

## Project Manual: Pollution Sensor and Re-Director

Vincent Lin, Jack Jiang, Saaif Ahmed

### Description:

The operational goal of the circuit is to be able to determine which of two roads are the safest to travel on. We attempt to tackle the real world issue of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> poisoning for people living in Urban areas; those that choose to bike or walk more often than not. Studies have shown that bikers and pedestrians in cities such as NYC are at real risk of adverse health effects due to air pollution [1].

In these urban areas, the largest source of air pollution is caused by vehicles traveling through those areas. Of the exhaust gas concentration, the largest component is typically Carbon Monoxide (CO) and another substantial component is Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Our circuit is designed to work within these conditions. It will determine which of our "roads" is the safest to traverse on from an air pollution standpoint.

### Block Diagram:





Figure 1: Block Diagram Overview

### Complete schematic:



**Figure 2: The Main Schematic**

The circuit operation is as follows. The Resistor R3 and the Capacitor C2 control the period of integration. When we give an external signal (V2) to the circuit the operation begins. The 555 timer acts as a monostable multivibrator that allows the signal from the MQ9 sensors to pass through to our integrators. Our integrators integrate the signal for the ON time of the 555 timer.


### Other Designs:

Our research shows very few examples that perform our circuit operation. Some examples that are simple are normal CO detectors. Our circuit is different from that operation because it doesn't check an immediate concentration, it checks over a larger period of time and outputs based on a comparison.


Furthermore the circuit we are designing is not to be used in normal homes like most CO/CH<sub>4</sub> detectors or comparison circuits. It's specifically designed to tackle a problem present in Urban Cities with high vehicle traffic.

## Operation and Design

### Monostable mutivibrator



**Figure 3: Monostable mutivibrator implemented with a 555 timer**




**Figure 4: Internals of the NE555**

The input to the monostable mutivibrator is a single square pulse that represents an external trigger, for its ease of implementation and testing, that goes from 5V to 0V and serves as the “negative edge” for the timer since the 555 TRIG pin is negative trigger edge (another alternative is to have a DC 5V connect to a switch that connect to the TRIG pin). When the pulse source does its job, it becomes disconnected from the circuit and that will put the source V1 in series with R2 and C2. The 555 timer circuit then becomes a RC circuit. The OUT pin will remain high as long as C2 is below  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the source voltage. Thus to find the time for the monostable mutivibrator to remain high, it boils down to solve the differential equation for the voltage of the capacitor C2 and set that equal to 3.33 V. The values for the source voltage, R2 and C2 can be changed to prolong or decrease the time the 555 timer remains high.

$$\frac{dV_{c2}}{dt} + \frac{1}{R_3 C_2} V_{c2} = \frac{V_{source}}{R_3 C_2} \text{ (equation 1)}$$

The output of the monostable mutivibrator is a single square pulse with an amplitude of 5V with a variable pulse width determined by equation 1.




**Figure 5: Pulse input to TRIG pin, manual trigger, non repeating square wave (5V to 0V)**



**Figure 6: YELLOW is the output of the 555 time. It has a pulse width of 1 second and amplitude of around 4.6V when the TRIG pin (BLUE) becomes low.**

MQ9 sensor [2]



**Figure 7: MQ9 sensor**


MQ9 sensors are gas detecting equipment that are sensitive to carbon monoxide and methane. The sensor has 4 pins: power, ground, AC reading, and DC reading. The power pin requires  $5V \pm 0.1$  and the AC and DC output ranges from  $1.4V \pm 0.1$  to  $5V \pm 0.1$ . The sensor is affected by temperature and humidity where increasing temperature will lead to its internal resistance to decrease. The sensor can operate between  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  and should be operated with relative humidity of Less than 95%. For sensors to work properly, the sensors need to be preheated for 48 hours and can detect 20ppm-2000ppm carbon monoxide, 500ppm-10000ppm methane, and 500ppm-10000ppm liquefied petroleum gas. The input to the MQ9 is the output from the 555 timer which should be 5V.




