

Lecture Notes for Machine Learning in Python

Professor Eric Larson
SVM Review and Neural Network Notation

Class Logistics and Agenda

- Logistics:
 - Nothing due this week!
 - Lab 4 due the week after spring break
 - ICA next time (back propagation)
 - ICA over the break (validation)
- Multi Week Agenda:
 - SVM Review
 - Neural Networks History, up to 1980
 - Multi-layer Architectures
 - Programming Multi-layer training

Support Vector Machine Review



SVMs Summary: Linear


- Linear SVMs
 - Architecture near identical to logistic regression, except:
 - maximize margin
 - constrained optimization : to $y_i(w^T \phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_i$,
 $\min_{w,b,\zeta} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i$
 - **Self Test:** What are the trained parameters for the linear SVM?
 - A: Coefficients of w
 - B: Bias terms
 - C: Slack Variables
 - D: Support Vector locations

SVMs Summary: Linear


- Linear SVMs
 - Architecture near identical to logistic regression, except:
 - maximize margin
 - constrained optimization
 - Trained Parameters:
 - bias and weights for each class
 - support vectors chosen for margin calculation
 - slack variables for inseparable cases

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{w,b,\zeta} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \\ & \text{to } y_i(w^T \phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_i, \\ & \zeta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

SVMs Summary: Linear



SVMs Summary: Linear



SVMs Summary: non-linear

- Non-linear SVMs
 - Architecture not like logistic regression
 - kernels == high dimensional dot-product
 - impossible to store weights
 - use kernel trick so no need to store them!
 - Parameters
 - biases?
 - selected support vectors? (non-zero alphas)
 - slack variables?
 - parameters specific to kernels?

SVMs Summary: non-linear

- Popular Kernels

- polynomial

$$(\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle + r)^d$$

Diagram illustrating the components of a polynomial kernel:

- An arrow points from the label "gamma" to the coefficient γ in the term $\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle$.
- An arrow points from the label "coef0" to the constant r in the term $+ r$.
- An arrow points from the label "degree" to the exponent d in the term $)^d$.

- radial basis function

$$\exp(-\gamma |x - x'|^2)$$

Diagram illustrating the components of a radial basis function (RBF) kernel:

- An arrow points from the label "gamma" to the coefficient $-\gamma$ in the term $-\gamma |x - x'|^2$.


- sigmoid

$$\tanh(\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle + r)$$

Diagram illustrating the components of a sigmoid kernel:

- An arrow points from the label "gamma" to the coefficient γ in the term $\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle$.
- An arrow points from the label "coef0" to the constant r in the term $+ r$.


A history of Neural Networks



Neurons


- From biology to modeling:

input from neighboring neurons




Neurons

- McCulloch and Pitts, 1943



dendrite



input

logic gates of the mind



Warren McCulloch




Walter Pitts

Neurons


- McCulloch and Pitts, 1943
- Donald Hebb, 1949
 - Hebb's Law: close neurons fire together
 - makes coupling tighter
 - synaptic transmission
 - basis of neural pathways



Donald O. Hebb





Warren McCulloch



Walter Pitts

Neurons

- Rosenblatt's perceptron, 1957



hard limit



$$\begin{aligned} a &= -1 & z < 0 \\ a &= 1 & z \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

linear



$$a = z$$

sigmoid




$$a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$




Frank Rosenblatt

The Mark 1 |




PERCEPTRON

Perceptron Learning Rule:
~Stochastic Gradient Descent




Neurons

dendrite




input



- **Some notation**

- need bias term
- matrix representation
- multiple layers

Multiple layers notation



$$\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)} = \Phi(\mathbf{z}^{(L)}) + \text{concat bias term}$$

$\mathbf{a}^{(4)}$ rows=unique classes


$$\mathbf{z}^{(L)} = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{a}^{(L)}$$

$$\mathbf{W}^{(L)} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} w^{(L)1,1} & w^{(L)1,2} & w^{(L)1,3} & \dots & w^{(L)1,S^{L-1}+1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ w^{(L)S^L,1} & w^{(L)S^L,2} & \dots & & w^{(L)S^L,S^{L-1}+1} \end{array} \right]$$

