

# Homework 2

Due: 13:10, 10/17, 2024 (in class)

## Homework Policy: (READ BEFORE YOU START TO WORK)

- Copying from other students' solution is not allowed. If caught, all involved students get 0 point on that particular homework. Caught twice, you will be asked to drop the course.
- Collaboration is welcome. You can work together with **at most one partner** on the homework problems which you find difficult. However, you should write down your own solution, not just copying from your partner's.
- Your partner should be the same for the entire homework.
- Put your collaborator's name beside the problems that you collaborate on.
- When citing known results from the assigned references, be as clear as possible.

## 1. (Mixture of random processes) [14]

In this problem we look at different ways to generate mixtures of random processes, and the entropy rate of the mixture of random processes. Consider two stationary random processes  $\{X_0[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{X_1[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  taking values in disjoint alphabets  $\mathcal{X}_0$  and  $\mathcal{X}_1$  respectively. The two processes are independent from each other, that is,  $\{X_0[i]\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{X_1[i]\}$ , and they have entropy rates  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  respectively. Let  $\{\Theta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a **stationary** Bernoulli random process, independent of everything else.

- Let  $\Theta_i = \Theta$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\Theta \sim \text{Ber}(q)$ . Is the random process  $\{X_{\Theta_i}[i]\}$  stationary? What is its entropy rate? [6]
- Let  $\{\Theta_i\}$  be Markov with a probability transition matrix

$$P_{\Theta_2|\Theta_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1-\beta \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Suppose that both  $\{X_0[i]\}$  and  $\{X_1[i]\}$  are i.i.d. processes in this problem. Is the random process  $\{X_{\Theta_i}[i]\}$  stationary? What is its entropy rate? [8]

**2. (Binary hypothesis testing) [16]**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli  $p$  random variables, that is,

$$\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = 1 - \Pr\{X_i = 0\} = p.$$

Based on the observations so far, the goal is of a decision maker to determine which of the following two hypotheses is true:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : p = p_0$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 : p = p_1$$

where  $0 < p_0 < p_1 \leq 1/2$ .

- a) (Warm-up) Consider the problem of making the decision based on  $X_1$ .

Draw the optimal  $(\pi_{1|0}, \pi_{0|1})$  trade-off curve. [4]

- b) Suppose the decision maker waits until an 1 appears and makes the decision based on the whole observed sequence. Sketch the optimal  $(\pi_{1|0}, \pi_{0|1})$  trade-off curve. [4]

- c) Now suppose the decision maker waits until in total  $n$  1's appear and makes the decision based on the whole observed sequence. Let  $\varpi_{0|1}^*(n, \epsilon)$  denote the minimum type-II error probability subject to the constraint that the type-I error probability is not greater than  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Does  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{\varpi_{0|1}^*(n, \epsilon)}$  exist? If so, find it. Otherwise, show that the limit does not exist. [8]

**3. (Mixture of information divergences) [8]**

For  $m$  discrete probability distributions  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m$  with the same support  $\mathcal{X}$ , consider the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i D(P_i \| Q),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the collection of probability distributions over  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ , and  $\lambda_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i$  is a minimizer to the above problem.

#### 4. (Rényi's divergence) [12]

Alfréd Rényi introduced the following generalization of information divergence called *Rényi's divergence of order  $\alpha$*  (for simplicity, only deal with the discrete case):

$$D_\alpha(P\|Q) := \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left( \sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} P(a)^\alpha Q(a)^{1-\alpha} \right), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty),$$

where  $P, Q$  are both probability distributions over a finite alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\text{supp } P \subseteq \text{supp } Q$ .

- a) (Non-negativity) Show that  $D_\alpha(P\|Q) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $P = Q$ . [4]
- b) (Relation with KL divergence) Show that  $D_\alpha(P\|Q) \geq D(P\|Q)$  for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $D_\alpha(P\|Q) \leq D(P\|Q)$  for  $\alpha < 1$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(P\|Q) = D(P\|Q)$ . [4]
- c) (Data processing) Show that  $D_\alpha(P\|Q)$  satisfies the data processing inequality. [4]