# MA3101

# Analysis III

# Autumn 2021

# Satvik Saha 19MS154

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, Mohanpur, West Bengal, 741246, India.

# Contents

1	Euc	elidean spaces	1
	1.1	$\mathbb{R}^n$ as a vector space	1
	1.2	$\mathbb{R}^n$ as a metric space	2
	1.3	$\mathbb{R}^n$ as a topological space	4
	1.4	Compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$	5
	1.5	Continuous maps	7
	1.6	Connectedness	
<b>2</b>	Diff	Perential calculus 1	0
	2.1	Differentiability	C
	2.2	Chain rule	. 1
	2.3	Partial derivatives	2
		2.3.1 Directional derivatives	.4
		2.3.2 Differentiation on manifolds *	.5
	2.4	Mean value theorem	6
	2.5	Inverse and implicit function theorems	8
	2.6	Taylor's theorem	9
	2.7		O

# 1 Euclidean spaces

# 1.1 $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a vector space

We are familiar with the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the standard inner product

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n.$$

The standard norm is defined as

$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 = \langle \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2.$$

#### **Exercise 1.1.** What are all possible inner products on $\mathbb{R}^n$ ?

Solution. Note that an inner product is a bilinear, symmetric map such that  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \geq 0$ , and  $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}$ . Thus, an product map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is completely and uniquely determined by the values  $\langle \boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{e}_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ . Let A be the  $n \times n$  matrix with entries  $a_{ij}$ . Note that A is a real symmetric matrix with positive entries. Now,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{e}_j \rangle = x_1 a_{1j} + \dots + x_n a_{nj} = \boldsymbol{x}^\top \boldsymbol{a}_j,$$

where  $a_j$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of A. Thus,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a}_1 y_1 + \dots + \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a}_n y_n = \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} A \boldsymbol{y}.$$

Furthermore, any choice of real symmetric A with positive entries produces an inner product.

**Theorem 1.1** (Cauchy-Schwarz). Given two vectors  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$|\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \rangle| \leq ||\boldsymbol{v}|| ||\boldsymbol{w}||.$$

*Proof.* This is trivial when w = 0. When  $w \neq 0$ , set  $\lambda = \langle v, w \rangle / ||w||^2$ . Thus,

$$0 \le \|\boldsymbol{v} - \lambda \boldsymbol{w}\|^2 = \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \rangle + \lambda^2 \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2.$$

Simplifying,

$$0 \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2 - \frac{|\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \rangle|^2}{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2}.$$

This gives the desired result. Clearly, equality holds if and only if  $v = \lambda w$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Triangle inequality). Given two vectors  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\|v + w\| \le \|v\| + \|w\|.$$

Proof. Write

$$\|\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{w}\|^2 = \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2 + 2\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \rangle + \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 \le \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2 + 2|\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \rangle| + \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz gives

$$\|\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{w}\|^2 \le (\|\boldsymbol{v}\| + \|\boldsymbol{w}\|)^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

#### 1.2 $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a metric space

Our previous observations allow us to define the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , seen as a point set.

$$d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|.$$

**Definition 1.1.** For any  $\delta > 0$ , the set

$$B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \{ \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) < \delta \}$$

is called the open ball centred at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with radius  $\delta$ . This is also called the  $\delta$  neighbourhood of x.

**Definition 1.2.** A set U is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if for every  $\boldsymbol{x} \in U$ , there exists an open ball  $B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset U$ .

*Remark.* Every open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is open.

Remark. Both  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are open.

**Definition 1.3.** A set F is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if its complement  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Remark. Both  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are closed.

*Remark.* Finite sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are closed.

**Theorem 1.3.** Unions and finite intersections of open sets are open.

Corollary 1.3.1. Intersections and finite unions of closed sets are closed.

**Definition 1.4.** An interior point x of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that there is a neighbourhood of x contained within S.

*Example.* Every point in an open set is an interior point by definition. The interior of a set is the largest open set contained within it.

**Definition 1.5.** An exterior point x of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an interior point of the complement  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ .

**Definition 1.6.** A boundary point of a set is neither an interior point, nor an exterior point.

*Example.* The boundary of the unit open ball  $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the sphere  $S^{n-1}$ .

**Definition 1.7.** A limit point x of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that every neighbourhood of x contains a point from S other than itself.

**Definition 1.8.** The closure of a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the union of S and its limit points.

Remark. The closure of a set is the smallest closed set containing it.

