>0$, the characteristic curve $s\mapsto (x(\theta_0,s),y(\theta_0,s))$ must lie in the interior of Ω for $s\in (s_0-\delta,s_0)$. However, along this curve, $v(\theta_0,s)=Me^{2(s_0-s)}$ is decreasing with s! This means that $v(\theta_0,s_0-\delta/2)=Me^\delta>M$ in Ω , contradicting the maximality of M.

In all cases, $\max_{\Omega} u^2 = M = 0$, hence u = 0 identically on Ω .

Problem 2

1. Let (r, θ, ϕ) be spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e.

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$$
, $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$, $z = r \cos \theta$.

Prove that the Laplace operator Δ can be expressed by

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.$$

- 2. Classify homogeneous harmonic polynomials in \mathbb{R}^3 by the following steps. Suppose that u is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree m in \mathbb{R}^3 . Set $u=r^mQ_m(\theta,\phi)$ for some function Q_m defined on S^2 .
 - i. Prove that Q_m satisfies

$$m(m+1)Q_m + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\bigg(\sin\theta\frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial\theta}\bigg) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2 Q_m}{\partial\phi^2} = 0.$$

ii. Prove that if Q_m is of the form $f(\theta)g(\phi)$, then

$$Q_m(\theta,\phi) = (A\cos(k\phi) + B\sin(k\phi))f_{m,k}(\cos\theta),$$

where

$$f_{m,k}(\mu) = (1 - \mu^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{d^{m+k}}{d\mu^{m+k}} (1 - \mu^2)^m$$

for $\mu \in [-1, 1]$ and k = 0, 1, ..., m.

Solution

1. Note that

$$r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$
, $r^2 \sin^2 \theta = x^2 + y^2$, $\tan \phi = y/x$.

With this,

$$r_x = \frac{x}{r} = \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad r_y = \frac{y}{r} = \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad r_z = \frac{z}{r} = \cos \theta.$$

Next, $x\sin^2(\theta) + r^2\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta)~\theta_x = x,$ hence

$$\theta_x = \frac{x\cos\theta}{r^2\sin\theta} = \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta\cos\phi.$$

Similarly,

$$\theta_y = \frac{y\cos\theta}{r^2\sin\theta} = \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta\sin\phi, \qquad \theta_z = -\frac{z\sin\theta}{r^2\cos\theta} = -\frac{1}{r}\sin\theta.$$

Finally, $\sec^2(\phi) \; \phi_x = -y/x^2,$ hence

$$\phi_x = -\frac{y\cos^2\phi}{x^2} = -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}.$$

Similarly,

$$\phi_y = -\frac{\cos^2 \phi}{r} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta}, \qquad \phi_z = 0.$$

In summary,

$$\begin{split} r_x &= \sin\theta\cos\phi, & r_y &= \sin\theta\sin\phi, & r_z &= \cos\theta \\ \theta_x &= \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta\cos\phi, & \theta_y &= \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta\sin\phi, & \theta_z &= -\frac{1}{r}\sin\theta \\ \phi_x &= -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}, & \phi_y &= -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta}, & \phi_z &= 0. \end{split}$$

Now, recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j},$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right] = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 y_j}{\partial x_i^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j \partial y_k}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2} y_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} + \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial y_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j} \partial y_{k}} \\ &= \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} y_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} + \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial y_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i \ j \leq k}} \left(\frac{\partial y_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j} \partial y_{k}}. \end{split}$$

In our case, first note that

$$r_x\theta_x + r_y\theta_y + r_z\theta_z = \theta_x\phi_x + \theta_y\phi_y + \theta_z\phi_z = \phi_xr_x + \phi_yr_y + \phi_zr_z = 0,$$

so the $\partial^2/\partial r\ \partial\theta$, $\partial^2/\partial\theta\ \partial\phi$, and $\partial^2/\partial\phi\ \partial r$ terms vanish. This leaves

$$\Delta = \sum_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 y_j}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \left(\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} \right].$$

