SPPH 514: Decision Analysis in Health Care

Instructor: Nick Bansback, Assistant Professor

Room 289, 2206 East Mall

School of Population and Public Health

University of British Columbia

604-822-5753

nick.bansback@ubc.ca

Office hours: By Appointment (Fridays)

Pre-requisites: SPPH400 Statistics for Health Research &

SPPH502 Epidemiological Methods I

Short description:

The methods and application of decision analysis to improve health from the perspective of the policy maker, health professional, and patient.

Course overview:

The purpose of this course is to learn the methods and real-world application of decision analysis to improve individual and population level health from the perspectives of the health professionals, policy makers and patients.

Course description:

Most fields of health research focus on producing new knowledge through better evidence and the development and testing of new treatments strategies. Decision-analysis explores how people make choices and how better choices can be made through a better understanding of uncertainty, complexity, and competing values. As such, decision-analysis provides key insights for disseminating knowledge that can lead to important improvements in population and public health.

In the health care, as in other aspects of life, individuals have to make choices: health professionals must decide which treatment is most appropriate for their patients; policy-makers must decide which services should be used; and patients who must decide whether to follow advice from health professionals and policy makers. This course will teach principles and practical tools that can assist in these types of decisions.

The first half of the course will focus on normative decision making theories —i.e. standards for how people should make decisions. The second half of the course will focus on descriptive decision making theories — seeking to explain how people actually make decisions and how these deviate from normative theories often leading to poor choices. The course will finish by promoting prescriptive decision making theories. Through the use of decision tools and a better understanding of human limitations,

prescriptive decision theories provide a guide as to how health professionals, their patients, and health care policymakers can make good decisions in practice.

Each week, the first half of the class will include interactive lecture content providing theory on a specific topic. Reading the required material will be important for students to fully understanding the lecture content and to ensure beneficial participation in the class discussions. The second half of each class will use a problem-based learning approach to apply knowledge to real-world scenario. This will include computer exercises where students will have exposure to decision analysis software and hypothetical scenarios that will encourage students to evaluate the evidence, value the outcomes, and make decisions. No prior software experience will be necessary.

Learning objectives:

By the end of this course, students should:

- 1. Understand the principles of how individuals should make good decisions, and why they often fail to do so.
- 2. Be able to confidently read and critique the literature referring to decision making.
- 3. Have had opportunities to reflect on the implications of decision theory and analysis on their own work or research interests.
- 4. Be able to conduct a decision-analysis for a health care problem relevant to the student's interest.

Required readings

A series of papers (described in the detailed course outline below) will be made available from UBC library ejournals for each week. It is important that students read each of these papers prior to the class.

The following textbook is required: Myriam Hunink, M.G., Glasziou, P.P., Siegel, J.E., Weeks, J.C., Pliskin, J.S., Elstein, A.S. & Weinstein, M.C. (2001). Decision making in health and medicine: Integrating evidence and values. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. This has been placed on reserve at Woodward Library.

I also recommend: Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux. This is a popular book (New York Times Top Fifty bestseller in 2012) which summarizes a number of technical and scientific papers in an entertaining and relatively easy way.