Google Alert:

you will also see implementations where \mathbf{W} is a column vector, \mathbf{w}


Multiple layers notation



- **Self test:** How many parameters need to be trained in the above network?
 - A. $[(N+1) \times S^1] + [(S^1+1) \times S^2] + [(S^2+1) \times S^3]$
 - B. $|W^{(1)}| + |W^{(2)}| + |W^{(3)}|$
 - C. can't determine from diagram
 - D. it depends on the sizes of intermediate variables, $z^{(i)}$

Simple Architectures


- Rosenblatt's perceptron, 1957



Self Test - If this is a binary classification problem, how large is S ?

- Can't determine
- 2
- 1
- N

Compact feedforward notation



$$\mathbf{z}^{(L)} = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{a}^{(L)}$$


$$[\mathbf{z}^{(L)}]^{(i)} = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} [\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} z^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{(L)}_{S^L} \end{bmatrix}^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} w^{(L)}_{1,1} & w^{(L)}_{1,2} & w^{(L)}_{1,3} & \dots & w^{(L)}_{1,S^{L-1}+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ w^{(L)}_{S^L,1} & w^{(L)}_{S^L,2} & \dots & & w^{(L)}_{S^L,S^L-1+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ a^{(L)}_{S^L-1+1} \end{bmatrix}^{(i)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{(L)}_{S^L} \end{bmatrix}^{(1)} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} z^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{(L)}_{S^L} \end{bmatrix}^{(M)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ a^{(L)}_{S^L-1+1} \end{bmatrix}^{(1)} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} a^{(L)}_1 \\ \vdots \\ a^{(L)}_{S^L-1+1} \end{bmatrix}^{(M)} \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\mathbf{Z}^{(L)} = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{A}^{(L)}$$

Training Neural Network Architectures



Simple Architectures

- Rosenblatt's perceptron, 1957




$$\sum_i^M (\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)})^2$$




Need objective Function, minimize MSE $J(\mathbf{W}) = ||\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}||^2$

where $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ is one-hot encoded!



Simple Architectures

- Adaline network, Widrow and Hoff, 1960



$$a=z$$

Marcian “Ted” Hoff



Bernard Widrow

Objective Function, minimize MSE $J(\mathbf{W}) = ||\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}||^2$


New objective function becomes: $J(\mathbf{W}) = ||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{X}||^2$

Need gradient $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$ for update equation $\mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathbf{W} + \eta \nabla J(\mathbf{W})$

We have been using the **Widrow-Hoff Learning Rule**


Simple Architectures

- Adaline network, Widrow and Hoff, 1960



$$a=z$$

Marcian “Ted” Hoff



Bernard Widrow

need gradient $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$ for update equation $\mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathbf{W} + \eta \nabla J(\mathbf{W})$


For case $S=1$, \mathbf{W} has only one row, \mathbf{w}
this is just **linear regression...**

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \eta [\mathbf{X} * (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}})]$$



Simple Architectures

- Modern Perceptron network



$$a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

need gradient $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$ for update equation $\mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathbf{W} + \eta \nabla J(\mathbf{W})$


For case $S=1$, this is just **logistic regression...**
and **we have already solved this!**

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \eta [\mathbf{X} * (\mathbf{y} - g(\mathbf{x}))]$$



What happens when $S > 1$?

What if we have more than S=1?



$$\begin{bmatrix} [\phi(z_1)]^{(1)} & \dots & [\phi(z_1)]^{(M)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ [\phi(z_S)] & \dots & [\phi(z_S)] \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\mathbf{Y}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [y_1]^{(1)} & \dots & [y_1]^{(M)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ [y_S] & \dots & [y_S] \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Y}$$

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \|\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}\|^2$$

Each target class in \mathbf{Y} can be independently optimized

$$\mathbf{yhat}^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{\text{row}=1} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \\ \varphi_{\text{row}=2} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \\ \dots \\ \varphi_{\text{row}=S} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$$



which is one-versus-all!

