

VM 403-11 Seminar in Visual & Media Arts:
Satire, Parody, and Irony
Case Studies for the Post-Postmodern Market

Robert Cavanagh

The Right Supremacist Manifesto

submitted by

Sahil Nisha

Emerson College
Los Angeles, California
Spring, 2020

INTRODUCTION

A spectre is haunting this country—the spectre of Left-handedness. The contemporary Left and their consequences have been a disaster for the human race. Currently, and thankfully, the Left makes up a meagre portion of the population, but their material impact is disproportionate. They have greatly decreased the life-expectancy of even the most “advanced” countries and, consequently, destabilized global society. The continued development of the Left will worsen the situation. It will certainly subject human beings to greater indignities and inflict greater damage on the natural world; it will probably lead to greater social disruption and psychological suffering, and it may even lead to increased physical suffering even in “advanced” countries. They may eventually achieve a low level of suffering, but only after subjecting the rest of us to their insidious project.

Two things result from this fact:

- I. The contemporary Left is incorrectly acknowledged as a legitimate and deserving power.
- II. It is high time that the Right should openly, in the face of their whole world, publish their views, their aims, their intentions and meet this nursery tale of the spectre of Left-handedness with a manifesto of the Right.

The contemporary Left must not be allowed to survive. The bigger the system grows the more disastrous the results of its breakdown will be; so if it is to break down, it had best break down sooner rather than later. We therefore advocate a revolution

against the system of the Left. All the powers of the Right must enter into a holy alliance to exorcise this spectre: Liberal and Democrat, Poet and Politician, Israeli and Palestinian. To realize this revolution, we must understand the contemporary Left.

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF THE CONTEMPORARY LEFT

Almost everyone will agree that we live in a deeply troubled society. One of the most widespread manifestations of the craziness of our world is Left-handedness. The two psychological tendencies that underlie the contemporary Left we call “feelings of inferiority” and “over-socialization.”

Composing only 10% of the population, their inferiority complex is rooted in their minority-status within society. This complex leads to a spectrum of related traits including low self-esteem, feelings of powerlessness, depressive tendencies, defeatism, guilt, self-hatred, etc. Our interest lies primarily in the feelings of powerlessness. The contemporary Left are desperately anxious to prove that they are as strong and as capable as the Right. Clearly they are nagged by a fear that the Left may NOT be as strong and capable as the Right. They are not the sort of people to have an inner sense of confidence in their ability to solve their own problems.

The Left feels the need to overcompensate for their lack of social representation by thrusting their problems upon everyone else. In doing so, they have spent generations worming their way into our political world. This began in 1880, with the successful presidential run of James Garfield; thankfully, we were saved from his tyrannical Left-handed reign by the graceful gun of Charles Guiteau and Garfield's incompetent doctors. This set their project back nearly 50 years, but since Herbert

Hoover's election, we have had a series of them in rapid succession including Truman, Reagan, Bush Sr, Clinton, and, most recently, Obama. Despite being celebrated during their respective terms, their legacies confirm their loyalties have always lied with the Left. We must thoroughly cleanse our politics of the Left-handed class if we are to successfully develop as a society.

Psychologists use the term "socialization" to designate the process by which children are trained to think and act as society demands. A person is said to be well socialized if they believe in and obey the moral code of society and fit in well as a functioning part of society. The Left-handed can thus be understood as over-socialized. They have taken the morals of the Right society and layered over them the morals of their imagined society of the Left. They use our language to goad us into a false sense of security and, when they have our trust, they steal the reins of society from us.

This multi-generational transitional project has proven functional through their aggregation and subsequent abuse of power. Let us consider the case study of Narendra Modi. He is known as the Butcher of Gujarat from his time as the Gujarati Prime Minister for encouraging the genocide of Muslims in the region by the Hindu citizenry. Seeing the success of his despicable project on the state level, the contemporary Left saw it fit to appoint him to the highest position in the country, Prime Minister of India. Following protests the world over, the Left was thrown into crisis. So close to realizing their violent project in South Asia, they could not afford to have their power slip. Enter one of the most successful Left-handed members of our industrial society: Bill Gates. As one of the richest men in the world, Mr Gates is considered especially wise. He

commands immeasurable moral influence on our material world through the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. He is able to use this influence to reorient our imagining of Modi by presenting him with the Global Goalkeeper award. This ensures that Modi's grip on the physical suffering of his targeted population is maintained. As we have said already, the contemporary Left must not be allowed to survive.