Brief course outline

Week	Topic(s)
Week 1:	Course introduction: This class will discuss the course expectations and format
	including assignments and evaluation plan. It will then introduce an overview
	of decision analysis in health through considering common day to day
	decisions.
Week 2	Understanding uncertainty: This class will introduce probabilities, risk and
	imprecision – how these influence decisions and how they can be obtained
	from evidence. The way uncertainty is often misconstrued by patients and
	public will be covered along with exercises to understand how best to
	communicate uncertainty.
Week 3	Measuring values: How values can be understood from measuring
	preferences for trade-offs will be the focus of this class. Multiple methods will
	be described for health professionals wanting to elicit patient values, and
	policy makers wanting to elicit population values.
Week 4	Choosing the best option: With an understanding of the evidence, uncertainty
	and values, this class will describe how best to incorporate this information to
	make optimal decisions. Exercises using decision trees and multi criteria
	approaches will be used. Real-world applications used by drug safety agencies
	will be described.
Week 5	When to screen and test: Diagnostic tests have specific characteristics that can
	complicate decision making. This class will focus on who to screen, when to
	screen, and how to deal with multiple tests.
Week 6	[Guest lecture] Decision Analysis in Practice.
	a) Shared decision making: Patient centred care is a fast growing topic
	that will have an influence across the health care system. It influences
	health professionals who are encouraged to understand each patient's
	values and determine individualized treatment plans, and policy
	makers who will be faced with greater patient choice in the system.
	b) Clinical Decision support: With the increasing use of electronic medical
	records, the potential for clinical decision support tools based on
	principles of decision analysis is enormous. Various tools have already
	been successfully implemented and proven to be effective.
Week 7	Student Presentations: Each student should identify a decision analysis from
	the peer reviewed literature. They will present on: What clinical situation or
	controversy is the subject of this article? A brief summary of the facts
	presented in the article and the appropriateness of their use. What are the
	strengths and weaknesses of the article? If the study was to be re-designed,
	what be changed? To what populations can the article be generalized, if this is
	an issue? Could the article's conclusions be applied to clinical practice or
İ	public policy? How? Were any plausible strategies left out of the analysis?
14/ 1 2	1 . 1
Week 8	Introduction to cost-effectiveness analysis: This class will discuss briefly how costs can be incorporated into the decision analysis frameworks already

	covered. We will consider how health professionals and policy makers should
	use CEA with real examples from the literature.
Week 9	Interpreting results of decision analysis: This class will cover how to
	understand how confident you can be in results of decision analysis. It will
	also discuss ways of presenting the results – from nomograms for health
	professionals, to acceptability curves for policy makers.
Week 10	How individuals really make decisions: Recent research has explored how and
	why individuals actually make decisions. This class will provide an overview to
	the descriptive theories of decision making.
Week 11	Common biases and heuristics: Biases and heuristics can often lead to
	individuals to making decision errors. This class will introduce some of the
	most common errors and consider how we have been influenced by them in
	the past. Various case studies will demonstrate the power of biases and
	heuristics.
Week 12	Prescriptive decision making: With an understanding of the normative (weeks
	1 to 5) and descriptive theories (weeks 10 and 11), this class will conclude the
	course by providing practical ways to make decisions in clinical practice and at
	the policy level.
Week 13	Student presentations: Each student should identify an important decision
	problem in one of their areas of expertise or interest, review any relevant
	literature, and explain how they are going to use decision analysis to improve
	health or health care in that situation. Through class discussion, the intent is
	that this will inform the topic and methods for each students final assignment

Student Evaluation:

Students will be evaluated on three areas of activity: in-class participation; a midterm paper; and a final assignment.

In-class Participation (20% of grade)

- Students will be expected to participate actively in class discussions and are encouraged to apply concepts and issues being presented in relation to their own research interests.
- Students will be expected to prepare for (and participate in) a variety of exercises that focus on applying course concepts to their own research interests, presenting these in class, and providing constructive peer feedback. Some weeks this will involve quizzes (which will not be graded)

Student Presentations (30% of grade)

• Students will be asked to give two 10 minute presentations with additional time for questions and answers. The first presentation (week 7) will be on a decision analysis study that they have chosen from the peer reviewed literature. The second presentation (week 13) will be a proposal for their final assignment.

Final assignment (50% of grade)

• For the final paper, each student should identify an important decision problem in one of their areas of expertise, review any relevant literature, and suggest how decision making could be improved, based on the material covered in the course. A one-page proposal of the decision problem must be posted in the last week of the course, and the paper itself will be due 4 weeks after the end of the course. Papers should be no more than 8 single-spaced pages, with 1-inch margins and 12 point fonts.

Synergy with other SPPH courses:

For students who have already completed SPPH541: Health Services Research II: Economic Evaluation, there will be some overlap in the material presented, particularly in week 8. For students who have not completed SPPH541, this session will provide an overview of this course. In general, this course will not discuss economic costs, and as such has been designed to be complementary to other courses within SPPH.

Course history:

SPPH514 has been on the course books for many years, but had previously focused on clinical decision analysis, and normative decision making theories. In 2013, the course has been expanded to consider a broader perspective (while still incorporating core topics on clinical judgment), and more recent understanding of why humans often make poor decisions.