**Figure 8: YELLOW is the output of the 555 time/input to MQ9 and supplies  $\sim 4.6\text{V}$  to MQ9 power pin**



The output of the MQ9 is higher in settings where more carbon monoxide , methane, and liquefied petroleum gas are detected. Figure 9, clean air has lower AC reading than other environments, so when an MQ9 has a higher reading, we are able to tell that that particular MQ9 is relatively more polluted than the other MQ9 sensor. Using the AC pin for reading is suggested since it is more accurate since it's an instantaneous reading, but in theory both the AC or DC pins can be used.




**Figure 9: output of the MQ9's AC pin in my room (clean air).**



**Figure 10: MQ9's signal output for various settings**

### Inverting Integrators




**Figure 11: Inverting Integrator with a amplification of 0.33 of V1 and saturation of 5V**

The inverting integrator takes a continuous signal and outputs the negative integration of the signal over the period of time the signal is active with an amplification. When the integration yields a result that is over the power supplied to the op amp, the output is simply the power supply voltage. The output will start to decay when the input signal is cut off or 0V. The power to the op amp should be as large as possible so the integration can yield a wide range of possible meaningful results. The equation that determines the output of the inverting integrator is:

$$V_1 = -\frac{1}{R_2 C_1} \int_0^t V_{out} dt \text{ (equation 2)}$$


Where  $V_1$  is the input signal,  $V_{out}$  is the output of the inverting integrator, and  $t$  is the length of time the  $V_1$  is active. This integrator is chosen instead of a regular non inverting integrator because the non inverting integrators are more difficult to build and require more same value capacitor and this simpler integrator can be built easier and with less components that still satisfy the design requirements. Depending on design needs and power supply voltages, the “amplification” can either be greater than 1 or less than 1. If a design is limited by power supply or if the input wave is large in magnitude, then an amplification of less than 1 should be chosen. Else an amplification of greater than 1 can be considered.



**Figure 12: Example of input and output of the inverting integrators. In YELLOW is the cosine wave input and in BLUE is the sine output.**



## Comparator



**Figure 13: standard comparator, comparing two input signals.  $V_{out}$  can be the positive power supply or the negative power supply**


The comparator takes in two signals and if the signal on the positive input is the largest input then  $V_{out}$  is the positive power supply, if the signal to the negative input is the largest then  $V_{out}$  is the negative power supply, and if the two signals are equal then  $V_{out}$  is 0V.

The equation to determine  $V_{out}$  is:


$$V_4 > V_3 \rightarrow V_{out} = \text{positive supply Voltage}$$

$$V_3 > V_4 \rightarrow V_{out} = \text{negative supply Voltage}$$


$$V_4 = V_3 \rightarrow V_{out} = 0V$$



**Figure 14: Example of comparator output when positive input is greater than negative input.  $V_{out}$ , represented by the Green is +5V(+VCC)**




**Figure 15: Example of comparator output when negative input is greater than positive input.  $V_{out}$ , represented by the Green is -5V(-VCC)**



**Figure 16: Example of comparator output when negative input is equal to the positive input.  $V_{out}$ , represented by the Green is 0V**

LED [3]



**Figure 17: LED output juncture.**

The red LED used at the end is the output that the user sees. Both LEDs are the same type to ensure consistency in terms of LED parameters. From the data sheet, the forward voltage is 2.2V and the reverse voltage is 5V. These two parameters are guaranteed by the circuit designs if the power supply to the comparator op amp is at least 5V. The LEDs are placed in opposite orientations so that only one LED will turn on. The resistor in series with each LED are current limiters so any arbitrary small value will do. In theory, there can be a scenario where both LEDs will both be off if the input to the comparators are equal, however that is extremely unlikely as noise will ensure that one value will be greater than the other so this scenario can be safely ignored in the design as a factor to consider.