**Lemma 1.4.** Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a union of open balls.

*Proof.* Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. Thus, for every  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can choose  $\delta_x > 0$  such that  $B_{\delta_x}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset U$ . The union of all such open balls is precisely the set U.

#### 1.3 $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a topological space

**Definition 1.9.** A topology on a set X is a collection  $\tau$  of subsets of X such that

- 1.  $\emptyset \in \tau$
- 2.  $X \in \tau$
- 3. Arbitrary union of sets from  $\tau$  belong to  $\tau$ .
- 4. Finite intersections of sets from  $\tau$  belong to  $\tau$ .

Sets from  $\tau$  are called open sets.

Example. The Euclidean metric induces the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Example. The discrete topology on a set X is one where every singleton set is open. This is the topology induced by the discrete metric,

$$d_{\text{discrete}} \colon X \times X \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad (x,y) \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

*Example.* Let X be an infinite set. The collection of sets consisting of  $\emptyset$  along with all sets A such that  $X \setminus A$  is finite is a topology on X. This is called the Zariski topology.

Example. Consider the set of real numbers, and let  $\tau$  be the collection  $\emptyset$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and all intervals (-x, +x) for x > 0. This constitutes a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , very different from the usual one.

This topology cannot be induced by a metric; it is not metrizable.

Consider the constant sequence of zeros. In this topology  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ , this sequence converges to *every* point in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given any  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ , the open neighbourhoods of  $\ell$  are precisely the sets  $\mathbb{R}$  and the open intervals (-x, +x) for  $x > |\ell|$ . The tail of the constant sequence of zeros is contained within every such neighbourhood of  $\ell$ , hence  $0 \to \ell$ . Indeed, the element zero belongs to every open set apart from  $\emptyset$  in this topology.

**Definition 1.10.** A topological space is called Hausdorff if for every distinct  $x, y \in X$ , there exist disjoint neighbourhoods of x and y.

Example. Every metric space is Hausdorff. Given distinct x, y in a metric space (X, d), set  $\delta = d(x, y)/3$  and consider the open balls  $B_{\delta}(x)$  and  $B_{\delta}(y)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Every convergent sequence in a Hausdorff space has exactly one limit.

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , and suppose that it converges to distinct  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Construct disjoint neighbourhoods  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  around  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Now, convergence implies that both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  contain the tail of  $\{x_n\}$ , which is impossible since they are disjoint and hence contain no elements in common.

**Definition 1.11.** Given a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and a subset  $Y \subseteq X$ , the collection of sets  $U \cap Y$  where  $U \in \tau$  is a topology  $\tau_Y$  on Y. We call this collection the subspace topology on Y, induced by the topology on X.

# 1.4 Compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$

**Definition 1.12.** A set  $K \subset X$  in a topological space is compact if every open cover of K has a finite sub-cover. That is, for every collection if  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  of open sets such that K is contained in their union, there exists a finite sub-collection  $U_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, U_{\alpha_k}$  such that K is also contained in their union.

Example. All finite sets are compact.

Example. Given a convergent sequence of real numbers  $x_n \to x$ , the collection  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\cup\{x\}$  is compact.

*Example.* In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , compact sets are precisely those sets which are closed and bounded. This is the Heine-Borel Theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** The closed intervals  $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  are compact.

*Remark.* This can be extended to show that any k-cell  $[a_1,b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n,b_n] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  be an open cover of [a,b], and suppose that  $I_1=[a,b]$  has no finite subcover. Then, at least one of the intervals [a,(a+b)/2] and [(a+b)/2,b] must not have a finite sub-cover; pick one and call it  $I_2$ . Similarly, one of the halves of  $I_2$  must not have a finite

sub-cover; call it  $I_3$ . In this process, we generate a sequence of closed intervals  $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ , none of which have a finite sub-cover. The length of each interval is given by

$$|I_n| = 2^{-n+1} ||b - a|| \to 0.$$

Now, pick a sequence of points  $\{x_n\}$  where each  $x_n \in I_n$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. To see this, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find sufficiently large  $n_0$  such that  $2^{-n_0+1}||b-a|| < \epsilon$ . Thus,  $x_n \in I_n \subset I_{n_0}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , which means that for any  $m, n \geq n_0$ , we have  $x_m, x_n \in I_{n_0}$  forcing<sup>1</sup>

$$||x_m - x_n|| \le |I_{n_0}| = 2^{-n_0 + 1} ||b - a|| < \epsilon.$$

From the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , this sequence must converge in  $\mathbb{R}$ , specifically in [a,b]. Thus,  $x_n \to x$  for some  $x \in [a,b]$ . It can also be seen that the limit  $x \in I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; if not, say  $x \notin I_{n_0}$ , then  $x \in [a,b] \setminus I_{n_0}$  which is open, hence there is an open interval such that  $(x-\delta,x+\delta) \cap I_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . However,  $I_{n_0}$  contains all  $x_{n\geq n_0}$ , thus this  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of x would miss out a tail of  $\{x_n\}$ .