Detailed Course schedule

Week	Topic(s)
Week 1:	Course introduction
	Discussion of course expectations and format; discussion of assignments
	and evaluation plan; question and answer session
	Introduction to decision making and uncertainty, and the types of
	decisions the course will cover
	The PROACTIVE approach to decision analysis
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. Chapter 1
	2. Keeney, R. L. (2004). Making better decision makers. Decision
	Analysis,1(4), 193-204.
Week 2	Understanding uncertainty
	Overview of the types of uncertainty that influence decisions
	Attitudes towards uncertainty – in particular risk and time
	Working with probabilities
	Communicating uncertainty
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. <u>Chapter 2</u>
	2. Han, P. K., Klein, W. M., & Arora, N. K. (2011). Varieties of Uncertainty in
	Health Care A Conceptual Taxonomy. Medical Decision Making, 31(6),
	828-838.
	3. Gigerenzer, G., Gaissmaier, W., Kurz-Milcke, E., Schwartz, L. M., &
	Woloshin, S. (2007). Helping doctors and patients make sense of health statistics. Psychological science in the public interest, 8(2), 53-96.
	4. Fagerlin A, Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Ubel PA. Helping patients decide: ten steps
	to better risk communication. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011 Oct 5;103(19):1436-
	43.
Week 3	Measuring values
	How value is defined from consumer theory
	 Methods for measuring values for different perspectives: from standard
	gamble and Quality adjusted life years (QALYs), to SMARTER, discrete
	choice and the Analytic Hierarchy Process
	Whose values should be used?
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. Chapter 4
	2. Bowling, A., & Ebrahim, S. (2001). Measuring patients' preferences for
	treatment and perceptions of risk. Quality in Health Care,10(suppl 1), i2-i
	3. Naglie G, Krahn MD, Naimark D, Redelmeier DA, Detsky AS. Primer on
	medical decision analysis: Part 3Estimating probabilities and utilities.
	Med Decis Making. 1997 Apr-Jun;17(2):136-41.
	4. Mullen, P. M. (2001). Public involvement in health care priority setting: an
	overview of methods for eliciting values. Health Expectations, 2(4), 222-

	234
Week 4	Choosing the best option
	The use of Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) approaches
	Decision trees and Markov models
	Decision aids
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. Chapter 3
	2. Elwyn G; Decision Analysis in Patient Care. Lancet 2001; 358:571-574.
	3. Detsky AS; Primer on Medical Decision Analysis: Part 2-Building a Tree.
	Med Decis Making 1997; 17(2):126-135.
	4. Thokala P, Duenas A. Multiple criteria decision analysis for health
	technology assessment. Value Health. 2012 Dec;15(8):1172-81
	5. Lynd L, Najafzadeh M, Colley L, Byrne MF, Willan AR, Sculpher MJ, Hauber,
	B. Using the incremental net benefit framework for quantitative benefit—
	risk analysis in regulatory decision-making—a case study of alosetron in
	irritable bowel syndrome. Value in Health, 13(4), 411-417
Week 5	Diagnostic tests
	Signal detection
	Bayesian reasoning
	Working with multiple tests
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. <u>Chapter 5</u>
	2. Sackett, D. L. (1992). A primer on the precision and accuracy of the clinical
	examination. JAMA, 267(19): 2638-2644.
	3. Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. BMJ. 2004;329:168-169.
	4. Moons KG, van Es GA, Deckers JW, Habbema JD, Grobbee DE. Limitations
	of sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratio, and bayes' theorem in assessing
	diagnostic probabilities: a clinical example. Epidemiology. 1997;8:12-17
Week 6	Shared decision making [GUEST LECTURE]
WCCK O	The case for shared decision making (SDM)
	• SDM in practice
	Key Readings
	1. Mulley, A. G., Trimble, C., & Elwyn, G. (2012). Stop the silent misdiagnosis:
	patients' preferences matter. BMJ:, 345.
	2. Towle, A., & Godolphin, W. (1999). Framework for teaching and learning
	informed shared decision making. BMJ: British Medical
	Journal, 319(7212), 766.
	Clinical Decision Support [GUEST LECTURE]
	How decision analysis can be included in clinical decision support systems
	Examples of CDS benefits
	3. Eddy D. Evidence-Based Medicine: A Unified Approach. Health affairs.
	24(1), 9-17.