Next, note that

$$r_x^2 + r_y^2 + r_z^2 = 1$$
, $\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2 + \theta_z^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}$, $\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2 + \phi_z^2 = \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}$

which are the coefficients of $\partial^2/\partial r^2$, $\partial^2/\partial \theta^2$, and $\partial^2/\partial \phi^2$ respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \left[\sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial^2 y_j}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \\ &= \left[\sum_j \omega_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}. \end{split}$$

To determine the coefficients ω_j , first observe that $\Delta(x^2+y^2+z^2)=6$. This is also precisely $\Delta r^2=2r\omega_r+2$, hence $\omega_r=2/r$. Next, observe that $\Delta(x^2+y^2)=4$, and this is also precisely

$$\begin{split} \Delta \big(r^2 \sin^2 \theta \big) &= 4 \sin^2 \theta + 2 r^2 \omega_\theta \sin \theta \cos \theta + 2 \sin^2 \theta + 4 \big(1 - 2 \sin^2 \theta \big) \\ &= -2 \sin^2 \theta + 2 r^2 \omega_\theta \sin \theta \cos \theta + 4, \end{split}$$

hence $\omega_{\theta} = \cos \theta / r^2 \sin \theta$. Finally, observe that

$$\Delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) = \frac{2y}{x^3} = \frac{2\sec^2\phi\tan\phi}{r^2\sin^2\theta},$$

which is also precisely

$$\Delta \tan \phi = \omega_{\phi} \sec^2 \phi + \frac{2 \sec^2 \phi \tan \phi}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta},$$

hence $\omega_{\phi} = 0$. Putting everything together,

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.$$

Remark: We have avoided calculating the nine terms $r_{xx}, r_{yy}, r_{zz}, \theta_{xx}, \theta_{yy}, \theta_{zz}, \phi_{xx}, \phi_{yy}, \phi_{zz}$!

2. i. Calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\bigg(r^2\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\bigg) &= \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\bigg(r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r^mQ_m(\theta,\phi)\bigg) = m(m+1)r^{m-2}Q_m,\\ \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\bigg(\sin\theta\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\bigg) &= \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\bigg(\sin\theta\frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial \theta}r^m\bigg) = \frac{r^{m-2}}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\bigg(\sin\theta\frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial \theta}\bigg),\\ \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \phi^2} &= \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2 Q_m}{\partial \phi^2}r^m = \frac{r^{m-2}}{\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2 Q_m}{\partial \phi^2}. \end{split}$$

Adding everything together,

$$\Delta u = r^{m-2} \left[m(m+1)Q_m + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2 Q_m}{\partial \phi^2} = 0 \right].$$

Setting this to zero, we must have

$$m(m+1)Q_m + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2 Q_m}{\partial \phi^2} = 0.$$

ii. Putting $Q_m = f(\theta)g(\phi)$ in the previous equation, we have

$$m(m+1)fg + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}(\sin\theta f')'g + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}fg'' = 0.$$

Rearranging,

$$\left\lceil \frac{m(m+1)f + (\sin\theta f')'/\sin\theta}{f} \right\rceil \sin^2\theta = -\frac{g''}{g} = k^2.$$

The last step equating both sides to a constant k^2 follows since they are independent functions of θ and ϕ respectively. Furthermore, g must be a periodic function of ϕ , which is possible only if the constant is positive, yielding periodic solutions

$$a(\phi) = A\cos(k\phi) + B\sin(k\phi).$$

Additionally, k must be an integer so that g is 2π periodic. Now,

$$\left[m(m+1) - \frac{k^2}{\sin^2 \theta}\right] f + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} (\sin \theta f')' = 0.$$

Putting $\mu = \cos \theta$ and $h(\mu) = f(\theta)$, we have

$$\frac{df}{d\theta} = \frac{dh}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\theta} = -h'(\mu)\sin\theta = -h'(\mu)\sqrt{1-\mu^2},$$

hence

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}(\sin(\theta)f'(\theta)) = -\frac{d}{d\theta}\big(\big(1-\mu^2\big)h'(\mu)\big) = -\big[2\mu h'(\mu) - \big(1-\mu^2\big)h''(\mu)\big]\sin(\theta).$$