Now, pick the open set  $U \in \{U_{\alpha}\}$  which covers the point x. Thus,  $x \in U$  so U contains some non-empty open interval  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$  around x. Choose  $n_0$  such that  $2^{-n_0+1}||b-a|| < \delta$ ; this immediately gives  $I_{n_0} \subseteq (x - \delta, x + \delta) \subset U$ . This contradicts that fact that  $I_{n_0}$  has no finite sub-cover from  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ , completing the proof.

Remark. The fact that Cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  converge isn't immediately obvious; it is a consequence of the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Start by noting that  $\mathbb{R}$  has the Least Upper Bound property, from which the Monotone Convergence Theorem follows; every monotonic, bounded sequence of reals converges. It can also be shown that any sequence of reals with contain a monotone subsequence, from which it follows that every bounded sequence contains a convergent subsequence (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Finally, it can be shown that if a subsequence of a Cauchy sequence converges, then the entire sequence also converges to the same limit, giving us the desired result for  $\mathbb{R}$ . For sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we may apply this coordinate-wise to obtain the result.

#### **Lemma 1.7.** Compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are closed and bounded.

Proof. Consider a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ , and let  $y \in K$ . Since  $x \neq y$ , we choose open balls  $U_y$  around y and  $V_y$  around x such that  $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . Repeating this for all  $y \in K$ , we generate an open cover  $\{U_y\}$  of K consisting of open balls. The compactness of K guarantees that this has a finite sub-cover, i.e. there is a finite set Y such that the collection  $\{U_y\}_{y \in Y}$  covers X. As a result, the finite intersection of all  $V_y$  for  $y \in Y$  is contained within  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ . Thus, x is in the exterior of K. Since x was chosen arbitrarily from  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ , we see that K is closed.

Now, consider the open cover  $\{B_1(x)\}_{x\in K}$ , and extract a finite sub-cover of unit open balls. The distance between any two points in K is at most the maximum distance between the centres of any two balls in our sub-cover, plus two.

Lemma 1.8. The intersection of a closed set and a compact set is compact.

$$|x_2 - x_1| = x_2 - x_1 \le b - a.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$  with  $x_1 < x_2$ , note that  $a \le x_1 < x_2 \le b$ , so

*Proof.* Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be closed and let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact. Suppose that the open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $F \cap K$  has no finite sub-cover. Now the complement  $U = F^c$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , hence the collection  $\{U_\alpha\} \cup \{U\}$  is an open cover of K, and hence must admit a finite sub-cover of K. In particular, this must be a finite sub-cover of  $F \cap K$ . However, we can remove the set U from this sub-cover since it shares no element with  $F \cap K$ ; as a result, our sub-cover must be a finite sub-collection of sets  $U_\alpha$ , contradicting our assumption. This shows that  $F \cap K$  is compact.

**Lemma 1.9** (Finite intersection property). Let  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  be a collection of compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which have the property that any finite intersection of them is non-empty. Then,

$$\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that the intersection of all  $K_{\alpha}$  is empty. Fix an index  $\beta$ , and note that no element of  $K_{\beta}$  lies in every  $K_{\alpha}$ . Set  $J_{\alpha} = K_{\alpha}^{c}$ , whence the collection  $\{J_{\alpha} : \alpha \neq \beta\}$  is an open cover of  $K_{\beta}$ . This must admit a finite sub-cover  $\{J_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, J_{\alpha_{k}}\}$  of  $K_{\beta}$ . Thus, we must have

$$K_{\beta}^c \cup J_{\alpha_1} \cup \cdots \cup J_{\alpha_k} = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This immediately gives the contradiction

$$K_{\beta} \cap K_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{\alpha_k} = \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 1.10** (Heine-Borel). Compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are precisely those that are closed and bounded.

*Proof.* Given a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have already shown that it must be closed and bounded. Next, if  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is closed and bounded, it can be enclosed within a k-cell which we know is compact. Thus, F is the intersection of the closed set F and the compact k-cell, proving that F must be compact.

#### 1.5 Continuous maps

**Definition 1.13.** A map  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous if the pre-image of every open set from Y is open in X.

