## Sahil Khanna

Computing ID: sk5xvh

Challenge: How should we monitor and control network activity on personal devices such as laptops and cell phones not owned by our organization and legitimately used for many other purposes, as opposed to devices that are the business property of the organization?

Prompt Asked: How should we monitor and control network activity on personal devices such as laptops and cell phones not owned by our organization and legitimately used for many other purposes, as opposed to devices that are the business property of the organization? Give a response under 500 words using virtue ethics, consequentalist ethics, and deontological ethics.

ChatGPT Response: 333 words

Monitoring and controlling network activity on personal devices is a complex issue that requires considering multiple ethical perspectives.

From a virtue ethics perspective, monitoring personal devices may be seen as a violation of trust and respect for employees. Personal devices are often used for non-work purposes and contain personal information and activities, which may be seen as private and off-limits to employers. Monitoring such devices could be seen as intrusive and unethical if it goes beyond what is necessary to ensure security and compliance with workplace policies.

From a consequentialist ethics perspective, the ethics of monitoring personal devices would depend on the outcomes or consequences of such monitoring. If the benefits of monitoring, such as increased security and protection of sensitive data, outweigh the costs, such as reduced employee privacy, then it may be considered ethical. However, if the consequences of monitoring lead to negative impacts on employee morale, trust, and well-being, then it may be seen as unethical.

From a deontological ethics perspective, monitoring personal devices may be seen as a violation of moral duties and obligations, such as the duty to respect privacy and personal autonomy. Deontologists argue that certain actions, such as monitoring personal devices, are inherently wrong regardless of their consequences. If an action violates the rights of individuals, it is considered unethical.

Given these different ethical perspectives, organizations must strike a balance between protecting the interests of the organization and respecting the rights and privacy of employees. It may be necessary to seek legal advice to ensure that any monitoring is carried out within the bounds of the law, and to establish clear policies that outline the acceptable use of personal devices for work purposes and the extent to which monitoring is permitted.

In conclusion, monitoring and controlling network activity on personal devices is a complex issue that requires a nuanced ethical approach. Organizations should consider the implications of such monitoring from multiple perspectives and seek to balance the interests of the organization and the rights of employees.

Sahil Khanna's Criticism Total Word count: 499

In response to the prompt about monitoring and controlling personal devices versus business-owned devices, ChatGPT fails to correctly apply the frameworks requested of it. It also fails to answer the prompt correctly, primarily addressing the issue of personal devices and privacy and neglecting business devices as was mentioned in the prompt. It does try to apply the Virtue Ethics, Consequentialist Ethics, and Deontological Ethics frameworks to the questions asked. However, in my opinion, ChatGPT either does not know enough about them or does not understand how to apply these complicated frameworks to the question.

Looking at how ChatGPT tried to apply the virtue ethics framework, I think it does apply virtue ethics correctly from the employee perspective, but does not apply virtue ethics to the government/employer/business perspective. From the government/employer/business perspective, implementing measures to monitor and control personal devices could be a moral and ethical obligation to prevent harm and foresee threats to society. It does get the employee perspective correct in that from a virtue ethics perspective, an employee could see this as unethical and a violation of trust. For personal devices, I agree with this. However, since ChatGPT fails to apply this to business devices as well, the argument is incomplete.

Unlike virtue ethics, I think ChatGPT does a good job of applying consequentialism to the question. It is important to note that yet again, it only applies the framework to personal devices and not business devices. I think the arguments applied to personal devices should rather be applied to business devices. Increased security and protection of sensitive data would be factors that are more related to business devices rather than personal devices.

I think the argument ChatGPT puts forth for the Deontological framework is good but it only talks about the employee side of things. From a Deontological perspective, if an employee is giving out sensitive information, a violation of their moral obligation toward the government/company, monitoring devices would be justified. It only talks about respecting personal autonomy, but if monitoring devices are maintaining the autonomy of other individuals, then would it not be justified from a Deontological perspective to implement them? For example, monitoring the devices of security personnel in the government with access to sensitive information could detect individuals trying to leak that information, thereby preventing harm to the country. ChatGPT fails to recognize another perspective apart from the one of the individual employee and thus does not put forth a comprehensive argument. Moreover, it does not say how the framework would apply to business-owned devices.

Overall, I think this is a good attempt by ChatGPT to apply these frameworks to the prompt provided. However, there are a significant number of holes in its argument. It has not applied the frameworks to business devices at all, failing to answer the prompt directly. It does show an understanding of these philosophical concepts, but I think it needs to make significant improvements in order to create a comprehensive and strong argument.