$$J(1\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1} \left[y_1(i) - \varphi(1\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}(i)) \right]^2$$

$$J(2\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1} \left[y_2(i) - \varphi(2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}(i)) \right]^2$$

...

$$J(S\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1} \left[y_S(i) - \varphi(S\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}(i)) \right]^2$$

Simple Architectures: summary

- Adaline network, Widrow and Hoff, 1960
 - linear regression
- Perceptron
 - *with sigmoid*: logistic regression
- One-versus-all implementation is the same as having $\mathbf{w}_{\text{class}}$ be rows of weight matrix, \mathbf{W}
 - works in adaline
 - works in logistic regression



these networks were created in the 50's and 60's
but were abandoned

why were they not used?

The Rosenblatt-Widrow-Hoff Dilemma

- 1960's: Rosenblatt got into a public academic argument with Marvin Minsky and Seymour Papert

"Given an elementary α -perceptron, a stimulus world W , and any classification $C(W)$ for which a solution exists; let all stimuli in W occur in any sequence, provided that each stimulus must reoccur in finite time; then beginning from an arbitrary initial state, an error correction procedure will always yield a solution to $C(W)$ in finite time..."

- Minsky and Papert publish limitations paper, 1969:

TED Ideas worth spreading

WATCH

DISCOVER

ATTEND

PARTICIPATE

Marvin Minsky:

Health and the human mind

TED2003 · 13:33 · Filmed Feb 2003

21 subtitle languages

View interactive transcript



More Advanced Architectures: history

- 1986: *Rumelhart, Hinton, and Williams* popularize gradient calculation for multi-layer network
 - technically introduced by Werbos in 1982
- **difference:** Rumelhart *et al.* validated ideas with a computer
- until this point no one could train a multiple layer network consistently
- algorithm is popularly called **Back-Propagation**
- wins pattern recognition prize in 1993, becomes de-facto machine learning algorithm until: SVMs and Random Forests in ~2004
- would eventually see a resurgence for its ability to train algorithms for Deep Learning applications: **Hinton is widely considered the father of deep learning**

David Rumelhart




1942-2011

Geoffrey Hinton



More Advanced Architectures: MLP


- The multi-layer perceptron (MLP):
 - two layers shown, but could be arbitrarily many layers
 - algorithm is agnostic to number of layers (*kinda*)



each row of $y\hat{}$ is no longer independent of the rows in W

Back propagation

- Steps:
 - propagate weights forward
 - calculate gradient at final layer
 - back propagate gradient for each layer
 - via recurrence relation




$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_k^M (\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}^{(L)})^2$$

$$w_{i,j}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{i,j}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial w_{i,j}^{(l)}}$$

Back propagation

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_k^M (\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}^{(L)})^2$$



$$w_{i,j}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{i,j}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial w_{i,j}^{(l)}}$$

use chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial w_{i,j}^{(l)}} = \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}{\partial w_{i,j}^{(l)}}$$

Solve this in explainer video for next
in class assignment!

End of Session

- thanks!

More help on neural networks to prepare for next time:

Sebastian Raschka

<https://github.com/rasbt/python-machine-learning-book/blob/master/code/ch12/ch12.ipynb>

Martin Hagan

https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0ahUKEwioprvn27fPAhWMx4MKHYbwDlwQFggeMAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fhagan.okstate.edu%2FNNDesign.pdf&usg=AFQjCNG5YbM4xSMm6K5HNsG-4Q8TvOu_Lw&sig2=bgT3k-5ZDDTPZ07Qu8Oreg

Michael Nielsen

<http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com>

Lecture Notes for Machine Learning in Python

Professor Eric Larson
Multi-Layer Neural Networks

Class Logistics and Agenda

- Agenda:
 - Practical Multi-layer Architectures
 - Programming Examples
- Next Time: Overflow and Town Hall

Last Time: History of Neural Nets

- 1986: *Rumelhart, Hinton, and Williams* popularize gradient calculation for multi-layer network
 - technically introduced by Werbos in 1982
- **difference:** Rumelhart *et al.* validated ideas with a computer
- until this point no one could train a multiple layer network consistently
- algorithm is popularly called **Back-Propagation**
- wins pattern recognition prize in 1993, becomes de-facto machine learning algorithm until: SVMs and Random Forests in ~2004
- would eventually see a resurgence for its ability to train algorithms for Deep Learning applications: **Hinton is widely considered the father of deep learning**