**Lemma 1.11.** A map  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous if the pre-image of every closed set from Y is closed in X.

**Theorem 1.12.** The projection maps  $\pi_i \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto x_i$  are continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be open; we claim that  $\pi_i^{-1}(U)$  is open. Pick  $\mathbf{x} \in \pi_i^{-1}(U)$ , and note that  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i \in U$ . Thus, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta) \subset U$ . Now examine  $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x})$ ; for any point  $\mathbf{y}$  within this open ball, we have  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < \delta$  hence

$$|x_i - y_i|^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2 = d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})^2 < \delta^2.$$

In other words,  $\pi_i(\mathbf{y}) = y_i \in (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta)$ , hence  $\pi_i B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta) \subset U$ . Thus, given arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} \in \pi_i^{-1}(U)$ , we have found an open ball  $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) \subset \pi_i^{-1}(U)$ .

**Lemma 1.13.** Finite sums, products, and compositions of continuous functions are continuous.

**Corollary 1.13.1.** A function  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous if and only if the components,  $\pi_i \circ f$ , are continuous.

**Theorem 1.14.** All polynomial functions of the coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are continuous.

Example. The unit sphere  $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is closed. It is by definition the pre-image of the singleton closed set  $\{1\}$  under the continuous map

$$x \mapsto x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$
.

**Theorem 1.15.** The continuous image of a compact set is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \to Y$  be continuous, where Y is the image of the compact set X, and let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  be an open cover of Y. Then, the collection  $\{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha})\}$  is an open cover of X. Using the compactness of X, extract a finite sub-cover  $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}), \ldots, f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_k})$  of X. It follows that the collection  $U_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, U_{\alpha_k}$  is a finite sub-cover of Y.

#### 1.6 Connectedness

**Definition 1.14.** Let X be a topological space. A separation of X is a pair U, V of non-empty disjoint open subsets such that  $X = U \cup V$ .

**Definition 1.15.** A connected topological space is one which cannot be separated.

**Lemma 1.16.** A topological space X is connected if and only if the only sets which are both open and closed are  $\emptyset$  and X.

Example. The intervals  $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  are connected. To see this, suppose that U,V is a separation of (a,b). Pick  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ , and without loss of generality let x < y. Define  $S = [x,y] \cap U$ , and set  $c = \sup S$ . It can be argued that  $c \in (a,b)$ , but  $c \notin U$ ,  $c \notin V$ , using the properties of the supremum.

# **Theorem 1.17.** The continuous image of a connected set is connected.

*Proof.* Let f be a continuous map on the connected set X, and let Y be the image of X. If U, V is a separation of Y, then it can be shown that  $f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  constitutes a separation of X, which is a contradiction.

**Definition 1.16.** A path  $\gamma$  joining two points  $x, y \in X$  is a continuous map  $\gamma \colon [a, b] \to X$  such that  $\gamma(a) = x, \gamma(b) = y$ .

**Definition 1.17.** A set in X is path connected if given any two distinct points in X, there exists a path joining them.

#### Lemma 1.18. Every path connected set is connected.

*Proof.* Let X be path connected, and suppose that U, V is a separation of X. Then, pick  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ , and choose a path  $\gamma \colon [0,1] \to X$  between x and y. The sets  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  separate the interval [0,1], which is a contradiction.

Example. All connected sets are not path connected. Consider the topologist's sine curve,

$$\left\{ \left( x, \sin \frac{1}{x} \right) : 0 < x \le 1 \right\} \cup \{ (0, 0) \}.$$

**Definition 1.18.** The  $\epsilon$  neighbourhood of a set K in a metric space X is defined as

$$\bigcup_{a \in K} B_{\epsilon}(a) = \bigcup_{a \in K} \{x \in X : d(x, a) < \epsilon\}.$$

**Exercise 1.2.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact, and define  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, K) = \inf_{a \in K} d(x, a).$$

Show that f is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = K$ .

**Exercise 1.3.** If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact and  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ , then

$$\operatorname{dist}(K,L) = \inf_{a \in K} \operatorname{dist}(a,L) > 0.$$

**Exercise 1.4.** If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact and U is an open set containing K, then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that U contains the  $\epsilon$  neighbourhood of K.

Is the compactness of K necessary?