	4. Garg AX., Adhikari NK, McDonald H, Rosas-Arellano MP., Devereaux PJ, Beyene J, Haynes, RB. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes. JAMA 2005:
	293(10), 1223-123
Week 7	Student Presentations
	• Each student should identify a decision analysis from the peer reviewed literature. They will present on: What clinical situation or controversy is the subject of this article? A brief summary of the facts presented in the article and the appropriateness of their use. What are the strengths and weaknesses of the article? If the study was to be re-designed, what be changed? To what populations can the article be generalized, if this is an issue? Could the article's conclusions be applied to clinical practice or public policy? How? Were any plausible strategies left out of the analysis?
Week 8	Introduction to Cost-effectiveness analysis
	 Incorporating costs into decision analysis and dealing with ratios Types of incremental cost-effectiveness
	Time horizon and discounting
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. Chapter 9
	2. Detsky A; A Clinician's Guide to Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Annals of
	Internal Medicine 1990; 113(2):147-154.
	3. Bell, C. M., Urbach, D. R., Ray, J. G., Bayoumi, A., Rosen, A. B., Greenberg,
	D., & Neumann, P. J. (2006). Bias in published cost effectiveness studies: systematic review. BMJ, 332(7543), 699-703
Week 9	Interpreting results of decision analysis.
	The role of sensitivity analysis
	Whether to make a decision or obtain further evidence – expected value
	of information
	Visual presentation of results
	Key Readings
	1. Hunink and Glasziou. Decision making in health and medicine. <u>Chapter 6</u>
	and Chapter 11
	2. Krahn MD, Naglie G, Naimark D, Redelmeier DA, Detsky AS. Primer on medical decision analysis: Part 4Analyzing the model and interpreting
	the results. Med Decis Making. 1997 Apr-Jun;17(2):142-51 3. Dolan JG, Qian F, Veazie PJ. How well do commonly used data
	presentation formats support comparative effectiveness evaluations?
	Med Decis Making. 2012 Nov;32(6):840-50
Week 10	How people really make decisions
	Descriptive decision theories: an introduction to prospect theory
	The role of behavioural economics in understanding decisions
	Key Readings
	1. Kahneman, D. (2002). Maps of bounded rationality: A perspective on

	intuitive judgment and choice. Nobel prize lecture, 8, 351-401.
	2. Ubel, P. A. (2002). Is Information Always a Good Thing?: Helping Patients
	Make" Good" Decisions. Medical care,40(9), V-39.
Week 11	Common biases and heuristics
	Understand when heuristics can help or harm
	• Review the most common biases and heuristics that influence health care
	decisions
	Key Readings
	1. Redelmeier, D. A., Rozin, P., & Kahneman, D. (1993). Understanding
	patients' decisions. JAMA: the journal of the American Medical
	Association, 270(1), 72-76.
	2. Croskerry, P. (2003). The importance of cognitive errors in diagnosis and
	strategies to minimize them. Academic Medicine, 78(8), 775.
Week 12	Prescriptive decision making
	How to use and communicate decision analysis with an understanding of
	both normative and descriptive decision theories
	Debiasing information and the use of nudges
	Key Readings
	1. Redelmeier DA; Guidelines for Verbal Presentations of Medical
	Decision Analyses. Med Decis Making 1997; 17(2):228-230.
	2. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2003). Libertarian paternalism. The
	American Economic Review, 93(2), 175-179.
	3. Loewenstein, G., Asch, D. A., Friedman, J. Y., Melichar, L. A., & Volpp,
	K. G. (2012). Can behavioural economics make us healthier?. BMJ
	(Clinical research ed.), 344, e3482.
Week 13	Student presentations
	Each student should identify an important decision problem in one of
	their areas of expertise or interest, review any relevant literature, and
	explain how they are going to use decision analysis to improve health or

health care in that situation. Through class discussion, the intent is that this will inform the topic and methods for each students final assignment