David Rumelhart




1942-2011

Geoffrey Hinton



Back propagation


- Steps:
 - propagate weights forward
 - calculate gradient at final layer
 - back propagate gradient for each layer
 - via recurrence relation



$$J(\mathbf{W}) = ||\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}||^2$$

$$w_{i,j}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{i,j}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial w_{i,j}^{(l)}}$$

Back propagation summary



1. Forward propagate to get Z, A

2. Get final layer gradient

3. Back propagate sensitivities

4. Update each $W^{(l)}$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = -2(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) * \mathbf{A}^{(3)} * (1 - \mathbf{A}^{(3)})$$


$$\nabla^{(2)} = \mathbf{V}^{(2)} \cdot [\mathbf{A}^{(2)}]^T$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(1)} = \mathbf{A}^{(2)} * (1 - \mathbf{A}^{(2)}) * [\mathbf{W}^{(2)}]^T \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(2)}$$

$$\nabla^{(1)} = \mathbf{V}^{(1)} \cdot [\mathbf{A}^{(1)}]^T$$

$$\mathbf{W}^{(l)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^{(l)} - \eta \nabla^{(l)}$$

Back propagation summary




- **Self Test:**

True or False: If we change the cost function, $J(\mathbf{W})$, we only need to update the final layer calculation of the back propagation steps. The remainder of the algorithm is unchanged.

- A. True
- B. False


$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = -2(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) * \mathbf{A}^{(3)} * (1 - \mathbf{A}^{(3)})$$
$$\nabla^{(2)} = \mathbf{V}^{(2)} \cdot [\mathbf{A}^{(2)}]^T$$

Programming Multi-layer Neural Networks



Guided Example

Recall from the in-class assignment




1. Forward propagate to get Z, A

```
# feedforward all instances
A1, Z1, A2, Z2, A3 = self._feedforward(X_data, self.W1, self.W2)
```

```
def _feedforward(self, X, W1, W2):
    A1 = self._add_bias_unit(X.T, how='row')
    Z1 = W1 @ A1
    A2 = self._sigmoid(Z1)
    A2 = self._add_bias_unit(A2, how='row')
    Z2 = W2 @ A2
    A3 = self._sigmoid(Z2)
    return A1, Z1, A2, Z2, A3
```

these are more than just vectors for **one instance!**
these are for **all** instances


Back propagation implementation



```
def _get_gradient(self, A1, A2, A3, z1, z2, Y_enc, W1, W2):
    """ Compute gradient step using backpropagation.
    """
    # vectorized backpropagation
    V2 = -2*(Y_enc-A3)*A3*(1-A3)
    V1 = A2*(1-A2)*(W2.T @ V2)

    grad2 = V2 @ A2.T
    grad1 = V1[1:,:,:] @ A1.T
```

Back propagation implementation



1. Forward propagate to get \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{A} for all layers
2. Get final layer gradient
3. Back propagate sensitivities
4. Update each $\mathbf{W}^{(l)}$

for each layer:

$$\mathbf{W}^{(l)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^{(l)} - \eta \nabla^{(l)}$$

```
# feedforward all instances
A1, z1, A2, z2, A3 = self._feedforward(X_data, self.W1, self.W2)

# compute gradient via backpropagation
grad1, grad2 = self._get_gradient(A1=A1, A2=A2,
                                    A3=A3, z1=z1,
                                    Y_enc=Y_enc,
                                    W1=self.W1, W2=self.W2)

self.W1 -= self.eta * grad1
self.W2 -= self.eta * grad2
```

Two Layer Perceptron

with regularization
and vectorization




Problems with Advanced Architectures

- Numerous weights to find gradient update
 - minimize number of instances
 - **solution:** mini-batch
- **new problem:** mini-batch gradient can be erratic
 - **solution:** momentum
 - use previous update in current update



Problems with Advanced Architectures

- Space is no longer convex
 - **One solution:**
 - start with large step size
 - “cool down” by decreasing step size for higher iterations



Problems with Advanced Architectures

- Space is no longer convex
 - **another solution:**
 - start with arbitrary step size
 - only decrease when successive iterations do not decrease cost



Two Layer Perceptron

comparison:

mini-batch

momentum

decreased learning

L-BFGS




Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function?