## 2 Differential calculus

# 2.1 Differentiability

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f_i=\pi_i\circ f$  be its components. Then, f is differentiable at  $t_0\in(a,b)$  if the following limit exists.

$$f'(t_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t_0 + h) - f(t_0)}{h}.$$

Remark. The vector  $f'(t_0)$  represents the tangent to the curve f at the point  $f(t_0)$ . The full tangent line is the parametric curve  $f(t) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, f is differentiable at  $x \in U$  if there exists a linear transformation  $\lambda \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - \lambda h}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

The derivative of f at x is denoted by  $\lambda = Df(x)$ .

Remark. In a neighbourhood of x, we may approximate

$$f(x+h) \approx f(x) + Df(x)(h)$$
.

Remark. The statement that this quantity goes to zero means that each of the m components must also go to zero. For each of these limits, there are n axes along which we can let  $h \to 0$ . As a result, we obtain  $m \times n$  limits, which allow us to identify the  $m \times n$  components of the matrix representing the linear transformation  $\lambda$  (in the standard basis). These are the partial derivatives of f, and the matrix of  $\lambda$  is the Jacobian matrix of f evaluated at x.

Example. Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear map. By choosing  $\lambda = T$ , we see that T is differentiable everywhere, with DT(x) = T for every choice of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This is made obvious by the fact that the best linear approximation of a linear map at some point is the map itself; indeed, the 'approximation' is exact.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , with derivative Df(x), then

- 1. f is continuous at x.
- 2. The linear transformation Df(x) is unique.

*Proof.* We prove the second part. Suppose that  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  satisfy the requirements for Df(x); it can be shown that  $\lim_{h\to 0} (\lambda - \mu)h/\|h\| = 0$ . Now, if  $\lambda v \neq \mu v$  for some non-zero vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\lambda v - \mu v = \frac{\lambda(tv) - \mu(tv)}{\|tv\|} \cdot \|v\| \to 0,$$

a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

#### 2.2 Chain rule

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Then, there exists M > 0 such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$||T\boldsymbol{x}|| \le M||\boldsymbol{x}||.$$

Solution. Set  $v_i = T(e_i)$  where  $e_i$  are the standard unit basis vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then,

$$||Tx|| = ||\sum_{i} x_i v_i|| \le \sum_{i} ||x_i v_i|| \le \max ||v_i|| \sum_{i} |x_i|.$$

Since each  $|x_i| \leq ||x||$ , set  $M = n \max ||v_i||$  and write

$$||Tx|| \le \max ||v_i|| \sum_i |x_i| \le \max ||v_i|| \cdot n||x|| = M||x||.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $g: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k$  where f is differentiable at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and g is differentiable at  $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then,  $g \circ f$  is differentiable, with  $D(g \circ f)(a) = Dg(f(a)) \circ Df(a)$ . Note that this means that the Jacobian matrices simply multiply.

*Proof.* Set  $b = f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\lambda = Df(a)$ ,  $\mu = Dg(f(a))$ . Define

$$\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m, \qquad \varphi(x) = f(x) - f(a) - \lambda(x - a),$$
  
 $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k, \qquad \psi(y) = g(y) - g(b) - \mu(y - b).$ 

We claim that

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x - a)}{\|x - a\|} = 0.$$

Write the numerator as

$$g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(a) - \mu \circ \lambda(x - a) = \psi(f(x)) + \mu(\varphi(x)).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\|x - a\|} = 0, \qquad \lim_{y \to b} \frac{\psi(y)}{\|y - b\|} = 0.$$

Thus, find M>0 such that  $\|\mu(\varphi(x))\|\leq \|\varphi(x)\|$  for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ , hence

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{\|\mu(\varphi(x))\|}{\|x - a\|} \le \lim_{x \to a} \frac{M\|\varphi(x)\|}{\|x - a\|} = 0.$$

Now write

$$\lim_{f(x)\to b}\frac{\psi(f(x))}{\|f(x)-b\|}=0,$$

MA3101: Analysis III

hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a neighbourhood of b on which

$$\|\psi(f(x))\| \le \epsilon \|f(x) - b\| = \epsilon \|\varphi(x) + \lambda(x - a)\|.$$

Apply the triangle inequality and find M' > 0 such that

$$\|\psi(f(x))\| \le \epsilon \|\varphi(x)\| + \epsilon M' \|x - a\|.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{\|\psi(f(x))\|}{\|x - a\|} \le \lim_{x \to a} \frac{\epsilon \|\varphi(x)\|}{\|x - a\|} + \epsilon M' = \epsilon M'.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this limit is zero, completing the proof.

