High Performance Computing Lab

Practical No. 8

Name: Sahil Santosh Otari

PRN: 2020BTECS00025

Batch: B2

Title of practical: Implementation of Vector-Vector addition & N-Body

Simulator using CUDA C

Problem Statement 1:

Implement Vector-Vector addition using CUDA C. State and justify the speedup using different size of threads and blocks.

Code:

```
%% cu
#include <stdio.h>
 _global__ void addVector(int *v1, int *v2, int *result, int N)
    int i = threadIdx.x;
    if (i < N)
        result[i] = v1[i] + v2[i];
int main()
    int N = 100;
    int v1[N], v2[N], result[N];
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    {
        v1[i] = 1;
        v2[i] = 2;
    // initializing poiters for device vectors
    int *d_v1, *d_v2, *d_result;
    // allocating memory for the device vectors
```

```
cudaMalloc(&d_v1, N * sizeof(int));
cudaMalloc(&d_v2, N * sizeof(int));

cudaMalloc(&d_result, N * sizeof(int));

// copying from host to device
cudaMemcpy(d_v1, v1, N * sizeof(int), cudaMemcpyHostToDevice);
cudaMemcpy(d_v2, v2, N * sizeof(int), cudaMemcpyHostToDevice);

addVector<<<1, N>>>(d_v1, d_v2, d_result, N);
cudaDeviceSynchronize();

// copying from device to host
cudaMemcpy(result, d_result, N * sizeof(int),

cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost);
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
{
    printf("%d ", result[i]);
}
return 0;
}</pre>
```

Result:

Problem size = 32, number of threads = n/2

Problem size = 32, number of threads = n

Problem size = 64, number of threads = n/2

Problem size = 64, number of threads = n

Problem size = 128, number of threads = n/2

Problem size = 128, number of threads = n

Problem size = 256, number of threads = n/2

Problem size = 256, number of threads = n

Speedup analysis:

Number of threads	Data Size	Execution time
N/2	32	0.086957
N	32	0.091601
N/2	64	0.110664
N	64	0.093508
N/2	128	0.107092
N	128	0.114488
N/2	256	0.095071
N	256	0.086273

For performing addition of two vectors, two different ways are implemented.

- i) One block is used consisting of n threads. In this case, each thread will perform the addition of one element each.
- ii) One block is used consisting of n/2 threads. So, in this case, each thread needs to execute the addition of two elements.

On performing the comparison, we can see that the execution time for N threads is better than N/2 threads as here we are using dedicated thread for each element of the vector.

Problem Statement 2:

Implement N-Body Simulator using CUDA C. State and justify the speedup using different size of threads and blocks.