From all these components we can represent the output signal as:

$$V_{final} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 5V : \text{if } \int_0^t MQ9_1(t) * dt > \int_0^t MQ9_2(t) * dt \\ -5V : \text{if } \int_0^t MQ9_1(t) * dt < \int_0^t MQ9_2(t) * dt \\ 0V : \text{if } \int_0^t MQ9_1(t) * dt = \int_0^t MQ9_2(t) * dt \end{array} \right\}$$

Where the time frame  $0 \rightarrow t$  is controlled by the monostable vibrator whose equation is:


$V_c(t) = -5e^{\frac{-t}{RC}} + 5$  (solution to equation 1). And the function  $MQ9(t)$  is representing the voltage signal outputted by the sensor. The  $-\frac{1}{RC}$  the component of the integral is equivalent on both sides of the inequality so we can ignore it.

## Integration

The first stage of the circuit is the monostable multivibrator implemented with a 555 timer, which produces a high signal of approximately 4.5V when an external trigger is set off that sends a 0V signal into the trigger pin of the 555 timer and an output of a low signal of 0V when input to the trigger pin is greater than 0V. The output of the 555 timer is connected to the two MQ9 to turn them both on and off simultaneously and control the time that they are both on to sense their respective air quality. The time that the monostable multivibrator's output remains high, when an external trigger of the 555 goes off, is determined by the time the capacitor C2 reaches  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the source voltage to the 555 timer (LTSPICE Schematic). In our circuit, we used a single pulse as the trigger for ease of testing and 5V as the source of the 555 timer since this is easily accessible through the wavegen. When the pulse-trigger shuts off to start the 4.5V output, the source, R3, and C2(LTSPICE Schematic) form an RLC circuit and to find the voltage of the capacitor simply boils down to solving the differential equation

$$\frac{dV_{c2}}{dt} + \frac{1}{R_3 C_2} V_{c2} = \frac{V_{source}}{R_3 C_2}$$

For our design, we chose  $R_3$  and  $C_2$  values such that the time that the monostable multivibrator is outputting its high signal is approximately 1.1 seconds.




**Figure AA: BLUE is the voltage over C2 in the monostable multivibrator and YELLOW is the on time of MQ9 which is also the 555 timer's output.**



The output of the monostable multivibrator powers the second stage of the circuit, the two MQ9 sensors simultaneously. Their AC outputs are fed into the third stage of the circuit, the two independent inverting integrators that sums up their instantaneous AC readings over the period that the MQ9s are powered by the monostable multivibrator high output. The output of the individual inverting integrators are governed by the equation:

$$V_{output} = \frac{1}{RC} \int_0^t V_{in} dt$$

In our design, for both inverting integrators, the resistor value was chosen to be 3 megaohm and the capacitor value was chosen to be 1uF. This was to ensure amplification remained consistent between the two outputs of the inverting integrators. These values were chosen because this will “amplify” the integration output by  $-\frac{1}{3}$  to prolong the time to saturate the limit on the op amp since we are limited by a  $\pm 5V$  supply to power the op amp. We chose the optimal amplification from the parts kit. There is no resistor bigger than 3 megaohms and the next biggest capacitor (4.7uF) value will reduce the signal to around 0.07 of its integration value which is too small. Putting resistors in series to further reduce the integration output was not considered since it would take up more breadboard space which was scarce considering the entire circuit. The output of the two integrators are then fed into a single comparator. The output of the comparator is to a where that splits off into two independent diodes with opposite orientation as pictured in figure BB.



**Figure BB: Orientation of the diodes and diode-input associativity**

The inverting integrator, whose output is connected to the positive input terminal of the comparator op amp, is associated with the LED that has the orientation that goes from positive to negative due to the fact that when MQ9 that is associated with input 1 sense more pollution, the inverting integrator will yield a lesser value relative to input 2. This will make input 2 to have a greater value than input 1 so the comparator will send out the positive supply voltage. Since LED D1 is oriented positive to negative, the positive supply voltage will turn D1 on while D2 is off since its orientation is negative to positive. The opposite is true when the MQ9 sensor that is associated with input 2 senses more pollution. Thus, to summarize, the MQ9 sensor, whose AC output is connected to the inverting integrator whose output is connected to positive input to the comparator, is associated with the LED that is in the positive to negative orientation. The other MQ9's inverting integration's output that connects to the negative input of the comparator is associated with the LED that is in the negative to positive orientation. The LEDs are in opposite orientations to only allow one LED to turn on at a time, and the LED that turns on is associated with the MQ9 that sensed the least pollution over the period of time determined by the monostable multivibrator. In our physical design, we placed the LED near their associated MQ9 sensor for virtual ease to determine which MQ9 sensor is currently reading the least polluted levels.