#### 2.3 Partial derivatives

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ . The partial derivative of f with respect to the coordinate  $x_i$  at some  $a \in U$  is defined by the following limit, if it exists.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(a)}{h}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$Df(a)(x_1,...,x_n) = x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(a) + \cdots + x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(a).$$

Example. Consider

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad (x,y) \mapsto \begin{cases} xy/(x^2 + y^2), & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0), \\ 0, & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \end{cases}$$

Note that f is not differentiable at (0,0); it is not even continuous there. However, both partial derivatives of f exist at (0,0).

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the matrix representation of Df(a) in the standard basis is given by

$$[Df(a)] = \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a)\right]_{ij}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be differentiable at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $g: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k$  be differentiable at  $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, the matrix representation of  $D(g \circ f)(a)$  in the standard basis is the product

$$[D(g \circ f)(a)] = [Dg(f(a))][Df(a)] = \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_\ell} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}\right]_{ij}.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(g \circ f)_i(a) = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_\ell}(f(a)) \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial x_j}(a).$$

Example. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable, and let  $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, y, f(x, y)) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be the graph of f. Now, let  $\gamma: [-1, 1] \to \Gamma(f)$  be a differentiable curve, represented by

$$\gamma(t) = (g(t), h(t), f(g(t), h(t))).$$

Then, we can compute the derivative

$$\gamma'(a) = \left( g'(a), h'(a), g'(a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + h'(a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big|_{(g(a), h(a))} \right)$$

**Exercise 2.2.** Consider the inner product map,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . What is its derivative? *Solution.* We treat the inner product as a map  $g \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ , which acts as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \cong g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n.$$

Now, note that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} = y_i, \qquad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} = x_i.$$

Thus,

$$Dg(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i a_i$$
$$= \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle.$$

In other words, the matrix representation of the derivative of the inner product map at the point (a, b) is given by  $[b^{\top} a^{\top}]$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a differentiable curve. What is the derivative of the real map  $t \mapsto \|\gamma(t)\|^2$ ?

Solution. We write this map as  $t \mapsto \langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle$ . Consider the scheme

$$\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad t \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(t) \\ \gamma(t) \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle.$$

Pick a point  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , whence the derivative of the map at t is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma(t)^\top & \gamma(t)^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma'(t) \\ \gamma'(t) \end{bmatrix} = 2 \langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle.$$

Remark. Consider the surface  $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and pick an arbitrary differentiable curve  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to S^{n-1}$ . Now, the tangent vector  $\gamma'(t)$  is tangent to the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  at any point  $\gamma(t)$ . We claim that this tangent drawn at  $\gamma(t)$  is always perpendicular to the position vector  $\gamma(t)$ . This is made trivial by our exercise: the map  $t \mapsto \|\gamma(t)\|^2 = 1$  is a constant map since  $\gamma$  is a curve on the unit sphere. This means that it has zero derivative, forcing  $\langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle = 0$ .

#### 2.3.1 Directional derivatives

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ . The directional derivative of f along a direction  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $a \in U$  is defined by the following limit, if it exists.

$$\nabla_v f(a) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+hv) - f(a)}{h}.$$

Example. Consider

$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad (x,y) \mapsto \begin{cases} x^3/(x^2 + y^2), & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0), \\ 0, & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \end{cases}$$

Note that f is not differentiable at (0,0). However, all directional derivatives derivatives of f exist at (0,0). Indeed, consider a direction  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ , and examine the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[ f(t\cos\theta, t\sin\theta) - f(0, 0) \right] = \cos^3\theta.$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable. The gradient of f is defined as the map

$$\nabla f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad x \mapsto \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \right]_i.$$

*Remark.* The gradient at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is thought of as a vector. In contrast, the derivative is thought of as a linear transformation. Otherwise, we see that  $\nabla f(x) = [Df(x)]$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the set of real-valued differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then fix a tangent vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, the map

$$\nabla_v \colon C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad f \mapsto Df(a)(v)$$

is a linear functional. The quantity  $\nabla_v f$  is called the directional derivative of f in the direction v at the point a.

Remark. We can represent  $\nabla_v$  as the operator

$$\nabla_v(\cdot) = D(\cdot)(a)(v) = \sum_i v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_a = v \cdot \nabla(\cdot).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** The directional derivatives  $\nabla_v$  form a vector space called the tangent space, attached to the point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This can be identified with the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural map  $\nabla_v \mapsto v$ . The standard basis can be informally denoted by the vectors

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{e}_1} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \nabla_{\boldsymbol{e}_n} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

#### 2.3.2 Differentiation on manifolds \*

**Definition 2.7.** A homeomorphism is a continuous, bijective map whose inverse is also continuous.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Denote the graph of f as

$$\Gamma(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Then,  $\Gamma(f)$  is a smooth manifold.

