In my initial post, I outlined the characteristics which were outlined by the authors Spring et al. 2021.

From the initial post, I received informative feedback from Doug. Following my response, CVSS scoring is a subjective analysis based on the individual skill set of the scorer. Therefore, it is a qualitative risk method that is based on a certain criterion (What is CVE and CVSS | Vulnerability Scoring Explained | Imperva, 2022).

As the scoring for CVSS has not been transparent and the standardisation group has not justified the use of the CVSS formula, SSVC is therefore a preferred alternative (M. Spring et al., 2021). SSVC presents a risk prioritisation method that may be useful to other risk management methods (M. Spring et al., 2021).

References:

- M. Spring, J., Householder, A., Hatleback, E., Manion, A., Oliver, M., Sarvapalli, V., Tyzenhaus, L. and Yarbrough, C., 2021. [online] Resources.sei.cmu.edu. Available at: <https://resources.sei.cmu.edu/asset_files/WhitePaper/2021_019_001_653461.pdf > [Accessed 15 October 2022].
- Learning Center. 2022. What is CVE and CVSS | Vulnerability Scoring Explained | Imperva. [online] Available at: <https://www.imperva.com/learn/application-security/cve-cvss-vulnerability/> [Accessed 15 October 2022].