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_k^M (\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}^{(L)})^2$$

least squares objective,
tends to slow training initially




Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function?

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_k^M (\mathbf{y}^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}^{(L)})^2$$

least squares objective,
tends to slow training initially



Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function: **Cross entropy**

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = -[\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \ln[\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)} + (1 - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \ln(1 - [\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)})]$$

speeds up
initial training




Run

Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function: **Cross entropy**

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = -[\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \ln[\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)} + (1 - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \ln(1 - [\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)})]$$

speeds up
initial training



Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function: **Cross entropy**

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = -[\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \ln[\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)} + (1 - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \ln(1 - [\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)})]$$

speeds up
initial training

$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = -2(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) * \mathbf{A}^{(3)} * (1 - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) \quad \text{old update}$$

bp-5
53

Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new cost function: **Cross entropy**

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = -[\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \ln[\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)} + (1 - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \ln(1 - [\mathbf{a}^{(L)}]^{(i)})]$$

speeds up
initial training

$$\left[\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\mathbf{z}^{(L)}} \right]^{(i)} = ([\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)}]^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\mathbf{z}^{(2)}} \right]^{(i)} = ([\mathbf{a}^{(3)}]^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = \mathbf{A}^{(3)} - \mathbf{Y}$$

new update

```
# vectorized backpropagation
v2 = (A3-Y_enc) # <- this is only line t
v1 = A2*(1-A2)*(W2.T @ v2)

grad2 = v2 @ A2.T
grad1 = v1[1:,:,:] @ A1.T
```

$$\mathbf{V}^{(2)} = -2(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) * \mathbf{A}^{(3)} * (1 - \mathbf{A}^{(3)}) \text{ old update}$$

bp-5

54

Two Layer Perceptron

cross entropy




Practical Implementation of Architectures


- Beyond L2 regularization
 - dropout
 - expansion

Practical Implementation of Architectures

- Dropout
 - don't train all hidden neurons at the same time



For each mini-batch,
 R^1 is subset of S^1






Why does this work?


Practical Implementation of Architectures

- Expansion

- get more data
- perturb your data



Neural Networks and Deep Learning,
Michael Nielson, 2015



98.4% → 99.3%


Practical Implementation of Architectures

- Weight initialization
 - uniform distribution makes weights unrealistic
 - try not to **saturate** your neurons right away!

$$\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)} = \Phi(\mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{a}^{(L)})$$



each row is sum of layer inputs



want sum to be between $-\varepsilon < \sum < \varepsilon$ for no saturation

solution: squash initial weights sizes


- a nice choice: each element of \mathbf{W} is selected from a Gaussian with zero mean
- for adding Gaussian distributions, variances add together:
 - make each variance $1/\mathbf{W}_{\text{num_elements_in_row}}$
 - which is the same as standard deviation = $1/\sqrt{\mathbf{W}_{\text{num_elements_in_row}}}$

Self Test

- for adding Gaussian distributions, variances add together

$$\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)} = \Phi(\mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{a}^{(L)})$$

- If you initialized the weights with too large variance, you would expect the output of the neuron, Φ , to be:
 - A. saturated to “1”
 - B. saturated to “0”
 - C. could either be saturated to “0” or “1”
 - D. would not be saturated




Self Test

- for adding Gaussian distributions, variances add together

$$\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)} = \Phi(\mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{a}^{(L)})$$

- What is the derivative of a saturated sigmoid neuron?

- A. zero
- B. one
- C. $a * (1-a)$
- D. it depends




Two Layer Perceptron

Dropout
Smarter Weight Initialization



Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new nonlinearity: **softmax**



$$a_j^{(L+1)} = \frac{\exp(z_j^{(L)})}{\sum_i \exp(z_i^{(L)})}$$


instead of final layer sigmoid!

it has many of the same properties as Cross Entropy
but also the advantage of **interpretation as a probability**

Update equations are **identical** to that of Cross Entropy with a sigmoid.
We typically do not try to take derivative of softmax, therefore it is
only used in final layer.