### Operating Conditions:

The circuit, as expected, does not operate well in all environments. Outside of environments that would cause mechanical failure of our circuit there are scenarios that can pose a challenge for our circuit.


### Where the Circuit Fails to Work:

The largest problem/area that our circuit fails to operate predictably in is when the period integration is larger than ~3 seconds. This is because the present coefficient of our integration ( $\frac{1}{RC}$ ) is not small enough to prevent the Op-Amps from reaching the saturation voltage (+/- 5V). If both integrators reach the saturation voltage we cannot accurately tell which of our sensors is providing the favorable output. We can solve this by supplying a higher voltage to the Op-Amps.

In addition our circuit has two sensors that, in theory, are to be placed a block's distance at minimum to compare the air pollution between two streets. However, our circuit is not up to that level yet. It is possible for us to get 50ft of wire and connect the sensor to our circuit, but over wire resistance to our main circuit, that would bring the signal drastically down and destroy its integrity. We can potentially solve this with a transformer as in power transmission lines, on a much smaller scale.

### Current Operating Conditions:

As it stands now the circuit has defined operating conditions on where it works optimally. All chips, and Op-Amps are supplied from 0V-5V. The 555 timer's ON time is roughly 1.5 seconds.



**Figure 10: MQ9's signal output for various settings**

And as shown in Figure 10 above the MQ9 sensor works well in normal air, or in 100ppm CO and 5000ppm CH4. Under these conditions, the circuit works both in simulation and in practice.

### Limitations and Tradeoff:

Our circuit is limited in what is able to do. It can detect CO and CH<sub>4</sub> very well, and outputs a clean signal for the listed concentrations of the gas. However, we cannot integrate our signal for over 2-3 seconds as it reaches the saturation voltage. Furthermore until changes are made, we cannot place the sensors far apart, like we would like to, due to wire resistance. So we made the tradeoffs of integrating for a shorter amount of time, and having one of the sensors as a simulated input. The main drawback of this issue is that our readings, while accurate, are not as robust as they could be.

### How we can Improve:

The circuit is already planned to be improved and we list some of those improvisations here. Primarily we want to increase the period of integration. In addition to changing our RC values for the monostable vibrator we want to decrease the  $\frac{1}{RC}$  coefficient of our integrators, so choosing larger capacitors and resistors would work. We want to increase the physical distance between the two MQ9 sensors. For that we might be able to use a transformer to preserve the signal integrity of our sensors if the voltage drop across the wire is too large. Furthermore we want to supply our MQ9 sensors with more power so they can be configured (burned in) more readily, and for that we can use a 5V wall outlet adapter.

## References

- [1] R. Schiffman, "On Your Bike, Watch Out for the Air," *The New York Times*, 06-Jul-2017.  
[Online]. Available:  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/06/well/move/on-your-bike-watch-out-for-the-air.html>. [Accessed: 01-Apr-2020].
- [2] HANWEI ELETRONICS CO.,LTD. "Technical Data MQ9 Gas Sensor," MQ9 datasheet, (undated)  
<http://particle-sensor.com/immagini/MQ-9.pdf>
- [3] Kingbright. "T-1 3/4 (5mm) FULL COLOR LED LAMP," LED datasheet, Jul. 2013.  
[https://media.digikey.com/pdf/Data%20Sheets/Kingbright%20PDFs/WP154A4SEJ3VBDZGW-CA\\_Ver.1A\\_Jul-24-13.pdf](https://media.digikey.com/pdf/Data%20Sheets/Kingbright%20PDFs/WP154A4SEJ3VBDZGW-CA_Ver.1A_Jul-24-13.pdf)