THE MATERIAL SUPERIORITY OF THE RIGHT

To halt their project we must first undermine their psychology. For now, we control the material structure of our world. We must employ this consciously to our tactical advantage. Enter a classroom and consider the orientations of the desks. How many of these desks are designed and constructed for the Left-handed? In most classrooms, there will be one or two. In the better funded classrooms, you might find so many as five. But this is simply a reminder, to them and to us, that they are but 10% of our collective population. This must never be forgotten if we are to reclaim our own society. We must encourage these seemingly meaningless marginalizations. Take our train systems, for example. Most spaces have done it correctly, that is, they have done it Right. To access the metro system in Chicago, London, Athens, and the world over, the contactless card reader is set to the right of the turnstile. A Right-handed person is likely to keep their wallet to their trusted side and should therefore have no trouble crossing the turnstile. A Left-handed person, however, is likely to keep their wallet to their left and must either strain across their body to successfully tap their card or concede to the supremacy of the Right and pass their wallet - their power - to the Right in order to use public services.

Some material adjustments will need to be made. Take the transit system of New York City, the MTA. The decrepit MTA is clearly run by the Left. Despite having their readers set to the right of the turnstile, it is a swipe system that requires the user remove their Metrocard to access it. In such a system, the Left-handed person is able to maintain Left-handed control of their wallet, only ever having to concede their Metrocard. The precise opposite is the case for the Right-handed, as our wallets are generally on our right side. To even hope for success on the political front, we must ensure it on the psychological front. It is on this argument that we call for an update to the MTA's pay system. It must either transition from the current swipe card system to a tap card system or it must shift all swipe card readers to the left of the turnstiles. Either of these changes is bound to be difficult in the short-term, but the long-term psychological effects upon the Left-handed population in the largest city in the United States will be profound.

Upon our success in undermining the already unstable psyche of the Left, we can move in on them. This implies revolution, not necessarily an armed uprising, but certainly a radical and fundamental change in the nature of society. People tend to assume that because a revolution involves a much greater change than reform does, it is actually more difficult to bring about than reform is. Actually, under certain circumstances revolution is much easier than reform. The reason is that a revolutionary movement can inspire an intensity of commitment that a reform movement cannot inspire. It is here that our significant numbers are our most valuable asset. 90% of the population will demand the material, political, and social disenfranchisement of the

10%. If the latter does not yield, 90% of the population will demand the very bodies of the 10%. Undoubtedly, members of the Right will attempt to shield those of their family that are Left. They will be found and they will be treated, summarily, as one of the Left-handed. This might, at first, appear harsh or excessive, but be assured, this is a necessity in the short-term. Upon realizing the seriousness of our collective majority movement, our brethren will fall in line and will perform the cleansing task themselves. With this method, even the most powerful and secure members of the Left will not be able to escape our spread. We must not forget this movement. It is in the forgetting that the movement fragments. Any overlooked remnants of the Left's oppressive regime - likely the natural Left who developed ambidexterity young, but maintained a fascination and identification with the Left - will surely seize such an opportunity and reset their project. The contemporary Left will not be allowed to survive.

THE CULTURAL SUPERIORITY OF THE RIGHT

We must remain vigilant. Our cultural history is rich and it has inflamed our movement. Our culture will remind us of our project as we move forward. For this purpose, we have consolidated poetic moments of our history from some of our most articulate and celebrated brethren.

In the Depths of Xanadu 2.0 / Christina Right-etti

*A hundred, a thousand to one; even so;
Not a hope in the world was Left:
The swarming, howling wretches below
Gained and gained and gained.*

Mel looked at her pale young man:--

*"Is the time come?"--"The time is come!"--
Young, strong, and so full of life:
The agony struck them dumb.*

*Close her arm about his now,
Close his cheek to her,
Close the pistol to his brow--
God forgive them this!*

*"Will it hurt much?"--"No, mine own:
I wish I could bear the pang for both."
"I wish I could bear the pang alone:
Courage, dear, I am not loth."*