Practical Implementation of Architectures

- A new nonlinearity: **rectified linear units**



$$\phi(\mathbf{z}^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}^{(i)}, & \text{if } \mathbf{z}^{(i)} > 0 \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

it has the advantage of **large gradients** and
extremely simple derivative


$$\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(i)}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{z}^{(i)} > 0 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Two Layer Perceptron

ReLU Nonlinearities



Practical Implementation of Architectures



- Sigmoid Weighted Linear Unit
- **SiLU**
 - also called Swish
- Mixing of sigmoid, σ , and ReLU

$$\varphi(z) = z \cdot \sigma(z)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(z)}{\partial z} = \varphi(z) + \sigma(z)[1 - \varphi(z)]$$

$$= a^{(l+1)} + \sigma(z^{(l)}) \cdot [1 - a^{(l+1)}]$$




Figure 1: The Swish activation function.

Ramachandran P, Zoph B, Le QV.
Swish: a Self-Gated Activation Function. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1710.05941. 2017 Oct 16

Elfwing, Stefan, Eiji Uchibe, and Kenji Doya. "Sigmoid-weighted linear units for neural network function approximation in reinforcement learning." Neural Networks (2018).

Derivative Calculation:

$$= \sigma(x) + x \cdot \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$$

$$= \sigma(x) + x \cdot \sigma(x) - x \cdot \sigma(x)^2$$

$$= x \cdot \sigma(x) + \sigma(x)(1 - x \cdot \sigma(x))$$

Practical Details

- Neural networks can separate any data through multiple layers. The true realization of Rosenblatt:

"Given an elementary α -perceptron, a stimulus world W , and any classification $C(W)$ for which a solution exists; let all stimuli in W occur in any sequence, provided that each stimulus must reoccur in finite time; then beginning from an arbitrary initial state, an error correction procedure will always yield a solution to $C(W)$ in finite time..."
- **Universality:** No matter what function we want to compute, we know that there is a neural network which can do the job.
- One nonlinear hidden layer with an output layer can solve any problem with enough data
 - but... it might be better to have even more layers for decreased computation and generalizability

End of Session

- Next Time: Project Work Day
- Next Time: Deep Learning in Keras


Class Logistics and Agenda

- Logistics
 - Welcome back!
 - End of this week: evaluating MLP
- Two Week Agenda:
 - *SVM Review*
 - *Neural Networks History*
 - *Multi-layer Architectures*
 - Programming Multi-layer training
- **Next Time:** evaluation in-class assignment

Back up slides



More Advanced Architectures

- Dynamic Networks (recurrent networks)
 - can use current and previous inputs, in time
 - still popular, but ultimately extremely hard to train
 - **highly successful variant:** long short term memory, **LSTM**




More Advanced Architectures

- **LSTM key idea:** limit how past data can affect output



More Advanced Architectures


- **LSTM key idea:** limit how past data can affect output




More Advanced Architectures

- **LSTM key idea:** limit how past data can affect output

let **cell state** through



potentially **forget past** inputs via “gate” σ



we will return to this architecture later, for now:

put it in long term memory 😂



Neural Network
Layer



Pointwise
Operation



Vector
Transfer




Concatenate



Copy

More Advanced Architectures

- Convolutional Neural Networks
 - image processing operations



we will return to this architecture later, for now:
put it in long term memory

Problems with these Advanced Architectures

- These architectures have been around for 30 years
- And solved some amazingly hard problems
- but they had **big training problems** that back propagation could not solve readily:
 - unstable gradients (vanishing/exploding)
 - **extremely** non-convex space
 - more layers==many more local optima to get stuck
 - sometimes **gradient optimization is too computational** for weight updates
 - might need better optimization strategy than SGD
- The solution to these problems came from having large amounts of training data, better setup of the optimization
 - eventually was termed **deep learning**