Code:

```
%% cu
#include <stdio.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

const float G = 6.67430e-11; // Gravitational constant
const float SOFTENING = 1e-9; // Softening factor to avoid
singularities

__global__ void computeForces(float* positions, float* forces, int
numParticles, float* mass) {
    int idx = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
```

```
if (idx < numParticles) {</pre>
        float myPositionX = positions[2*idx];
        float myPositionY = positions[2*idx+1];
        forces[2*idx] = 0.0f;
        forces[2*idx+1] = 0.0f;
        for (int j = 0; j < numParticles; j++) {</pre>
            if (j != idx) {
                float deltaX = positions[2*j] - myPositionX;
                float deltaY = positions[2*j+1] - myPositionY;
                float dist = sqrt(deltaX*deltaX + deltaY*deltaY);
                float force = G * mass[idx] * mass[j] / (dist * dist +
SOFTENING*SOFTENING);
                forces[2*idx] += force * deltaX / dist;
                forces[2*idx+1] += force * deltaY / dist;
int main() {
    const int numParticles = 100;
    const int numIterations = 1000;
    float* h positions;
    float* h forces;
    float* d positions;
    float* d mass;
    size t size = 2 * numParticles * sizeof(float);
    h positions = (float*)malloc(size);
    h forces = (float*)malloc(size);
    // Initialize positions and masses (for simplicity, all masses are
set to 1)
    for (int i = 0; i < 2 * numParticles; <math>i++) {
        h positions[i] = rand() / (float)RAND MAX;
    float* h mass = (float*)malloc(numParticles * sizeof(float));
        h mass[i] = 1.0f;
    cudaMalloc(&d_positions, size);
    cudaMalloc(&d forces, size);
```

```
cudaMalloc(&d mass, numParticles * sizeof(float));
    cudaMemcpy(d positions, h positions, size, cudaMemcpyHostToDevice);
    cudaMemcpy(d mass, h mass, numParticles * sizeof(float),
cudaMemcpyHostToDevice);
    int threadsPerBlock = 256;
    int blocksPerGrid = (numParticles + threadsPerBlock - 1) /
threadsPerBlock;
    for (int iter = 0; iter < numIterations; iter++) {</pre>
        computeForces<<<br/>dblocksPerGrid, threadsPerBlock>>>(d positions,
d forces, numParticles, d mass);
        // Update positions based on forces and velocities
        // You should implement this based on your specific scenario.
        // Reset forces for the next iteration
        cudaMemset(d forces, 0, size);
    cudaMemcpy(h positions, d positions, size, cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost);
    // Print out the positions after the simulation
    for (int i = 0; i < numParticles; i++) {</pre>
        printf("Particle %d: x = %f, y = %f \ n", i, h positions[2*i],
h positions[2*i+1]);
    // Clean up
    free(h positions);
    free(h forces);
    free(h mass);
    cudaFree(d_positions);
    cudaFree(d forces);
    cudaFree(d mass);
```

Result:

```
Particle 67: x = 0.152390, y = 0.732149
Particle 68: x = 0.125475, y = 0.793470
Particle 69: x = 0.164102, y = 0.745071
Particle 70: x = 0.074530, y = 0.959104
Particle 71: x = 0.052529, y = 0.521563
Particle 72: x = 0.176211, y = 0.240962
Particle 73: x = 0.797798, y = 0.73254
Particle 73: x = 0.639458, y = 0.797485
Particle 74: x = 0.656564, y = 0.967405
Particle 75: x = 0.639458, y = 0.739735
Particle 76: x = 0.693460, y = 0.124802
Particle 77: x = 0.502010, y = 0.078232
Particle 78: x = 0.699460, y = 0.798232
Particle 78: x = 0.699460, y = 0.798232
Particle 78: x = 0.699960, y = 0.795581
Particle 80: x = 0.969930, y = 0.757807
Particle 80: x = 0.99994, y = 0.795581
Particle 81: x = 0.951319, y = 0.795581
Particle 81: x = 0.999799, y = 0.795408
Particle 82: x = 0.899799, y = 0.125468
Particle 83: x = 0.899799, y = 0.079229
Particle 85: x = 0.99941, y = 0.897229
Particle 85: x = 0.99941, y = 0.897229
Particle 88: x = 0.163132, y = 0.391690
Particle 87: x = 0.938829, y = 0.189372
Particle 88: x = 0.153132, y = 0.391690
Particle 89: x = 0.793309, y = 0.4557485
Particle 89: x = 0.793309, y = 0.4557485
Particle 99: x = 0.359095, y = 0.557485
Particle 99: x = 0.579339, y = 0.995228
Particle 91: x = 0.579339, y = 0.995228
Particle 94: x = 0.304295, y = 0.995228
Particle 96: x = 0.346295, y = 0.995228
Particle 96: x = 0.346221, y = 0.935237
Particle 96: x = 0.346221, y = 0.935237
Particle 99: x = 0.747809, y = 0.935237
Particle 99: x = 0.747809, y = 0.935237
Particle 99: x = 0.873271, y = 0.831038

time: 1.44 s (started: 2023-10-28 14:29:41 +00:00)
```