*Proof.* Consider the homeomorphism

$$\varphi \colon \Gamma(f) \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad (x, f(x)) \mapsto x.$$

This is clearly bijective, continuous (restriction of a projection map), with a continuous inverse (from the continuity of f). Call this homeomorphism  $\varphi$  a coordinate map on  $\Gamma(f)$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  where M is a smooth manifold, with a coordinate map  $\varphi \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that f is differentiable at a point  $a \in M$  if  $f \circ \varphi^{-1} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $\varphi(a)$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  where M is a smooth manifold, let  $\varphi: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a coordinate map, and let  $a \in M$ . Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M$  be a curve such that  $\gamma(0) = a$ , and further let  $\gamma$  be differentiable in the sense that  $\varphi \circ \gamma: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is differentiable. The directional derivative of f at a along  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) \right|_{t=0} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\gamma(t+h)) - f(\gamma(t))}{h} \Big|_{t=0}.$$

Note that we are taking the derivative of  $f \circ \gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  in the conventional sense.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be two curves in M such that  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = a$ , and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi \circ \gamma_1(t)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi \circ \gamma_2(t)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

In other words,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  pass through the same point a at t=0, and have the same velocities there. Then, the directional derivatives of f at a along  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are the same.

**Definition 2.10.** Let M be a smooth manifold, and let  $a \in M$ . Consider the following equivalence relation on the set of all curves  $\gamma$  in M such that  $\gamma(0) = a$ .

$$\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_1(t) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \circ \gamma_2(t) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

Each resultant equivalence class of curves is called a tangent vector at  $a \in M$ . Note that all these curves in a particular equivalence class pass through a with the same velocity vector.

The collection of all such tangent vectors, i.e. the space of all curves through a modulo the equivalence relation which identifies curves with the same velocity vector through a, is called the tangent space to M at a, denoted  $T_aM$ .

Remark. Each tangent vector  $v \in T_aM$  acts on a differentiable function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  yielding a (well-defined) directional derivative at a.

$$v: C^1(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma_v(t)) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

Thus, the tangent space represents all the directions in which taking a derivative of f makes sense.

Remark. The tangent space  $T_aM$  is a vector space. Upon fixing f, the map  $Df(a): T_aM \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \mapsto vf(a)$  is a linear functional on the tangent space.

Remark. Given a tangent vector  $v \in T_aM$ , it can be identified with its corresponding velocity vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, the tangent space  $T_aM$  can be identified with the geometric tangent plane drawn to the manifold M at the point a.

#### 2.4 Mean value theorem

Consider a differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the functions

$$g_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad g_i(x) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

Then, each  $g_i$  is differentiable, with

$$g_i'(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

By applying the Mean Value Theorem on some interval [c,d], we can find  $\alpha \in (c,d)$  such that  $g_i(d) - g_i(c) = g_i'(\alpha)(d-c)$ . In other words,

$$f(\ldots,d,\ldots)-f(\ldots,c,\ldots)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\ldots,\alpha,\ldots)(d-c).$$

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, f is differentiable at a if all the partial derivatives  $\partial f/\partial x_j$  exist in a neighbourhood of a and are continuous at a.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, let m=1. We claim that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{\|h\|} \|f(a+h) - f(a) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a)h_i\| = 0.$$

Examine

$$f(a+h) - f(a) = f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

$$= f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + h_{n-1}, a_n) +$$

$$f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + h_{n-1}, a_n) - f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) +$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(a_1 + h_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(c_n)h_n + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(c_1)h_1.$$

The last step follows from the Mean Value Theorem. As  $h \to 0$ , each  $c_i \to a$ . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\|h\|} \|f(a+h) - f(a) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(a)h_{i}\| = \frac{1}{\|h\|} \|\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(c_{i}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(a)\right) h_{i}\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(c_{i}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(a)\right| \frac{|h_{i}|}{\|h\|}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(c_{i}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(a)\right|.$$

Taking the limit  $h \to 0$ , observe that  $\partial f/\partial x_i(c_i) \to \partial f/\partial x_i(a)$  by the continuity of the partial derivatives, completing the proof.

Corollary 2.9.1. All polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are differentiable.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with continuous partial derivatives, and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a point of local maximum. Then, Df(a) = 0.

*Proof.* We need only show that each

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) = 0.$$

This must be true, since a is also a local maximum of each of the restrictions  $g_i$  as defined earlier.