Thus, our differential equation reduces to

$$\left[m(m+1) - \frac{k^2}{1-\mu^2} \right] h - 2\mu h' + (1-\mu^2)h'' = 0.$$

Rewrite this as the Sturm-Liouville problem

$$m(m+1)h + ((1-\mu^2)h')' = \frac{k^2}{1-\mu^2}h,$$

with
$$h(-1) = h(1)$$

Problem 3

Solve the following Cauchy problem.

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0,$$
 $0 < x < \infty, \quad t > 0,$ $u(x,0) = f(x),$ $0 \le x < \infty,$ $u_t(x,0) = g(x),$ $0 \le x < \infty,$

where $f \in C^2[0,\infty)$ and $g \in C^1[0,\infty)$ satisfy the compatibility conditions f(0) = f''(0) = g(0) = 0.

Solution

Extend f and g as odd functions on \mathbb{R} . Then, d'Alembert's formula yields

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x-t) + f(x+t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(s) \ ds.$$

Note that the compatibility conditions f(0) = g(0) = 0 ensure that the extended versions of f and g are in $C^1(\mathbb{R})$, and the fact that f''(0) = 0 ensures that the extended version of f is in $C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, u as obtained above is a classical solution of the given Cauchy problem.

Problem 4

We say that $v \in C^2(\bar{U})$ is subharmonic if $-\Delta v \leq 0$ in U.

1. Prove for subharmonic v that for all $B(x,r) \subset U$,

$$v(x) \leq \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} v.$$

2. Prove that therefore,

$$\max_{\bar{U}} v = \max_{\partial U} v.$$

- 3. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and convex. Assume that u is harmonic and $v = \phi(u)$. Prove that v is subharmonic.
- 4. Prove that $v = |Du|^2$ is subharmonic when u is harmonic.

Solution

1. Define

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\partial B(x,r))} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} v = \frac{1}{\sigma(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} v(x+r\omega) \; d\sigma(\omega).$$

Then, calculate

$$\begin{split} \varphi'(r) &= \frac{1}{\sigma(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} Dv(x + r\omega) \cdot \omega \; d\sigma(\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma(\partial B(x,r))} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} Dv \cdot \nu \; d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma(\partial B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \Delta v \; dy \end{split}$$

The last step follows from Gauss's Divergence Theorem. Now, $\Delta v \geq 0$, hence $\varphi'(r) \geq 0$. Furthermore, $\varphi(r) \to v(x)$ as $r \to 0$, since

$$\min_{\partial B(x,r)} v \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(\partial B(x,r))} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} v \leq \max_{\partial B(x,r)} v,$$

and both $\min_{\partial B(x,r)} v, \max_{\partial B(x,r)} v \to v(x)$ as $r \to 0$ by continuity. Thus, $\varphi(r) \ge v(x)$ for all r > 0. With this, for r such that $B(x,r) \subset U$, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} v &= \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_0^r \int_{S^{n-1}} v(x+t\omega) t^{n-1} \, d\sigma(\omega) \, dt \\ &= \frac{\sigma(S^{n-1})}{\omega(n)r^n} \int_0^r \varphi(t) \, t^{n-1} \, dt \\ &\geq \frac{n}{r^n} \int_0^r v(x) \, t^{n-1} \, dt \\ &= v(x). \end{split}$$

2. Suppose that v attains its maximum M at a point x_0 in the interior of U. Then, for all $x \in U$ such that v(x) = M, we have $v(x) - v(y) \ge 0$ for all $y \in \overline{U}$, hence

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} v(x) - v(y) \; dy = v(x) - \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} v \leq 0.$$

The last inequality follows from the previous result. This forces

$$\frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} v(x) - v(y) \ dy = 0$$

where $B(x,r)\subset U$, hence v=v(x)=M on B(x,r). Thus, we have shown that the set $v^{-1}(M)$ is both open (previous argument) and closed (continuity of v) in the connected component of U containing x_0 . Since it is non-empty (the point $x_0\in v^{-1}(M)$), $v^{-1}(M)$

must be the entirety of that connected component of U. Thus, by continuity, v must attain M at some boundary point of U as well.