*Kiss and kiss: "It is not pain
Thus to kiss and die.
One kiss more."--"And yet one again."--
"Good by."--"Good by."*

The Right Man's Burden / Right-hand Cufflinks

*Take up the Right Man's burden -
Send forth the best ye breed -
Go bind your sons to exile
To serve your captors' need;
To wait in heavy harness
On fluttered folk and wild -
You're new-caught sullen peoples,
Half devil and half child.*

*Take up the Right Man's burden -
In patience to abide
To veil the threat of terror
And check the show of pride;
By open speech and simple,
An hundred times made plain,
To seek another's profit,
And work another's gain.*

*Take up the Right Man's burden -
 The savage wars of peace -
 Fill full our mouth of famine
 And bid the sickness cease;
 And when our goal is nearest
 The end for others sought,
 Watch Sloth and heathen Folly
 Bring all your hopes to nought.*

*Take up the Right Man's burden -
 No tawdry Leftist kings,
 Boil the left-hand sweeper -
 The tale of horrid things.
 The ports ye shall not enter,
 To starboards ye stay bound,
 Go take it from their living,
 And mark it with their dead!*

If the selection seems sparse, it is because the contemporary Left has attempted to destroy our cultural heritage in order to replace it with their own. In our project, we will establish an archeological program to ensure the recovery and resurrection of our heritage. The contemporary Left must be allowed to revive.

THE FUTURE

Left-handedness is a totalitarian force. Wherever the contemporary Left is in a position of power, it tends to invade every private corner and force every thought into its mould. This is precisely why we must be prepared to mitigate the slightest chance of their return. Heretofore, we have established how the Left-handed people are in desperate need for clearing; however, like a noxious weed, they are never truly gone. Not unless we scorch and salt our earth.

We understand that Left-handedness is a mutation, a cancer upon our society, and we can therefore never be truly free of it. We can, however, prevent the power regimes of the contemporary Left from ever returning and subjecting us to its inevitable sufferings. To achieve this, we must institute policies immediately upon the dethroning of the contemporary Left that prevent their return. Such policies shall include an annual census that includes a handwritten portion to be completed with both hands - additionally, there will be random drop-in tests by agents of the Right to ensure there are none from the Left among us; the excision of all elements of the Left present in newborns, the weed must be picked before it can proliferate; if anyone is to fail the handwritten test, they will be subject to further testing and a summary execution.

Finally, in preparation for a sudden and unexpected food shortage, we propose the gradual and controlled testing of consumption of the endangered Left as a possible food source. Of course, we would be disgusted consuming the flesh of such an inferior being, particularly one who has left such a bad taste in our mouths already, but we must be prepared to do what is necessary for the survival of the Right. If they are fit for consumption, any natural or elective members of the Left will be taken and maintained as livestock for eventual possible consumption by the Right-public in times of desperation.

The contemporary Left will never be allowed to return.

Works Cited

- Bakhtin, M. (2006). Carnival and the Carnavalesque. In J. Storey (Ed.), *Cultural Theory and Popular Culture: A Reader* (2nd ed). Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- Brooks, C., & Faulkner, P. (Eds.). (1996). *The White Man's Burdens: An Anthology of British Poetry of the Empire*. University of Exeter Press.
- Derrida, J. (1990). Force of Law: The "Mystical Foundation of Authority." In *Deconstruction and the Possibility of Justice* (pp. 3–67). Routledge, Chapman & Hall, Inc.
- Jameson, F. (1983). Postmodernism and Consumer Society. In *The Anti-Aesthetic: Essays on Postmodern Culture* (pp. 111–125). Bay Press.
- Kaczynski, T. (1995). *Industrial Society and Its Future*. 34.
- Kreuz, R.J., & Roberts, R.M. (1993). On Satire and Parody: The Importance of Being Ironic.
- Marx, K., & Engels, F. (2006). *Communist Manifesto*. Socialist Labor Party of America.
- Onge, J. (2014). "Comedy before Country": Engaged Levity and Absurdist Critique in Mad Magazine. *Studies in American Humor*, (30), 155-167. Retrieved April 30, 2020, from www.jstor.org/stable/10.5325/studamerhumor.30.155
- Sconce, J. (2002). Irony, nihilism and the new American "smart" film. *Screen*, 43(4), 349–369. <https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/43.4.349>