## 2.5 Inverse and implicit function theorems

**Theorem 2.11** (Inverse function theorem). Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuously differentiable on a neighbourhood of  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\det(Df(a)) \neq 0$ . Then, there exist neighbourhoods U of a and W of f(a) such that the restriction  $f: U \to W$  is invertible. Furthermore,  $f^{-1}$  is continuous on U and differentiable on U.

**Lemma 2.12.** Consider a continuously differentiable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and let M denote the surface defined by the zero set of f. Then, M can be represented as the graph of a differentiable function  $h: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  at those points where  $Df \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\partial f/\partial x_n \neq 0$  at some point  $a \in M$ . It can be shown that the map

$$F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x))$$

is invertible in a neighbourhood W of a, with a continuous and differentiable inverse of the form

$$G: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \mapsto (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, g(u)).$$

Since  $F \circ G$  must be the identity map on W, we demand

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x))) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Thus, the zero set of f in this neighbourhood of a satisfies  $x_n = 0$ , hence

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)) = 0.$$

In other words, the part of the surface M in the neighbourhood of a is precisely the set of points

$$(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, 0)).$$

Simply set

$$h: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad x \mapsto g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0),$$

whence the surface M is locally represented by the graph of h.

Remark. Note that by using

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},h(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}))=0$$

on the surface, we can use the chain rule to conclude that for all  $1 \le i < n$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(a) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.13** (Implicit function theorem). Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be continuously differentiable in an open set containing (a,b), with f(a,b) = 0. Let  $\det(\partial f^j/\partial x_{n+k}(a,b)) \neq 0$ . Then, there exists an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing a, an open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  containing b, and a differentiable function  $g: U \to V$  such that f(x,g(x)) = 0.

Remark. The condition on the determinant can be rephrased as rank Df(a,b) = m.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable, and let M be the surface defined by its zero set. Furthermore, let  $\nabla f(a) \neq 0$  for some  $a \in M$ ; thus, M can be locally represented by a graph on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Then,  $\nabla f(a)$  is normal to the tangent vectors drawn at a to M; in fact, the perpendicular space of  $\nabla f(a)$  is precisely the tangent space  $T_aM$ .

*Proof.* Consider a tangent vector drawn at a to M, represented by the differentiable curve  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{R} \to M$ ,  $\gamma(0) = a$ ; note that we use the identification  $\gamma'(0) = v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\gamma(t))\Big|_{t=0} = Df(\gamma(0))(\gamma'(0)) = Df(a)(v).$$

On the other hand, we have  $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$  identically. Thus,

$$v \cdot \nabla f(a) = Df(a)(v) = 0.$$

#### 2.6 Taylor's theorem

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  have continuous second order partial derivatives. Then,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}.$$

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  have continuous second order partial derivatives, and let  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $||(x - x_0, y - y_0)|| < \epsilon$ ,

$$f(x,y) = f(x_0, y_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x - x_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y - y_0)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x - x_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(y - y_0)^2$$
$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x - x_0)(y - x_0) + R(x, y),$$

where as  $(x,y) \to (x_0,y_0) \to 0$ , the remainder term vanishes as

$$\frac{|R(x,y)|}{\|(x-x_0,y-y_0)\|^2}\to 0.$$

All partial derivatives here are evaluated at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from applying the Taylor's Theorem in one variable to the real function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto f((1-t)(x_0, y_0) + t(x, y)).$ 

#### 2.7 Critical points and extrema

**Definition 2.11.** We say that  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a critical point of  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  if all  $\partial f/\partial x^j = 0$  there.

**Lemma 2.17.** All points of extrema of a differentiable function are critical points.

*Proof.* We already know that Df(a) = 0 where a is either a point of maximum or minimum.  $\square$ 

*Example.* In order to find a point of extrema of a  $C^2$ -smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , we first identify a critical point  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Next, we must find a neighbourhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$  which contains no other critical points – to do this, apply Taylor's Theorem. Indeed, we see that

$$f(x,y) = f(x_0, y_0) + A(x - x_0)^2 + 2B(x - x_0)(y - y_0) + C(y - y_0)^2 + R_2.$$

For non-degeneracy of solutions, we demand  $AC - B^2 \neq 0$ , i.e. at  $(x_0, y_0)$ , we want

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}\right]^2 \neq \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

If  $AC-B^2>0$  and  $\partial^2 f/\partial x^2>0$ , then we have found a point of minima; if  $\partial^2 f/\partial x^2<0$ , then we have found a point of maximum. If  $AC-B^2<0$ , then we have found a saddle point.