3. Calculate

$$\Delta v = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\phi'(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\phi''(u) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \phi'(u) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \right].$$

Using $\Delta u = 0$ and $\phi'' \ge 0$ via convexity, we have

$$\Delta v = \phi''(u) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \phi'(u) \Delta u \ge 0,$$

whence $-\Delta v \leq 0$. Thus, v is subharmonic.

4. Note that since u is harmonic, so are the functions $u_i := \partial u/\partial x_i$. Now,

$$v = |Du|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2,$$

so

$$\begin{split} \Delta v &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \\ &= \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}^{2}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(2u_{i} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + u_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \Delta u_{i} \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$

Thus, $-\Delta v \leq 0$, so v is subharmonic.

Problem 5

Let u be the solution of

$$\Delta u = 0,$$
 in \mathbb{R}^n_+ ,
 $u = g,$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$

given by Poisson's formula for the half-space. Assume that g is bounded and g(x) = |x| for $x \in \partial \mathbb{R}^n_+, |x| \leq 1$. Show that Du is not bounded near x = 0.

Solution

Using Poisson's formula, write

$$\begin{split} u(x) &= \frac{2x_n}{n\omega(n)} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{g(y)}{\left\|x - y\right\|^n} \, dy \\ &= \frac{2x_n}{n\omega(n)} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{g}(z)}{\left(\left\|\tilde{x} - z\right\|^2 + x_n^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dz. \end{split}$$

Here, we denote $\tilde{x}=(x_1,...,x_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{g}(z)=g(z_1,...,z_{n-1},0).$ Thus, u(0)=0, and

$$u(\lambda e_n) = \frac{2\lambda}{n\omega(n)} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{g}(z)}{\left(\left\|z\right\|^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dz.$$

With this, we estimate

$$\begin{split} \frac{u(\lambda e_n) - u(0)}{\lambda} &= \frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{g}(z)}{\left(\left\|z\right\|^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dz \\ &= \frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{S^{n-2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{g}(r\sigma)}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} r^{n-2} \, dr \, d\sigma \\ &= \frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{S^{n-2}} \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) \frac{\tilde{g}(r\sigma)}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} r^{n-2} \, dr \, d\sigma \end{split}$$

Using the boundedness of g, let |g| < M. Note that

$$|\int_1^\infty \frac{\tilde{g}(r\sigma)}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dr| < M \int_1^\infty \frac{dr}{r^2} < \infty,$$

so

$$\frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{S^{n-2}} \int_1^\infty \frac{\tilde{g}(r\sigma)}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} r^{n-2} \, dr \, d\sigma < \infty.$$

For the remaining piece, use g = r when $r \le 1$ to write

$$\begin{split} \frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{S^{n-2}} \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{g}(r\sigma)}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2}} r^{n-2} \, dr \, d\sigma &= \frac{2}{n\omega(n)} \int_{S^{n-2}} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1}}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2}} \, dr \, d\sigma \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)\omega(n-1)}{n\omega(n)} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1}}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2}} \, dr. \end{split}$$

Now, calculate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2}} = -\frac{r^{n-1}}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^n} \cdot \frac{n}{2} (r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2 - 1} \cdot 2\lambda < 0$$

when $\lambda > 0$. Thus, the functions $r \mapsto r^{n-1}/(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{n/2}$ are pointwise monotonically increasing on (0,1) as λ decreases to 0. Therefore, the Monotone Convergence Theorem gives

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1}}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dr = \int_0^1 \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\left(r^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{n/2}} \, dr = \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{r} = \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}(0) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{u(\lambda e_n) - u(0)}{\lambda} = \infty,$$

from which Du must be unbounded near 0.